Impacts of Predictive Analytics on Decision-Making Process for Healthcare Providers

A Research Paper submitted to the Department of Engineering and Society

Presented to the Faculty of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

University of Virginia • Charlottesville, Virginia

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree

Bachelor of Science, School of Engineering

Nikita Jeyasingh

Spring 2024

On my honor as a University Student, I have neither given nor received unauthorized aid on this assignment as defined by the Honor Guidelines for Thesis-Related Assignments

Advisor

MC Forelle , Department of Engineering and Society

Introduction

Healthcare providers are bound by their professional obligation to provide the best possible care to their patients, navigating between the complex interplay of clinical decisions that could mean the difference between life and death. Traditionally, healthcare providers have relied on their clinical experience, intuition, and patient interactions, and accumulated knowledge and expertise to make informed decisions regarding patient care and treatment. This standard of practice has been the cornerstone of medical practice for decades, embodying the art of science and medicine. Advancements within the medical field, including its evolving technology, introduces a new era where the role of data analytics plays a pivotal role in shaping clinical decisions. This evolution marks an important transition towards merging the knowledge of historical methods with the prospects of upcoming technological developments (Ferrario et al., 2022).

Combining the fields of data science and healthcare, the use of predictive analytics (PA) has emerged, employing algorithm and machine learning techniques to predict health-related outcomes based on both historical and real-time data. This recent implementation has the potential to enhance diagnostic accuracy, optimize treatment plans, and ultimately improve patient outcomes (Stagg et al., 2021). The emergence of predictive analytics presents a unique opportunity to redefine the standard of care, combining the insights of clinical expertise with the precision of data-driven analysis.

Predictive analytics (PA) has shown promise in enhancing patient care; yet, the effective integration of PA into healthcare practice requires an understanding of its impact on healthcare providers' decision-making processes. As predictive models become more central to clinical decision-making, it is imperative to examine how these tools shift the dynamics of patient care, understand the potential risks associated with over-reliance on algorithmic judgments, and the ethical considerations that arise in the balance between data-driven recommendations and patient-specific factors. The rapid development of PA technologies has led to uncertainty regarding : *How has the implementation of predictive analytics impacted the decision-making pro*cess for healthcare providers for diagnosis and treatment of patients?

In my research, I systematically examined peer-reviewed academic journals, medical case studies, and analyses of current predictive analytics tools to provide a comprehensive review of the current state of PA in healthcare. This review showcases both the potential benefits and challenges associated with PA; it explores how PA tools are currently being used, how they influence diagnostic and treatment decisions, and discusses the broader implications of their use within the healthcare system.

The transition towards predictive analytics in the field of healthcare fundamentally alters the decision-making paradigm for healthcare providers, shifting from experience-based to data-driven methodologies. This shift not only enhances clinical decision-making, bu it requires careful integration into existing clinical workflows to ensure that these systems are accessible and effective at the point of care (Stagg et at., 2021). Embedding PA directly within the clinical processes, healthcare providers can leverage real-time data without disrupting their routine, thereby enhancing efficiency and reducing the cognitive burden. This balanced approach leverages the strengths of both data-driven and experience-based methodologies and can serve as a roadmap for the integration of predictive analytics in healthcare that prioritizes patient outcomes, ethical considerations, and the sustainability of healthcare systems.

Literature Review

Predictive analytics is a combination of various statistical, machine learning, and analytical techniques aimed at making predictions about future outcomes based on historical and current data (Van Calster et al., 2019). It involves the extraction of data from existing datasets to identify patterns and predict future trends and outcomes, and it heavily relies on complex algorithms and computational models to forecast probable future events with a reasonable degree of accuracy.

Utilizing PA in healthcare serves multiple purposes, ranging from improving patient outcomes to optimizing the allocation of resources. One of the primary applications is in the realm of disease prediction and management, where predictive models analyze patient data to identify individuals at high risk for specific conditions; this allows for early intervention strategies that can significantly alter a patient's health trajectory (Zhang, 2019). Furthermore, PA allows for personalized treatment plans, enabling healthcare providers to tailor their approaches to the individual needs of their patients based on predictive insights into how each individual will likely respond to different treatments.

