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Abstract

This dissertation is a study of Mission Mississippi, the largest model of intentional ecumenical church-based racial reconciliation work in the United States today. It examines Mission Mississippi’s lived theology of racial reconciliation in dialogue with the work of theologians including Jürgen Moltmann, Miroslav Volf and John DeGruchy to understand the place of friendship in a Christian understanding of reconciliation.

Founded in 1992, Mission Mississippi strives to facilitate relationships between individuals and partnerships between churches across racial and denominational lines. The work of sociologists Michael Emerson and Christian Smith suggests Mission Mississippi’s emphasis on relationship building between individuals ignores the forces that perpetuate systemic issues of injustice in a racialized society. Mission Mississippi avoids talking about the demands of justice in racial reconciliation, but its African American leaders believe reconciliation starts with friendship before it can move to the weightier issues of justice.

This work locates Mission Mississippi’s resistance to addressing issues of justice not only in a natural resistance of whites to costly reconciliation and as a result of cultural individualism, but through a study of the history of First Presbyterian Church, Jackson to the distinctive Southern Presbyterian doctrine of the spirituality of the church. In this study a particular theology of open friendship emerges that is robust enough to address issues of systemic injustice and inequity in a racialized society. This study shows how Mission Mississippi instantiates this open friendship in its practice of intentional interracial intercessory prayer.
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Introduction

Today in Mississippi, an ecumenical racial reconciliation initiative called Mission Mississippi brings Christians together across racial and denominational lines. Mission Mississippi strives to facilitate relationships between individuals and partnerships between churches. These new friendships, Mission Mississippi declares, will change the state “one relationship at a time.” Sociologists Michael Emerson and Christian Smith’s study of evangelical racial reconciliation movements in the United States throws doubt on Mission Mississippi’s hope that friendship will change the state. Smith and Emerson argue that this emphasis on relationship building between individuals, rooted in American freewill individualism, ignores the forces that perpetuate systemic injustice. Despite white evangelicals’ best intentions for racial reconciliation, their efforts do more to perpetuate than reform America’s racialized society.¹

These findings, considered in the context of the work of Mission Mississippi, raise several important and interrelated questions: Is Mission Mississippi wrong to build its hope for racial reconciliation and societal change on a theology of friendship? Does a close look at the fourteen-year history of the organization provide evidence to dispute Emerson and Smith’s findings? If the sociologist’s concerns prove founded, should churches abandon a theology of friendship in their search for racial reconciliation, or does Mission Mississippi’s experience help in the development of a particular Christian understanding of friendship robust enough to address issues of systemic injustice and

inequity in a racialized society? This dissertation springs from a desire to discover the answer to these questions.

Underlying this project is the assumption that the experiences of Christian communities proffer the theologian more than interesting illustrations to furnish an academic argument. Rather, these communities and congregations develop their own *lived* theologies embodied in their practices and proclamations. The conviction follows that these lived theologies should be brought into the conversations conducted in the academy. I would go further and suggest this needs to happen if theology is to stay true to its calling to serve the Church and if the local Church’s beliefs and practices are not to become increasingly impoverished. This sounds simple enough, but in practice, the great distance in vocabulary and geography separating the lecture theater from the sanctuary presents a considerable challenge. This dissertation is a stumbling attempt to meet this challenge, and the challenge influences its form and content.

A key methodological concern shaping this work is therefore how to bring the highly articulate and often abstract language of academic theology into conversation with the lived theology of Christians. The written word is the natural habitat of the academic theologian; in this medium, their words tend to carry more authority on the page thus making any equal exchange very difficult. The temptation for the author is to remedy this imbalance by translating practices and stories of faith into the language of academic theology. In doing so, he risks loosing the authentic voices of lived theology and patronizing the subject. Accompanying this concern of *equal exchange*, is the conviction
that, as far as is possible, this work must be *accessible* to the people who make up the subject of the study.

To address these concerns of equal exchange and accessibility, I present both academic and lived theologies using biographical narrative. Because I draw on transcriptions of interviews, participants in Mission Mississippi retain their own voice in this conversation. I also, where possible, present theologians’ stories using their own unpolished spoken words. This use of narrative levels the playing field by demonstrating that the academic/lived theology dichotomy is in fact false. Just as for the regular person in the pew, the religious beliefs and convictions of professors spring, at least in part, from their reflection upon their own lived faith in their particular cultural context.

The first chapter explores both a theology of friendship and the recent history of segregation in Mississippi that serves as a backdrop to the story of Mission Mississippi. Through the life and work of German theologian Jürgen Moltmann, the chapter explores the powerful idea of Jesus’ radical “open friendship,” which challenges the closed and exclusive systems of privilege and power found in the world’s closed societies and churches. Moltmann wrote his seminal works influenced by his experiences of life under the Nazis, as a prisoner of war in Britain and as a churchman and professor post-war Germany; but his description of closed churches and closed societies fits remarkably well with American historian James Silver’s description of 1960s Mississippi, which he named the Closed Society.² The second half of the chapter explores, through Silver’s life and work, this closed society that shaped the lives of the people who would, thirty years after Silver’s work, form Mission Mississippi.

---

With both a theology of open friendship in place and an understanding of Mississippi’s history as the Closed Society, the second chapter lays out the short history of Mission Mississippi. Started in Jackson, Mississippi in 1992, this extraordinary organization owes its existence to an alliance of white businessmen, African American ministers and a pioneering community development and reconciliation ministry called Voice of Calvary. By the time Mission Mississippi celebrated its tenth anniversary in 2003, it had moved from holding large scale events with big-name speakers to developing networks of church partnerships and personal relationships across the traditional divides of denomination and race. Mission Mississippi, through its call for Christians to form intentional relationships outside their social, denominational and economic circles, has at its heart a theology of open friendship.

However, there is, just as Smith and Emerson describe, a reticence within Mission Mississippi to address any issues of systemic injustice. The third chapter demonstrates how this reticence is not simply the outworking of freewill individualism as Emerson and Smith suggest. Instead, it is in large part the result of the explicit application of the Southern Presbyterian doctrine of the spirituality of the Church. This doctrine insists that the institution of the church must concern itself only with things spiritual: the Christian must negotiate the world and its politics on his or her own. The minister and members of First Presbyterian Church, Jackson were very influential in the formation of Mission Mississippi; but less than a year after the first rally there was a backlash against the organization within this congregation. The roots of the protest against Mission
Mississippi lie deep in the history of the church’s resistance to abolitionism and the civil rights movement.

Tracing the connections between the development of the doctrine of the spirituality of the Church and white resistance to integration in the history of First Presbyterian Church, Jackson achieves three things: First, it adds historical and theological nuance and complexity to Emerson and Smith’s understanding of why evangelical racial reconciliation initiatives fail to address systemic injustice. Second, it reveals a consistent and effective ecclesial strategy for maintaining the southern white hegemony running unbroken from the debates on slavery to school desegregation. Third, it increases our appreciation for the resistance faced by those who, within these historically white closed churches, raise their voices for a gospel of open friendship.

The final two chapters consider ways that a theology of open friendship rightly understood enriches a study of Mission Mississippi’s strategy to seek reconciliation across racial and denominational lines. In the fourth chapter, the voice of theologian Miroslav Volf joins the conversation. His influential work on reconciliation, Exclusion and Embrace, emerging from his reflections on the conflict in his native Croatia, shows the importance of open friendship at the heart of the process of reconciliation.³ His model of reconciliation as embrace provides a template to consider the experience of Christians seeking reconciliation through involvement in Mission Mississippi’s programs. This leads back to the question of how activities focused on individual relationship building might lead to changing Mississippi.

The self-proclaimed central practice of Mission Mississippi’s work is their morning prayer meetings. Mission Mississippi considers these meetings essential to their work of reconciliation. The last chapter takes the claim of these Mississippi Christians seriously, examining it in light of sociologists’ findings and a theological understanding of prayer and friendship. What emerges is a view of interracial intercessory prayer that prophetically challenges the idolatry of the Closed Society and its closed churches.

In the prayer meetings, participants do not simply establish new relationships with individuals, but build *networks* of relationships. Participation in interracial networks, sociologists tell us, is the best hope for changing racialized perceptions of minority groups.4 From a theological perspective, these networks are the community of the friends of God – the community of forgiven sinners – engaging in the foundational practice of Christian friendship, listening graciously to each other’s stories, and narrating them as part of the drama of salvation. The emerging picture confirms Mission Mississippi’s emphasis on the importance of the prayer meetings, while at the same time challenging its participants to explore further the importance of confession of sin and forgiveness for intercessory prayer and reconciliation.

This examination also reveals how the logic of intercessory prayer subverts the insistence that churches restrict their activities to the spiritual realm, leaving issues of justice and systemic inequality alone. In praying for the world, the fellowship of the friends of God identify with the other, extending to them the friendship of God at the same time as drawing them into the fellowship of the radical open friendship of Jesus.

---

This is a white Englishman’s study of a Christian racial reconciliation movement in Mississippi. It is the work of an outsider welcomed into societies not his own, of an academic researching the complex history of others’ cultures. As such, there are some significant limitations to the project. The desire to study the lived theology of Mission Mississippi required not only the study of the organization’s documents and publications, but also hearing the personal narratives of its participants and observing its practices. In the course of field research conducted over a period of four years (2000 –2004), I attended church services, rallies, conferences, prayer meetings, committee meetings, picnics, prayer breakfasts and luncheons and interviewed over 45 participants. Despite the generosity of all my interviewees and my best efforts, I found that the most candid conversations came from people who had most in common with myself: white Episcopalians. African Americans were, mostly, more guarded in their conversation about their experiences with, and feelings towards, whites. As an African American columnist with Jackson’s Clarion Ledger told me:

You’d be fascinated if I were to take a tape recorder . . . around black people to see what they think about white people and their fears and suspicions of white people. Just how ingrained it is. You talk to eight black people and seven of them are going to think they can’t trust you because you are a white guy.\(^5\)

It was beyond the scope (and means) of this project to engage an African American partner to conduct interviews. I have done my best to allow for this imbalance in my writing.

A second question that might be asked is: why is there so much time spent on the history of a white church with no corresponding attention on an historically black

\(^5\) Eric Stringfellow, interview by the author, 7 October 2004, Jackson, MS.
congregation? I had originally intended to write a chapter on the history of African American churches in Jackson, had conducted extensive research in the state archives, and interviewed church historians, members and ministers to this end. When I came to write the dissertation, my argument coalesced around the question of the place of justice in the gospel of open friendship and reconciliation. The African American Church’s role in the struggle for justice is well known. Less well known (and poorly understood) is the story of exactly how the majority of white southern churches excluded the demands of justice from their segregated gospels. Ignorance of this story contributes to the continuing influence of those who insist that the friends of Jesus Christ have nothing to say to the racist principalities and powers of this world.
Chapter 1

Open and Closed

I. Jürgen Moltmann and Open Friendship

At the height of the Civil Rights Movement, Martin Luther King Jr. warned churchgoers: “Desegregation will break down the legal barriers and bring men together physically, but something must touch the hearts and souls of men so that they will come together spiritually.”¹ Five years later, on April 4, 1968 a bullet silenced this great voice, triggering riots in urban ghettos across the United States. That night Jürgen Moltmann, a German professor of theology on sabbatical at Duke University in North Carolina, watched images of burning cities on the television news. The assassination of King proved a pivotal point in the development of Moltmann’s theology. In his work he explores what it is that “must touch the hearts and souls” of people to bring them together spiritually. He challenges the Church to embrace the friendship of Jesus.

The story of Moltmann’s theology starts years before Martin Luther King’s assassination and subsequent rioting in U.S. cities; it begins in a far greater inferno. In secret orders issued in May 1943, Sir Arthur “Bomber” Harris, head of the RAF’s Bomber Command, stated his plans for the city of Hamburg. “The total destruction of this city,” he wrote, “would achieve immeasurable results in reducing the industrial capacity

of the enemy’s war machine.” He hoped to demonstrate his belief that area bombing could so reduce morale as to shorten, or even win, the war against Germany. This concerted effort to destroy a city by area bombing deserved a suitable title; Harris named the assault on Hamburg, “Operation Gomorrah.”

Under peaceful circumstances, Jürgen Moltmann should have been making plans for his summer vacation before his final year in high school. The demands of the war effort, however, required all available hands. On February 16, 1943, at the age of seventeen, Jürgen Moltmann joined the Luftwaffe auxiliary to defend his home city of Hamburg.

Born in 1926 to Herbert and Gerda Moltmann, Jürgen grew up in a “secular family of teachers in Hamburg.” His father, a teacher of Latin, History and German at the famous Lichtwarkschule, learned in the trenches of the First World War, “to respect the hidden God above us and the moral law within us.” As a result, Moltmann recalls, “I wasn't very deeply socialized in a Christian sense but grew up with poets and philosophers of German idealism.” His experience of Christianity was as a dutiful member of a state church that made no extraordinary demands on its members. “At home,” he remembers, “Christianity was only a matter of form. . . as something rather

---

5 Jürgen Moltmann, "Reborn to a Living Hope," a presentation at The Spring Institute for Lived Theology, University of Virginia, 26 April 2005.
remote.” Jürgen and his three younger siblings went to church only once a year on Christmas Eve. “And later [my father] confessed [we went] not to celebrate the birth of the redeemer, but to celebrate the sacred family – father, mother and the first child.”

Personal tragedy shaped the family’s religion: Herbert and Gerda Moltmann’s first son, Hartwich, born in 1924, contracted meningitis soon after birth. The resulting brain damage meant their son lived in a home for handicapped children unable even to recognize his own parents.

When the Nazis came to power in 1933, Jürgen was just seven years old. The Lichtwarkschule, known to be full of social democrats, was disbanded by the new government. Herbert Moltmann moved to another school, but wishing to be free from Nazi party control, he joined the Army reserve in 1936. Personal experience reinforced Herbert Moltmann’s dislike of the Nazi party. The Moltmann family were friendly with the family of Fritz Valentin, a judge in Hamburg and an outspoken Christian. In 1933, the Nazi’s classified Valentin as Jewish, and the Moltmann’s watched Valentin lose his job and have to flee for his life in 1938. The Jews were not the only group in Germany that suffered under Hitler’s social engineering. In 1941, the Moltmanns received news that Hartwich, their disabled son, was dead -- a victim of the Nazi’s Euthanasia program.

---

7 Moltmann, Experiences of God, 6.
8 Moltmann, "Reborn to a Living Hope."
9 Moltmann, 29 April 2005.
10 Ibid.
11 Ibid.
12 Ibid.
Initially the nationalism harnessed by Nazi propaganda proved attractive to the young Jürgen Moltmann. “I remember the exalted, and fascinating shiver which ran down my spine as a boy when at school, on special Nazi occasions, we sang: ‘Holy Fatherland, in peril thy sons surround thee. . .’ Then we were ready to die for Germany.”\textsuperscript{13} By 1943, any glamour that the war had once held for Jürgen Moltmann was gone. With the war in its forth year, Moltmann read the growing casualty lists in the newspaper and felt surrounded by death.\textsuperscript{14}

Moltmann worked with other boys his age manning an anti-aircraft battery set on an island in the middle of the Aussenalster, one of Hamburg’s lakes. Living in barracks in the central Sankt Georg district -- not out in the leafy suburb of Volksdorf with his family -- Moltmann made friends with others who had had their schooling interrupted by the demands of war. He particularly enjoyed the company of Gerhard Schopper with whom he shared a love of mathematics.\textsuperscript{15} Night after night, Schopper and Moltmann kept watch as the air raid sirens warned of the bomber streams heading in their direction. Exposed on their small island, the two young men waited for the bombs to start falling. The alarms proved false; the aircraft passed over the city intent on other targets.\textsuperscript{16} On the night of July 24, however, one schoolboy at his gun “heard the humming of engines, like a thousand bees.”\textsuperscript{17} This time the bombers were coming for Hamburg.

\textsuperscript{13} Jürgen Moltmann, \textit{The Source of Life: the Holy Spirit and the Theology of Life} (Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 1997), 44.
\textsuperscript{14} Moltmann, 29 April 2005.
\textsuperscript{15} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{16} Middlebrook, \textit{The Battle of Hamburg}, 91; Moltmann, 29 April 2005.
\textsuperscript{17} Middlebrook, \textit{The Battle of Hamburg}, 142.
Harris knew that he could not destroy the city in one raid and so over the following week the RAF attacked the city three times at night with the United States Air Force bombing during the days. The British planes dropped a mixture of high explosive and incendiary bombs designed to rip the old town apart and set it ablaze. On their second raid, the aircrews were amazed to see not a patchwork of fires on the ground but a single raging inferno. The whole city appeared to be alight, “I saw not many fires, but one,” recalled an RAF Flight Lieutenant. “Set in the darkness was a turbulent dome of bright red fire, lighted and ignited like the glowing heart of a vast brazier.”

Moltmann, from the relative safety of the lake, watched the unfolding apocalypse. The buildings set ablaze by the incendiaries grasped for more oxygen to feed their flames. Sucking in air already heated by other fires they created a blast furnace of tornadic winds and walls of flame that whipped through the burning streets. Temperatures at the center of the blaze reached 800° Centigrade. The fire trapped many citizens of Hamburg huddling in the basement shelters of their apartment buildings. The nightmarish images experienced by those who escaped the shelters and tried to outrun the fire come straight from Hieronymus Bosch’s vision of hell. “There were people on the roadway, some already dead, some still lying alive but stuck in the asphalt,” remembers Käte Hoffmeister, then nineteen years old. “Their feet had got stuck and then they had put out their hands to try to get out again. They were on their hands and

---

18 The recollections of Flight Lieutenant A. Fosdike, 78 Squadron. This second RAF raid took place on the night of 27/28 July. Ibid., 244.
19 Ibid., 261.
knees screaming.” Moltmann saw others sucked back into the burning buildings they hoped to escape.

On the night of the third and final RAF raid, Moltmann’s gun emplacement on the Aussenalster received a direct hit. The explosion wounded Moltmann with shrapnel in the head and shoulder, but Gerhard Schopper -- standing right next to Moltmann -- was blown to pieces. Forty-four years later, Moltmann looked back on those terrible experiences and saw the beginning of his theological journey. “In the night, for the first time I cried out to God. ‘My God, where are you?’ was my question. ‘Why am I alive and not dead like the others?’”

By the time the raids ended, Moltmann found “the whole city covered with ash.” Slave laborers filled mass graves with the 45,000 dead and Operation Gomorrah added a new word to the lexicon of war: Feuersturm or Firestorm.

The following year, recovered from his wounds, Moltmann took his place in the infantry. The terrifying experience of the air raids and the senseless death of school-friends had shaken him, but despite his religious crisis during the destruction of Hamburg, Moltmann was far from embracing religion. Rather than a Bible, for which he had no use, he carried pocket editions of Goethe and Nietzsche printed on India paper in

---

20 Ibid., 266.
21 Moltmann, 29 April 2005.
24 Moltmann, How I Have Changed, 13.
26 Middlebrook, The Battle of Hamburg, 328.
his knapsack. With only the briefest of training, Moltmann joined his division in Holland. In September 1944, after a long night march, his company dug in by the Albert Canal at Asten. That evening the young conscripts faced an assault – part of the allies Operation Market Garden planned to establish a bridgehead over the Rhine. The fighting was fierce and allied tanks overran Moltmann’s position killing half the men in his company. Again, Moltmann found himself alive while those around him died.

Moltmann surrendered to British soldiers in Belgium in February 1945. They sent him with thousands of other defeated and dispirited soldiers to Camp 2226 in Zedelgem near Ostend. The camp was crowded and conditions were miserable. With the war still raging, Nazis among the prisoners brutally punished their fellow prisoners who expressed doubts regarding Hitler or Germany’s inevitable victory. From Belgium, Moltmann’s captors moved him to a labor camp in Kilmarnock, Scotland where conditions improved.

The end of the war in May 1945 brought new trials for the prisoners. With his fellow prisoners of war, Moltmann experienced what today might be termed post-traumatic stress disorder. With the collapse and destruction of their homeland, the prisoners had no idea of the fate of their loved ones. Haunted by his own experiences of violence and destruction, Moltmann struggled to deal with Germany’s defeat while shut up as a prisoner. At night, memories of the firestorm and the horrors of infantry warfare

27 Moltmann, Experiences of God, 7.
28 Moltmann marched from Venlo to Asten, a distance of around 20 miles. Moltmann, 29 April 2005.
29 Moltmann, "Reborn to a Living Hope."
30 Ibid.
haunted Moltmann’s dreams. He describes his generation as “burnt children” who were “shattered and broken.”

In September 1945, the British guards posted horrifying photographs from the liberated death-camps of Auschwitz and Belsen. Moltmann had watched as many fellow prisoners in the camp in Belgium collapsed inwardly, gave up hope and even died. Now, facing the truth of the Nazi’s Final Solution, he found that his own inner world fell to pieces too. He could not face “the horrors of the second world war, the collapse of an empire. . . the guilt and shame of one’s own nation” and “stand up to it all inwardly.”

The daily battle was not only with despair but also, due to the harsh rationing, with hunger. British army chaplains working in the camps tried to minister to the prisoners. When one gave Moltmann a New Testament & Psalms the young prisoner found it an odd gesture; “I would rather,” he opines, “have had something to eat.” Nevertheless, as he read the cries of lament in the Psalms, his “experience of misery and forsakenness and daily humiliation gradually built up into an experience of God.”

Turning from the Psalms to the Gospel of Mark, Jürgen Moltmann had a decisive encounter. In the gospel account of the crucifixion, he read Christ’s cry of dereliction from the cross, “My God, My God, why have you forsaken me?” Moltmann heard echoes of his own cry in the Firestorm. He recalls, “When I came to Jesus’ dying cry, I knew, ‘There is your divine brother and redeemer, who understands you in your god-

---

33 Moltmann, Experiences of God, 7.
34 Ibid.
35 Ibid., 8.
36 Ibid., 7.
forsakenness."\textsuperscript{37} Describing this experience, Moltmann concludes: “I think I never made a decision for Jesus, but I am certain that he found me when I was lost and accepted me.”\textsuperscript{38}

Moltmann attributes his own survival to this encounter with God, but this was no retreat into a private spiritual world; it was quite the opposite. Those who gave up hope withdrew in despair from the realities afflicting them, while, paradoxically, his new found hope made Moltmann increasingly aware of his suffering and imprisonment. Moltmann had found God’s presence “most of all behind the barbed wire” with the broken hearted; yet, the hope that this discovery engendered made his continued captivity harder to bear. In what would guide his life’s work, Moltmann started to understand the way suffering and hope reinforce each other.

The war ended, but Moltmann remained a prisoner. In July 1946, he had the opportunity to go to Norton Camp outside Mansfield in Nottinghamshire, England. Norton was a camp for the re-education of German prisoners run by the Y.M.C.A; here Moltmann finished his high school education. Before his experiences as a prisoner, Moltmann had wanted to pursue physics and mathematics at University; now, he had developed a taste for theology. It was at Norton that Moltmann started his theological studies. The prisoners, with the help of the Y.M.C.A. and the British Army, set up a Protestant theologians camp. “There,” Moltmann explains, “captive lecturers taught captive students.”\textsuperscript{39} The Y.M.C.A. put together a library, printed their own editions of

\textsuperscript{37} Moltmann, \textit{How I Have Changed}, 13.
\textsuperscript{38} Moltmann, "Reborn to a Living Hope."
\textsuperscript{39} Moltmann, \textit{How I Have Changed}, 13.
theological works and even invited prominent theologians and churchmen to visit including Anders Nygren author of *Eros and Agape* and the confessing church leader Martin Niemöller. Moltmann, who had had no previous exposure to Christian theology found everything “fabulously new.” It was marvelous, a richly blessed time. We were given what we did not deserve, and received of the fullness of Christ ‘grace upon grace.’

Released in April 1948, Moltmann returned to Hamburg and the family home now full of refugees from East Prussia. Moltmann applied to the University in Göttingen to study theology much to the dismay of his father who feared his son would become a priest. Göttingen had a reputation as “a Mecca of a Reformation theology which was critical of the church and society,” which suited the young Moltmann’s theological and political inclinations.

To understand Moltmann’s theology, one needs to understand the crisis that gripped the German church in the years after the war. Thirteen years of Hitler’s Third Reich had left the German Protestant Church in crisis. These churches, with their particularly close association with the state, presented little resistance to the Nazification of Germany. Following their rise to power, the Nazis imposed their monolithic logic of “One party, one Fuhrer, one people” on the churches. There must now be “one church.”

A new Reich church (*Reichskirche*) replaced the existing Federation of Churches
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(Kirchenbund), and the state installed the Nazi stooge, Ludwig Müller, as the first Reich bishop (Reichsbischof).45

In 1933, a few churchmen formed the Emergency Pastors League to resist the takeover of the protestant churches by the pro-nazi German Christians. Particularly disturbing to the League were the Aryan Laws, passed in 1933, which excluded ministers with Jewish heritage from the church.46 Swiss theologian Karl Barth, then teaching in Germany, helped steer the opposition in a firmly theological direction. Along with pastors such as the former U-Boat veteran Martin Niemöller and the young theologian Dietrich Bonhoeffer, Barth presented a radically simple confession of faith at the League’s synod in Barmen in 1934. At the heart of this Barmen Confession was this affirmation: “Jesus Christ, as he is attested to us in Holy Scripture, is the one Word of God whom we have to hear, and whom we have to trust and obey in life and in death.” The challenge to the Nazis is made explicit as the confession continues: “We reject the false doctrine that the Church could and should recognize as a source of its proclamation, beyond and besides this one Word of God, yet other events, powers, historic figures and truths as God's revelation.”47 Those who stood by the Barmen Confession formed the Confessing Church, a church with no recognition from the state. As the Nazi state’s power grew and times became more desperate, many of its members were imprisoned and some executed.

46 Ibid., 35.
47 The Barmen Confession, in Robert McAfee Brown, Kairos: Three Prophetic Challenges to the Church (Grand Rapids, Mich.: Eerdmans, 1990), 156-58.
With the war’s end, the church in a defeated Germany had to come to terms with its complicity in the Nazi’s crimes against the Jews and decide what form it could take in a country divided between occupying forces. Bishop Theophil Wurm called church leaders to attend a conference held in the Hessian town of Treysa. Eighty-eight delegates – half of whom had been imprisoned or placed under house arrest by Nazis – met between August 27 and September 1, 1945. Karl Barth and Martin Niemöller, as part of a twelve-man delegation of the Confessing church, tried to call the German church to a time of spiritual conversion and cleansing. They wanted the Protestant Church in Germany to adopt the Confessing Church model, completely free from the state. They failed to convince the gathered church leaders who were concerned for the German church’s survival under occupation. Otto Dibelius, the bishop of Berlin, believed that the Confessing church would be incapable of running the “whole ecclesiastical organism;” furthermore, the Russians would not tolerate a Confessing church critical of the state.

The church in Germany would continue as the single German Evangelical Church (Evangelische Kirche Deutschland (EKD)) with its organization of regionally autonomous churches (Landeskirchen) keeping the structure established by the Nazis in 1933.
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49 Ibid., 170; Dibelius, *In the Service of the Lord*, 171-73. Dibelius also had little time for those who believed Barth’s theology should now prevail. In a fascinating radio broadcast made in 1956 on Barth’s 70th birthday, the German Bishop damns the Swiss theologian with faint praise. Dibelius’s patriotism had been irritated by “a man from Switzerland criticizing Germany” and although he conceded Barth’s “theological existence” had been useful in resisting nazism, now in “better times” the church could again develop the structures of “home and foreign missions and other similar activities” that had been denied under Hitler. Fred D. Wentzel, *Day is Dawning: the story of Bishop Otto Dibelius, based on his proclamations and authentic documents* (Philadelphia: Christian Education Press, 1956), 217.
Out of prison camp and studying theology at Göttingen in West Germany, Moltmann found the church’s desire to maintain the old structures disturbing. The church had had the opportunity to learn from its terrible mistakes. Why, Moltmann wondered, did the church not heed the lessons from the Confessing Church and move forward with this “church from below”? Instead, they returned to “the misalliances of ‘throne and altar’, ‘faith and the bourgeoisie’, [and] ‘religion and capitalism.’” The post 1945 church seemed to want to return to the status quo of 1933. “Even the much admired leaders of the ‘Confessing church’,” Moltmann realized with horror, “. . . took possession of the traditional offices. . . and poured their new wine into old bottles.”

While at Göttingen, Moltmann allied himself with the Council of Brethren and the Society for Protestant Theology, who represented the continuing voice of the Confessing Church in the EKD. These followers of Karl Barth and “Barmen orthodoxy” believed Christianity in Germany, with its bureaucratic relationship with the state, had lost its center. The center of the Church, they maintained, could be nothing other than Christ. The political implications of Barmen had not ended with the defeat of the Nazis; Moltmann and his colleagues believed in a free church “supported only by committed Christians and making no attempt to placate nominal Christians – a church prepared to take clear stands on social and political issues.”
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At Göttingen Moltmann found time for less academic pursuits. He met and fell in love with a fellow theological student, Elisabeth Wendel. They married in 1952 when Moltmann completed his doctorate. (Elisabeth had graduated the previous year.) The Moltmanns hoped to move to the German Democratic Republic (DDR), where Jürgen would pastor a congregation with Elisabeth teaching theology. The challenge facing the church under communism attracted Jürgen, and Elisabeth’s family lived in the East. Jürgen found a job as a hospital chaplain in Berlin while they waited for the necessary work permits from the Russians. After a year in Berlin, they met with disappointment; because of his three years as a prisoner in England, the communists considered Jürgen Moltmann “politically unreliable.”

During this stay in Berlin, the Moltmanns joined with other like minded Christians sharing their hopes and concerns for the German church calling themselves the “group on the way.” There they became friends with Eberhard Bethge and his wife Renate. Only the previous year Bethge had published Dietrich Bonhoeffer’s *Letters and Papers from Prison.* The book, including Bonhoeffer’s reflections smuggled from his cell in Tegel Prison, gave strength and direction to the sidelined post-war Confessing church. Their reliance on the reformed theology of Karl Barth, that had inspired and guided them through the Nazi years, did not seem to address the new problems of the Cold War. In tantalizing theological fragments scattered through his correspondence,
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56 Ibid., 35.
Bonhoeffer had wrestled with the future of the Church in a religionless world. “What do a church, a community, a sermon, a liturgy, a Christian life mean in a religionless world?” Bonhoeffer wondered. The answer, he believed, lay in discovering what it might mean to be “religionless Christians” in a “religionless world.” What would it mean for Christians to be the Church, “not regarding ourselves from a religious point of view as specially favoured, but rather belonging wholly to the world?”

Moltmann and the group around Bethge grasped these questions, discovering in them a new direction moving beyond the strictly confessional theology of Karl Barth.

Historian Victoria Barnett believes the direction Moltmann and his contemporaries found was suggested by Dietrich Bonhoeffer in his idea that, “the morality of certain political decisions became a status confessionis, a viewpoint so fundamentally derived from Christian teaching that acceptance of it is implicit in the Christian confessions.” The legacy of the Confessing church in the post-war years, she argues, is a political activism as Christian witness. Moltmann certainly fits this model. In the years after Berlin, he threw himself into protesting the FDR’s rearmament, nuclear weapons and the church’s collusion with the military in providing chaplains.

Prevented from pastoring in the DDR, Moltmann looked for a position in the West. After a couple of temporary appointments, Moltmann secured a position as community pastor in Wasserhorst, a suburb of Bremen. There, he says he “experienced
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the meaning of Christian community. His time as a pastor ended in 1958 when a seminary founded by the Confessing Church in Wuppertal appointed him a professor.

In 1960, on a family holiday in Switzerland, Moltmann read Ernst Bloch’s *The Principle of Hope*. Elisabeth noticed that “despite the radiant spring sun and the mountains glittering with snow, Jürgen immersed himself in [the book] . . . saw nothing and heard nothing.” As his family enjoyed the scenery, Moltmann was enthralled. “All that is not hope is wooden, dead, hampering, as ponderous and awkward;” Moltmann read, “There there is no freedom, but only imprisonment.” Here he found talk of hope matching his own experience as prisoner of war. Bloch, a Jewish Marxist philosopher, saw in his Marxist atheism a ground for hope based in “social utopias for the ‘weary and heavy laden’ and his utopias of justice for the ‘humiliated and the injured.’” But why, Moltmann wondered, “has Christian theology paid no attention to the subject of hope?” Prompted by Bloch’s work, Moltmann placed hope at the center of his theological work. Christian hope, Moltmann realized, far exceeded Bloch’s secular hopes. Christians, Moltmann proclaimed, have “the eschatological horizon of the resurrection of the dead.”

This eschatological hope is not just an appendix to the Christian faith. This hope does not lead to Christians resting quietly, awaiting the return of Christ or their own
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death. Drawing on his own experience of renewed hope while in captivity and the political activism of the Confessing church, Moltmann showed the impact for believers in the here and now of a hope in the resurrection of the dead. “The resurrection of Christ is not merely consolation in suffering,” he explained. “It is also the sign of God’s protest against suffering. That is why whenever faith develops into hope it does not make people serene and placid; it makes them restless.”

Moltmann published his book, *Theology of Hope*, in Germany in 1964 and started work on a follow-up volume entitled *Ethics of Hope*. In 1967, he accepted an invitation to spend a year as a visiting scholar at Duke University in the United States. Moltmann was in the US for the publication of the English translation of *Theology of Hope*. It perturbed him that “Americans received it as a statement supporting American optimism.” Moltmann felt far from optimistic about America’s role in the world. “During the Vietnam war,” he explains, “I could not support American optimism.”

At the beginning of April 1968, Duke Divinity School hosted a symposium to consider “the task of theology today.” Moltmann was the main speaker, delivering a lecture on his *Theology of Hope*. What occurred during this gathering changed the direction of Moltmann’s theology. He recalls the night of April 4, 1968 as theologians
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from across the United States gathered in Page Hall: “I was just discussing with Van Harvey the English concept of history and the German concept of *geschichte* – When *geschichte* happened!” 71 “Harvey Cox burst into the room crying ‘Martin King has been shot’.” 72 The conference broke up and the participants returned home. Watching the news reports of Martin Luther King’s assassination and the ensuing arson and rioting in cities across America, Moltmann felt he should no longer be writing about hope. “On that night the idea came to me not to go on with the theology of hope but [to] write about the crucifixion and the assassination of the prophets.” 73

Returning to Europe, the situation that greeted him confirmed Moltmann in his new theological direction. In July 1968, the World Council of Churches met in the Swedish city of Uppsala. Responding to the revolutionary climate, the Council chose as its theme, “behold I make all things new.” Elisabeth and Jürgen Moltmann took part in a parallel student conference in Turku. Elisabeth remembers that, in the charged atmosphere, “revolutionary theories and actions were discussed instead of Christian topics.” 74 Any hopes generated at this conference turned to disillusionment when the next month Soviet tanks rolled into the streets of Prague stomping on the “human face” of Czech socialism.

Shelving the *Ethics of Hope*, Moltmann decided to “speak no more about hope, but only about the cross.” 75 He started work on a book that he would entitle *The Crucified*
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71 Moltmann, 29 April 2005.
73 Moltmann, 29 April 2005.
75 Moltmann and Bryan, *Communities of Faith and Radical Discipleship*, 6.
Recalling his own cry of dereliction as bombs fell and his experience of despair as a prisoner of war, Moltmann wrote, “Jesus died crying out to God, ‘My God, why hast thou forsaken me?’” In his new work, he realizes this cry is the critical moment for Christian theology. “Either Jesus who was abandoned by God is the end of all theology,” Moltmann asserts, “or he is the beginning of a specifically Christian, and therefore critical and liberating, theology and life.”

Typically, theologies ask what the crucifixion of the Son of God means for humans. In writing this theology, Moltmann reverses this traditional question by asking, “What does the cross of Jesus mean for God himself?” Here, Moltmann develops his connection and tension between suffering and hope, because “Golgotha becomes the revelation of the suffering of the passionate God for us.” This notion of “the suffering of God in Christ, rejected and killed in the absence of God,” Moltmann argues, is completely alien and abhorrent to any human notion of religion.

Published in 1972, *The Crucified God*, found a wide audience with the proponents of liberation theology in the Americas and South Africa. These theological and social reactionaries understood Moltmann’s assertion that a theology of the cross is a “dangerous and liberating reality” that becomes “aware . . . of its own strangeness and
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homelessness in its own Christian world.”  

The powerful Euro-centric colonial churches marginalized theologians who were developing “dangerous and liberating” contextual theologies. These theologians understood what it meant to feel strange and homeless within the Church. They discovered in Moltmann’s writing a way to reclaim the Christian faith as central to their struggle for liberation.

Having focused on Easter Sunday in Theology of Hope and Good Friday in The Crucified God, Moltmann’s next work revisited Pentecost. In The Church in the Power of the Spirit, published in 1975, Moltmann hoped to “help the church find its bearings” in an age of “uncertainty.” With his experience of the world wide church from Korea to Manila and Kenya to El Salvador, he explored “‘the sending of the Spirit’, its messianic history and the charismatic power of its church.” Continuing in the Confessing Church tradition, he argued against “national and established churches” that, following a pastoral model, “looks after the people.” Instead, he wished to see “missionary churches” or “fellowship churches.” These free-church congregations should be “the people’s own communal church among the people.” In a brief section of the book, Moltmann calls on the church to know Christ as friend, and in a seemingly innocuous descriptor, Moltmann challenges the church to be the community of “Open Friendship.”
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A Theology of Friendship

To understand the richness of open friendship in Moltmann’s theology, we must retrace our steps back to the prison camp in Belgium. While a Prisoner of War, Moltmann witnessed his comrades as they “collapsed inwardly, how they gave up hope, sickening from the lack of it, some of them dying.” Reflecting on this experience of hopelessness, Moltmann realized that the hopeless person, unable to deal with the difficult reality of their future, engages in an anesthetizing withdrawal from reality. “The withdrawn and introverted person – *homo incurvatus in se* – has no future and desires no alteration,” writes Moltmann. “He is twisted in on himself, in love with himself and imprisoned by himself. He can only desire the prolongation of his present in the future.”

This person is *closed* to the world, to others and to new possibilities.

We can best understand this closedness by considering the opposite situation. In a description of his own spiritual awakening as a prisoner of war, Moltmann presents a powerful metaphor of the person opened up by hope to both the future and present suffering:

How often I walked round and round in circles at night in front of the barbed wire fence. My first thoughts were always about the free world outside, from which I was cut off; but I always ended up thinking about a centre to the circle in the middle of the camp - a little hill, with a hut on it which served as a chapel. It


89 Literally, ‘man bent in upon himself.’
seemed to me like a circle surrounding the mystery of God, which was drawing me towards it.

This experience of not sinking into the abyss but of being held up from afar was the beginning of a clear hope, without which it is impossible to live at all. At the same time, even this hope cut two ways; on the one hand it provided the strength to get up again after every inward or outward defeat; on the other hand it made the soul rub itself raw on the barbed wire, making it impossible to settle down in captivity or come to terms with it.  

In this powerful metaphor of Christian hope, the prisoner gaining his strength from the mystery of God pushes up against the barbed wire of his existence. He does not withdraw from the barbed wire because beyond the fence he has a hope in the future; he has his eyes fixed in Christian hope on “the eschatological horizon of the resurrection of the dead.”  

Thus a person formerly closed, withdrawn and protected from disappointment and hurt, is now open “for experience of the future in the hope of what is to come. But,” warns Moltmann, “this also makes him vulnerable and capable of being hurt.”  

Moltmann moves from his observations of “the withdrawn and introverted person” to “the closed and introverted society.” The closed society, for Moltmann, is the hopeless individual writ large. With a fundamental lack of hope, the closed society, “wants to corroborate itself, to perpetuate itself and to write its possession of the present into the future.” An open society, in contrast, is “open for the experience of the future in the hope of what is to come.” There is a desperate need for such an open society.
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Moltmann believes, “For what closes itself within itself is condemned to death and has a deadly effect on other life.”

Moltmann describes the state of an open society as “perilous openness” because in opening “society’s institutions and society itself for others,” it “also makes it vulnerable and alterable.”\textsuperscript{95} The infusion of hope into a closed society breaks the fear of the future, of the other, and of change. It is the responsibility of the Christian Church, Moltmann states, to bring the good news of this hope to society, “in the missionary proclamation of the gospel, that no corner of this world should remain without God’s promise of new creation through the power of the resurrection.”\textsuperscript{96} The problem, of course, is that the Christian Church, far from being a model of this openness, is often as closed and deathly as the society in which it is located.

Moltmann issues the challenge of openness to the Church in bold theological terms deeply rooted in his understanding of Jesus and his crucifixion. The Church must open itself not simply to the possibilities of the future, but also open itself to those who are different, particularly those who suffer. Why? Because “this is what God has done in the cross of Jesus Christ.”\textsuperscript{97} In his book \textit{The Crucified God}, Moltmann employs the dialectical principle -- that truth is only revealed in its opposite -- to understand the cross. Moltmann explains that “God is only revealed as ‘God’ in his opposite: godlessness and abandonment by God,” and this is what he finds at the heart of the story of the

\textsuperscript{95} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{96} Moltmann, \textit{Theology of Hope}, 328.
Moltmann explains the ramifications of this dialectical principle for Christian theology in a beautifully simple and profound passage:

In concrete terms, God is revealed in the cross of Christ who was abandoned by God. His grace is revealed in sinners. His righteousness is revealed in the unrighteous and in those without rights, and his gracious election in the damned. . . the deity of God is revealed in the paradox of the cross. This makes it easier to understand what Jesus did: it was not the devout, but the sinners, and not the righteous but the unrighteous who recognized him, because in them he revealed the divine righteousness of grace, and the kingdom. He revealed his identity amongst those who had lost their identity, amongst the lepers, sick, rejected and despised, and was recognized as the Son of Man amongst those who had been deprived of their humanity. 98

The implication of this revelation of God in the godforsaken dictates the way that the Church should approach its “missionary proclamation of the gospel.” “If a being is revealed only in its opposite,” Moltmann reasons, “then the church which is the church of the crucified Christ cannot consist of an assembly of like persons who mutually affirm each other, but must be constituted of unlike persons.” 99 In Moltmann’s continued exploration of this challenge to the Church, he discovers in the gospel accounts a description of the very openness he sees as the result of hope in the crucified and risen Christ: it is the friendship of Jesus.

“Friend,” argues Moltmann, should become one of the church’s titles for Jesus alongside “prophet,” “priest” and “king” and the Church should again become the friends of Jesus as well as the communion of saints. 100

98 Moltmann, The Crucified God, 27.
99 Ibid., 28.
100 Moltmann, "Open Friendship," 33; Moltmann, The Church in the Power of the Spirit, 315. “The congregation sanctorum, the community of brethren, is really the fellowship of friends who live in the friendship of Jesus and spread friendliness in the fellowship, by meeting the forsaken with affection and the despised with respect.” Moltmann, The Church in the Power of the Spirit, 316. Feminist theologians have taken up Moltmann’s Christology of friendship. Elisabeth Moltmann-Wendel, theologian and Moltmann’s
Jesus is called friend on two occasions in the gospels. In two of the synoptic accounts, Jesus compares his reception to that of John the Baptist. While John had been criticized for his strict asceticism, now “The Son of man has come eating and drinking; and you say, 'Look, a glutton and a drunkard, a friend of tax collectors and sinners!'” (Luke 7:34).\(^{101}\) Moltmann sees in this friendship the radical openness to the other that a closed society is bound to condemn. This extension of friendship towards those deemed antisocial gains its energy, according to Moltmann, from Jesus’ eschatological vision of the messianic feast of the kingdom.

In the second instance in John 15, Jesus proclaims to his disciples that they are now no longer his “servants, but friends.” This is no easy designation. Jesus indicates the import and cost of such a statement by linking friendship with the greatest possible human love for, as Jesus explains, “Greater love hath no man than this, that a man lay down his life for his friends.” (John 15:12) “When he cites friendship,” Moltmann reflects, “as the motive for Jesus’ sacrificing his life, John means a love that sees, that is faithful unto death. He means a knowing sacrifice for the sake of friends’ lives. Through Jesus’ death in friendship the disciples become friends forever, and they remain in his friendship if they follow his commandments and become friends to others.”\(^{102}\) The disciples must now participate in the prefiguring of the messianic banquet – they too must be known as friends of tax collectors and sinners if they are to be friends of Jesus. The
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motivation for the friends of Jesus to extend friendship to the godforsaken and the sinner is not a masochistic practice of self-denial, a trade-off for some delayed cosmic reward. No, the motive comes from the overflowing joy of God – “that my joy may be in you.” Moltmann calls this hopeful, joyful, risky friendship of Jesus and his friends, *Open Friendship.*

Moltmann means something very specific by friendship when he claims “the concept of friendship is the best way of expressing the liberating relationship with God, and the fellowship of men and women in the spirit of freedom.”¹⁰³ One enters into friendship, unlike other relationships – one’s family for instance -- by free choice. As Moltmann says, “friendship. . . springs from freedom, exists in mutual freedom and preserves that freedom.”¹⁰⁴ “Rightly understood,” Moltmann explains, “the friend is the person who ‘loves in freedom.’”¹⁰⁵

Open friendship lies at the very heart of Moltmann’s theological model, not just of the church, but also of God. The Trinity is a fellowship in which Father, Son and Spirit are distinct persons through their relationship with one another. One person is not subordinate to another; instead, there is only mutual love in freedom. This mutual love in freedom is open, not just to the persons of the trinity, but also to creation.¹⁰⁶ As a result, when Jesus calls his disciples friends, he is extending the open friendship of the Trinity to

¹⁰⁴ Ibid., 115.
¹⁰⁵ Ibid., 316. Here Moltmann is using Barth’s definition of the reality of God as “The Being of God as the One who Loves in Freedom.” *Church Dogmatics* II. I, §28, 257.
godforsaken humanity. Thus, Moltmann shows how the title of friend is the best title “to describe the inner relationship between the divine and the human fellowship.”

Moltmann does not just describe individuals and societies as closed: friendships can be closed as well. Closed friendship, Moltmann recognizes, is what most people consider real friendship. Since Aristotle, friendship has most often been characterized as “Like seeks after like.” One finds true friendship with another who is like you and is your peer. Closed societies establish social institutions dedicated to sorting people into homogeneous clubs by politics, economics, age, religion, ancestry, ethnicity, sporting preference and ability, and so on. Social groups from Club 18-30 to the Daughters of the American Revolution also expend a great deal of energy excluding those who are not peers. These are the overt expressions of the privatization of friendship. Friendship has been reduced to mean our bosom buddies.

The friendship of Jesus is radically opposed to this privatization of friendship to the “inner circle of one’s equals.” The Church above all must realize this because as Moltmann points out: “The closed circle of friendship among peers is broken in principle by Christ, not only in relation to the despised humanity of “bad society,” but in relation to God. Had he abided by the peer principle, he would of necessity have had to stay in heaven.” Unlike the closed friendship of peer relations, for Jesus’ disciples friendship must mean the complete disregard of hierarchy and social station. They are not to “lord it

over” one another Jesus tells them; rather, “whoever would be great among you must be your servant” (Mark 10:42-43). When Jesus no longer calls them servants but extends his friendship to the disciples, then “open friendship becomes the bond in their fellowship with one another, and it is their vocation in a society still dominated by masters and servants, fathers and children, teachers and pupils, superiors and subordinates.”

His own experience in the German Evangelical Church and the World Council of Churches showed Moltmann that the Church is rarely prepared to enter and stay in the world of open friendship. “People grow tired of maintaining the open situation of dialogue and co-operation with others, in which the boundaries are always fluid,” he observed. Churches usually revert to a closed church position by insisting on “an orthodoxy which feels threatened and is more rigid than ever. It occurs wherever, in the face of the immorality of the present age, the gospel of creative love for the abandoned is replaced by the law of what is supposed to be Christian morality.”

The solution is not, as so many of the student radicals at Turku in 1968 believed, in letting go of the particular claims of Christianity. Moltmann found this “decay [of the gospel] through uncritical assimilation” as disturbing as churches’ “withdrawal into the ghetto without self-criticism.” Those who think the choice presented to the church is between evangelism and social action, Moltmann argued, could not be more wrong. The church
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practicing the open friendship of Jesus will be a missionary church bringing the hope of the crucified and risen Jesus to the world.\textsuperscript{116}

Where the open friendship of Jesus becomes the practice and witness of a church then that church will be at odds with a closed society. Such a church does not bring a word of comfort or escape to a cowering, reactionary society frightened of change. “The Christian Church has not to serve mankind in order that this world may remain what it is, or may be preserved in the state in which it is.” Instead, expounds Moltmann, the church serves society “in order that it may transform itself and become what it is promised to be.”\textsuperscript{117} This church must avoid co-option by a secular ideological or social agenda because the world does not share the same hope. “[The church’s] mission is not carried out within the horizon of expectation provided by the social roles which society concedes to the Church,” warns Moltmann, “but it takes place within its own peculiar horizon of the eschatological expectation of the coming of the kingdom of God.”\textsuperscript{118} In other words, the church should be worried when it finds itself fitting comfortably into the larger society. Rather, the church should always find itself rubbed raw against the restrictive barbed wire of society because it sees the coming kingdom on the other side of the fence.
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II. James Wesley Silver and the Closed Society

In 1964, thirteen years before Jürgen Moltmann had written of closed societies, readers of the popular magazine Life knew that the Closed Society existed in America and that its name was Mississippi. That summer a photo-essay featuring an obscure history professor from the University of Mississippi could be found on coffee tables and in waiting rooms across the country. History professors rarely share the pages of popular magazines with celebrities and politicians but extraordinary times and extraordinary timing threw Professor James Wesley Silver into the national spotlight.

In June 1964, in events recreated over two decades later in the movie Mississippi Burning, three civil rights activists disappeared in Philadelphia, Mississippi. The week the news broke that the activists’ burned-out station wagon had been found, Harcourt published Silver’s book, Mississippi: The Closed Society. Silver’s book, as the Life magazine article explained, is “an explosive attack on the powers that run the university and the state.”119 In its first year, Mississippi: The Closed Society sold 30,000 hardback editions and the New York Times and Time listed it as a best seller.120 Silver presented an attractive anti-hero for the national media. The 57 year-old professor had a handsome if haggard appearance. His blunt manner leavened by a wry humor supplied newspaper reporters with memorable quotes. When a New York Times journalist asked the “6-foot-

119 Sally O’Quin, "Campus Scourge at Ole Miss," Life, 17 July 1964, 74.
1-inch educator with the sharp tongue and piercing blue eyes” why he remained in Mississippi, he replied “Hell, I like it there.”\(^{121}\)

While the murders in Philadelphia, Mississippi and the voter registration drives of 1964 fueled the sales of the book, another equally dramatic event had precipitated Silver’s challenge to the state of Mississippi and his publicly naming it the Closed Society. Two years earlier, following a night of rioting and tear gas, James Meredith registered as a student at the University of Mississippi – or ‘Ole Miss’ as the school is known.

James Meredith, an African American student at Jackson State University, decided to challenge the state’s segregation laws and apply to transfer to Ole Miss. Meredith believed he had been born with a “Divine Responsibility.” God, he said, had given him the mission of “directing civilization toward a destiny of humaneness.” Applying to the state’s premier all white school served his “immediate objective [which] was to break the system of ‘White Supremacy’.”\(^{122}\) Meredith’s divine mission coincided with the interests of Medgar Evers, the secretary for the state’s chapter of the NAACP. Evers helped Meredith secure the assistance of the NAACP defense fund for the legal battle ahead.\(^{123}\) The state of Mississippi under arch-segregationist governor, Ross Barnett, tried repeatedly to stop the federal rulings that said Meredith should be registered. Negotiations between the Kennedy administration and Ross Barnett ended on Sunday September 30, 1962 when 123 deputy federal Marshals, 316 US border patrolmen and 97


\(^{123}\) Ibid., 55.
federal prison guards escorted Meredith onto the Ole Miss campus.\textsuperscript{124} With Meredith safely, and secretly, installed in his room in a hall of residence, the marshals took up positions around the white columned Lyceum, the building housing the registrar’s office.