The integration of PA into the healthcare system has demonstrated significant improvements in patient outcomes and aided healthcare providers in their decisions for patient treatment and care. Continuous predictive analytics (PA) refers to the ongoing process of collecting, analyzing, and interpreting real-time data to predict future events or conditions (Keim-Malpass et al., 2018). In the context of a Pediatric Intensive Care Unit (PICU) or other critical care environments, continuous predictive analytics can be particularly valuable. For instance, it can monitor vital signs, lab results, and other health indicators in real-time, predicting serious complications like sepsis or organ failure before they manifest clinically. The Children's

Hospital at the University of Virginia conducted a study at its PICU on its developed predictive analytics models to identify sepsis risk in patients (Spaeder et al., 2019). The model was able to identify sepsis risk in patients up to 24 hours before clinical diagnosis, indicating risk increases significantly as sepsis approaches. Early detection capability is critical as it allows healthcare providers to intervene sooner, potentially improving patient outcomes. Specifically, the study reported cross-validated C-statistics for the logistic regression and random forest models at 0.74 and 0.76, respectively, demonstrating their reliable performance in early sepsis detection (Spaeder et al., 2019). This dynamic risk assessment reduced the progression of sepsis, which is crucial given the high mortality rates associated with delayed sepsis management in critically ill children. Different modeling approaches, including random forest and logistic regression, were compared, revealing age-specific risk variations, particularly in very young patients (Spaeder et al., 2019). Continuous predictive analytics monitoring aims to enable proactive clinical actions through early detection; it has shown significant reduction in mortality, demonstrating the potential of the use of PA for early sepsis detection.

Implementing predictive analytics in the healthcare sector introduces a new innovation, with healthcare workers still learning to effectively harness its full potential. Healthcare providers can potentially face challenges in interpreting and integrating predictive analytics insights into their clinical decision-making and may require specialized training and support (Grote & Bernes, 2020). These challenges illustrate the gap between the theoretical potential of predictive analytics and its practical application. For continuous predictive analytics monitoring to be a useful means of clinical decision support (CDS) systems, several processes are necessary for successful adoption, including: understanding the science behind the algorithm, trusting the data, integrating with the electronic medical record, and optimizing clinical pathways (Stagg et al., 2021).

While clinicians recognize the potential of predictive analytics to enhance patient care by providing early warnings and improving diagnostic accuracy, the adoption was hindered by concerns over the technology's integration into existing workflows, its reliability, and its clinical relevance (Kitzmiller et al., 2019). The Medical Center at the University of Virginia examined the clinician perceptions of continuous predictive analytics technology, and it studied how those perceptions influenced clinician adoption through semi-structured interviews. The study aimed to understand how the transition towards data-driven decision-making impacts clinical practice (Kitzmiller et al., 2019). The clinicians faced several challenges and expressed diverse opinions regarding the implementation of the HeRO monitor, a predictive analytics tool designed to alert NICU staff to early signs of sepsis in neonates. One of the main challenges was the placement of the monitors, which were not located at the bedside but in a central position within each pod. This arrangement required clinicians to leave the patient's side to access data, which inconvenienced and disrupted the workflow (Kitzmiller et al., 2019). The clinicians were also initially skeptical about the reliability of the data, fearing that overreliance on the technology could lead to unnecessary interventions, which highlights the inherent tension between traditional clinical judgment and data-driven decision-making. As they adapted to the new system, clinicians began to appreciate the monitor's potential to provide early warnings, integrating it into their routine assessments as another vital sign.

Healthcare providers often struggle with interpreting and incorporating PA insights into their clinical decision-making, emphasizing the need for specialized training to have the necessary skills to integrate PA into their decision-making. Additionally, the design of CDS systems must focus on the end-users - healthcare providers - by employing a user-centered approach that considers their needs, tasks, and clinical environment. This approach helps to ensure that the predictive analytics tools developed are not only functional but also intuitive and well-received by clinicians, enhancing their usability and effectiveness in clinical settings (Stagg et al., 2021). The engagement of clinicians in the development and testing phases is critical to tailoring these systems to effectively support clinical decision-making and overcome the barriers to integration of predictive analytics into healthcare practice. The adaptation of these new technologies warrant ethical considerations and requires a broader understanding of the implications of these technologies. Developing technology has the potential to enhance clinical-decision making while also raising questions about ethical concerns (Sullivan et al.,2020). Echoing this sentiment, Farhud and Zokaei (2021) argue for the importance of establishing an international framework for data governance and the ethical use of AI in healthcare, indicating a pressing need to navigate the moral complexities introduced by technological advancements in medicine.

While the use of PA has been transformative, positive outcomes are not always guaranteed, affirming that healthcare providers must exercise caution and integrate their prior knowledge and experience alongside predictive analytics tools in clinical decision-making. Its algorithms are derived from patterns in historical data, which can occasionally lead to inaccuracies when confronted with atypical cases under-represented in the dataset (Ross & Spates, 2020). Data becomes useful when it enhances decision making and decision making is enhanced only when analytical techniques are used and an element of human interaction is applied (Batko et al., 2022).

Integrating PA insights with clinical expertise and understanding of the patient's unique context mitigates the risk of flawed decisions caused by over-reliance on data-driven recommendations. This balanced approach ensures that PA serves as a valuable support tool that augments, rather than replaces, the nuanced judgment and care that are the standards of effective medical practice. If the outputs of PA are automatically used without human review (which is often referred to as 'automated decision-making' technologies), a particular set of risks arises as errors and bias are more likely to occur and go unnoticed (Rahman et. al, 2021). It is critical for healthcare providers to not use PA as the sole arbiter of clinical decisions but as an enhancement to their decision-making process.The lack of human review means there is no mechanism in place to identify and correct these errors or biases, exacerbating the potential impact on patient outcomes (Ferrario et al., 2023). Analytical techniques can process data efficiently; however, it may overlook contextual nuances or that only human judgment and experience can discern. This concern can be addressed through comprehensive training and education programs that emphasize the role of predictive analytics in clinical decision-making rather than replacing healthcare providers' expertise.

STS Framework

In navigating these challenges and opportunities, this paper draws upon the conceptual framework of the Fairness and Abstraction Traps (Selbst et al., 2019). The fairness and abstraction traps framework provides a critical lens to analyze the socio-technical changes caused by the integration of PA, addressing concerns related to data-driven decision-making. This study specifically utilizes the concepts of the portability trap, the formalism trap, and the ripple effect trap to examine the sociotechnical implications of predictive analytics (PA) on healthcare provider decision-making. These traps highlight the unintended consequences and challenges that can emerge from the misalignment between technological designs and the social contexts in which they are deployed. Examining these traps indicate a need for a balanced approach to integrating PA in healthcare, emphasizing the importance of maintaining a focus on individualized care and the human elements of healthcare provision.

The portability trap is described by the failure to understand how repurposing algorithmic solutions that are specifically designed for one social context could be misleading, inaccurate, or otherwise do harm when applied to a different context (Selbst et al., 2019, pg.61). In the context of healthcare, the portability trap is reflected when PA tools reduce complex patient data to overly simplified models that may not capture the full spectrum of patient health or the intricacies of diseases. With this, there is risk that oversimplification can lead to oversights in patient treatment plans. This lens will be used to explore how PA's reliance on abstracted data influences healthcare providers' ability to make nuanced decisions, necessitating the balance of between the use of PA and individualized patient attention.

The formalism trap describes the structured nature of computational models lacking the ability to accommodate the variability of real-world healthcare practices (Selbst et al., 2019, pg. 62). Predictive models are built on formal systems of rules derived from historical data, which may not always capture the unpredictable nature of human health or the social determinants of health that influence patient outcomes. In healthcare, this trap is evident when predictive models apply strict mathematical frameworks to situations that require empathy, discretion, and ethical considerations. Investigating instances where PA potentially conflicts with the dynamic nature of clinical environments reveals how such mismatches affect healthcare providers' final decision for patient treatment and care.