Jim Silver watched that Sunday afternoon as students gathered in the Circle in front of the Lyceum. As their numbers swelled, Silver noted the way a mob forms and slowly moves towards violence. The students taunted the federal marshals and ruffed up a reporter. The word spread through the crowd that the marshals would try to register Meredith that night. At eight o’clock, the mob pressed in on the line of marshals who responded with a volley of tear gas. As marshals drove around the Circle to reinforce their beleaguered colleagues, their cars came under a barrage of bricks. “This really scared me,” wrote Silver in a letter to his daughter, “for I knew then that this was a first class riot.”\textsuperscript{125} Evans Harrington, an English professor, recognized students from his poetry class raiding a building site for missiles, “They had a bunch of bricks and also broken concrete and whatever else wasn’t too big to carry,” he recalled.\textsuperscript{126}

As the night continued, agitators answering the call to defend Mississippi against federal aggression joined the students. With them came hunting rifles and gasoline for Molotov cocktails. Refraining from shooting back, the marshals stood their ground. The marshals, with tear gas running low, managed to hold out until two o’clock in the


morning when units of the Mississippi National Guard, federalized by the Kennedy administration, rode to the rescue in their jeeps. 127

By the morning, with the National Guard reinforced by regular troops, Meredith walked past burned out cars to register at the bullet scarred Lyceum. The University closely resembled an army camp; Silver estimated there were about 10,000 troops in Oxford in the days following the riot. 128 The cost of integration was a sad one; a French journalist and a local bystander had been killed during the riot. Twenty-eight Marshals had been shot and 160 injured; their incredible restraint in refusing to shoot back at the mob avoided a much greater tragedy. 129

Mississippi’s governor and state media, with a wanton disregard for the truth, cast the marshals as the villains claiming “students . . . were gassed without just provocation” and were then “run down like rabbits by armed marshals.” 130 Silver considered this distortion of the truth irresponsible and likely to incite further violence. Only three days after the riot he released a resolution, signed by 65 faculty and staff stating, “we have evidence that the blame for the riot on the United States marshals is not only unfair and reprehensible, but is almost completely false.” 131

---
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Standing against the misrepresentation of the Meredith crisis, Silver experienced a turning point in his career. “Until some moment in the Meredith affair,” reflected Silver, “I had been running through life scared.” With nearly thirty years in the academy, Silver claims he spent his life “desiring above all to be accepted in my work and play by my contemporaries.”132 He describes himself as “running scared” of ostracism and loss of employment should he freely express his views. Working in Mississippi “with a tendency toward liberalism,” he describes himself as caught by an “apprehension that held me prisoner for most of my life.”133 Now, with the smell of tear gas lingering in the classrooms, Silver arrived, “as if by the wave of a magical wand at the point where there was no doubt as to my course.”134 In the midst of the Meredith crisis, he decided “to take a public stand regardless of the personal consequences.”135 Silver experienced physical consequences in this release from the bondage of fear. He had suffered all his life from an allergic skin condition that itched like poison oak. The rash would become so irritating that at times he would take cold showers between classes. Silver was intrigued to note that with the decision, “No longer. . . to suppress my views in any degree,” he found his “health was close to perfect.”136 With the benefit of hindsight, he could see his life leading up to his decisions following the Meredith crisis. “I have believed for a long
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time,” he reflected, “that when he has made himself ready, the good teacher will have something to say beyond his classroom.”

Outraged “to hear undergraduate hoodlums,” at the time of the riots, “equate themselves to Hungarian freedom fighters,” Silver decided to counter the historical distortions of Mississippi’s state propaganda machine in his presidential address to the Southern Historical Association in Asheville, North Carolina in the fall of 1963.138 To ensure the widest possible audience, before giving the speech entitled “Mississippi: the Closed Society,” Silver went over his text with Claude Sitton of the New York Times. On Friday, November 8, 1963, the Times ran the headline “Mississippi Professor Declares That His State Is ‘Totalitarian’” on the front page.139 Clearly Silver had something to say beyond his classroom. “My entire life had unquestionably been a preparation for my “Mississippi: The Closed Society” speech.”140

Silver’s new found candor took a deliberate form on the University’s campus. He was photographed eating with Meredith in the campus cafeteria and invited the new student to a round of golf on the University’s course.141 The possibilities of reprisals against himself or his family worried him. Silver received numerous obscene and threatening phone calls and his mail included a few “Honorary Nigger” cards. The history
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professor decided to keep a loaded shotgun in his house and his German Shepherd with him as he walked around campus at night.\textsuperscript{142}

![James W. Silver in front of the University of Mississippi’s Lyceum building.](image)

\textbf{Fig 1.1.} James W. Silver in front of the University of Mississippi’s Lyceum building. The photograph, taken by Matt Herron for \textit{Life} magazine, appeared on the dust jacket of the first edition of \textit{Mississippi: The Closed Society}.

The responses to Silver’s stand were not universally critical. He received many letters of support. One letter that particularly moved him came from an African American living in Jackson. “If more white people like you could be heard, with the expressive attitude of truth giving justice and righteousness regardless of old custom but obeying law and order,” wished the writer, then “this good earth would be the place where the

\textsuperscript{142} Silver, \textit{Running Scared}, 88.
Lord’s Prayer is referring to when it says ‘Thy Kingdom Come.’ Thank you again, and we are praying for you.”

While it is easy to agree with Silver in his belief that his whole life had been a preparation for his challenge to the Closed Society, his characterization of his career prior to 1962 as “running scared” is misleading. There is little evidence that he ever acquiesced in silence to the status quo.

Born in Rochester, New York, in 1907, the son of a grocer, when Silver was twelve, the family moved to the small town of Southern Pines in North Carolina. He went to the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, majoring in history. The Silvers were a Methodist family, and the young James Wesley had been sent to Sunday school. The move South, coupled with his father’s agnostic drift away from the church, led Silver to identify with the dominant Southern Baptists; however, at Chapel Hill he found his “Baptist fundamentalism underwent severe attack from class lectures and dormitory bull sessions.” As an undergraduate, Silver displayed an irascible spirit with a disregard for authority and a love for high stakes poker. Halfway through his senior year, the University threw him out for gambling. Despite his expulsion, he had accumulated enough academic credits to graduate. He used his unsought free time to travel before enrolling as a graduate student in history at Vanderbilt. Silver wrote his doctoral dissertation on the Confederate General Edmund Pendleton Gaines, graduating in

---
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1935.\textsuperscript{146} He left Nashville with a young bride, Margaret McLean “Dutch” Thompson, for a teaching post in Kansas. Then, only a year later, Jim and Dutch Silver moved to Oxford, Mississippi where he took a position in the history department at Ole Miss.

Life on the leafy campus of the University of Mississippi suited Jim Silver. He found ample opportunity to play poker and go fishing, and he and Dutch threw themselves into the social life of the University. Silver supplemented his low salary by coaching the men’s tennis team and traveling with the football squad making slow motion movies during the games.

Silver came to Mississippi a liberal and supporter of the labor movement; he describes his beliefs as a tendency to support the underdog.\textsuperscript{147} Soon after arriving in Mississippi, disturbed by the views he encountered at the heart of the Jim Crow south, he started considering the question of “Negro inferiority,” reading any sociological, historical or anthropological works he could find. He engaged in some mild mannered activism by attending biracial meetings at Oxford’s Methodist Church in 1939.\textsuperscript{148}

However, it was Silver’s views on labor, not race, which first brought him to the attention of the state authorities. Only three years after his appointment, following a lecture at the Clarksdale courthouse on the 1938 Wages and Hours Act, the University’s Board of Trustees received a complaint that Silver was a “Red.” The board, well-disposed to
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Silver thanks to his ties with the Ole miss football program, dismissed the charges out of hand. Silver would not get off the hook so easily in the future.\footnote{Ibid., 20.}

During his 28 years at the University of Mississippi, Silver exerted his greatest influence through his students who graduated to fill the courtrooms, boardrooms and corridors of power in his adopted state. This did not escape the notice of Silver’s enemies. In 1950, state legislator Hamer McKenzie from Benton leveled accusations against particular University faculty including Silver of “molding the minds of Mississippi students” with their liberalism. Hamer introduced a “subversive activities bill” to the House of Representatives.\footnote{“Solons In Secret Session Here To Hear ’Red’ Names Name Ole Miss Profs,” \textit{Jackson Daily News}, 2 February 1950.} Particularly troubling to Hamer were the political views of nationally known speakers Silver brought to the University for an ongoing student run lecture series on current affairs called the Omicron Delta Kappa (ODK) Mortar Board Forums. A young representative by the name of William Winter vigorously defended Silver. Winter, a graduate of the University of Mississippi, considered Silver his mentor who set his life’s course, reflecting that “he helped me to understand the changes that had to take place in Mississippi.”\footnote{William Winter, interview by the author, 13 October 2004, Jackson, MS.} Thirty-two years later, Winter as governor of Mississippi forced through “the most significant educational legislation enacted in Mississippi since the establishment of its public school system in 1870.”\footnote{David G. Sansing, “William Forrest Winter Fifty-eighth Governor of Mississippi: 1980-1984,” in \textit{Mississippi History Now} (Mississippi Historical Society, January 2004).}
Winter came to Oxford, Mississippi as a freshman in the fall of 1940. He had grown up in Granada, Mississippi where his great-great grandfather had settled in 1837 having secured the first land deed from the governor after the treaty of Dancing Rabbit Creek. He came from a line of gentleman farmers with impeccable Mississippi credentials; his father had been elected to the state senate in 1920. As the young William Winter found his feet on campus, Silver organized the ODK Mortar Board Forums to provide “the best chance for a student from one of the smaller towns of Mississippi to become acquainted with world-famous statesmen.” Silver kept an eye out for promising young students from these “smaller towns,” and Winter caught his attention. As a history major, Winter came under Silver’s influence in the classroom and in extended personal conversations. The Second World War disrupted both Winter’s education and Silver’s lecturing. In 1943, Winter went into the army serving as an infantry officer in the United States; Silver volunteered with the Red Cross and served in the Pacific.

Winter’s wartime experience as a white officer in an African American regiment showed him the Jim Crow south for the first time through the eyes of his fellow black officers. He returned to Oxford and law school, “convinced that when [he] got back to civilian life things would never be the same.”

Silver, Running Scared, 35.
Winter’s story of his time in the army is interesting. Historians often point to the influence on the Civil Rights Movement of African American GI’s returning home to segregated communities, but in this case it is a white Mississippian whose segregated world view is challenged:
Winter resumed his relationship with his mentor spending hours discussing how change would come to the region. “Jim Silver was a man way ahead of his time,” Winter remembers, “he was one of the most perceptive, incisive observers of the southern scene of anybody I ever knew. And one of the most courageous. . . One of the true prophets of the thirties and forties and fifties in Mississippi.”

Winter became the chairman of the ODK and worked closely with Silver in inviting the speakers – that would so enrage representative Hamer McKenzie – to Oxford. Sixty years later, Winter still proudly keeps the fliers for these meetings in his desk drawer. Printed on faded color paper: “the public is cordially invited” to “Hear Alexander Karensky . . . The man whom Lenin succeeded as ruler of Russia,” give a talk on “Russia and the International Situation.” Another flier advertises Hodding Carter II, the Pulitzer Prize winning editor of the Delta-Democrat Times, speak on “The Liberal Spirit and the

---

I was aware of the differences by which black and white people lived growing up, but it was not something that weighed on my mind. I accepted it as a normal way of life. It really was not until I had the experience as an infantry officer. Of serving in a black training regiment.

The Army was totally segregated and white officers were in charge of the training of this regiment over at Fort McLellan, Alabama [in] 1944. All white officers. All black enlisted personnel. Totally separate in their living arrangements. And then as result of the huge casualties in Europe in the summer and fall of 1944 the army made a bold experiment, they integrated. They brought into this all white officer cadre a lot of black officers who had previously served in all black units. So they integrated the officer corps. And here I was, a white Lieutenant from Mississippi living on the post at Fort McLellan in a status of total equality with these black officers that we served with. We shared the same bunks, the same mess facilities, the same latrine facilities. We worked together, played together, had the same officers club, all this . . . everything totally integrated, totally integrated.

Then on weekends we would get on the bus to go into Aniston, Alabama, the commercial bus, and the black officers would have to go to the back of the bus. We couldn’t sit with them on the bus. And of course, once we got into Aniston we couldn’t eat a hamburger together, we couldn’t go to the movies together, couldn’t go to church together. So I began to comprehend out of that experience the obvious unfairness and injustice of the system of segregation that I had grown up with and had never thought much about it. And so that was when I first seriously [started] to understand that this was not a satisfactory way for people to relate to each other.
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South.\textsuperscript{158} This invitation to Carter in 1946 started raising the suggestion at the state level that Silver was brainwashing his students. “Pressure was put on the chancellor by a certain conservative legislator to cancel the invitation to Hodding Carter,” recalls Winter. “We went to see the chancellor and urged him not to cancel the invitation, and he did not. . . In introducing Hodding Carter that night to the assembly, I thanked the chancellor for letting academic freedom prevail.”\textsuperscript{159} This conflict between Silver and the state of Mississippi over academic freedom would characterize the rest of his time as an employee of the state.

The intransigent and outspoken Silver might have continued as a minor irritant to the white Mississippi establishment were it not for the Supreme Court of the United States concluding that separate was not in fact equal. The \textit{Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka} ruling in May of 1954 sensitized segregationists in Mississippi to any threat to their segregated way of life enshrined in Jim Crow laws. Those voices calling for Silver’s removal, that had previously been easily dismissed, gained the weight of popular support. The window of tolerance for dissent from the segregationist orthodoxy was closing and the reaction to outspoken heretics grew increasingly severe. Silver’s observation of the events that followed \textit{Brown vs. Board} in Mississippi form the nucleus of his understanding of The Closed Society.

\textsuperscript{158} ODK Mortar Board Presentation flyers, William Winter’s private papers.
\textsuperscript{159} Conservatives as well as Liberals spoke at the forum. Winter remembers Dr. George Stuart Benson of Harding College “was about the most conservative you ever saw.” Winter, 13 Oct. 2004. Other speakers at the forum over the years included Emil Ludwig, Edward Weeks, Virginius Dabney, Clement Atlee, Jacob Potofsky, Eric Sevareid, Gus Dyer, and Sir Oliver Franks. Silver, \textit{Running Scared}, 35.
Fig 1.2. The politics of the Closed Society. A flyer circulated during William Winter’s unsuccessful 1967 gubernatorial campaign (William Winter’s private papers).
Massive Resistance and the Closed Society

That summer of 1954, as the South held its breath waiting to see what would happen, Reverend Will D. Campbell came to Ole Miss. Campbell, a Baptist preacher from Amite county, Mississippi, had gone to war then to Yale Divinity school and now was taking the job of Director of Religious life at the University.\textsuperscript{160} Returning to his home state to settle with near family, Cambell looked forward to joining “a university community where, I assumed, there would be freedom to speak on issues as I pleased.”\textsuperscript{161} He aimed to use his freedom of speech to subvert the state’s closed society. He moved into his office in the ‘Y’ building and Malcolm Guess, his predecessor and now a dean, showed him the ropes. One day, before classes started, Guess received a visit from Tom Brady. Brady, a former student of Guess’s and now a judge, was on his way to deliver a speech to the Sons of the American Revolution in Greenwood and wanted Guess to look over it. Campbell noted Guess’s somber aspect after Brady’s departure. When Campbell asked why Guess was downcast the retired dean responded, “You’ll know soon enough. That’s a speech that will be heard for a long, long time.”\textsuperscript{162} Historians credit Brady’s speech entitled \textit{Black Monday} with providing the impetus for the foundation of the Citizens’ Councils of Mississippi and the South.\textsuperscript{163} The \textit{Monday} of the title is the day of
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the Brown decision, and black, using Brady’s words is the “embodying [of] grief, destruction and death.”164

The Citizens’ Councils organized quickly and in huge numbers. They rapidly expanded from their base in the heart of the Mississippi Delta. As early as September 1954, there were seventeen counties with Citizens’ Council organizations.165 Hodding Carter, the liberal newspaper man Winter had secured for the ODK forum, in a piece he wrote for the New York Times in 1961, tried to impress on the non-Mississippi reader just how powerful, pervasive and respectable the Citizens’ Councils were. “Membership in the Citizens’ Council,” he explained, “has come to be akin to membership in the Rotary or Lions Club.” There was “one central purpose” to all this organizing, and that “was to retain control of resistance to desegregation in the hands of “better people.”” By the time Carter was writing, this “uptown Klan” had constructed “a political machine whose power is publicly unchallenged by any major state official.”166

Campbell watched the formation of the Councils with alarm, but did not consider it cause for major concern, certainly not enough to deflect him from his mission to subvert the system of white supremacy. It would not take long for the university’s administration to realize that “this little Yaley may be up to something.”167 Silver observed the new arrival, but kept a discrete distance from the new Director of Religious Life. He suspected Campbell’s “uncomplicated Christian logic” was likely to unsettle the
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entente he had worked out over a decade.\textsuperscript{168} Campbell remembers: “[Silver] didn’t have anything to do with me the first year I was there because he was afraid I would ask him to come and do something with one of my religious programs.” For his part, Campbell did not consider this much of a loss. He had heard of Silver, “But I never considered him a radical. I had been [so] radicalized myself that in a way I considered Silver a conservative. But he wasn’t a wild eyed radical, you know, he wasn’t a revolutionary.” He was, however, “a good historian and he was bright and he knew what time of day it was.”\textsuperscript{169} 1956 would prove to be a particularly difficult time of day in Mississippi.

Only nine months after Brown, under pressure from the Citizens’ Councils, the Board of Trustees of the University decided that the chancellor should investigate and approve all outside speakers.\textsuperscript{170} This decision directly threatened all Silver had fought so hard to create with his forums. The students of the ODK petitioned the board to rescind this new decision, but to no avail.\textsuperscript{171} They stood little chance of success. The political tide in Mississippi was now set against any free speech that might harbor dissent from the segregationist line.

The State’s legislature officially opposed the Supreme Court’s decision to interfere in the state’s education system declaring that Mississippi had a right “to interpose for arresting the progress of evil.” The forty-nine member senate approved the interposition resolution which then received unanimous support in the lower house.\textsuperscript{172} In
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March 1956, House Speaker Walter Sillers introduced House Bill No. 880 to create the Mississippi State Sovereignty Commission, what Silver called “that curious arm of the state legislature.” The commission’s task was defined in section five of the bill:

> It shall be the duty of the commission to do and perform any and all acts and things deemed necessary and proper to protect the sovereignty of the State of Mississippi, and her sister states, from encroachment thereon by the Federal Government ... and to resist the usurpation of the rights and powers reserved to this state and our sister states by the Federal Government or any branch, department or agency thereof.  

William Winter was one of twenty-three house members who opposed the bill when they realized the Citizens’ Councils were sponsoring it. The opponents were, however, unable to stop the bill, which proved a triumph for the Citizens’ Councils and a testament to their power.

The Sovereignty Commission protected Mississippi’s sovereignty through propaganda. The Commission arranged for speakers to tour the United States promoting the state’s rights to perpetuate segregation. They also brought “experts” to Mississippi to speak on the evils of communism and the dangers of integration. The Commission’s work had a less public and more sinister dimension. They employed investigators who cultivated informants in the black and white communities and amassed files of information on citizens in the interests of preserving segregation. Campbell and Silver were two men at the University of Mississippi who attracted particular scrutiny.

Will Campbell proved a constant irritant to the University through his, often mischievous, acts of subversion. One of his duties was to organize students to say a

---
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prayer before the sacred Ole Miss football games. Offering Campbell such a pulpit proved too delicious an opportunity for him to miss. Ascending to the box overlooking the stadium, Campbell handed a prayer he had written to the student president of the YMCA. As the white Mississippi football faithful bowed their heads, they found themselves listening to a prayer for God in his justice to come to the aid of the oppressed. “It wasn’t intended to be any kind of knock out punch,” recalls Campbell with a chuckle, “but the people knew what the prayer was praying for.” To avoid any repeat performances, Ole Miss stopped praying at their football games.176

Campbell hoped to challenge the ideological stranglehold that the segregationists had over the University where “race was not to be discussed.” “If racial justice could not be discussed in the classroom,” reasoned the chaplain, “then it would be proclaimed from the podium of the religious forum.”177 The forum he had in mind was the University’s annual Religious Emphasis Week. For one week in February, the students’ Committee of One Hundred invited outside speakers of a religious nature to address the student body in Fulton Chapel. Campbell guided the committee to choose his recommendations for speakers. “If I had had to name one bit of subversion,” Campbell boasts, “and I have been involved in a host of subversive activities in terms of the culture, that would be the only one I would name that turned out exactly as I planned from beginning to end. . . . it was a direct challenge of the status quo.”178
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On one point, Campbell’s plans succeeded far beyond his imagining. Following his invitation and acceptance to participate in Religious Emphasis Week, Rev. Alvin Kershaw, an Episcopal priest from Ohio, gained national publicity when he appeared on the TV quiz show *The $64,000 Question*. The University administration, initially pleased that Campbell had attracted a celebrity, were horrified when Kershaw, quitting the game at $32,000, donated some of his winnings to the NAACP’s Legal Defense Fund. The *Clarion-Ledger* ran the story alongside Campbell’s announcement of the speakers.\(^{179}\)

John D. Williams, the University’s Chancellor, faced questions from the Board of Trustees as to how Kershaw had been invited. The Monday night before the start of religious Emphasis Week, Will Campbell was sitting in James Silver’s house on faculty row sipping Bourbon. A knock on the door brought a summons for the director of religious life to report to the chancellor immediately. Williams told the recalcitrant Campbell that he needed him to “uninvite” Kershaw. Campbell refused the chancellor’s request, leaving Williams to do his own dirty work. When the other invited speakers heard that the chancellor had removed Kershaw from the program, they all in turn withdrew.\(^{180}\) Williams tried to invite local clergy to fill the empty schedule, but they too refused.

Campbell drove his subversion home. Every day of religious Emphasis Week he went to the Fulton Chapel auditorium, and with an empty chair floodlit on the stage, he
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sat in silence. He was not alone as “each morning several hundred students, faculty, and townspeople joined [him] in the silent hour.”\textsuperscript{181}

Silver watched as Campbell challenged the status quo on campus and in the state. It only took a few months from Religious Emphasis Week for Campbell to find the situation at Ole Miss untenable. The official line was that he resigned because, “he found the University ‘climate’ incompatible.”\textsuperscript{182} The reality was that he had started to fear for his safety. He had broken the taboos of white Mississippi when he had invited Carl Rowan – a black reporter from the Minneapolis Tribune – to stay in his house. This had not been a secretive transgression. Campbell had invited Jim Silver’s history class to meet with the reporter after their class.\textsuperscript{183} The last straw had been the discovery that Campbell had played ping-pong with a local African American minister in the ‘Y’ building. This resulted in physical threats, ping-pong balls, painted half white and half black, being dumped on the preacher’s lawn under cover of darkness and, more seriously, human excrement being placed in a bowl of punch at a YMCA function. When the National Council of Churches invited Campbell to set up their Southern Office of the Department of Racial and Cultural Relations in Nashville, he announced to the University that he would be leaving.\textsuperscript{184}

The day the University announced the Director of Religious Life’s departure, Silver spent the afternoon on the Campbell’s porch unsuccessfully trying to persuade him
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to stay. As Silver stood up to leave, Campbell asked him, “Jim, explain something to me. I’ve been here two and a half years. The first year and a half I was here you would walk all the way across the Grove to keep from speaking to me, afraid I was gonna invite you to meet with one of my programs. Now you’re begging me to stay. What’s going on?”185

“I don’t give a damn about your religious program,” he told Campbell. Then, half in jest he explained: “Before you came I was number one on the state legislature’s list to get rid of. You came in here and you bumped me to number two. Now you leave and I go back up to number one for them to run off; so I want you to stay.”186 The reactionary assault on freedom of speech at the University that Campbell helped stir up, and that Silver feared, was not long in coming.

In the fall of 1958, State Representative Wilburn Hooker and former representative Edwin White presented allegations of integrationist, socialistic and communistic teachings at Ole Miss to the University’s Board of Trustees. Both men were attorneys from Lexington, Mississippi, prominent members of the Citizens’ Council, and alumni of the University; Hooker was a member of the Sovereignty Commission.187 Silver referred to them sarcastically as “super patriots.”188 On November 18, they presented their accusations in a thirty-six page document.189 White and Hooker, to create maximum pressure on the University, released their allegations to the press in

---
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“installments” over the summer of 1959.190 “They didn’t have subversive teachings up there when my grandfather, my father or I went to the University,” explained Hooker to the readers of the Clarion-Ledger, “and I don’t want my children to be exposed to such when they go to Ole Miss.”191 Defending their actions against the allegation that they were hurting the university, Hooker explained, “we don’t want to kill the University, we just want to get rid of the liberal element.” This would not have an adverse effect because, as he continued, “you don’t kill the church when you oust a few backsliders.”192

Hooker and White’s documents included the names of Campbell and Silver. Reading the charges helps one appreciate the level of hysteria surrounding the fear of communism and integration. “Will Campbell in June 1956 was seen playing ping pong in the Y building with a nice looking well-dressed negro man.” If that were not bad enough, “After this ping pong game Campbell got into his car with the Negro and drove leisurely around campus.”193 They had to work hard to produce a case against Silver, claiming that a master’s thesis written by John Ben Nelson, a student in the history department, “defames the internal government of the people of Mississippi during the period of the Civil War. This thesis could well be the fruit of Dr. Silver’s lectures.”194 Dr. Silver, they noted ominously, “went to Vanderbilt University which is well known for its integration sentiments.”195 The charges named fourteen other faculty and staff as well as broader
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accusations against the YMCA, the graduate school, the Psychology department and the library.

The university administration answered all the charges to the satisfaction of the Board of Trustees, but the court of white public opinion fueled by the Citizens’ Council and Sovereignty Commission’s publicity machines continued to consider Silver a communist sympathizer. In a Sovereignty Commission sponsored state speaking tour, the “self styled communist hunter,” Myers G. Lowman, accused Silver of being a “red.” As Mississippi moved towards the showdown with Federal forces, Silver became increasingly outspoken. His response to Lowman came in an address to the Mississippi Historical Society. “I have been called a communist before by some pretty good local demagogues,” Silver noted wryly, “but hiring someone from Ohio and then charging me taxes for him to come down here and accuse me is worse.” By the time Federal troops were securing the Ole Miss campus, Silver -- the historian who could tell what time of day it was -- reached the point where he threw all caution to the wind. In *Mississippi: The Closed Society*, Silver publicly diagnosed the oppression, fear and lies that he had experienced in his 28 years as a teacher in the state.