The ripple effect trap highlights the unintended consequences that can potentially emerge from the introduction of new technologies into already existing social systems (Selbst et al., 2019, pg. 62). Reliance on PA for diagnostic and treatment recommendations can alter the traditional roles of healthcare providers, leading to shifts in responsibility and changes in the dynamics of care delivery. This framework examines the broader implications of integrating PA into healthcare settings, considering how these technologies influence not just individual decision-making processes but also the larger ecosystem of healthcare provision. By understanding the Ripple Effect Trap, the analysis will highlight the need for careful planning and integration of PA systems, aiming to mitigate unintended consequences and enhance the system's adaptability to the evolving healthcare landscape.

These frameworks will be used to analyze both the sociotechnical challenges and the adoption dynamics of PA, ensuring a thorough analysis of its implications for healthcare provider decision-making. This study aims to contribute valuable insights into the effective and ethical implementation of PA in healthcare, navigating the exchange between technological innovations and the realities of clinical practice.

Methods

This research consists of a comprehensive review of secondary sources to investigate the impact of predictive analytics (PA) on decision-making processes in healthcare settings, with the key focus on understanding the direct influence of PA on clinical decision-making. The source collection process consisted of a comprehensive search of academic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, IEEE Xplore, and the Cochrane Library, for research articles, case studies, and systematic reviews ranging from 2006 to 2023. Keywords used in the search included "predictive analytics in healthcare," "clinical decision-making with predictive analytics," and "patient outcomes with predictive analytics." Studies were included if they: (1) focused explicitly on the use of predictive analytics within healthcare settings; (2) assessed the impact of PA on clinical decision-making, patient outcomes, or healthcare delivery; and (3) were empirical studies presenting original research, case studies, or systematic reviews.

The selected studies were used to develop an analysis in order to synthesize the findings related to the implementation and impact of PA in healthcare on decision-making processes. Through this comprehensive analysis, this study aims to focus on identifying common themes, outcomes, challenges, and opportunities presented by PA in this specific healthcare domain that contribute to the broader discourse on the integration of predictive analytics in healthcare.

Analysis

Predictive analytics shifts healthcare decision-making from an intuitive, experience-based approach to an evidence-based, data-driven process. The study by Kitzmiller et al. (2019) on continuous predictive analytics monitoring in intensive care units (ICUs) offers critical insights into the implementation challenges and benefits of these systems. While analyzing patterns in patient data that may not be immediately apparent to the most experienced practitioners, predictive analytics tools can identify risks and recommend interventions more precisely, contributing to the shift towards evidence-based decisions. Although the potential to improve patient care is significant, the study reveals several challenges that healthcare professionals face when integrating predictive analytics into existing clinical workflows (Keim-Malpass et al., 2018). These systems rely on predefined parameters and thresholds to generate alerts. This issue is examined through the formalism trap framework, where the reliance on structured data input may overlook critical nuances of individual patient cases that do not conform to algorithmic predictions. For example, variations in patient physiology that might not align with the general patterns the algorithms are trained on can lead to misinterpretations or overlooked symptoms. This can lead to situations where PA suggests interventions that may not be optimal for all patients, highlighting a limitation in the flexibility and adaptability of these systems.

The shift towards a data-centric approach in healthcare decision-making should be made with caution. Critics argue that predictive analytics, while powerful, may not always account for the complex realities of human health, which are often based on : individual patient histories, and the knowledge that healthcare providers learn through experience and training. The formalism trap is evident when data and algorithms are overemphasized at the expense of the experiential knowledge that healthcare professionals bring to patient care. This over-reliance on standardized data can marginalize the insights gained through years of clinical practice, potentially leading to a dehumanization of patient care where numerical outputs dictate clinical decisions without consideration of individual patient contexts (Kennedy & Gallego, 2019).

The formalism trap highlights how PA systems might fail to capture subtleties that lead to making decisions that are 'technically' correct but practically flawed for specific patient situations. For instance, a predictive model might suggest a particular treatment based on aggregated data trends that, in an individual case, might be contra-indicated by factors known only through direct patient interaction. The use of PA must be balanced carefully with human, clinical judgment. This balance is crucial to avoid situations where technology becomes a hindrance rather than a tool. Acknowledging this concern though the formalism trap emphasizes the importance of integrating PA as a complementary tool rather than a replacement for clinician judgment.