The message of Silver’s *Mississippi: the Closed Society* in the 1963 Ashville speech and the subsequent book is uncomplicated. Mississippi is a closed society in refusing to be open to change because change would threaten the rigid racial caste system in place in the state. Silver defined the Closed Society in a concise paragraph:
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Mississippi has been on the defensive against inexorable change for more than a century. . . by the time of the Civil War it had developed a closed society with an orthodoxy accepted by nearly everybody in the state. The all-pervading doctrine, then and now, has been white supremacy, whether achieved through slavery or segregation, rationalized by a professed belief in state rights and bolstered by religious fundamentalism. In such a society a never-ceasing propagation of the "true faith" must go on relentlessly, with a constantly reiterated demand for loyalty to the united front, requiring that non-conformists and dissenters from the code be silenced, or, in a crisis, driven from the community. Violence and the threat of violence have confirmed and enforced the image of unanimity.

Silver, a historian of the Civil War and the Confederacy, draws strong comparisons between the 1850s and 1950s. In both these periods, “white citizens were completely enamored of their social and economic system.” In the intervening years, the closed society reestablished itself after Reconstruction with the state’s constitution of 1890 thus firmly replacing slavery with the Jim Crow caste system.

The Church and the Closed Society

Silver’s description of the Closed Society as “defensive against inexorable change” bears a striking resemblance to Jürgen Moltmann’s *societas incurvatus in se* – the closed and introverted society. Moltmann’s closed society, frightened by changes happening around it, lacks hope in the future. Such a hopeless society shies away from an openness to the ambiguities and possibilities of an unknown future; instead, it “wants to corroborate itself, to perpetuate itself and to write its possession of the present into the future.”
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There is another striking parallel between Silver’s critique of Mississippi and Moltmann’s theology. For Moltmann, the closed church that “is fearful and defensive. . . struggling to maintain itself and reaching out for security and guarantees. . . usually occurs in the form of an orthodoxy which feels threatened and is more rigid than ever.” Silver’s description of Mississippi characterizes the threatened and rigid ideology of the Closed Society using the religious language of ‘orthodoxy’, ‘doctrine’ and ‘faith.’ His employment of these terms is not mere metaphor; rather, it points to both the religious nature of the intense and non-negotiable belief in white supremacy and to the religious sanctioning of the belief from the white pulpits. Silver succinctly summarizes the main tenets of this threatened and rigid closed society while maintaining his comparison between contemporary and antebellum Mississippi:

Today the closed society of Mississippi imposes on all its people acceptance of and obedience to an official orthodoxy almost identical with the one developed in the middle of the nineteenth century. In fact the philosophical basis for slavery has become the substance of the catechism of white supremacy. Though lacking the considerable intellectual integrity of the ante-bellum pro-slavery argument, the segregation creed assumes 1) the biological inferiority of the Negro, 2) the sanction of the Bible and Christianity, 3) the aptitude of the Negro for menial labor only, 4) racial separation as an absolute requirement for social stability, and 5) the necessity of the Negro earning his way to a higher and more responsible citizenship.

The Mississippi State Sovereignty Commission, tasked with preserving orthodoxy and monitoring heretics, received a five-page closely typed report of Silver’s Ashville speech from an informant identifying himself only as “a graduate of
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Emory University.” Erle Johnson Jr., so alarmed by what he read, wrote in a widely circulated letter:

As both the Sovereignty Commission director and dedicated Mississippi citizen I believe the best interest of the state, the University and all other state supported schools would be served by a request through proper channels that Dr. Silver be relieved of his duties.

His call for action resulted in the swift formation of a sub-committee of the College Board “to investigate Dr. Silver’s situation and determine what grounds, if any, may justify his dismissal from the University staff.” While the sub-committee did its work, Johnston kept a close eye on the troublesome professor. The Sovereignty Commission’s files show that agents monitored meetings at Silver’s house and tried to identify the visitors by tracing the registered owners of the parked cars.

The Board’s sub-committee investigated the charge of “contumacious conduct” against Silver, a charge he took a perverse pleasure in repeating in front of numerous audiences. They summoned him to appear before them in the spring of 1964. Silver remembers the chairman stating, “Professor Silver, we have decided to drop the charges against you if you will agree to stop speaking about Mississippi outside the state.” Silver, of course, had no intention of doing any such thing. Realizing that his time at the University of Mississippi was numbered, he was considering an invitation to teach at
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Notre Dame.\textsuperscript{207} Shortly after \textit{Mississippi: The Closed Society} reached the bookstores, the Silvers moved to Indiana.

Silver’s departure from Mississippi was nothing unusual for those who raised their voices against white supremacy. In his own book, he claimed that by September 1962 more than fifty professors had left Ole Miss, many of them forced out.\textsuperscript{208} “Criticism of the reigning orthodoxy,” Silver notes, “has resulted in expulsion of the critic and the imposition of the smothering hand of conformity on the society.”\textsuperscript{209}

Geographical and chronological distance from his experience of the Closed Society enabled Silver to examine his own work. One weakness of his book that he recognized was that he wrote from an exclusively white perspective. Though “unaware of it at the time,” Silver later realized that while living in Mississippi, “I had not been allowed any real contacts with blacks on my academic level.” The result was that in \textit{Mississippi: the Closed Society} Silver “had written more about white supremacy than black history.”\textsuperscript{210} In the one chapter dedicated to the history of African Americans in Mississippi entitled “The Closed Society and the Negro,” Silver points out that African Americans have “barely challenged” the closed society.\textsuperscript{211} Through a lack of education, denial of franchise and absence of justice, whites in Mississippi crippled the African Americans’ attempt “to raise himself to the common American standard.” In a controversial statement, aimed at dislodging white
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supremacists’ insistence on black’s innate inferiority, Silver insists “[The Negro] could not be anything but culturally inferior, for he had been so trained by every controllable factor in his environment.”

When Silver left Mississippi in 1964, he had little hope that he would see a significant change in the Closed Society. “[It] is only in the distant future where the new society will be established,” concludes Silver. The possibility of such an open society might, he guesses, be no more than an eschatological hope, “a matter of faith, as something beyond a temporal Jordan.”

As northern civil rights activists joined with local black community organizers for the voter registration drives of Freedom Summer, Silver believed the chances of whites going along with Civil Rights Movement were “minimal indeed.” Silver believed he did see African Americans starting to successfully challenge white supremacy through boycotts and sit ins, but he remained pessimistic that change could come without great conflict and violence. After 28 years of censorship Silver reasoned, “The strongest preservation of the closed society is the closed mind.” Surveying the political scene he concluded, “As yet there is little evidence that the closed society will ever possess the moral resources to reform itself, or the capacity for self-examination, or even the tolerance of self-examination.”

White Mississippians, who Silver describes as “a proud religious people,” would not respond to “being told with increasing shrillness by outsiders that what three generations had accepted as historical truth was so much mythology. Furthermore,” Silver continues, “separation of
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the races and absolute control of every facet of society by the whites – universally assumed to be not only constitutional but the work of God – were not to be challenged from within as evil and immoral.” 216

Silver, who said of himself “I was once a good Methodist” and claimed he was an atheist, had a low opinion of the role the church had played in Mississippi and held out little hope that change would come from that direction. 217 “Mississippians torture the Scriptures into sacred sanction for inequality and inhumanity,” he wrote, and that despite the “courageous stands” made by individual ministers, “the church as a whole has placed its banner with the status quo.” 218 Some of these courageous ministers were very familiar to Silver. On September 16, 1962 – just before the riots at Ole Miss – eight of Oxford’s ministers read a joint statement in their churches urging their congregants to “act in a manner consistent with the Christian teaching concerning the value and dignity of man.” 219 Duncan Gray, an Episcopal priest and one of the eight, remonstrated with the crowd on the night of the riot calling them to refrain from violence and go home. 220 Silver had hoped to have Gray write a chapter for his book on “the influence of race in ancient history and specifically in the Bible.” Unfortunately, the publishing deadline did not give Gray enough time to contribute such a chapter. And so the role of the church in
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the Closed Society, and how it might participate in ushering in an open society this side of the Jordan, remained a task for others to tackle.\textsuperscript{221}

Will Campbell, now living a healthy distance from Mississippi on a farm in Mt. Juliet, Tennessee, had already addressed the problems posed to the church by the Closed Society in his short book, \textit{Race and the Renewal of the Church}, published in 1961.\textsuperscript{222}

Despite Campbell’s protestations that he is “just a preacher,” not a theologian, his work is profoundly theological. Campbell combines a healthy Niebuhrian suspicion that all human institutions are self-serving with a Barthian insistence on the Sovereignty of God.\textsuperscript{223} In \textit{Race and the Renewal of the Church}, Campbell speaks to the socially liberal churchmen and women engaging in the struggle for civil rights. Just as Moltmann warned the church against “uncritical assimilation” with society’s social programs, Campbell cried out against a church that pinned its moral pronouncements on the coattails of the Supreme Court.\textsuperscript{224} The liberal churches of the day, bemoaned Campbell, far from taking the initiative and speaking a prophetic word against racism, are “imitat[ing] the action of the state. . . confirm[ing] such action with a pious benediction.” If the Supreme Court had
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\textsuperscript{222} Campbell had remained in contact with Silver and in 1961, as part of his work for the National Council of Churches, had arranged for Silver to meet John Doar and Burke Marshall of the Justice Department in Washington to advise them on the situation in Mississippi and the likely repercussions of integrating Ole Miss. Ibid., 72.
\textsuperscript{223} Campbell, greatly influenced by the Barmen declaration and the theology of the Confessing Church, argues that segregation and racism are merely symptoms. “The sin,” he argues, “is that the whole issue of race is an effort to deny the sovereignty of God, to negate the absolute supremacy of God.” Will D. Campbell, \textit{Race and the Renewal of the Church} (Philadelphia: Westminster Press, 1962), 53. At another point in the book, he challenges the Southern church with a confession of Barthian proportions:

\begin{quote}
The Sovereign God who is our Lord Jesus Christ – he is the only subject, the sole referent of human relations and the social action of the church. What can be said of us, whatever our race or class, except that we and all our fortunes and destinies belong to him? And this is enough to know.
\end{quote}
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not ruled favorably in 1954 on race, then perhaps the church would have been forced to speak from the Christian gospel. In that case, Campbell suggests, “We would have been required to say, Thus saith the Lord! Not, Thus saith the law!”

While liberal scholars and ministers dismissed the conservative white southern churches – as Silver did – as being at best complicit with the segregated status quo, Campbell believed that hope for the region may lie with them. Though playing little to no role in bringing about change to the closed society, Campbell argues that conservative southern congregations will have an important role in “cleaning up the mess” left in the wake of change. Campbell’s argument, written in 1961 before the March on Washington and the Civil Rights Act, is prescient. It is also unexpected from a man, employed by the liberal National Council of Churches, who saw and shared Silver’s closed society.

Campbell wrote:

As significant as the prophetic edge of the church is, and as much as most of us regret the present dearth of prophets in the land, it may well be that heroic deeds will come, not by the appearance of more and greater prophets, but as God uses the conserving edge which is, from our point of view, the weakness of the church. Making the conscious decision to play the conserving role and put our house in order is far from what the church might fairly have been expected to do. And if even this much is done it is not something of which we can boast, for it will have been that which God has done through us and often despite ourselves. And if it is done, the Kingdom will not have come, only the starting point will have been reached—that which was established at Pentecost concerning race will have been realized.

The chapters that follow study a movement from within the “conserving edge” of the church that emerged in Mississippi thirty years after Campbell made this prediction.

Inspiring the founders of this movement in the Mississippi to put their racial “house in
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order” was a vision for unity across the whole of Christ’s church. Transformation in the racial landscape of Mississippi would come, they believed, through the power of new relationships, made possible by the reconciling work of Christ. They would intentionally forge relationships across the existing restrictive boundaries of the state’s closed churches: the boundaries of race and denomination. Calling themselves Mission Mississippi, the participants placed their hope in changing the Closed Society on something that looked remarkably like Moltmann’s Open Friendship of Jesus Christ.
Chapter 2

Mission Mississippi

I. From Rallies to Meaningful Relationships

In Jackson, Mississippi, on January 15, 1993, Mission Mississippi filed articles of incorporation that stated the organization was: “To be operated to promote Christian unity and racial reconciliation.”1 The following day the state’s main newspaper, The Clarion-Ledger, broke the news with the headline, "Mission Mississippi takes religious tack toward racial harmony."2 In a carefully worded statement, Mission Mississippi’s chairman Lee Paris told the reporter, “Our vision is a mission outreach to unify the body of Christ from every walk of life by continuing to break down the walls that divide us by race and denominational backgrounds.”3 The article contained details of the “mission outreach” that would “culminate with a gathering Oct. 28-30 at Mississippi Veterans Memorial Stadium.”4 With its roots firmly planted in the conservative churches of Mississippi, Mission Mississippi was part of a wider movement for racial reconciliation in the American evangelical church in the 1990s. Often focusing on large-scale stadium and arena rallies, the movement found its highest profile in the Promise Keepers.5
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The stadium event in 1993 was not the “culmination” of Mission Mississippi. Thirteen Years later, with an annual budgeted income of nearly $700,000, Mission Mississippi has 133 actively participating churches spread across the state with chapters in six cities. Proclaiming its strategy and vision from every letterhead, tee shirt and bumper sticker, Mission Mississippi hopes it is “Changing Mississippi one relationship at a time.” The emphasis on individual relationships has survived while the large-scale rallies have gone by the wayside; the largest event Mission Mississippi now holds is the Annual Governor’s Prayer Luncheon. Instead, one will find Mission Mississippi about its stated task of “providing specific opportunities for Christians to fellowship on neutral ground for the purpose of developing relationships.” These “specific opportunities” include: twice weekly prayer breakfasts held in different locations in Jackson; monthly businessmen’s prayer breakfasts and women’s prayer luncheons; restaurant discounts for two couples to eat together; and churches partnering for everything from picnics to preaching. At all these public events, African Americans and whites sit down together, eat together, talk together and pray together: actions unthinkable in the Mississippi James Silver described forty years earlier.

Conceived in Friendship

Mission Mississippi owes its particular character and sustained presence to a confluence of three streams within Jackson’s churches: African American ministers,
white Christian Businessmen, and Voice of Calvary Ministries. Preachers in historically black churches are singularly powerful individuals within their own congregations and wield great influence within their own communities. This is not the case in the white church. In white protestant mainline congregations, the power lies with the church officers who hire and fire their ministers. Filling these positions of deacons and elders in the white churches in Jackson are men who have attained standing within society – the businessmen and professionals. These men, of course, rank among the largest financial contributors to their churches.

The third stream contributing to Mission Mississippi, Voice of Calvary (VOC), has been operating in West Jackson since 1974 when its founder, John Perkins, moved there from Mendenhall, Mississippi. Perkins, a black preacher who mixes evangelical theology with a radical grassroots social activism, is one of the main catalysts behind the contemporary revival in faith-based involvement in urban regeneration. Many of Mission Mississippi’s founders, both black and white, can trace their interest in racial reconciliation to the influence of John Perkins and VOC’s thirty-two year ministry in Mississippi. In Mission Mississippi, the most powerful people in the city’s black and white churches came under the influence of the ministry at the heart of a national faith-based community development movement. How these three groups came together is a story of unlikely friendships.

---

Victor Smith, John Perkins & the Christian Businessmen’s Committee of Jackson

The Christian Businessmen’s Committee of Jackson (CBMC) was not the sort of organization one would expect to produce a racial reconciliation movement. The CBMC met once a month over breakfast or lunch to hear an invited speaker. The meetings were opportunities for these suited white Rotarians at prayer to invite their work colleagues to listen to an evangelistic presentation of the gospel. The founder and first chairman of the Jackson chapter was Victor Smith, a businessman who had made a small fortune in oil extraction and real estate development.

Victor Smith was born in 1930, the only son of a cottonseed oil mill manager in the small town of Hazlehurst, thirty-five miles South of Jackson in Copiah County. He grew up learning the social mores of life in a small segregated cotton town in Jim Crow Mississippi. “We grew up very prejudiced people,” reflects Smith with over half a century’s hindsight. He went to the University of Mississippi, but in 1951, Smith dropped out of school and volunteered for the Army. While in the army he married a woman from back home, the daughter of Aleck Primos, a Jackson restaurateur. On his discharge from the Army, Smith returned to Mississippi to work for his father-in-law as a manager in one of the Primos restaurants in Jackson. Though the sign on the street read: “Welcome – come as you are,” following the conventions of Jim Crow Mississippi, the restaurant only served whites.

---
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Life as a restaurant manager did not suite the ambitions of the 23-year-old Smith; then in 1954, everything changed. “A guy came by one day and said, I am in the oil business and we can get rich,” Smith recalls, “And I said that appeals to me. . . I invested a little money in an oil well. Sure enough the well hit.”

Through an accident of birth, marriage, a stroke of luck and hard work, Smith became a wealthy member of Jackson’s white society. Smith whole-heartedly subscribed to that society’s doctrine of white supremacy.

Despite a Presbyterian upbringing, Smith took his young family to St. Andrews Episcopal Cathedral in downtown Jackson at a time when the civil rights movement was bringing turmoil into the neatly ordered world of the Closed Society. The protests directly effected Smith, whose wife and in-laws were defendants in a long running case brought by the NAACP challenging Primos restaurants’ whites only seating. The cathedral was one of the bastions of Jackson society, but its leadership supported the integration of public schools and opposed the Citizens’ Councils call for massive resistance.

What Smith heard from the pulpit one Sunday morning angered him. “The man said you’ve got to start inviting blacks in your home,” Smith remembers. “Well, I just basically said, I am not going to do that. I’m not going to stay here at this church!” He moved his family across town to First Presbyterian Church where he would not have to listen to such liberal sentiment.
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While participating in the First Presbyterian Church’s outreach program, Smith experienced a religious conversion that led to him changing his views on race. A plain talking man, Smith recalls, “I was going out as a trainee, and me and the church member got saved that night.” That night in 1971, “I was like Paul – I was knocked off the donkey!” The energy that Smith poured into his business, he now turned on his newfound faith. He opened a Christian bookshop and publishing business from a desire “to know what it was to be a successful Christian.”

Volunteering as the treasurer for Billy Graham’s 1975 Crusade to Jackson, Smith met John Perkins. Perkins saw the Crusade as a marvelous opportunity to build contacts in the white community and here was a wealthy white Christian with a new and voracious appetite to hear the implications of the gospel of Jesus Christ for his life. Perkins asked Smith if he knew any poor people, and when Smith sheepishly admitted he did not, Perkins invited Smith to travel with him to the poor black sections of Mendenhall and New Hebron to have his eyes opened. This was the first of many trips Smith took with Perkins who, during the ride down to Mendenhall, would preach his gospel principles of Christian community building: reconciliation, relocation and redistribution. “He was the one,” Smith acknowledges, “who really led me into reconciliation.”
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17 Smith was honored by Mission Mississippi who threw a banquet for his 65th birthday – with John Perkins as one of the presenters and VOC one of the sponsors of the event. "Reward of Reconciliation Banquet," (Program, Mission Mississippi, 11 May 1995, MMP).
Smith’s conversations with Perkins prepared him for the series of events that led to the start of Mission Mississippi. In 1991, CBMC invited Patrick Morley, a successful property developer from Florida recently turned author and evangelist, to speak in Jackson. Lee Paris, the chairman of CBMC, another young property developer and protégé of Smith, impressed by Morley, invited him back to speak at the 1992 CBMC sponsored Mayor’s Annual Leadership Prayer Breakfast. After these engagements, Morley still felt that he had unfinished business in Jackson. He called Paris proposing that CBMC sponsor a citywide crusade conducted by his organization, Patrick Morley Ministries. Paris was not sure that CBMC was in the business of organizing a “Billy Graham type thing,” but agreed to bring it before the board. The board, meeting in Lee Paris’ office, raised a simple objection: how could a white evangelist hold a successful citywide crusade in a majority African American city? Morley met this objection with a second proposal. He asked Lee Paris, “What if my friend Tom Skinner and I did this together?”

Paris and Smith knew of the African American evangelist Tom Skinner. Since his Harlem Evangelistic Association held a series of meetings at Harlem’s Apollo theatre in 1962, Skinner had appeared on platforms all over the country. With his tale of conversion from the violent street gangs of New York, Skinner mixed the traditional evangelists’ message of repentance and personal salvation with an appeal for reconciliation between
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races. Smith and Paris decided Morley and Skinner’s proposal was worth exploring, but lacking a consensus from CBMC’s board, the two men mailed out 300 letters to ministers and businessmen on plain paper inviting them to come to Primos Restaurant in November to hear the two evangelists pitch their vision for an interracial “Metro-Jackson Outreach Mission.”

Smith and Paris’s contacts with the white business community were incomparable; however, their connections with black churches in Jackson were negligible. James Baird, the minister at First Presbyterian Church where Lee Paris was an active member, with James Washington, the minister of St Peter Missionary Baptist Church, had formed a group consisting of black and white ministers who had been quietly meeting every month for conversation and prayer. This group, meeting since the mid-eighties, encouraged their members to attend the interest meeting with Pat Morley and Tom Skinner. Of the 130 curious guests who showed up at Primos, a core group of between 30 and 40 ministers came from Washington and Baird’s monthly meetings.

The monthly ministers’ meeting is very significant in the story of Mission Mississippi. The group’s approach to racial reconciliation grounded in friendships formed through the practice of regular conversation and prayer predates the emphasis on large-scale events that dominated the early years of Mission Mississippi. Today, when
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Mission Mississippi recounts its own story, it chooses to locate its origins, not in the Christian Businessmen’s Committee of Jackson or Pat Morley’s suggestion to hold a citywide crusade, but in the prayer meetings started by this group of ministers.26

**James Washington, James Baird and the Monthly Ministers’ Meeting.**

The monthly ministers’ meeting began when James Washington, the minister of St. Peter Missionary Baptist Church, deliberately sought to establish a relationship with First Presbyterian Church’s James Baird. Washington’s actions came, he explains, from his sense that God was prompting him to talk with this white man. “The Holy Spirit kind of convicted me. He said, ‘You know that’s a shame both of you men are ministers of the gospel and yet you seem to be so far apart.’”27 Meeting first on neutral ground at a Chinese restaurant, the two men simply shared their experiences of life in Mississippi from their different perspectives. “Let’s talk and let’s get to know each other,” Baird proposed. “You tell me about your Christianity and about your life in Mississippi through your eyes and I will tell you as one who comes in from the outside as to how I see it.”28

James Washington was born in Jackson on November 14, 1940. His father, Thomas Washington Sr., drove a truck for a freight line. Life for the young Washington revolved around two institutions of the black community: school and church. In Jackson, the strictly enforced segregation of the white churches made it difficult for Washington to view their members as serving the same savior.29

---

27 James Washington, interview by the author, 13 October 2004, Jackson, MS.
Witnessing the violent defense of Jim Crow by Jackson’s whites reinforced Washington’s view of the white churches. In the spring and summer of 1963, Jackson’s civil rights movement reached a crescendo of marches, sit-ins and boycotts. The state responded to the protests with mass arrests. Washington told Baird how he watched as the police arrested his brothers and sisters and took them away in garbage trucks to a makeshift barbed-wire stockade at the state fairground. “They were arrested,” Washington said, “for no other reason than walking down a street with an American flag singing ‘We Shall Overcome.’”

Called as assistant minister by historic Cade Chapel in 1964, Washington saw the freedom struggle play out from a position of leadership within the black community. In 1970, along with other African American ministers, he helped diffuse the potentially lethal stand off between angry protestors and armed police following the shooting by police of two Jackson State students.

James Baird’s perspective on the role and responsibility of the church during the Civil Rights Movement could not have been more different. During the 1960s, Baird, a young Presbyterian minister in Gadsden, Alabama, avoided any involvement in the movement. In 1965, with liberal clergy from all over the country rushing to Alabama to
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join Martin Luther King on the Selma to Montgomery March, Baird kept his distance.\(^{34}\)

Along with most conservative white clergy in the south, Baird considered the support for the civil rights movement from the liberal churches stemmed from their belief in the social gospel and “had little to do with the kingdom of God or Christianity.”\(^{35}\) It was not only ignorance of the social implications of the gospel that held him back, he confessed to Washington, but also fear for his job. “You couldn’t very well have a ministry in a conservative church and get all involved in the civil rights movement,” he explained, “because you’d probably be asked to leave the church.”\(^{36}\)

After three or four meetings, Washington and Baird thought that other ministers might benefit from having similar conversations. Each invited two people to join the group, and Baird offered his church as a venue. The meetings, they agreed, would be low key and the conversations confidential. The group met monthly at First Presbyterian Church. In regular attendance with Washington and Baird were: Maurice Bingham, a Bishop in the Church of Christ (Holiness) U.S.A.; Ronnie Crudup, the pastor of New Horizons, a new independent Pentecostal church in South Jackson; and Joe Rightmeyer, a conservative white minister at Covenant Presbyterian Church.\(^{37}\) In the five years the group met, the circle expanded to regularly include 15 ministers.

\(^{34}\) The most complete accounts of the clergy participation in the movement in Selma are in David J. Garrow, *Protest at Selma: Martin Luther King, Jr., and the Voting Rights Act of 1965* (New Haven, Conn.: Yale University Press, 1978); Charles Fager, *Selma, 1965: The March That Changed the South*, 2nd ed. (Boston: Beacon Press, 1985).

\(^{35}\) Baird, 9 Feb. 2004; Paul Harvey, *Freedom’s Coming: Religious Culture and the Shaping of the South from the Civil War through the Civil Rights Era* (Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 2005), 212. This split between southern evangelicals and the social gospel is considered in detail in the following chapter.


\(^{37}\) Ibid. Ronnie Crudup, interview by the author, 5 February 2004, Jackson, MS.
Crudup does not recall the term racial reconciliation ever being applied by the

group to what went on at those monthly gatherings; instead, it was “just the . . . sense that

there needed to be some coming together for people to know one another.”38 While this

sounds like a modest enterprise, it was a radically new experience for many of the

participants.39 As trust grew, the group found they could share their views openly with

each other and friendships deepened. “We would openly just discuss issues and be frank

and honest about how we felt about them,” Washington remembers; “but we did it in

love, and we discovered that we had a whole lot of things in common.”40 It was through

these informal monthly meetings with their changing cast of characters that a network of

relationships built up between white theologically conservative ministers and African

American pastors in Jackson.

In 1990, the ministers at the monthly meeting started a weekly morning prayer

meeting and invited members of their congregations to participate.41 The faithful met in

Jackson’s Salvation Army building every Tuesday before the workday began. African

American and white Christians prayed together for their city. “We prayed for Christian

harmony,” recalls Crudup, “[for] harmonious relationships between blacks and whites.”

As with the ministers meeting, the agenda did not appear radical. The very fact, however,

that people were meeting across racial and denominational lines proved a significant

move; “particularly,” Crudup points out, “in a city and state with the reputation that


---

40 Ibid.
41 Ronnie Crudup recalls the prayer meetings “had probably gone on at least a good year or more before
any thought ever came about in terms of Pat Morley and Tom Skinner.” Crudup, 5 Feb. 2004. This places
the first meeting in early 1990.
Mississippi had had where people really didn’t know one another.” With the formation of Mission Mississippi in 1993, this weekly prayer gathering provided the pattern and nucleus for the weekly Mission Mississippi prayer breakfasts.

**Mission Mississippi’s Kick-Off Rallies**

Those present at Primos Restaurant that day in November 1992 remember the emotion and conviction aroused among the guests as Skinner and Morley spoke of the impact a citywide campaign with racial unity at its core might have on Jackson. The meeting motivated enough of those present to form a steering committee who, at their first meeting on December 7, 1992, named the crusade Mission Mississippi.

Over the next ten months, with guidance from Patrick Morley Ministries, the committee laid plans for a stadium crusade. The fledgling organization, with its emphasis on bringing people together, decided not to have a fixed number of people on the steering committee; any minister or church representative could join. By October 1993, there were 224 people listed as members of the steering committee. While such a large body demonstrated the wide support for Mission Mississippi, it was clearly far too unwieldy to be a decision making body. The solution was the formation of a small executive committee of around twelve members. With Lee Paris as the chair, the other members of the committee were chosen evenly from the African American and white church community.

---

42 Ibid.
44 “Agenda Mission Mississippi Steering Committee Meeting, December 7, 1992.”
45 The first executive board as listed in Mission Mississippi Memos, Volume I, No.3, August 1993 consisted of Lee Paris (Chair), Dr. James Baird, Alvin Benson, Gwen Cannon, Dr. John Case, Rev. Michael
Evangelistic crusades claiming to unite churches and even races was nothing new to Mississippi. Located securely in the Bible belt, Jackson was a regular stop for traveling revival preachers and their crusades. For three weeks during the warm May evenings of 1922, crowds flocked to Poindexter Park to hear the noted evangelist Gypsy Smith. Invited by the Presbyterian minister J.B. Hutton, the tent in the park hosted the “campaign to make Jackson a better city.” This citywide campaign received the support of a number of Jackson’s churches and was a point of reference when the Billy Graham Crusade rolled into town for the first time in 1952.

Working through a coalition of local churches, the Billy Graham Crusade found the City Auditorium too small for their purposes and so they held their revival meetings -- with the congregation strictly segregated -- from June 15 through July 11 in Tiger Stadium of Bailey Junior School on North State Street. With church choir members from white churches across the city singing together in the Mass choir, the parochial and sectarian tendencies of Jackson’s faithful gave way to a vision of greater denominational unity. On the final Sunday of the crusade, Calvary Baptist Church, one of the largest Baptist churches in the city, cancelled its evening service so its members could attend. “This was the first time in the church’s history,” their church historian noted, “that an evening worship service had given way to another service.” Dramatic events demand

---

Culbreth, Rev. Dan Hall, Rev. Hosea Hines, Beth Holmes, O. Kendall Moore, Victor Smith, Father Richard Somers, and Dorothy Stewart.
47 Jackson Daily News, 3, 8, 14 May 1922 in Ibid., 83-94.
dramatic statistics and the Crusade counted 362,000 people attending the four-week revival, 5,927 of whom made “decisions.”  

Following the 1954 Brown v. Board of Education decision, Billy Graham began refusing to preach to segregated audiences. When Graham’s representative, Grady Wilson, returned to Jackson in 1964, this policy was viewed with suspicion. Wilson proposed a Crusade be held in the Jackson Coliseum, but the committee of church and laymen feared that the “leading businessmen of the city would not support an integrated meeting” and so withheld an invitation. The absence of Billy Graham did not dampen the desire for a crusade. Between July 7-14, 1968 the less controversial evangelist Dr. Paul M. Stevens, the president of the Southern Baptist Radio and Television Commission, presided over the Greater Jackson Crusade in the Coliseum.

When Billy Graham finally returned to the state capitol in 1975, public attitudes to race were markedly different. Held in the Mississippi Veterans Memorial Stadium – the same venue Mission Mississippi would use 18 years later – Graham pulled together local resources from across the traditional racial and denominational lines. The crusade’s platform reflected this new spirit of interracial possibilities; Johnny Cash and June Carter Cash rubbed shoulders with gospel star Andrae Crouch. The 1975 crusade was counted a

---

51 Stanford, The History of Calvary Baptist Church, Jackson, Mississippi, 247.
success, both by the traditional statistical measurements – 281,000 attended over eight days with 7,335 inquirers or decisions – but also by those who saw new possibilities for interracial cooperation between black and white ministers.⁵²

Mass demonstrations of Christian solidarity serve – so the participants believe – to demonstrate that churches serve a God who transcends denominational and racial identity and to offer a compelling witness to the unsaved. This evangelistic strategy became a standard feature of even the most unlikely crusades. In 1981, the controversial TV evangelist James Robison held a weeklong crusade in the Mississippi Memorial Stadium in Jackson. With his outspoken right wing politics and sensationalist preaching style, Robison failed to garner support from the large “first” churches in Jackson – including First Presbyterian Church and First Baptist Church – however enough churches, both white and black, supported him to raise the $75,000 budget. Robison emphasized the ecumenical and interracial nature of his crusade’s support stating repentance would not be preached “for whites only.”⁵³ That same year ministers, who would later become some of the founders of Mission Mississippi, organized the expressly interracial Mid-Mississippi Crusade for Christ led by African American evangelist Tony Evans.⁵⁴ After these crusades left town, little seemed to change in Jackson; 11 o’clock on Sunday morning remained the most segregated and sectarian hour of the week. The skeptic could be forgiven his suspicion that the Mission Mississippi crusade would leave just as fleeting an impression on the city.

⁵² Ibid., 257-58. John Perkins noted the interracial cooperation of ministers at the Billy Graham Crusade as a “hopeful sign” Perkins, A Quiet Revolution, 207.
⁵³ Art Toalston, ”Diverse Group of Churches Active in Robison's Crusade,” Clarion-Ledger, 21 March 1981.
From the start, despite appearances to the contrary, Mission Mississippi as originally conceived was fundamentally different from a Billy Graham Crusade. Lee Paris and the steering committee quickly decided the stadium event was only to be the start of a sustained movement. In Mission Mississippi’s first newsletter came the insistence: “Mission Mississippi is not an event. Mission Mississippi is a movement of God’s people toward unity.” The name “Mission Mississippi,” originally applied to a one-off event, was now the name of the movement. The planned three days in the stadium were now designated Mission Mississippi’s kick-off rallies.

Fig 2.1. Bumper sticker advertising Mission Mississippi’s “Kick-Off Rallies.”

Lee Paris, the chair of the executive committee, recalls the seriousness of the moment when they made the commitment to transition from planning an event to participating in a movement:

Somewhere in the ten months of putting all this together we realized we were going to have a great show, and it was going to make a fantastic article for the newspaper; and then by Tuesday everybody was going to go back and nothing was going to have really changed; and, that if we were serious about this it would be a lifetime commitment and not a weekend thing. So we . . . went around the table and said: Who’s in this for a twenty-year commitment?  

55 “Attention Members,” Mission Mississippi Memos 1, no. 1 (Spring 1993).  
Despite this commitment to become a movement, the popularity and success of Billy Graham-style evangelistic crusades provided the template for Mission Mississippi, influencing their planning and thinking.

Sociologist William Martin offers a succinct description of the preparation for a Billy Graham crusade:

Once an invitation has been accepted, representatives from the host city form a nonprofit corporation that will be responsible for financing the crusade and developing the necessary organization. Several months before a crusade begins, the Graham association sends members of its team to open an office in the host city and involve as many people as possible in various facets of the crusade. Committees, choruses, Bible classes, orientation sessions for ushers and counselors, prayer groups, and other activities arranged by the team involve thirty to forty thousand, and sometimes as many as eighty thousand people in various facets of a crusade.\(^{57}\)

With Mission Mississippi established as a non-profit organization, Pat Morley Ministries helped in the planning of a very similar style build up to the stadium event. The steering committee created sub-committees to handle the logistics of a large stadium crusade. These committees were: Publicity, Arrangements, Community Outreach and Follow-up, Finance, Music, Prayer and Youth. Three of these committees (Publicity, Music and Prayer) were co-chaired by African Americans and whites.\(^{58}\)

The prayer committee encouraged people to attend the weekly prayer meetings held at 7 am on Thursday mornings at different locations throughout the city. They also held monthly evening prayer rallies at different churches. As well as the on-going schedule of prayer meetings, the committee organized special prayer events for pastors.

\(^{57}\) Martin, “Billy Graham,” 79.
In June at an overnight retreat named *The Pastor’s Prayer Gathering*, ministers had the opportunity to spend time with Skinner and Morley.59

Pat Morley and Tom Skinner took an active role in the build up for the crusade making several visits to Jackson in 1993 before the rallies. On January 22, they spoke to a pastor’s luncheon at the Mississippi agricultural and Forestry Museum Auditorium.60 They returned in April for the Mayor’s 22nd Annual Leadership Prayer Breakfast attended by 800 of Jackson’s great and good citizens. That morning at the Coliseum Ramada Inn, “116 [attendees] committed to pray for Mission Mississippi and [to] be involved in their weekly prayer gatherings.”61 Following the Mayor’s breakfast, Skinner and Morley spoke to students at Lanier High School. Skinner, concerned about the level of participation of African American ministers in Mission Mississippi, requested that Paris send him contact information for Jackson’s black pastors so he could personally encourage them to get involved.62 At every Mission Mississippi event Skinner and Morley spoke at, they challenged people to exchange their name, phone number and address with someone of a different race, “preferably the same gender,” and make a commitment to call.63

The prominence of building personal relationships between African Americans and whites in Mission Mississippi was not part of the Billy Graham model. This distinctive emphasis is particularly evident in the decision to hold picnics in Smith Park in the run-up to the rallies. On Saturday, July 24, 1993, a new tradition started in the

59 The retreat took place at the Southwest Holiday Inn on Highway 80, 15-16 June. Dan Hall and Melvin Anderson letter to Jackson area pastors, 26 May 1993, MMP.
60 LeRoy H. Paris to Jackson area pastors, 12 January 1993, MMP.
61 “Mayor’s Annual Leadership Prayer Breakfast,” *Mission Mississippi Memos* 1, no. 2 (June 1993).
62 LeRoy H. Paris to Tom Skinner, 22 April 1993, MMP.
small park nestled between the Governor’s mansion and the State Capitol. Organizers reported 1200 people in attendance, “complete with every food fantasy needed for a Mississippi picnic in the park”\(^6^4\) In Mississippi, the picnic – from the barbeques at African American family reunions to whites tailgating in the Grove at Oxford before an Ole Miss football game – speaks powerfully of kinship, tradition and shared history. Mission Mississippi hoped to demonstrate through these picnics a new kinship and tradition not based in the divided and bloody racial history of Mississippi but in Christian unity.

A second picnic in Smith Park on Saturday October 9, just two weeks before the rallies, was the first of an intense flurry of events to take the Mission Mississippi message to the city. Skinner and Morley spoke at 13 schools (city public schools and private white academies) and 12 churches in these two weeks.\(^6^5\) With just five days to go before the opening night, the supporters of Mission Mississippi met on October 19 for a night of prayer in the City Auditorium. That same evening WLBT-TV, Jackson’s NBC station -- the same station that had once carried advertising for the Citizens’ Council -- aired for free a 30 minute statewide telecast about Mission Mississippi and its message of unity in the body of Christ.\(^6^6\) Viewers heard white Mississippian Randall Mooney speak of his commitment to forging new relationships with African Americans and the opportunity Mission Mississippi afforded to change the country’s perception of the state:

“We [in Mississippi] have become the byword that is used for racial problems. . . I think the biggest thing that we have to defeat with Mission Mississippi [is]. . . the stigma that has been attached to the state of Mississippi for racism. I’m not saying that racism is not here. I’m not saying the problem is not here. But I am saying that the nation has put a magnifying glass on this state and has pointed the finger at us and said, ‘They are the ones that are racist.’ And that is why it is so wonderful that God has decided, I believe, to choose that which is last to make it first.”

By Sunday, the day of the first rally, Mission Mississippi boasted the support of 61 of Jackson’s churches. These ranged from the largest white downtown churches including First Baptist, First Presbyterian, Galloway United Methodist, and the Catholic and Episcopal Cathedrals to some of the most significant African American churches including Bishop Bingham’s Christ Temple Church of Christ Holiness and Ronnie Crudup’s New Horizons.

Just as in 1922 when organizers dubbed Gypsy Smith’s revival meetings a “campaign to make Jackson a better city,” in 1993, Mission Mississippi boosted the social benefits of this latest crusade. “Christian business people, religious leaders, and concerned citizens,” declared the Clarion-Ledger, “have joined forces to combat racism, increasing crime, drug abuse and destruction of family values in a movement called Mission Mississippi.” This presentation of Mission Mississippi as a restorer of the social fabric of Jackson assisted the organization in raising funds for the event. Courting the sponsorship of the supermarket chain Jitney Jungle, Mission Mississippi pointed out that their aim “to bring about brotherhood and unity would greatly enhance life in our

---

67 Maxwell, "Beating Racism."
68 "Who’s Involved?" Bringing Down the Walls that Divide Us, Mission Mississippi Promotional Newspaper, 1993, MMP. Noticeably absent are the most historic African American churches: Cade Chapel and Central Methodist Church.
state both *economically* and spiritually.”\(^7\) In its first year, Mission Mississippi received significant donations from: Deposit Guaranty National Bank, Trustmark National Bank, LDSS Communications McRaes, Inc, as well as South Central Bell and the state’s power company, Mississippi Power & Light.\(^2\) These contributions from big business, when added to the donations from individuals and churches, enabled Mission Mississippi to raise the quarter of a million dollars they needed.\(^3\)

A utility company supporting Christian proselytizing might surprise many people unfamiliar with the culture of Mississippi. An incredulous reporter for the *Clarion-Ledger* investigated this relationship between businesses and Christianity in Jackson in 1996. He found a corporate culture that was likely to open the day with Bible study and its meetings with prayer. Bernie Ebbers, the now discredited chief executive and president of WorldCom and corporate donor to the Baptist Mississippi College, told the reporter, “I am thankful for my faith,” explaining his Fortune 500 company was built by men “on their knees.”\(^4\)

Mission Mississippi, with its origins in the Christian Business Men’s Committee of Jackson, was a part of this Christian corporate world and their chairman spoke the language effortlessly. In 1995, Lee Paris (whose father, Henry Paris, was the chairman of the board of Union Planters Bank\(^5\)) wrote to Union Planters Bank in Jackson explaining

---


\(^3\) Mission Mississippi’s tax return for 1993 shows they spent $244,056 on the stadium rallies and accompanying publicity. Ibid.

\(^4\) Hue Ha, "Quiet Faith Undergirds Main Street Commerce," *Clarion-Ledger*, 16 October 1996.

to them “our leading lending institutions have taken a strong interest in this work.” He then suggested that, “with Union Planters becoming a major corporate citizen of our state, Mission Mississippi would greatly appreciate a contribution in the $5,000 – 10,000 range . . . toward building relationships toward the good of Mississippi.”

The Rallies: “Bringing Down the Walls that Divide Us.”

Mission Mississippi invited all of Jackson’s churches to participate in the three-day event of music, speakers, and worship entitled “Bringing Down the Walls that Divide Us” with the subheading “Unity Through Christ.” The Kick-off rallies started on Sunday afternoon October 24 with the “Worship and Unity Rally;” Monday evening was the Youth Musical Extravaganza, and Tuesday evening was “Bring your neighbor night”. The crowd, filling the bottom tier of seats behind the stadium’s end zone, gazed across the pitch at the temporary stage. The stadium dwarfed the event; with a capacity of 60,000, Mission Mississippi mustered 24,000 over three days.

The format of the rallies deviated little from a Billy Graham Crusade. Celebrities shared their testimonies from the platform interspersed by music from professional musicians and the mass choir all leading up to the message and appeal. As with Graham’s mission to Jackson in 1975, Mission Mississippi presented an interracial Christian unity by balancing white and African American participation. Dave Dravecky, the white former pitcher for the San Francisco Giants, appeared high on the bill along with the five-time Grammy winning gospel singer Larnelle Harris. Also featured were two former
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76 LeRoy H. Paris to Jack Garner, Union Planters Bank, 1 August 1995, MMP.
77 Mission Mississippi rally flyer, 1993, MMP.
78 Unifying the Body of Christ, 2.
Miss Americas, the African American, Debbye Turner and white, Cheryl Prewitt Salem.79

The kick-off rallies also took a leaf out of Billy Graham’s book in the way they worked hard to reassure local pastors that the participation of their congregation did not threaten their authority or church membership. “All new/renewed believers will be directed to their own Churches or to attend with their friends,” Mission Mississippi’s literature stated; and the minister’s prestige would be safeguarded as “All participating pastors will be seated on the platform at least once.”80

Without changing the familiar format of an evangelistic crusade, Mission Mississippi simply inserted a message of racial reconciliation at the appropriate places. On the second evening, as a pianist played the hymn Just as I Am, Morley intoned in a calm reassuring voice, “If you want to be an agent of change. If you want to make that decision . . . and you want to give your life to Jesus Christ . . . to be a person who will lead Mississippi back into a right relationship with God and right relationship with each other . . . stand up where you are now and come.” As those who responded to the message slowly assembled on the pitch, Morley invited them to pray the sinner’s prayer. As Morley dropped into the well-worn rhetorical groove of personal salvation in this ritual of missions, crusades and
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79 “Music/Speakers/Worship,” Bringing Down the Walls that Divide Us, (Promotional Newspaper) (1993, MMP). This is evangelism as entertainment and so the more dramatic or lurid the testimony and the hardship conquered the better. At Mission Mississippi’s rallies, Draveky the baseball pitcher shared how he “came back to play in the majors after undergoing surgery for cancer in his pitching arm,” and then its subsequent amputation. Tom Skinner himself told the youth assembled for Monday night’s Youth Musical Extravaganza, “I ended up with 22 notches on the handle of my knife which meant my blade had gone into the bodies of 22 different people.”

Perhaps the most stunning example of dramatic testimony came when Cheryl Prewitt Salem, the white beauty queen, told the audience, “You know I was a cripple from a car wreck for six years of my life. I have been through three windshields, I have had over 150 stitches in my face, I have never had any plastic surgery and yet I still won Miss America for God so he could be glorified.” Youth Musical Extravaganza, 25 October 1993. Transcribed from VHS tape from WAPT-TV, LPP.

80 “Agenda Mission Mississippi Steering Committee Meeting, December 7, 1992.”
revivals as old as Billy Sunday and Gypsy Smith, the new language of racial reconciliation and social change disappeared:

Lord Jesus I need you. Thank you for dying on the cross for my sins; I open the door of my life and receive you by faith as my Savior and Lord. Thank you for forgiving my sins and for giving me eternal life. I now invite you to take control of my life and make me into the kind of person you want me to be."81

While lacking the theological imagination and agility to integrate the message of racial reconciliation into the format of the appeal, the appeal was not the only symbolic focus of the rallies. During the planning, Paris had had “an inspiration – a vision, an idea. He didn’t know what to call it,”82 that black and white pastors would raise a large cross together. Inspired by Jesus’ promise in the gospel of John, If I am lifted up, I will draw all men to myself, the steering committee believed “If the races would demonstrate reconciliation at the cross, the city would see Jesus.”83 And so they planned to raise a 24-foot cross during the rally. Thirty-one years earlier in the same stadium, Governor Ross Barnett in front of a crowd of 41,000 cheering and screaming Mississippians raised his fist and screamed his defiance to the Kennedy administration and the forces of desegregation: “I love Mississippi! I love her people! Our customs! I love and respect our heritage!”84 Now, instead of waving rebel flags and singing “Go Mississippi!” the crowd sang the great hymn of mission and unity: Lift high the cross, the love of Christ proclaim, till all the world adore his sacred Name. They watched as to the right of the stage their
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81 Youth Musical Extravaganza, October 25, 1993. Transcribed from VHS tape from WAPT-TV, LPP.
82 Unifying the Body of Christ, 3.
83 Ibid.
pastors in their suits and blazers raised the cross. It reminded one onlooker of the marines raising the flag on Iwo Jima. 85

The day after the final stadium event, organizers met at the Cabot Lodge Hotel to evaluate the past ten months of work. Those who had financially supported Mission Mississippi to the tune of $250,000 were presented with the hard calculus of the return on their investment: “Tom Skinner and Pat Morley spoke 50 times . . . to 80,000 people, presenting Christ to 40,000. 24,000 people attended three days of outdoor rallies,” supporters of Morley Ministries were later told. “Pat & Tom spoke to 16,000 kids in

public and private schools at which they were openly permitted to share Christ." 86 By the end of the rallies, Mission Mississippi had also received the support of 67 churches from the Jackson area. 87 The event had received not only good regional media coverage, but The Chicago Tribune carried the story under the headline “Beating Racism, one friendship at a time.” The subheading continued, “It sounds simple, maybe even simplistic, but in Mississippi it seems to be working.” 88 Both Tom Skinner and Pat Morley were convinced of the importance of what they had been a part of and committed themselves to Mission Mississippi “on a long-term basis.” 89 Pat Morley left Jackson proclaiming, “Mission Mississippi is a ten year strategic plan to bring the entire city together around the person of Christ.” 90 The steering committee left the meeting tired but determined to continue the weekly prayer meetings and plan further events.

Interestingly, two things that received the most glowing endorsements that day at the Cabot Lodge were not the big budget items, but the picnics that encouraged interaction between churches and the “black and white issues discussion.” 91 The Chicago Tribune had it right when it identified the development of friendships as the key to the Mission Mississippi’s potential. At least one person that day wondered if holding further large-scale events was the way that Mission Mississippi should continue. Phil Reed of VOC voiced his concern at the apparent intention to continue holding large-scale events. In a letter to Paris he wrote, “It does not seem like good stewardship of resources to

88 Maxwell, "Beating Racism."
89 “Mission Mississippi De-Briefing, Notes,” 27 October 1993, MMP.
90 Morley, “Mission Mississippi Highlights.”
91 “Mission Mississippi De-Briefing, Notes.”
spend the larger part of a $265,000 budget for a three day rally... given all of the needs in Jackson, and given the shortage of funds among many of the local ministries meeting those needs." 92

Reed’s was not the first question raised from within Mission Mississippi’s ranks about the wisdom of large rallies. Dan Hall, a white Baptist minister and co-chair of the prayer committee, had written a letter to Morley and Skinner nine months before the rallies concerned about “Big Eventism,” which he explained “cultivates relationships based on activity and thus terms success by energy levels achieved and events accomplished.” Such a “high profile, mega-event hoopla... generates temporary relationships and commitments, but fails to maintain either one beyond whatever event is finally being produced.” 93 This “Big-Eventism” threatened to undermine what Hall saw as Mission Mississippi’s strengths: “long term commitment, painstaking relationships, and heart based responsiveness to the need of the gospel preached to every facet of our society.” 94

The question of whether Mission Mississippi should continue pouring energy and resources into producing and promoting large-scale events did not go away. In the fall of 1993, however, Hall and Reed’s concerns were ignored or overlooked. This was, in part, because of the apparent success of the rally format and the association with Patrick Morley Ministries whose stated mission was “To help bring about a spiritual awakening in America and the world by conducting large-scale evangelistic and church renewal
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92 Phil Reed to LeRoy H. Paris II, November 1, 1993, MMP.
93 Dan Hall to Pat Morley and Tom Skinner, 21 January 1993, MMP.
94 Ibid.
missions in strategic cities."\(^95\) The continued investment in large-scale evangelistic missions was also an issue of imagination. This was a very familiar and understood format for the large evangelical churches and white evangelical businessmen. A large event with big name speaker was an easy sell, and the prize of soul-winning a worthy investment. How could you generate the same level of support and excitement for picnics and small prayer meetings? Mission Mississippi had hit on a familiar and workable formula that it would continue for four years.

The next year, Mission Mississippi planned a two-day *Celebration of Reconciliation in Christ* on October 18 and 19, to take place in the Mississippi Coliseum. In May, the executive committee hired Jarvis Ward, a young African American minister, as the fulltime executive director for Mission Mississippi. A supporter of Mission Mississippi from the beginning (Ward had served on the original steering committee and the youth committee for the 1993 rallies), he left his job as the director of special ministries at New Lake Outreach Center, an inner city ministry in Jackson to take the position.\(^96\)

In June 1994, Mission Mississippi received the news that Tom Skinner had died of complications relating to acute leukemia.\(^97\) His wife, Barbara Williams-Skinner, came

\(^95\) Patrick M. Morley, "Patrick Morley Ministries Inc. Purpose," (29 January 1993, MMP). Mission Mississippi organizers looked to the success of the new evangelical men’s movement Promise Keepers who that year gathered 50,000 men in University of Colorado’s Folsom Field. In 1994, 278,000 men attended Promise Keeper Conferences. In 1995, it was 738,000; in 1996 the attendance at 22 stadium conferences was 1.1 million men. *The History of Promise Keepers* (Promise Keepers, 2001 [cited 16 May 2005]); available from http://www.promisekeepers.org/genr12. Large events in the 90s were not the exclusive domain of evangelical Christians. In 1995, the Nation of Islam’s Louis Farrakhan organized the Million Man March.


to Jackson that October to appear on the platform with Pat Morley and support the main speaker Dr. Tony Evans.\textsuperscript{98} The rallies followed a similar pattern to those of the previous year with the first night as the celebration and a youth rally on the second night. Evans preached a message of unity. Striking a militant tone, he told his listeners, “God is forming a coalition of black people, white people, red people, yellow people to take back this land that this madman, Satan, has tried to claim.”\textsuperscript{99} Paris made a statement to the city’s newspaper that “Blacks and whites have come together once again from across the city to celebrate reconciliation in our Lord Jesus Christ.”\textsuperscript{100} However, they had not come in the hoped for numbers. According to Mission Mississippi’s press release, only 9,000 attended over the two nights.\textsuperscript{101}

Each October for the following three years, Mission Mississippi produced big events with big name speakers. In 1995 Frank Pollard, the minister of Jackson’s First Baptist Church, shared the billing with E.V. Hill, who the \textit{Clarion-Ledger} pointed out was “one of the featured speakers for the National Promise Keepers men’s rally.”\textsuperscript{102} Taking place in the shadow of the O.J. Simpson trial, 13,000 people attended over the two nights.\textsuperscript{103} The next year Coach McCartney, the founder of Promise Keepers, came with his friend Bishop Porter the chairman of the Promise Keeper’s board. The last of the

\textsuperscript{98} Evans, an African American, was senior pastor of the 2,500 member Oak Cliff Bible Fellowship in Dallas, Texas and founder of \textit{The Urban Alternative} which has its own radio ministry. \textit{“1994 Celebration of Reconciliation in Christ,”} Mission Mississippi pamphlet, 1995, MMP.