The overall goal of PA should be to enhance, not supplant, the clinical expertise, thereby ensuring that the technology serves as a support system that enhances patient care through precision while preserving the essential human element of medical practice. Evidence gathered from the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) at the University Of Virginia's Hospital (Spaeder et al., 2019) not only supports the efficacy of predictive analytics in improving patient outcomes, but also highlights its role in supporting clinicians with actionable insights. This aligns with the rationale for adopting predictive analytics in healthcare: to combine the strengths of human judgment with sophisticated data analysis. With this, it allows for PA to enrich the decision-making process with precision attainable only by technology.

The successful implementation of predictive analytics in healthcare decision-making is contingent upon its integration into clinical workflows, the reliability and relevance of the data it provides, and the establishment of trust and cultural acceptance among healthcare providers. Applying this situation through the lens of the formalism trap, it is understood that PA tools are too rigid to accommodate the nuances of individual patient cases, it risks being underutilized or mistrusted. For PA to be truly effective, it must not only provide accurate and relevant data but also fit into the nature of clinical decision-making. The challenge lies in designing PA systems that respect and enhance the clinician's expertise while providing precise and accurate data that complements the holistic practice of patient care. This approach helps prevent the formalism trap by ensuring that predictive analytics serves as a true support system, enhancing rather than undermining the standard of medical practice.

The study conducted by Kitzmiller et al. (2019) further examined the challenges of integrating PA into healthcare systems by drawing on the experiences of NICU clinicians at the University of Virginia. The study revealed that the integration of predictive analytics into clinical workflows was hampered by the physical location of monitoring devices and the clinicians' unfamiliarity with interpreting the predictive data in conjunction with traditional clinical signs. Moreover, the lack of structured guidelines on how to respond to predictive alerts led to uncertainty amongst clinicians. This highlights the need for tailored implementation strategies that include: clear usage protocols, proper training, and modifications to the physical setup to ensure that PA tools are seen as a helpful aid tool to enhance patient care (Kitzmiller et al., 2019). Analyzing these challenges and opinions through the lens of the ripple effect trap, the introduction of the HeRO monitor initiated a series of unintended consequences that extended beyond the initial scope of improving patient outcomes through early sepsis detection. The ripple effect trap illustrates how a change in one part of a system can cause unexpected issues in another (Selbst et al., 2019, pg.62). In this case, the placement of monitors impacted workflow efficiency and clinicians' interaction with the technology, emphasizing the need for additional training and adjustments in clinical processes. The transition also necessitated a cultural shift towards more data-centric care, requiring ongoing adaptation from the clinical staff. These ripples affected not only the operational aspects of care delivery but also the perceptions and behaviors of the clinicians involved, highlighting the complex nature of implementing new technologies in healthcare settings.

Additionally, the Australian Institute of Health Innovation explored the adoption of predictive analytics in its healthcare systems with a specific focus on clinician perceptions. It reflected upon the necessity for these systems to align with existing clinical processes, ensure data accuracy and relevance, and gain clinician trust (Kennedy & Gallego, 2019). Clinicians are more likely to embrace and utilize predictive analytics when they see clear evidence that these systems enhance decision-making processes, improve patient outcomes, and streamline healthcare delivery. The interviews conducted as part of the study reveal an awareness among healthcare professionals of the potential of predictive analytics to transform clinical decision-making. However, for this transformation to occur, predictive analytics must align with clinicians' existing workflows and practices, ensuring that the data provided is accurate, relevant, and accessible in a user-friendly manner (Kennedy & Gallego, 2019). The findings from the Australian Institute of Health Innovation highlight the necessity for PA systems to be designed with an in-depth understanding of the clinical environment. This includes a focus on the system's usability and its integration into the clinical decision-making process, ensuring that it is not seen as an additional burden but as a valuable tool that supports clinicians in making more informed, data-driven decisions.

The integration of predictive analytics into healthcare has ushered in a new era of personalized medicine, enabling the creation of unique patient care plans tailored to individual needs. This shift from a generalized approach to a more patient-centric model represents a significant advancement in medical treatment and patient management (Shmueli & Koppius, 2011). Personalized care plans have the potential to improve health outcomes and patient satisfaction significantly. Accounting for distinct characteristics and health profiles of each patient, predictive analytics facilitates a more nuanced understanding of patient needs, thereby enhancing the efficacy of treatments and interventions (Zhang, 2020). The shift towards personalized care through PA raises questions whether models can truly account for the myriad factors that influence health outcomes, including genetic, environmental, and lifestyle variables. Despite these challenges, the support for predictive analytics in facilitating personalized care is strong and growing (Reilly & Evans, 2006). Personalized treatment plans allow for individual customization to ensure that treatments are not only more effective but also potentially carry fewer side effects, as they are tailored to the individual rather than a broad patient population. However, when analyzed through the lens of the ripple effect trap, the implications of this transition reveal a series of interconnected challenges and secondary effects that extend beyond initial expectations. The ripple effect trap in this context refers to the potential consequences that arise as the implementation of PA changes the landscape of healthcare practice. The increasing reliance on complex data systems and algorithms that requires a new skill set for healthcare providers. As clinicians adapt to incorporate PA into their daily practices, there is a substantial learning curve and a need for continuous education on data interpretation and application in clinical settings. This shift may lead to initial resistance or a gap in usage proficiency, potentially affecting the quality of patient care during the transition period. Addressing this challenge effectively means enhancing training programs for healthcare providers, updating ethical standards for data use, and maintaining adaptive learning systems within PA models to capture the full spectrum of human health diversity.

Conclusion

The integration of predictive analytics (PA) into healthcare marks a pivotal evolution in how decisions are made, offering a blend of data-driven precision and traditional medical intuition. This synergy transforms patient care by providing healthcare professionals with a more comprehensive collection of information for diagnosing, treating, and preventing diseases. With PA, the field of healthcare is moving towards a more individualized care approach, reflecting a significant shift from broad, population-based strategies to nuanced, patient-specific plans.

The concerns of integrating PA into the healthcare system highlight the importance of applying a balanced approach to integrating PA into the healthcare system. While PA can significantly improve decision-making, the context in which these decisions are made, including ethical considerations and clinical judgment, remains critical. Future research should prioritize areas that have been identified as critical to the successful integration of PA. Investigating the sociotechnical implications of PA, including the impact on healthcare provider workflows, patient-provider relationships, and the overall healthcare delivery system, is essential. Additionally, research should focus on enhancing the accuracy and reliability of predictive models, ensuring these tools can effectively capture and interpret the complex web of factors influencing health outcomes.

Predictive analytics holds the promise of shifting healthcare decision-making, offering a pathway to more personalized, effective, and efficient patient care. However, with this integration requires a concerted effort to address the challenges inherent in integrating advanced technologies into healthcare systems. As the field continues to evolve, understanding these dynamics is crucial for designing healthcare systems in the future that leverage the strengths of both advancements in technology and human insights.

References

Benzinger, L., Ursin, F., Balke, W.-T., Kacprowski, T., & Salloch, S. (2023). Should Artificial Intelligence Be Used to Support Clinical Ethical Decision-Making? A Systematic Review of Reasons. *BMC Medical Ethics*, *24*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12910-023-00929-6

Ferrario, A., Gloeckler, S., & Biller-Andorno, N. (2022). Ethics of the algorithmic prediction of goal of care preferences: From theory to practice. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, *49*(3), 165–174. https://doi.org/10.1136/jme-2022-108371

Grote, T., & Berens, P. (2019). On the Ethics of Algorithmic Decision-Making in Healthcare. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, *46*(3), 205–211.https://doi.org/10.1136/medethics-2019-105586

Keim-Malpass, J., Kitzmiller, R. R., Skeeles-Worley, A., Lindberg, CClark, M. T., Tai, R., Calland, J. F., Sullivan, K., Randall Moorman, J., & Anderson, R. A. (2018). Advancing Continuous Predictive Analytics monitoring. *Critical Care Nursing Clinics of North America*, *30*(2), 273–287. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnc.2018.02.009

Kennedy, G., & Gallego, B. (2019). Clinical prediction rules: A systematic review of healthcare provider opinions and preferences. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, *123*, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2018.12.003

Kitzmiller, R., Vaughan, A., Skeeles-Worley, A., Keim-Malpass, J., Yap, T., Lindberg, C., Kennerly, S., Mitchell, C., Tai, R., Sullivan, B., Anderson, R., & Moorman, J. (2019).