\textsuperscript{100} Ibid.

\textsuperscript{101} \textit{“Press Release,”} 1994, MMP. Numbers prove are a slippery thing, and by the time Mission Mississippi wrote its own official account just over a year later the number had risen to 12,000. \textit{Unifying the Body of Christ}, 4.


\textsuperscript{103} \textit{Unifying the Body of Christ}, 4.
big rallies came in 1997 when the First Baptist Church Mission Committee funded the international evangelist Josh McDowell’s visit to Jackson.  

To see Mission Mississippi during this time as simply organizing large-scale evangelistic rallies is a mistake. As attendance at, and support for, the Coliseum events waned the quieter business of building relationships across racial and denominational lines continued. It was in this field of relationship building that Mission Mississippi proved most creative. As well as the standard fare of Businessmen’s luncheons and Mayor’s prayer breakfasts, Mission Mississippi organized: two and two restaurant nights where local restaurants agreed to give 22% discounts to black and white couples who made a dinner date together; church partnerships between black and white churches which extended from pulpit exchanges and shared services to partnering to build Habitat houses; the annual “Picnic in the Park” where black and white churches partnered to out-do each other in down-home cooking; and all the while those attending the weekly morning prayer meetings kept praying together.

By 1996, the staff of Mission Mississippi consisted of three full time and two part time positions with additional volunteer staff. Despite the growing number of paid employees, there was an awareness that the involvement of churches in Jackson was waning. The executive board concluded, “only 2% of the Churches have caught the vision of Mission Mississippi.” Ward was all too aware of the problem. As he talked

104 Jarvis C. Ward, “Executive Director Activities Status Report,” April 1997, MMP.
106 “Minutes of the Meeting of the Executive Board of Mission Mississippi, January 16, 1996,” MMP.
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with different church leaders, he discovered them less inclined to support Mission Mississippi now that it was clearly an ongoing commitment not simply a one off crusade.108

Organizers could not ignore the poor attendance at the 1996 rally featuring Coach McCartney and Bishop Porter. “The sight of a huge coliseum and a formal program before a very sparse crowd has been discouraging” John Geary, Mission Mississippi’s Director of Development, told Paris. The rallies, headlined by big names, failed to draw crowds. Geary noted pithily, “Publicity and advance work has been good. Attendance has not.”109

The 1996 rally proved to be a watershed for Mission Mississippi. It marked the end of the pursuit of racial reconciliation through “Big Eventism” and a re-focusing on the small group work that had always been a part of Mission Mississippi. Following the rally, the board held a special meeting with an outside facilitator to take stock of progress and the future. They created a committee of four members “to consolidate the discussions of the Board as to vision strategy, means and specific implementation.” They reported back to the board on January 7, 1997 with a document, “Mission Mississippi Vision 1997 and Beyond.”110 The document clearly showed a desire to develop Mission Mississippi at the state level, and while acknowledging, “Demonstrations of unity within the Body of Christ are the foundation of evangelism,” the emphasis in the rhetoric shifted toward making unity, rather than evangelism, the focus of all activities. The weekly prayer

meetings were now considered the most effective and “chief activity of Mission Mississippi,” while the annual rallies were to become celebrations “to demonstrate this unity.”

The board decided that Mission Mississippi needed a new executive director to raise the money for, and implement, this new vision. Ward left Mission Mississippi in mid-November 1997.111 “Your decision,” he told Paris, “helped to confirm the direction the Lord had already led me in making . . . It is God’s will and His timing.”112 Mission Mississippi announced Dolphus Weary, a former board member, would be its new Executive Director. With the hiring of Weary, Mission Mississippi secured a connection to its third key stream: John Perkins and Voice of Calvary.

Dolphus Weary

Dolphus Weary was born August 7, 1946 in Sandy Hook, Mississippi, on the southern Mississippi-Louisiana border, into a poor sharecropper’s family. When Weary was two years old, the family moved to D’Lo, Mississippi where his father abandoned his wife and eight children. D’Lo straddles highway 49 just two miles north of Mendenhall, the town where in 1961 John Perkins started holding youth rallies, Bible camps and revivals.113 At the age of seventeen, Weary accepted an invitation to a tent revival meeting run by Voice of Calvary.114 That evening, Weary responded to the evangelist’s message; “I realized I was a sinner, that I wanted His salvation, and that I accepted it as

111 LeRoy H. Paris to Jarvis Ward, 15 October 1997, MMP.
112 Jarvis C. Ward to Lee Paris, October 1, 1997, MMP.
113 Perkins, A Quiet Revolution, 41-47.
114 John Perkins, interview by the author, 12 October 2004, Jackson, MS.
His gift to me.” In the weeks and months that followed, the young Weary spent time at Voice of Calvary attending bible studies and learning from Perkins and other Christians.

Weary grew up experiencing the capricious paternalism of whites and the grinding poverty and injustice of the sharecropping system. With ambitions to be a teacher and evangelist, Weary determined to leave Mississippi never to return. In 1967, assisted by contacts made through VOC, he transferred from the local Junior College on a basketball scholarship to Los Angeles Baptist College (LABC). Weary, along with another black Mississippian, were the first African Americans admitted at LABC. While there, he met a fellow African American student named Rosie Camper and they married in 1970. After Dolphus completed his Bachelor’s and a Master’s degree in Christian education, the Wearys returned to Mendenhall to work with VOC. When Perkins left Mendenhall for Jackson in 1974, Weary took over as director of programs. In 1978, under Weary’s leadership, VOC in Mendenhall became autonomous from VOC Jackson. Weary became the President and Executive Director of the renamed Mendenhall Ministries.

In appointing Weary as Executive Director, Mission Mississippi had exchanged a young charismatic evangelist with a heart for young people for a veteran of the Christian community development movement. Weary was also a gifted preacher grounded in the evangelical school of John Perkins that emphasized content over the more emotional style of preaching found in many African American churches. As the successor of John Perkins in Mendenhall Ministries, Weary had experience of preaching from white pulpits.

and fundraising in white evangelical churches and colleges across the country. Paris, who had met Weary through Mission Mississippi and read his autobiography, saw in Weary’s experience exactly what Mission Mississippi needed. "He has been traveling around the United States delivering the message of reconciliation, which is also the message of Mission Mississippi," Paris told the Clarion-Ledger. “He is uniquely qualified to provide the leadership that will take Mission Mississippi into the 21st century.” Having served on Mission Mississippi’s board, Weary was well aware of their desire to make Mission Mississippi a statewide organization.

Before launching Mission Mississippi statewide, Weary took stock of the resources at his disposal. On his departure from Mission Mississippi, Jarvis Ward reported 100 participating churches, but these churches often did little more than sign their name to the big events and host the prayer breakfast when it was their turn. “I came here and found out there were less than 20 churches that actually were financially supporting Mission Mississippi,” Weary remembers.

Nineteen ninety-eight was “a year of rest” and regrouping. John Geary departed and Jon Elder, a seasoned white youth worker with 20 years as Executive Director of Youth for Christ, came on the staff as Assistant Director and Statewide Coordinator. For the first time in Mission Mississippi’s short history, there was no rally. Instead, a “Reconciliation Weekend” took place that October. Utilizing “local leaders and

---
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music,” rather than importing Christian celebrities, the weekend was a time to “re-focus on our mission: *reconciliation through meaningful relationships.*” Mission Mississippi held a youth retreat over the Friday night and Saturday. On Sunday, October 26, designated ‘Reconciliation Sunday,’ Mission Mississippi encouraged churches to have “pulpit swaps, choir swaps and joint worship services.” The weekend finished with a worship celebration on the Monday night with Dolphus Weary’s mentor, John Perkins, delivering the address.124

In 1999, Dolphus Weary set out the new agenda in his column in the Mission Mississippi newsletter. Mission Mississippi would now have a “four-fold focus.” First: restructure the office; second: go statewide working with existing racial reconciliation organizations; third: continue in Jackson. Most significant was the fourth focus: building more relationships “at the grassroots level.” This marked the major shift away from the rallies. “Rather than focusing on events,” Weary told the ministry’s supporters, “Mission Mississippi will begin to deal with deeper heart issues, encouraging all Christians in Jackson and across Mississippi to surrender to the Holy Spirit and the reconciling work He wants to do in our lives.”125 This met with the wholehearted approval of the chairman, Lee Paris, who declared, “We are past the infant, and childhood stages of this ministry.”126 Weary now felt in a position to expand Mission Mississippi’s horizons beyond Jackson.

---
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Grace is Greater than Race

In 2000, Weary launched Mission Mississippi as a statewide movement in a carefully prepared and well publicized campaign called “The Grace is Greater than Race Tour.” In the fall, Mission Mississippi held a series of meetings in 10 cities across the state. From Sunday, November 12 in Hattiesburg and Biloxi to Sunday, November 19 in Vicksburg and Jackson, “Unity Rallies” took place in black and white churches from the coast to the hill country and from the pine belt to the Delta. Weary preached at each

127 “Grace Is Greater Than Race,” Mission Mississippi Messenger 5 (Fall 2000). The cities were Hattiesburg, Biloxi, Meridian, Columbus, Tupelo, Oxford, Grenada, Greenville, Vicksburg and Jackson.
rally ending his sermons with a call for pastors and lay people to make “intentional commitments to have us come back to help them organize a Mission Mississippi chapter.” To help in this task, Weary encouraged participants to attend the 2001 Statewide Conference on Christian Unity taking place in Jackson that coming February. Despite the low turnout on the final evening’s Unity Rally in Jackson’s Smith-Wills Stadium where around 300 people huddled in the stands as temperatures hovered just above freezing, Mission Mississippi declared the tour a success. The Grace is Greater than Race Tour became an annual event with 11 cities included the following fall. By the end of 2001, Jon Elder was able to report to the board that, including Jackson, there were now eight Mission Mississippi chapters of affiliates with “leaders working to form a Chapter or an Affiliate” in eight other cities.

Following the “Grace is Greater than Race Statewide Christian Unity Conference” in May of 2003, Weary shifted Mission Mississippi’s emphasis again. Now, moving into its second decade, Mission Mississippi’s watchword was “Going Deeper!” The Grace is Greater than Race Tour IV would not consist of rallies to celebrate unity; instead there would be a series of four regional “mini-conferences.” These conferences aimed to educate the members of Mission Mississippi’s chapters and affiliates in the theology, practices and lessons that Mission Mississippi had developed over ten years of work in Jackson.

129 Counting and freezing conducted by the author.
II. Mission Mississippi’s Theology of Racial Reconciliation

Presenting a coherent and systematic picture of Mission Mississippi’s theology is a difficult task. An ecumenical movement, Mission Mississippi avoids public pronouncements that might make member churches uncomfortable or even withdraw. With its single objective of bringing Christians together across racial and denominational lines, it is difficult to discern which pronouncements are rooted in political expediency and which form core beliefs of the organization. Because so many different churches with their different sets of practices and beliefs are involved in Mission Mississippi, one cannot talk about the organization having a single theology. There are within Mission Mississippi major theological themes that are often in tension with each other; it would be more accurate to talk of Mission Mississippi’s theologies of racial reconciliation.

Political Expediency and Ecumenism

In tracing Mission Mississippi’s theologies of racial reconciliation, it is necessary first to appreciate the constraints placed upon the movement by its goal of not only bringing Christians together across racial and denominational lines, but also keeping them there. This is particularly challenging in Mississippi’s racially segregated and religiously sectarian society. “I am scared of Christians,” explains Dolphus Weary. “I am just nervous when I get around Christians. . . If you say the wrong stuff you get turned off.”

---

Weary has good reason to be nervous. Within months of launching, Mission Mississippi was finding it difficult to hold their ecumenical coalition together. From the start, Mission Mississippi received wholehearted support from the Roman Catholic Diocese of Mississippi. In April 1993, every priest in the Jackson area received a letter from Fr. Francis Cosgrove, the Vicar General of the diocese, encouraging their “participation and that of your parishioners in this important event of reconciliation.” This came with the “wholehearted support of Bishop Houck.” The endorsement came with a personal recommendation: “I have known Lee [Paris] for several years since he coordinated ecumenical activities as a student at Ole Miss.” Fr. Cosgrove noted. Mission Mississippi also involved Roman Catholics in leadership: Fr. Richard Somers, the priest at St. Richard Church, Jackson, sat on the executive committee.

Trouble was not long in coming. In an open letter sent in May 1993, Rev. Wayne Rogers, a Presbyterian Church in America (PCA) minister at St. Paul Presbyterian Church stated:

The official recognition and inclusion of Roman Catholics on the executive committee and holding meetings at their church calls into question what “Gospel” is being preached, and implies and identifies Roman Catholicism in the public and Christian community as an evangelical and Christian church. This is an abomination to anyone who knows the teaching of the Roman Catholic Church concerning the basis of salvation.

Announcing his church’s withdrawal from Mission Mississippi, Rogers went on to challenge his “Christian brothers in the PCA to consider their participation in a coalition

---
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that undermines the gospel it professes to proclaim.”¹³⁶ This was not an isolated incident. Others had specific theological problems with the gospel they heard proclaimed. Riverwood Bible Church demanded clarification when they believed they detected a post-millennial flavor to Skinner and Morley’s eschatology.¹³⁷

Dolphus Weary and Mission Mississippi’s caution when dealing with the plethora of churches involved in Mission Mississippi, and its desire not to “say the wrong stuff,” means that some of its theologies remain implicit, while some explicit statements may reflect a politically expedient desire to placate certain constituents.

Sources

There is a wide range of sources to consider when exploring Mission Mississippi’s explicit theologies. Director of Mission Mississippi since 1998, and President since 2005, Dolphus Weary is the spokesman for Mission Mississippi. He preaches in numerous pulpits, black and white, across the country.¹³⁸ In 2001 alone, he spoke on 85 occasions.¹³⁹ In Weary, Mission Mississippi has someone who articulates and develops its message of unity and reconciliation in Christ. His sermons and interviews are the first place to go to understand Mission Mississippi’s theology; but they are not the only source. Others regularly speak in meetings and to the media as official voices for Mission Mississippi. These people include: Lee Paris, the chairman of the board; Neddie Winters, executive director of Mission Mississippi since 2005, and Dan

¹³⁶ Ibid.
¹³⁷ Jerry Clark et al. to LeRoy H. Paris, 1 March 1993, MMP.
¹³⁸ Mission Mississippi created the position of President for Weary in 2005 to allow him to concentrate on his preaching and public speaking. Neddie Winters became the Executive Director responsible for the day-to-day running of the organization.
Hall, the former minister of Cornerstone Church in Jackson and challenger of the “Big Eventism” of the opening rally, who regularly appears as facilitator and speaker at Mission Mississippi’s conferences. In addition to these sermons, lectures, and workshops, there are over ten years of press interviews and Mission Mississippi’s own publications to mine for emerging theological patterns and themes. Considering Mission Mississippi’s implicit theologies is a more difficult and more subjective task. It requires the observation and examination of Mission Mississippi’s practices mindful of their context in the Closed Society.

The Evangelical Emphasis

The demographic characteristics peculiar to Mississippi influence the theologies and practices of Mission Mississippi. Mississippi has proportionally more African American residents than any other state in the Union. According to the 2000 US Census, out of a total population of 2,844,658, 61.4% of Mississippians are white and 36.3% are Black or African American. Statisticians also show that proportionately Mississippi is a state with one of the highest number of religious adherents: only 7% claim to have no religion at all. The majority of these religious adherents subscribe to a socially conservative evangelical Protestantism. As historian Randy Sparks notes, “The huge

140 Hall led the workshops in Mission Mississippi’s 2004 and 2005 Statewide Unity Conferences and facilitated the board’s retreat in 2004.
142 The term evangelical is a broad one, but here it is used to include the broad sweep of Protestant churches that are part of a modern movement within Protestantism dating from the 1940s that rejected liberal theology, but wished to avoid the controversies and mud-slinging associated with fundamentalism. This movement, championed by Billy Graham, was embodied in the National Association of Evangelicals founded in 1942, in Fuller Theological Seminary, Wheaton College, and in the pages of Christianity Today. D. G. Hart, Deconstructing Evangelicalism: Conservative Protestantism in the Age of Billy Graham (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2004), 23-26,111; George M. Marsden, Understanding Fundamentalism and
preponderance of evangelical Protestants among religious people in Mississippi” gives the state “the appearance of religious homogeneity.” This, however, is a bifurcated homogeneity. African American Christians largely attend the historically African American protestant churches, while most whites go to Baptist churches. There are, it is important to realize, more Baptists in Mississippi than all of the other churchgoers put together. White Baptists make up 40.9% of Mississippi’s churchgoers, 80% of whom are members of the conservative Southern Baptist Convention. The next two denominations in the league table are trailing far behind: Methodists claim 10% followed by Roman Catholics at 6%. 

Many of Mission Mississippi’s founders and leaders come from the ranks of this conservative evangelical Protestant world. Despite the participation of liberal Protestants and Catholics, from the start, Mission Mississippi did not reflect their presence in its official language or the aesthetic of its practices. When Mission
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Evangelicalism (Grand Rapids, Mich.: W.B. Eerdmans, 1991), 62-82. The term evangelical as used here includes Southern Baptists. Despite the recent “fundamentalist” take over of the Southern Baptist Convention, the term evangelical still best describes their defense of evangelical orthodoxy and cultural engagement. Barry Hankins, Uneasy in Babylon: Southern Baptist Conservatives and American Culture (Tuscaloosa, Ala.: University of Alabama Press, 2002), 12-40. There was a vigorous internal debate within the SBC over whether they were in fact evangelicals. See: James Leo Garrett, E. Glenn Hinson, and James E. Tull, Are Southern Baptists "Evangelicals"? (Macon, Ga.: Mercer University Press, 1983), and David S. Dockery, Southern Baptists & American Evangelicals: The Conversation Continues (Nashville, Tenn.: Broadman & Holman, 1993).
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Mississippi drew up its statement of faith, the steering committee adapted an evangelical statement of faith found in Chuck Colson’s book *The Body*.146 The document is a simple set of orthodox Christian creedal statements with an evangelical emphasis placed on “personal faith in and a personal relationship with Jesus Christ.” Paris is guilty of understatement when he says, “It almost looked like an evangelical statement of faith.”147

White southern evangelicalism dominates Mission Mississippi’s choice of the language it uses to talk about racial reconciliation. From the start came an awareness that Mission Mississippi needed to be “careful about language” as racial reconciliation meant different things to different people and raised “suspicion.”148 In the Southern white evangelical world that Mission Mississippi operates in, the term racial reconciliation is far from a popular one. It has a liberal ring to it and Mississippi whites have a deep distrust of Northern liberals stretching back beyond the freedom riders and civil rights activists of the 1960s and the carpetbaggers of Reconstruction to the abolitionists of the early nineteenth century.149 For the southern white evangelical, talk of racial

---

147 Paris, 19 May 2003. The statement reads:
- God, the Creator, exists in three persons: Father, Son, and Holy Spirit.
- Born of the virgin Mary, Jesus Christ, the Son of God, suffered, died, rose again and was exalted at the right hand of the Father and will come again.
- Jesus said, “I am the Way, the Truth and the Life. No one comes to the Father except through me.” (John 14:6) The way of true reconciliation to God is found through personal faith in and a personal relationship with Jesus Christ. True reconciliation with each other is found in obedience to His teachings.
- The Holy Spirit applies the benefits of Christ's saving work in the hearts of His people.
- Christians are expected to unite with a local church, submit to its authority and live a holy life of love and harmony conducive to the spreading of the Gospel.
- God will judge the world and receive His own at the end of history.
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reconciliation (or even worse, diversity!) brings to mind the shallow “do-gooder” who wants everyone to “just all get together.” As James Baird, the minister of Jackson’s conservative white First Presbyterian Church explained, “The do-gooders for many conservatives, that’s about the worst thing you can say about somebody.” Even more problematic than “racial reconciliation” is the word “ecumenical” which evangelicals associate with the National Council of Churches (NCC). The NCC, even before its strenuous efforts to overturn segregation and integrate churches in Mississippi during the Civil Rights Movement, so offended evangelicals with its liberal theology that in 1942 they formed their own rival organization, the National Association of Evangelicals.

Mission Mississippi had a problem: how to present an ecumenical movement for racial reconciliation to white evangelical churches where, as Weary stated, “If you say the wrong stuff you get turned off.” Mission Mississippi found the answer to its semantic problem by employing biblical language already in use in evangelical literature. Mission Mississippi became not an ecumenical racial reconciliation movement but an organization for Christian unity with the slogan “Unifying the Body of Christ” at the top of its newsletter.
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The word Unity is found in Psalm 133:1, How good and pleasant it is when brothers live together in unity! It was already in use by evangelicals to link ecumenism and race: “Together, the entire evangelical community must and can reaffirm the wonderful ethnic diversity among the body of Christ, while at the same time strengthening its unity.” Consultation on Racism Jointly Sponsored by the Social Action Commission of the National Association of Evangelicals and the National Black Evangelical Association’s Statement on Prejudice and Racism, (26-27 January 1990), 3.
The dominant influence of conservative evangelicals on Mission Mississippi is clearly visible in the choice of speakers at the rallies in the 1990s. Alongside Skinner and Morley, we find Coach McCartney, the founder of Promise Keepers, and the international...
evangelist Josh McDowell. Invited but unable to speak were the doyens of the moral majority: Chuck Colson and Dr. James Dobson of Focus on the Family.154

In their activities since 1993, Mission Mississippi has demonstrated the influence of evangelicals in its particularly strong emphasis on strengthening and protecting traditional families and “family values.”155 In April 1996, Mission Mississippi sponsored the City Wide Marriage Intimacy Conference and in 1997 it collaborated with the National Center for Fathering to hold a two-day “City Wide Seminar” on fathering.156 This seminar came after a weekend retreat that February for pastors on “Fathering and Ministry” and another weekend in March entitled “Marriage and Ministry.”157 The executive committee decided to organize these events, which clearly stray outside of Mission Mississippi’s purview, because they share the concerns and worldview of the majority of Mississipians.158 American Family Radio recognized Mission Mississippi’s

155 Evangelicals are very concerned with family life believing it is their “their primary, positive means of contributing to social change.” Christian Smith, Christian America? What Evangelicals Really Want (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2000), 167. According to sociologist James Hunter, “the family is the most conspicuous field of conflict in the culture war.” James Davison Hunter, Culture Wars: The Struggle to Define America (New York: BasicBooks, 1991), 176. The Southern Baptist Convention is at the vanguard of this culture war. Hankins, Uneasy in Babylon, 41-73.
158 In the 2004 elections (in which 59.64% of Mississipians voted to reelect George W. Bush), on the ballot paper was the question of an amendment to define marriage as being between a man and a woman; 86.05% voted for the amendment. Figures from Mississippi, tabulated election results, (Clarion-Ledger, 28 Oct. 2004 [cited 20 May 2005]); available from http://network.ap.org/dynamic/files/elections/2004/general/by_state/ballot_other/MS.html?SITE=MSJAD&SECTION=POLITICS.
concern for traditional families and family values by sponsoring the Grace is Greater than Race tour in 2000.159

**Christians Only?**

Mission Mississippi presents its decision to work exclusively for reconciliation within the Christian church at times as a strategic decision, and at other times as a theological commitment. From the start of Mission Mississippi, the executive committee cast a strategic eye over the state’s demographics. In trying to bring reconciliation across the racial divide in Jackson, organizers were aware that limiting Mission Mississippi’s focus to the Christian community left many people out. In early discussions, after a meeting with a “Moslem brother,” Morley and Skinner pointed out that Mission Mississippi would “have to dialogue with and include in the dialogue substantial influences in the city who do not know Jesus.”160 The inclusion of people from other faith communities was of particular concern to the chairman Lee Paris whose father is Jewish. Struggling with his role in founding an organization that in its proposed constitution excluded members of his own family, Paris and his father went to visit his father’s rabbi. Paris explained to the rabbi that Mission Mississippi was, “narrow in a sense theologically, but broad in the sense of representation of the population.” The rabbi’s answer came as a relief:

159 “Grace Is Greater Than Race.” American Family Radio is the broadcasting arm of the conservative Christian family values organization American Family Association “The American Family Association represents and stands for traditional family values, focusing primarily on the influence of television and other media – including pornography – on our society. AFA believes that the entertainment industry, through its various products, has played a major role in the decline of those values on which our country was founded and which keep a society and its families strong and healthy.” General Information (American Family Association, [cited 18 May 2005]); available from http://www.afa.net/about.asp.
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The rabbi was very wise and made the comment that if you bring together black and white in the state under the banner of Christianity that represents the vast majority of the citizens in Mississippi, then you have accomplished something that will bless everybody in the state.\(^\text{161}\)

Paris combines a strategic pragmatism with the particularity characteristic of evangelical Christianity in explaining Mission Mississippi’s choice to be a Christian organization rather than a more broadly “faith-based” movement. The steering committee, Paris recalls, believed they had the best chance “to reach both sides of the railroad tracks” with a “strong foundation in Christ.” While a less particular faith-based approach would have “a broader foundation,” and might reach more people at first, to build Mission Mississippi as “a long lasting standing house, it needed to be on the foundation of Christ.”\(^\text{162}\)

It would be a mistake to think that Mission Mississippi decided to work exclusively with Christians based simply on a calculation of the overwhelming preponderance of Christians in the state. For Mission Mississippi’s powerful evangelical constituents, “the foundation of Christ” that Paris believes grounds Mission Mississippi’s work, is the reconciling work of Christ. “Scripture tells us,” Paris elaborates, “that in Christ we are already reconciled and we are supposed to be celebrating our unity.”\(^\text{163}\)

**Soteriology and Racial Reconciliation**

An evangelical theology of salvation profoundly shapes Mission Mississippi’s understanding of racial reconciliation. Evangelicals emphasize the reconciliation between

\(^{161}\) Lee Paris, interview by the author, 14 October 2004, Jackson, MS.
\(^{162}\) Ibid.
\(^{163}\) Lee Paris, interview by the author, 10 October 2004, Jackson, MS.
God and the individual through the saving work of Christ. In its statement of beliefs, Mission Mississippi considers it important to distinguish between this reconciliation in Christ and the reconciliation of divisions between Christians. The third point in the statement of faith reads: “The way of true reconciliation to God is found through personal faith in and a personal relationship with Jesus Christ. True reconciliation with each other is found in obedience to His teachings.”  

Distinguishing between personal reconciliation and interpersonal reconciliation, one as the work of God the other as human responsibility, inevitably -- though perhaps unintentionally -- creates a hierarchy between the two. This hierarchy of personal salvation over reconciliation with the neighbor results in another inevitable hierarchy: the privileging of evangelism over racial reconciliation.

Some influential figures in Mission Mississippi maintain this difference between personal and interpersonal reconciliation with careful exegesis of biblical texts that do not seem to conflate this distinction. Neddie Winters, Mission Mississippi’s new executive director, explains that there is a tendency for people to speak of reconciliation using Paul’s injunction for Christians to be ministers of reconciliation. (2 Cor 5:18) “Well that’s not what we are talking about,” Winters insists. The ministry of reconciliation that Paul calls Christians to, Winters explains, is one of evangelism: it is “now that you are a Christian go and make somebody else a Christian.” Once a person has become a Christian, Winters believes, then they have grounds for reconciliation with their Christian
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brothers and sisters across racial, denominational and even economic barriers. Rather than using the text from second Corinthians, Neddie Winters finds support for Mission Mississippi’s work of reconciliation in two other Epistles. First in the instruction in James (2:1-9) not to show favoritism to the rich over the poor in church, and in Paul’s insistence in Ephesians (2:13-14) that God has abolished the dividing wall of hostility between gentiles and Jews.168

This division of reconciliation and the consequential elevation of evangelism to the highest task of the church results in evangelicals tending to understand racial reconciliation as simply serving this higher purpose. In other words, many evangelicals in Mission Mississippi believe the reason the church should set its racially divided house in order is to better evangelize the lost world. Mission Mississippi succinctly expressed this position in its Vision Statement produced in 1997, stating: “Demonstrations of unity within the Body of Christ are the foundation of evangelism as outlined in John 17.”169 In the minds of evangelical Christians, this subordination of interpersonal reconciliation to evangelism inevitably relegates the importance of ministries focusing on racial reconciliation behind existing evangelistic and outreach ministries. From the outset, Mission Mississippi recognized and struggled to redress this imbalance between evangelism and racial reconciliation. With the encouragement of Skinner and Morley, Mission Mississippi tried to present “a Biblically wholistic [sic] gospel as opposed to the

168 Ibid. There is no support from the text cited by Neddie Winters for such a hard distinction. Paul explains the church’s ministry of reconciliation is based in the reconciling work of Christ who died for all. This same reconciling work of Christ alters our relationship with our neighbors as we now regard no one from a human point of view. (2 Cor 5:14 & 18) (NRSV)
169 Ward, "Mission Mississippi Vision 1997 and Beyond, Jarvis Ward's Proposed Revisions (2/13/97)."
Despite this effort, Mission Mississippi Pastors’ Meeting of January 31, 1994 still reported confusion as to whether Mission Mississippi’s purpose “is evangelism or reconciliation.”

Dan Hall impressed this relationship of reconciliation serving the Church’s evangelism on participants at the Statewide Unity Conference in 2004. “Mission Mississippi did not start as a racial reconciliation movement,” Hall told the packed room, “It started as an evangelistic outreach to our community in which we realized we were divided. And, how can the gospel be presented by a divided church. . . We will never be effective as a Church if we are divided. We will not reach the lost.”

Hall strove to correct the emphasis on evangelism over racial reconciliation by intensifying the significance of reconciliation to evangelism: “This is not about what music we listen to, this is not about what your favorite food is. . . this is about people who will spend eternity either in heaven or in hell.”

There is, present in Mission Mississippi, a second understanding of the reconciliation of Christ that does not divide personal and interpersonal reconciliation; this is the belief that the reconciling work of Christ heralds and inaugurates the kingdom of God in which neighbors are reconciled to each other and to God. Dolphus Weary learned this gospel from John Perkins when, as a young man, he attended bible studies at Voice of Calvary. Perkins did not separate the gospel into “a salvation gospel and a social

---

170 “Mission Mississippi De-Briefing, Notes.”
171 “Minutes of the Meeting of the Executive Board, February 9, 1994,” MMP. Moltmann draws the connection between a church that extends open friendship to the other and its outreach. “It is only as a unity in diversity that the Christian community will become an inviting community.” Jürgen Moltmann, The Source of Life: The Holy Spirit and the Theology of Life (Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 1997), 60.
172 Dan Hall, address at afternoon seminar, Mission Mississippi Unity Conference, Mikhail's, Jackson, MS, 6 February 2004.
173 Ibid.
gospel.” Instead, there is “one gospel – a gospel that reconciled people to God but at the same time reconciled people to each other.”174 This understanding of reconciliation, while coexisting with the more traditional privileging of evangelism, causes a certain degree of dissonance within Mission Mississippi.

Dan Hall, in the same address at the Statewide Unity Conference in 2004, affords an illustration of this second understanding of reconciliation. “I am not that committed to racial reconciliation,” Hall announced to a rather bemused group of ministers and lay people. “I think it is inferior to stop at racial reconciliation. If our only issue is to figure out how to get blacks and whites to work together, we have missed the mark.” The participants at the conference started to wonder if they had come to the right seminar. “What I am committed to,” Hall explained, “is the kingdom of God, and the kingdom cannot be the Kingdom where racism exists.”175 In his talk of the kingdom, Hall elevated Mission Mississippi’s project beyond that of simply fixing the Church to evangelize the lost. Hall is trying to inspire his listeners to catch a glimpse of that reality which Jürgen Moltmann calls “the eschatological horizon” that lies “beyond the apocalyptic horizons of our modern world to the new creation of all things in the kingdom of God.”176

A hope rooted in the sacrifice of Christ that looks toward His coming Kingdom, Moltmann contends, turns a fearful closed church serving itself into a church open to others.177 Dan Hall drew similar conclusions: “Folks, this isn’t just a church issue. If we

---

are going to do kingdom, we have to do kingdom across the board. The cross of Christ equalized everyone,” Hall expounded, “which is why we can love the lost and the saved. Someone doesn’t have to be a Christian for us to say they deserve equality.”

Dan Hall, in presenting both understandings of reconciliation in the same address, demonstrates the tension present in Mission Mississippi between a kingdom theology of reconciliation, rooted in the reconciling work of Christ who in his flesh. . . has broken down the . . . hostility between us (Eph 2:14), and a theology that makes a sharp distinction between God’s reconciliation with an individual through Christ and the reconciliation of a Christian with his neighbor.

Movement of the Heart

Another clear influence of evangelical theology on Mission Mississippi is the belief that racial reconciliation requires God’s Spirit to change the sinful and racist heart. Dolphus Weary insists that reconciliation must be “a movement of the heart.”

Recalling Paul’s dilemma as to the fate of Onesimus the Christian convert and run-away slave, Weary wrote in the Mission Mississippi newsletter: “Paul simply could have told Philemon what he ought to do.” Instead, Paul writes to Philemon, Onesimus’s legal owner, “Therefore, although in Christ I could be bold and order you to do what you ought to do, yet I appeal to you on the basis of love” (Philemon 1:8-9a). This leads

---

Weary to conclude, “Only the Lord can change our hearts and get rid of our old historical attitudes about each other.”  

Dolphus Weary understands that what afflicts the hearts of Mississippi Christians is the personal sin of racism fostered by the state’s sinful history of injustice, oppression and segregation. “Did you know that we have all been damaged by segregation and isolation?” Weary asked the congregation at the final rally of the 2000 Grace is Greater than Race Tour. Weary then answered his own question. Starting with the well-worn evangelical trope that everybody is a sinner and in need of salvation, he connected it to a wider social reality. “We’ve been damaged. Black folk been damaged. White folk been damaged,” Weary told the crowd. “And as I go throughout the state and throughout the city and try to talk to black preachers and white preachers, I find that people can’t get beyond their own selfish personal racism.”  

At the rally in 2000, on a freezing night in Jackson at the Smith-Wills Stadium, the small crowd heard Weary wrestle with the solution to a society whose hearts have been damaged by racism and infected with prejudice. Weary began with the solid evangelical assertion of forgiveness and regeneration in Christ. “In 2 Corinthians 5:17,” he declared, “it says ‘therefore if anyone is in Christ that person is a new creation.’” Starting with evangelical theology, Weary went on to push its logic and reveal its deficiencies for racial reconciliation in Mississippi. The concluding challenge of Weary’s sermon that night is worth quoting at length:

182 Ibid.
Let me tell you I understand what it means when [the Bible] talks about someone walking in sin;  
And now that they give their life to Jesus, they no longer walk in that sin.  
I understand that a person who used to be a drunkard is not drunkard anymore:  
A person who used to be a liar is not a liar anymore.  
I understand that to be new in Christ means that you have a new life inside of you.  
My problem is I don’t know what to do with the old body.  
I don’t know what to do with my blackness.  
I don’t know what it means to be a new creation in Christ and still be black.  
I don’t understand.  
I am still struggling with it.  
I am still struggling with what does it mean to be a new creation in Christ Jesus.  
Please hear me! I am struggling with that.  
I think this tour wants to say to me, and wants to say to us:  
There is no such thing as a white Christian.  
There is no such thing as a black Christian;  
It is only the fact that we are Christian, we happen to be black or white.  
But the question is: do we really believe that?  
Do we believe it?  
When I come in contact with a white brother and I come in contact with a black person, which one am I gravitated to first?  
Am I gravitated to my white brother because he is a child of the King and I am a child of the King?  
Or, am I gravitated to a black person because his face is black?  
I am struggling with it.  
I am struggling with it, believers.  
I am struggling with it.  
What does it mean to be a part of a new creation?  
I don’t understand it, but I am struggling with it.  
Am I a Christian first?  
Am I a Christian above my denomination?  
Am I a Christian above my blackness?  
I believe that grace is greater than race.  
I believe that being a child of the King is greater than my blackness,  
But I have to tell you this: I need to learn how to practice,  
And I ask you to practice with me.  
I ask you to go back to your churches and practice that you are not a black Christian; you are a Christian that happens to be black. You are not a white Christian; you are a Christian that happens to be white.
One day when we get to glory, it's not going to make any difference. We need to start practicing that on this earth, right now, where we are.\textsuperscript{183}

Evangelicals traditionally hold rebirth in Christ as an answer to those lost to the vices of drinking, gambling, and sex; however, what resources does this “born again” gospel bring to bear on the sins of ethnocentrism and racism? That cold November night in Jackson, Weary found the resolution to this question not, as so many evangelicals do, in a color-blind gospel that resolves to ignore ethnic difference, but in introducing the hope of the kingdom of God.\textsuperscript{184} Weary drew these conclusions employing the rhetorical style of the African American preacher while speaking firmly from within the evangelical tradition. But what are these practices in which Dolphus Weary and Mission Mississippi suggest “a Christian that happens to be black” and “a Christian that happens to be white” should engage in order to exercise and grow into this new identity in Christ?

\textbf{Friendship and Intentional Relationships}

From its genesis, Mission Mississippi stressed the importance of interracial relationships for the process of racial reconciliation and encouraged practices that facilitated these relationships. Pat Morley, as he guided the early direction of Mission Mississippi, stressed in his literature, “The most powerful force in the world is a

\textsuperscript{183} Ibid.

relationship,”185 and “We want to help “redefine” relationships by bringing the body together to pray for their cities.”186 On the TV special aired before the first stadium rally, Morley challenged Mississippians to enter into relationships: “racial reconciliation is not a group experience, it’s a personal, one-on-one kind of thing.”187 Morley reinforced this message by his public demonstration of friendship with Tom Skinner. Skinner and Morley’s friendship was just one of the high profile interracial friendships that Mission Mississippi held up in its early literature as an inspirational model for others to emulate.188 Mission Mississippi enshrined the importance of interracial relationships in its slogan “Changing Mississippi one relationship at a time.”

While Mission Mississippi places great emphasis on the redeeming and reconciling work of Christ, and on exploring the implications of this soteriology for Christian unity, there is almost no equivalent attention paid to explicating the theological concept of relationship or friend. The importance of friendship in racial reconciliation for Mission Mississippi is not in question; however, we must deduce, or even construct, Mission Mississippi’s theology of friendship from its practices rather than any explicit theological statements.

Mission Mississippi clearly differentiates between the friendships participants already have and those that they deliberately enter into across racial and denominational lines. Dolphus Weary calls these “intentional relationships” and talks of participants

185 Morley, "Mission Mississippi Highlights."
186 Morley, "Patrick Morley Ministries Inc. Purpose."
187 Maxwell, "Beating Racism."
188 "Relationships Make a Difference," Bringing Down the Walls that Divide Us, 3. Featured friendships included: Dan Hall and Melvin Anderson; Victor Smith and Charles Doty; and Janice Smith and Mary Stitt.
needing “intentionality” in maintaining practices that nurture these relationships.\(^{189}\) Dan Hall explained that intentionality is required because, unlike the friends we make easily, “[interracial] relationships are just hard. They are bloody, they are difficult and lead to hard conversations.”\(^{190}\)

Perhaps Mission Mississippi’s talk of intentional relationships rather than friendships comes from an unconscious recognition of the power that private friendships wield in a closed society.\(^{191}\) The open friendship that Moltmann identifies as Christ’s calling for his disciples “does not know the privacy and intimacy of modern friendship.” Moltmann insists, “To live in [Christ’s] friendship today requires... friendship be deprivatized. Friendship must once again receive the character of public protection of and public respect for others.”\(^{192}\) Mission Mississippi’s use of the word “relationship” contains both the public and private senses of friendship – one can have business relationships and personal relationships – that Moltmann would have us reclaim.

Spencer Perkins and Chris Rice’s book *More than Equals: Racial Healing for the Sake of the Gospel* provides support for the suggestion that Mission Mississippi avoids

---


\(^{190}\) Hall, Unity Conference, 6 Feb. 2004.

\(^{191}\) I polled participants of Mission Mississippi as to the difference between friendship and relationship and there was no consensus. Some felt relationships were more profound than friendships while an equal number thought the exact opposite.

the term friendship.¹⁹³ Perkins (the son of John Perkins) and Rice, both members of VOC, lived with their families in a large house in West Jackson as part of an intentional interracial community. In their book, Rice and Perkins looked for language to express their relationship that they held up as a model of racial reconciliation. The term friend for them seemed insufficient. They wanted to talk of relationships that are “more than the fickle friendships that turn sour when one or other’s feelings get hurt.”¹⁹⁴ They hit on the term *yokefellow* used by Paul in Philippians 4:3. Yokefellows are joined together in relationship for “the cause of the gospel, a gospel of reconciliation.”¹⁹⁵ “Friendships can happen with very little effort,” Rice and Perkins explained, “yokefellows are intentional.”¹⁹⁶ Perkins and Rice’s work was very influential for Mission Mississippi.¹⁹⁷ When their book was republished in 2000, after Spencer’s death in 1998, Mission Mississippi printed a review stating *More than Equals* “is one of the most valuable books ever published on racial reconciliation.” The reviewer challenged the reader, “If you truly want to be a minister of reconciliation, I urge you to read this book. Then come and help us put these ideas into practice.”¹⁹⁸

¹⁹⁵ Ibid., 227.
¹⁹⁶ Ibid., 230.
Mission Mississippi’s practice of forging intentional relationships that are, in some way, fundamentally different from the common understanding of friendship, has further parallels with Moltmann’s open friendship. Moltmann insists the open friendship of Christ stands against churches that practice a closed friendship of segregation by doctrine, wealth or ethnicity because “the closed circle of friendship among peers is broken in principle by Christ.”

Employing similar language, Weary talks of Mission Mississippi’s struggle to break this pattern of closed friendship in the churches of the closed society. “How do we draw a circle of inclusion rather than a circle of exclusion?” asks Weary. “Many times denominations draw circles of exclusions, but we are not a denomination; we are a movement of the body of Christ and so we are constantly trying to ask questions about how do we draw circles of inclusion.”

Viewed this way, in its practice of fostering intentional personal relationships between people of different social, denominational and ethnic backgrounds, Mission Mississippi is breaking the idol of closed peer relations that constituted Mississippi, the Closed Society. As Will Campbell predicted, this “conscious decision” to put the Church’s “house in order” came not from the radical reformers of the liberal churches, but from within the bastions of conservative evangelical Christianity.

No talk of Justice

In considering Mission Mississippi’s theology of racial reconciliation, it is important to notice what is absent from the conversation. Running through Moltmann’s theology is the

---

understanding that a Christian hope in the resurrection makes the Christian dissatisfied with the present world and its oppression and injustice.\textsuperscript{202} Extending open friendship must include the desire to see that friend receive justice. It is striking then that in Mission Mississippi’s publications, statements and official documents there is a deafening silence when it comes to the requirements of justice in the process of racial reconciliation. Accounting for this omission is the task of the next chapter.

Open Friendship and Justice

I. Justice, Jackson and the Gospel of Reconciliation

The year of the Grace is Greater than Race Tour, Oxford University Press published a book with disturbing implications for Mission Mississippi. Sociologists Michael O. Emerson and Christian Smith presented what they themselves described as “a rather dismal portrait of the realities of and prospect for positive race relations among American Christians in the United States.”¹ In their book *Divided by Faith: Evangelical Faith and the Problem of Race in America*, Emerson and Smith argue that despite a growing emphasis on racial reconciliation, white evangelicals “do more to perpetuate the racial divide than they do to tear it down.”² They drew this conclusion after conducting a nationwide telephone survey of 2,000 people as well as 200 face-to-face interviews. The survey and interviews showed that white evangelicals are unlikely to see systemic injustice against minority groups. They are likely to see any on-going racial problems in America as the result of:

1. prejudiced individuals, resulting in bad relationships and sin,
2. other groups—usually African Americans—trying to make race problems a group issue when there is nothing more than individual problems,
3. a fabrication of the self-

² Ibid., ix.
interested-- again often African Americans, but also the media, the government, or liberals.\(^3\)

This blinkered perception, they argue, is based on their observation that white evangelicals “are severely constrained by their religio-cultural tools.”\(^4\) In evangelicals’ theological emphasis on an individual’s repentance leading to personal salvation and a personal relationship with Jesus, sin is addressed at the level of the individual. “Thus, if race problems – poor relationships – result from sin, then race problems must largely be individually based.”\(^5\)

Emerson and Smith identify African American ministers (including John Perkins and Tom Skinner), with a significant audience among white evangelicals, who preach a gospel of reconciliation that “challenge[s] social systems of injustice and inequality.”\(^6\) In the process of white evangelicals adopting and disseminating the message to the white mainstream, they tend to filter out the social critique from John Perkins or Tom Skinner’s call for reconciliation. The challenge left for racial reconciliation is “individuals of different races should develop strong, committed relationships . . . [and] repent of

---


Whereas Jim Crow racism explained black's social standing as the result of their biological and moral inferiority, color-blind racism avoids such facile arguments. Instead, whites rationalize minorities' contemporary status as the product of market dynamics, naturally occurring phenomena, and blacks imputed cultural limitations. Eduardo Bonilla-Silva, *Racism without Racists: Color-Blind Racism and the Persistence of Racial Inequality in the United States* (Lanham, Md.: Rowman & Littlefield, 2003), 2.

\(^4\) Emerson and Smith, *Divided by Faith*, 78.


\(^6\) Ibid., 67.
individual prejudice.” This individualism runs deep in American society but “contemporary white American evangelicalism is perhaps the strongest carrier of this freewill-individualist tradition.”

White evangelicals’ social isolation in “racially homogenous in-groups and the segmented market” compounds this “radical limitation of the white evangelical tool kit.” Put simply, white evangelicals go to church with other whites and tend to live in dominantly white worlds leaving their worldview unchallenged. The result is that economically and racially segregated churches -- despite their best efforts -- perpetuate economic and racial inequality.

From their survey, Smith and Emerson found that this inability of evangelicals to see systemic injustice was undermined “when racial isolation was reduced.” This finding would seem to legitimize evangelicals’ emphasis on establishing interracial relationships to effect racial reconciliation, but in a chapter entitled “Let’s be Friends” the two sociologists dismiss this strategy. Such friendships have “only minimal effects.” Their findings showed “changes to racial perspectives occur mainly in the context of interracial networks rather than by merely having an intimate friendship.”

---

7 Ibid.
8 Ibid., 77.
9 Ibid., 168 & 89.
10 Emerson and Smith found “Other than an occasional acquaintance, they had few interracial contacts. . . . none lived in worlds that were not at least 90 percent white in their daily experience.” Ibid., 80. Evangelicals also spent more time than other Christians in congregational activities and so are more likely to form friendships from within their own congregation and denomination Emerson and Smith, Divided by Faith, 133.
11 Ibid, 83.
Compounding this discovery, their interviews revealed that for most evangelicals the emphasis on friendship “do[es] not require financial or cultural sacrifice.”\textsuperscript{13} Simply extending friendship to an African American is an attractive option compared to a more costly form of reconciliation.

Emerson and Smith present a description of white evangelicals’ pursuit of racial reconciliation as an attempt to embrace the gospel of open friendship without also calling for the reformation of the closed church. The closed white evangelical church of racial and economic homogeneous in-groups, in its dogmatic adherence to a gospel rooted in freewill-individualism, is too much at home in the larger closed society; there is in fact a significant correspondence between the worldview of the closed church and the closed society. A call for friendship cannot truly become the open friendship of Christ if its message is still limited by the orthodoxy of a closed society. Indeed, the closed church deracinates the social dimension of the gospel leaving orthodoxy free from any implications beyond personal repentance and salvation. So successful are these closed churches in imposing this closed orthodoxy on their members that they render white evangelicals unable even to see structural issues of inequality and systemic sin. The prophets of a radical open friendship who cry in this wilderness are either marginalized or have their messages adulterated into an insipid gospel of cheap reconciliation.

Jackson, Mississippi clearly faces systemic problems rooted in the state’s history of white supremacy and the continuing social and geographic segregation of the two

\textsuperscript{13}Emerson and Smith, \textit{Divided by Faith}, 130.
largest racial groups. The urban landscape of the city testifies to the history of Jackson and its current crisis. Back in the roaring twenties the white city fathers wrote:

We are confident that a closer acquaintance with our city and county will bring you to the conclusion that Jackson is one of those fortunate cities in the rapidly advancing south, where the Goddess of Beauty has laid her lavish hand and where nature and thrift have combined to build unusual business opportunities in a land of health and happiness.\(^{14}\)

The gothic Lamar Life building still speaks of their hopes for a prosperous future, but just down the street lies the King Edward Hotel derelict since 1967. This ten-story hotel stands like an elegant liner from a bygone era that struck an iceberg and refused to sink. Its gaping windows look across the tracks and a cleared wasteland to the boarded-up Capitol Street United Methodist Church whose congregation fled the city as their streets transitioned from a white to African American neighborhood.\(^ {15}\) The story of so-called “white flight” lies at the heart of Jackson’s problems.

Following the state’s reluctant acceptance of the 1968 federal open housing law, there was a steady trickle of whites moving out of neighborhoods as African Americans moved in. The Census reveals the story. In 1970 the majority (60.2\%) of Jackson’s 153,968 citizens were white. The city continued to grow in size, peaking in the 1980 census at 202,895; by then whites made up only half (52.4\%) of the city. The 1990 census revealed a significant change in Jackson’s demography with African Americans

\(^{14}\) Jackson, the City Beautiful, (Jackson, MS: Chamber of Commerce, 1927), 1.

\(^{15}\) Both buildings are finally being renovated. Capitol Street Methodist Church is being restored as a center for the arts by VOC and Galloway United Methodist Church. Laura Hipp, “Ministry to Take Root with Some Aid,” Clarion-Ledger, 30 November 2003. After being empty for 38 years, the King Edward is to be renovated by HRI Inc. of New Orleans. Arnold Lindsay, "King Edward Renovation OK'd," Clarion-Ledger, 20 October 2004.
now the majority (55.7%).\textsuperscript{16} Politics accelerated the white flight. The city had been governed by a mayor and two commissioners elected citywide, but in 1984 this system was voted out in favor of a mayor and council with seven members elected from wards. This new political arrangement coupled with the new black majority in the city meant that by 1993 Jackson's governing body became majority African American.\textsuperscript{17} By 2000, the census showed that African Americans now made up 70.6% of the city. The white population had dropped from 106,285 in 1970 to 51,208 (27.8%).\textsuperscript{18}

The city’s whites fled to suburbs in neighboring Madison and Rankin Counties. Their departure had a dramatic financial impact on the city, the results of which accelerated the out-migration. “A loss of 1,000 Jackson residents,” the Clarion-Ledger reported, “has an estimated economic impact of more than $8 million a year, and a direct loss of more than $448,000 annually to city and county governments.”\textsuperscript{19} This loss of revenue affects all of the city’s services and thus the city’s ability to attract investment.

\textsuperscript{16} Census data in Laura Hipp, "Historical Perspective," Clarion-Ledger, 5 October 2003.
\textsuperscript{17} Clay Harden, "The Question: Where Are We Today?" Clarion-Ledger, 5 October 2003.
\textsuperscript{18} Census data in Hipp, "Historical Perspective." One interesting effect of the white flight is that a new generation of whites are unaware of large sections of their own city. Jane Ross was surprised by her son’s reaction after he was taken to West Jackson:

He said “Mama, I didn’t even know west Jackson existed out there.” He said, “I have never been out there.” Because, see they stay in their own little worlds and I never thought just to take my children out there to look at it. He said “My friends don’t know that exists.” He said “my friends” I mean their parents are lawyers, surely their parents know this exists in Jackson. I am having a hard time justifying in my heart. How do their parents know that that exists and they have so much wealth and they are not doing anything to help those people over there? He said, “There are kids who are my age, we just never go out there. We don’t even know that exists.”