Diffusing an innovation: Clinician perceptions of continuous predictive analytics monitoring in intensive care. *Applied Clinical Informatics*, *10*(02), 295–306. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0039-1688478

Kwok, T. C., Henry, C., Saffaran, S., Meeus, M., Bates, D., Van Laere, D., Boylan, G., Boardman, J. P., & Sharkey, D. (2022). Application and Potential of Artificial Intelligence in Neonatal Medicine. *Seminars in Fetal and Neonatal Medicine*, *27*(5), 101346. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.siny.2022.101346

Nakamura, F., & Nakai, M. (2017). Prediction Models : Why Are They Used or Not Used? *Circulation Journal*, *81*(12), 1766–1767. https://doi.org/10.1253/circj.cj-17-1185

Pai, K.C., Wang, M.S., Chen, Y.F., Tseng, C.H., Liu, P.Y., Chen, L.C., Sheu, R.K., & Wu, C.L. (2021, June 29). *An Artificial Intelligence Approach To Bloodstream Infections Prediction*. MDPI. https://www.mdpi.com/2077-0383/10/13/2901

Rahman, Z., and Keseru, J., Predictive Analytics for Children: An assessment of ethical considerations, risks, and benefits, Innocenti Working Paper 2021-08, UNICEF Office of Research – Innocenti, Florence, 2021. https://childethics.com/library/predictive-analytics-for-children-an-assessment-of-ethical-considerations-risks-and-benefits/

Reilly, B. M., & Evans, A. T. (2006). Translating clinical research into clinical practice: Impact of using prediction rules to make decisions. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, *144*(3), 201. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-144-3-200602070-00009

Ross, P., & Spates, K. (2020). Considering the safety and quality of Artificial Intelligence in healthcare. *The Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety*, *46*(10), 596–599. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcjq.2020.08.002

Selbst, A. D., Boyd, D., Friedler, S. A., Venkatasubramanian, S., & Vertesi, J. (2019). Fairness and abstraction in Sociotechnical Systems. *Proceedings of the Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency*. https://doi.org/10.1145/3287560.3287598

Shmueli, G., & Koppius, O. (2011). Predictive analytics in information systems research. *MIS Quarterly*, *35*(3), 553. https://doi.org/10.2307/23042796

Spaeder, M. C., Moorman, J. R., Tran, C. A., Keim-Malpass, J., Zschaebitz, J. V., Lake, D. E., & Clark, M. T. (2019, July 31). *Predictive analytics in the Pediatric Intensive Care Unit for early identification of sepsis: Capturing the context of age*. Nature News. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41390-019-0518-1

Stagg, B. C., Stein, J. D., Medeiros, F. A., Wirostko, B., Crandall, A., Hartnett, M. E., Cummins, M., Morris, A., Hess, R., & Kawamoto, K. (2021). Special commentary: Using clinical decision support systems to bring predictive models to the Glaucoma Clinic. *Ophthalmology Glaucoma*, *4*(1), 5–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ogla.2020.08.006

Stanak, M. (2018). Professional ethics: The case of neonatology. *Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy*, *22*(2), 231–238. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11019-018-9863-9

Sullivan, A., & Cummings, C. (2020, April). *Historical perspectives: Shared decision making in the Nicu*. NeoReviews. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8049458/

Van Calster, B., Wynants, L., Timmerman, D., Steyerberg, E. W., & Collins, G. S. (2019). Predictive analytics in healthcare: How can we know it works? *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, *26*(12), 1651–1654. https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocz130

Zhang, Z. (2020). Predictive analytics in the era of big data: Opportunities and challenges. *Annals of Translational Medicine*, *8*(4), 68–68. https://doi.org/10.21037/atm.2019.10.97