After a pause Ross adds in a quiet and determined voice, “I wanted to flee that. I wanted to go off and just go and live and do my own thing and get away from it. But that wasn’t the Lord’s plan.” Jane Virden Ross, interview by the author, 9 February 2004, Jackson, MS.
\textsuperscript{19} Laura Hipp, Pamela Berry, and Gregg Mayer, "Jackson Struggles to Find Its Identity," Clarion-Ledger, 5 October 2003.
Whites cite the city’s rising crime and struggling school system as the reasons for moving their families. Explanations given for leaving often boil down to this: now Jackson is a majority African American city governed by African Americans; whites fear for their personal safety and the security of their possessions and believe their children will not receive a good or safe education in the city schools.

Fig 3.1. Marshall Ramsey, "Good thing we're out here..." Clarion-Ledger, 5 October 2003.

Jackson does have a crime problem. In 2004 there were 57 people murdered giving the city a murder rate of 27.81 per 100,000 that is more than five times higher than the national average. This is mostly so called black-on-black violence and there is a clear
link with poverty as 70% of the homicides, or 37, occurred in low-income areas that surround the downtown. But quite apart from the crime, the situation of the city schools spurs many of the remaining whites to move. For the white child whose parents send them to public school, they face the prospect of being in a tiny minority.

Thwarting the desegregation of the city schools, many whites chose to send their children to the “seg” academies like Jackson Prep or Jackson Academy. Some whites chose to stick with the public school system, some out of principle and some for economic reasons. Ralph Maisel, the father of three boys, chose not to take them out from the city system: “I think my first and earliest decision was that I didn’t have the money . . . I couldn’t put them all in at the same time at a private academy.” In 1989, 20% of the students in Jackson city schools were white, but the impact of white flight reduced this minority and set up a rapid withdrawal of most of the remaining white students. Just 12 years later only 4% of the students in city schools were white. The city schools struggled from not only the loss of income but also the loss of so many educated middle-class parents who formed the backbone of the schools’ PTAs. Most of the African

---

21 Cheryl Phillips’ decision to send her son to Callaway High School making him one of two whites in the school was worthy of a human interest piece in the newspaper. Laura Hipp, “They Assume Public Can’t Be Good,” Clarion-Ledger, 19 October 2003.
22 Figures from State Department of Education in Ibid.
James Baird summarized the dilemma facing white parents: “Public schools are black, private schools are white. What would you do if you had a child? Would you put your child into public school for the sake of a witness? I admire some who do. But, I have grandchildren. I want them to have an education. I want them to have the education that is as good as can be afforded and so they go into First Presbyterian Day School.” James Baird, interview by the author, 9 February 2004, Flowood, MS.
American students in the city schools come from poor families; in 2003, more than 70% of the 31,529 students were on the federal free-and-reduced meal program.\(^{23}\)

The city’s churches have also had to come to terms with the devastating demographic change precipitated by the abolition of Jim Crow and subsequent white flight. As Jackson expanded in the 20\(^{th}\) century, churches planted congregations in the new residential neighborhoods. At the turn of the century, the three largest white protestant churches started congregations in West Jackson: Calvary Baptist, Capitol Street Methodist and Central Presbyterian.\(^{24}\) These became the “second” churches to the big downtown “first” congregations. In the late sixties, African Americans started to move into West Jackson. The 2000 census confirmed what was obvious to the casual observer that West Jackson was now an 80-100% African American community.\(^{25}\) The large white churches struggled to remain in the transitioning neighborhood. Central Presbyterian Church, whose membership in 1964 came to over a thousand, disbanded in 1993 followed three years later by Capitol Street Methodist, whose membership had once been over two and a half thousand.\(^{26}\) Today, only Calvary Baptist Church hangs on in one

\(^{23}\) Hipp, “They Assume Public Can't Be Good.” Jane Ross, a white math tutor at the black Lanier High School, has this assessment of her students: “When I got to Lanier they had nothing. I mean, they live in homes that are boarded up and basically a lot of those kids are rearing themselves because their mothers are on drugs. Some of them, even their grand moms are on drugs. Very few households have a father figure.” Ross, 9 Feb. 2004.


\(^{25}\) Census figures in Harden, "The Question: Where Are We Today?"

\(^{26}\) Charlotte Graham, "Churches Redefining, Thriving." Clarion-Ledger, 19 October 2003; Van Gelder, "Growth Patterns", 160. Graham lists other white churches that have been forced to close or move:
of Jackson’s most depressed African American neighborhoods with the destitute and homeless on the street corners. It stands as the last marker of a once vibrant white community.

The large downtown “first” churches and cathedrals remain in place, held by their sense of history and place in the city. First Baptist Church, for example, has over half its 9,500 predominantly white members living outside Jackson city limits. Rev. Jim Baker, senior associate pastor at First Baptist, proudly told a reporter, “Some of our members live as far away as 20 miles.” Instead of confessing his flock’s culpability in white flight and the demise of Jackson he proudly stated, "We have a membership that's dedicated to continuing a Christian witness here in this city."  

Baker’s failure to connect the flight of his congregation with the city’s woes fits neatly with Emerson and Smith’s findings. First Baptist’s primary responsibility to the city is to faithfully preach the message of personal salvation through Jesus Christ, urging the unconverted to repent and be baptized. This

---

Jackson churches that have disbanded because of neighborhood transition and/or membership decline:
- Crestwood Baptist Church (recently re-opened as a black congregation) (1985)
- Robinson Road Baptist Church (1987)
- West Jackson Baptist Church (1995)
- Woodville Heights Baptist Church (2002)

Churches that have moved from Jackson:
- Ridgecrest Baptist Church, Madison (1989)
- Parkway Baptist Church, Clinton (1994)
- Crossroads of Life Church, Byram (1994)
- Broadmoor Baptist Church, Ridgeland (2000)
- Hillcrest Baptist Church, Byram (2001)

---

28 In 2000, First Baptist Church opened Mission First in West Jackson. This is a community center with a dental clinic and after school clubs. First Baptist Church added $4 million to their building fund to renovate a section of project housing and build a huge sports hall (completed in 2004). Lee Thigpen a white member of First Baptist Church and Mission Mississippi board member, inspired by John Perkins, spearheaded the project. Frank Pollard, interview by the author, 5 October 2000, Jackson, MS (telephone). Lee Thigpen, interview by the author, 19 November 2000, Jackson, MS. However, Mission First, is one of a multiplicity
is not to make light of the decision of these churches to stay. Concerned for the safety of their congregants and their automobiles, First Presbyterian Church and First Baptist Church have security guards (some of them armed) on the premises and in their parking lots.

Across the grounds of the State Capitol Building from the gothic First Baptist Church stand the imposing neo-classical columns of Galloway United Methodist Church. Galloway has moved a long way since 1964 when its congregation affirmed:

It is not un-Christian that we prefer to remain an all-white congregation. The practice of the separation of the races in Galloway Memorial Methodist Church is a time-honored tradition. We earnestly hope that the perpetuation of that tradition will never be impaired.  

In 2004, at the urging of the Methodist Bishop of Mississippi, Galloway invited Ross Oliver to be their new pastor. Oliver, the white General Secretary of the Methodist Church of South Africa, came to Jackson commissioned to bring his 24 years experience of ministry in apartheid and post-apartheid South Africa to bear on “racial reconciliation and combating economic disparity” in Mississippi.  

Comparing post-Jim Crow Jackson with post-apartheid South Africa, Oliver realized, “in the ten years from 1994 to 2004, of mission projects undertaken by First Baptist Church and does not challenge the majority of the congregation with Perkins’ “R” of relocating back to Jackson, supporting public schools, local businesses and paying city taxes.

29 W. J. Cunningham, Agony at Galloway: One Church's Struggle with Social Change (Jackson: University Press of Mississippi, 1980), 5. Cunningham’s book is a classic history of and reflection on his time as minister at Galloway from 1963-66. He arrived at a church that was “cultured, comfortable, and contented” and left with the observation, “In the church are some of the most vicious, vindictive people I have ever known.” Cunningham, Agony at Galloway, x & 137. Galloway became the center of the ‘church visits’ by students from Tougaloo and Methodists, black and white, challenging the church’s closed door policy. For more on this see Charles Marsh, God's Long Summer: Stories of Faith and Civil Rights (Princeton, N.J., Chichester: Princeton University Press, 1997), 116-51.

the transition in South Africa has been far more systemically transformative than the past 40 years in Mississippi since the civil rights era.”

From his perspective as a minister, he reached conclusions similar to Emerson and Smith’s: “My struggle here is that the church has abdicated its responsibility largely in terms of the fundamental social issues, those things that are deeply effecting life in Mississippi.”

An unimposing figure with a goatee and bottle-lensed glasses, the 49 year-old Oliver is a dynamic and impassioned speaker. If his congregation remains ignorant of the “systemically transformative” nature of the gospel of Jesus Christ, it will not be because he never mentioned it from the pulpit. One Sunday morning soon after his arrival, Oliver preached on the parable of the persistent widow, explaining it is: “the story of economic systems that exploit the poor.” He left the congregation in no doubt that “the widow represents an underclass of people who are without support, without resources, without connections,” and the unjust judge stands for “those who have authority, those who have power, those who can make decisions that effect life for others and fear neither God nor have compassion for people.” The widow “contrary to all expectations” prevails over the unjust judge because, as Jesus says in the parable: will not God bring about justice for his chosen ones, who cry out to him day and night? Will he keep putting them off? I tell you, he will see that they get justice, and quickly. “Justice,” Oliver explained to the congregation, is God’s “purpose and design,” and the church is to cooperate in this grand
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design. He concluded his sermon with the challenge: “Which side does God find us on, the side of the judge or the side of the widow?”

On whose side does Mission Mississippi find itself: the widow or the judge? Does it openly call out for justice and the reformation of a society still shaped by the legacy of racism and segregation, or is it prevented from doing so by the “religio-cultural tools” of the white evangelical church? Does Mission Mississippi pass over systemic issues of injustice to merely concentrate on personal relationships in the context of a gospel of personal salvation? Ross Oliver’s first impressions suggest that Emerson and Smith’s critique of evangelicals’ attempts at racial reconciliation hold true for Mission Mississippi:

I have a fundamental problem with Mission Mississippi’s approach in that it is not in any way addressing the systemic nature of racial separateness and therefore racial polarization. I mean, I admire the goodwill of focusing – let’s do this one relationship at a time. I am not sure that it is working on any significant scale. I am not seeing churches partnering . . . for the amount of energy and money that goes into it, I actually think it is quite paltry that it is not systemic. It is not dealing with the fundamental socio-economic and political dimensions of it.35

These pointed observations of a newcomer to Jackson find support with those who have dedicated their lives to working with and on behalf of the poor in the city and state. John Perkins, one of the founding fathers of the evangelical racial reconciliation movement, does not hold back in his critique of Mission Mississippi’s failure to address systemic issues of injustice. Commenting from his office in West Jackson on Mission Mississippi’s slogan: changing Mississippi one relationship at a time, Perkins observes, “One person at a time! That’s just. . . the element of this individualism.” The problem,

according to Perkins, is an institutional one. Sounding like an African American cross between Reinhold Niebuhr and Stokely Carmichael, Perkins insists that Mission Mississippi does not understand that individuals cannot change institutions, only institutions change institutions. What hope does that leave the poor for changing Mississippi? Perkins argues, “The institution that the poor have is their bodies. The institution that the poor have is their collective voice, their collective power to change that institution.” This leads him to his conclusion: “The Church is the institution that God left here on earth. . . . It is God’s force on earth, and that force is to challenge all other institutions.”

Down the road at VOC Ministries, its white director, Phil Reed, offered a similar, if more measured, assessment of the problem facing the church and his perceptions of Mission Mississippi’s shortfall:

The inequity in wealth; the inequity in employment; the inequity in politics; and power: we have to deal with all of those things. We have to deal with the systemic issues and that is the hard piece. There is the piece that there is reluctance to even deal with and, if I might be so bold, even at Mission Mississippi, unless things have changed, there is a reluctance really to deal with that piece of it.

As well as the absence of systemic justice from Mission Mississippi’s rhetoric of reconciliation, Perkins and Reed have a second concern. “It seems the goal of Mission Mississippi is to have a predominantly white church and a predominantly black church fellowship together,” explains Reed. He has heard Weary “state on more than one occasion that the goal for Mission Mississippi is not integrated churches.” While these statements are designed to allay the fears of both African American and white churches,
this strategy worries Reed. “I am not saying every church has to be integrated in order to
be a legitimate church; however, I am saying that given the fact that almost no church is
integrated that’s a problem.” Dolphus Weary is well aware of the criticisms of his
former colleagues from VOC. Perkins even confronted him directly over his strategy not
to challenge churches to integrate in a Mission Mississippi board meeting.

Surprisingly, Mission Mississippi’s appointment of Dolphus Weary, a man who
had dedicated his life to Perkins’ “wholistic” gospel of personal and societal salvation,
did not bring a shift in emphasis to include the need for the Church to address systemic
injustice as part of a process of reconciliation. It is vital to understand how this failed to
happen. Initially, all the signs were that Weary would broaden Mission Mississippi’s
agenda. In his letter accepting the post of executive director, Weary explained to Lee
Paris, “Once the bridge is built between us and God, there are other bridges that need to
be built . . . Three specifically that I’m concerned about are the bridge that crosses the
race gap, the economic gap and the denomination gap.” Speaking three years after his
appointment, however, Weary no longer pushed Mission Mississippi to build a bridge
over the economic gap. “In my life prior to Mission Mississippi we did a lot of preaching
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40 Dolphus Weary to Lee Paris, 24 September 1997, MMP. Weary adopted the “economic bridge” from his
mentor John Perkins. An economic critique is key to Perkins’ theory/strategy of the three Rs. In a
chapter entitled “The Economics of Equality”, Perkins called for talented African Americans to relocate to
the black community and to help develop an economic base. For this to be successful, Perkins challenges
the white community: “equality must be economic to have an impact on the poor community.” Whites must
help African Americans develop their own “indigenous financial support systems.” This will require a
redistribution of economic resources unfairly and disproportionately located in the white community. John
Perkins, A Quiet Revolution: The Christian Response to Human Need, a Strategy for Today (Waco, Tex.: Word
Books, 1976), 144-53. Perkins brought the message of the three “Rs” to Mission Mississippi when Weary placed his mentor as the main speaker at Mission Mississippi’s Celebration Rallies in 1998 and
in terms of trying to get reconciliation between the haves and the have-nots,” explained Weary. Now, after “decipher[ing] from the board what their vision is . . . My relationship with Mission Mississippi is more pointed - it is more specific. It has the boundary of the Christian community and it has the boundary of racial reconciliation and denominational reconciliation.”

The separation of justice from reconciliation is just as theologically problematic as trying to separate God’s reconciliation with humans through Christ from reconciliation between humans. South African theologian John De Gruchy in his excellent book *Reconciliation, Restoring Justice*, shows how Paul’s theology inextricably links reconciliation and justice in the redemptive work of Christ. De Gruchy shows how for Paul, “reconciliation is the controlling metaphor for expressing the gospel,” but “[it] is only one of the words used by Paul to describe God’s redemptive activity . . . Apart from ‘salvation’, ‘redemption’ and ‘deliverance’, Paul also speaks frequently of ‘justification’, which connects his understanding of reconciliation to God’s justice.”

Paul’s understanding of God’s justice is quite different from the justice meted out in most courts of law. God’s justice, De Gruchy explains, is a restorative justice rather than a punitive justice. Thus, talking of God restoring God’s reign of justice in Christ is the same as saying “God was reconciling the world in Christ.” For Mission Mississippi, this means that involvement in the ministry of reconciliation is necessarily to be involved in working for restorative justice for the oppressed.
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The resistance to a gospel of reconciliation that includes the demand for a restoration of God’s justice as it pertains to economic systems is not surprising. As Desmond Tutu observes in reference to the whites that supported apartheid in South Africa, “It needed a big dollop of grace to reject a system that assured one so much privilege, so many benefits and advantages.” The tragedy is that white churches in Mississippi, despite believing that through Christ they have received an immeasurably big dollop of grace, are still resistant to the demands of justice. Eric Stringfellow, an African American columnist for Jackson’s Clarion-Ledger and board member of Mission Mississippi believes that “real racial reconciliation in the context of Mississippi has to do with economics.” He finds, however, that talking about this to whites, even on Mission Mississippi’s board, “makes people uncomfortable.”

This discomfort at the inclusion of justice in a racial reconciliation agenda is easy to explain. “Getting to know a black person doesn’t cost me anything,” states Phil Reed, “In fact it is great. But when it starts getting down to: I have to start looking at the way I use my money and dealing with systemic issues, it is very costly and people don’t want to have to pay a cost.”

Weary, in downplaying justice in his call for racial reconciliation in Mississippi, is bringing his message into line with the will of those on the board uncomfortable with such an economic critique. Lee Paris, as chairman of the board, is the most influential person in shaping that vision. When asked explicitly why the language of justice is absent
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from Mission Mississippi’s call for reconciliation across racial and denominational lines

Paris answered:

I don’t remember a big discussion of that in Mission Mississippi. And I know there have probably been people that have wanted to tie the two together. For me justice is God’s job and the laws of our land’s job and . . . I guess that’s not a calling on my life to seek justice. That’s God’s job and the law’s, and . . . that is not a buzz word to me.  

The simple avoidance of cost does not explain this answer. Paris, a successful businessman, clearly does not shy away from his involvement in racial reconciliation coming at a cost. In Mission Mississippi’s early years, Paris recalls that running Mission Mississippi from his own office as one of four people charged with fundraising was “a financial sacrifice in many ways, . . . I either raised the money or gave the money.” Nor is Paris blind to the racial dimension of the systemic economic problems at work in Jackson. In 1997, Paris chose to buy a house in the city rather than go through with his long-held plan to build his dream house out in the country. He made this decision for the explicit reason that through his involvement in Mission Mississippi he realized African Americans in Jackson viewed whites who fled the city with disapproval.

What is the explanation for Paris insisting that “justice is God’s job and the laws of our land’s job” and has no place in Mission Mississippi’s rhetoric of racial reconciliation? The answer is that Paris is reiterating a distinctive theological position.
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articulated in a particularly sophisticated form by Southern Presbyterians known as the doctrine of the Spirituality of the Church, a doctrine primarily forged in the struggle to retain first slavery, then segregation. To understand both the form Mission Mississippi has taken and the challenge it faces in engaging white churches, one must understand the historical forces at work in the Closed Society and its closed churches that birthed, shaped and institutionalized this theology. Specifically, one must consider the history and influence of First Presbyterian Church, Jackson.

II. Mission Mississippi, Lee Paris, First Presbyterian Church and the Spirituality of the Church

On May 5, 1995, Mission Mississippi sponsored the National Day of Prayer event in Jackson. Lee Paris was proud that the organization he had helped start, now in its second year, had such a high profile in the city. Paris’s wife Lisa, an artist, had designed the displays that were to go up on the bulletin boards at First Presbyterian Church, inviting members to be a part of this Mission Mississippi event. Paris, a deacon at First Presbyterian Church, had had to book space on the bulletin boards well in advance, but just the day before he and Lisa were to put up the displays, he received a call from his minister Dr. James Baird:

[Baird]told me that he had rescinded the request and there would be no Mission Mississippi bulletin boards at First Pres. And he said that our church was just not ready to have that in your face as a bulletin board at four points scattered around the church.
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As a fairly young man and as impatient as I was, I just absolutely didn’t understand where he was coming from. But, the matter was not open for discussion and I was in my 30s and he was in his 60s and there was no arguing with Dr. Baird. That was the end of the conversation.  

Paris, who hoped to receive support and encouragement from his own church, considered this refusal to advertise prayer in the church “one of the low points in my life.” First Presbyterian Church, initially eager to support what many understood as a single evangelistic crusade, now balked at making an on-going financial commitment. Mission Mississippi had used up the goodwill and excitement generated by a one-off campaign and Paris was experiencing the limits of his congregation’s openness to, what Paris believed, was the clear call of the gospel. Paris believed himself struggling with principalities and powers located in the Body of Christ:

So I left my office quite dejected and went out and sat on a bench under a tree there in the park. And [I] just really felt the presence of the devil just laughing at me – just shooting his arrows of defeat and doubt into me. And I called on the name of Christ and told him to leave me alone and that I was going to follow Christ no matter how bad this battle got and that he was picking on the wrong person because he had lost this battle and that he just needed to go away.

In the midst of this spiritual turmoil, Paris had to lead a bible study at WorldCom’s offices:

After the bible study, one of the participants asked me to come to his office. Mission Mississippi was in its infancy and had all kinds of bills – they were all in my top right drawer just waiting to be paid. Hoping and praying that either I or somebody else could come up with the money before thirty days was over to pay these bills. . . And the guy called me into his office and he said, “I am somewhat aware of what you are going through at the church and I know this must be a very hard time for you. And I just want to give you something to let you know I am standing with you.”
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I thanked him and went on my way and got on the elevator and opened up the envelope and it was $5000 which at the time was the largest private gift we had had come our way . . . The affirmation from the Lord that flowed through his giving and the perfect timing of that gift gave me enough strength to know that God was with me in that calling and would be for the rest of my life. It was just the lowest moment of the battle and yet this incredible victory an hour later.\textsuperscript{34}

![Lee Paris, 2004.](image)

Lee Paris is, on first impression, an unlikely candidate to be fighting the battle for racial reconciliation in Jackson, Mississippi. With shoulder length hair brushed back and

\textsuperscript{34} Ibid.
a youthful face, Paris looks more like a music executive from Nashville than a businessman from Jackson. Ole Miss memorabilia line the walls of his office; a framed photograph of the cheerleaders in the 1950s running onto the field with the largest Rebel flag ever made sits by his desk. His cell phone rings to the tune of Dixie; one of his dogs is called Dixie; and a Colonel Reb’ banner hangs over the front door of his white columned mansion -- he added the columns after purchasing the house. Paris is not exaggerating when he says, “I have always enjoyed my Southern Heritage.” He hardly seems the sort of person of whom Pat Morley, speaking at Mission Mississippi tenth anniversary celebrations, would say, “I truly believe that when the history books are written a hundred years from now that history will record that one of the great men in the history of this state is Lee Paris.”

Lee Paris

LeRoy “Lee” Henry Paris II grew up in “the most Southern place on earth”: the Mississippi Delta. Raised in Indianola, the Delta town once home to blues legend BB King and the birthplace of the Citizens’ Councils, his father, LeRoy Henry Paris, worked in the successful family business, Lewis Grocer Company. The company, started in 1896 by Jewish immigrants from Poland, grew quickly supplying the needs of the prosperous cotton-growing region. Through the 1920s and 30s, the Lewis Grocery

55 Lee Paris, interview by the author, 14 October 2004, Jackson, MS.
56 Pat Morley, "Changing Mississippi One Relationship at a Time,” speech given at the Governor's Prayer Breakfast, Clarion Hotel, Jackson, MS (transcribed from recording), 2 May 2002.
Company profited through the region’s system of sharecropping. They “furnished” groceries to plantation stores on credit, which in turn “furnished” the goods to their laborers who, paid only in plantation “script,” were unable to shop at any other stores.\(^{59}\) By the 1960s, the company operated in four states as the Lewis Grocer Company, Sunflower Food Stores and Super Valu with their distribution center and offices still in Indianola.\(^{60}\)

While a student at Ole Miss, Lee’s father met and fell in love with fellow student Rose Marie Leonard. Though a very successful and popular student -- he was elected an Ole Miss cheerleader and then Colonel Rebel -- they found that the marriage of a Jew and a Presbyterian ran into the constraints of the Closed Society. The Presbyterian Church in Rose’s home of Kosciusko would not bless the marriage, and so they celebrated their wedding at a service in the Delta Gamma sorority house on the Ole Miss campus.\(^{61}\)

Looking back on his childhood, Lee Paris does not remember any social awkwardness resulting from his father’s religion. With his father a former Colonel Rebel, his family tracing their roots in Mississippi back six generations, and his great-great grandfather a Confederate veteran, Paris felt a part of the white Mississippi world.


\(^{61}\) Lee Paris, interview by the author, 19 May 2003, Jackson, MS.
“Everybody knew my family,” he recalls, “and [we] had a very good name and [I] never felt one ounce of not fitting in at the highest and most accepted levels of society.”62

The eldest of three children, Paris spent his youth in the close-knit community studied by sociologists in the 1930s as the classic “Cottonville” and “Southerntown.”63 The Indianola they described, strictly bound by caste and class, had changed little in the 30 years before Paris’s childhood. “I had the privilege of growing up in a very privileged world. We had black house servants and yardmen and people that worked for us in many different capacities.”64 But his parents and society taught the young boy his station appropriate to his white cast and wealthy business class. Paris recalls one maid called Magnolia: “I loved her very similar[ly] to the way I loved my own mother.” His new perspective on race clouds this fond memory. “Now thinking back on it,” Paris said shaking his head, “Magnolia served us probably thousands of meals and yet did not sit at the table with us.”65

Paris grew up in a devout household with “family prayer gatherings every night.”66 The parents had reached an understanding regarding the spiritual formation of their children. “When my parents . . . decided to get married, they made vows to each other that they would not try to convert each other and that my dad would assist my mom
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[with] whatever children the Lord blessed them with in raising them as Christians."

His father attended the small synagogue in Lexington founded by his grandfather in 1904, while his mother took the children to the First Presbyterian Church. As a young child, he took little notice of the difference in his parents faith, “The culture I grew in. I don’t think I knew anybody that didn’t go to church. But there were several families in town where the mom went to one church and the dad the other . . . and so that is the way I pigeon-holed my family’s religion.”

At the First Presbyterian Church of Indianola the young Paris recalls, “I sat under the teaching of some incredibly godly women, particularly, Sunday school teachers and good pastors and was taught the Word from a very early age.” He has, he explains, “one of those wonderful but boring testimonies. . . that I don’t remember a day that I didn’t know the Lord. . . God has just always been near to me and I haven’t strayed too far from his calling.” This Christian education extended from the church to his school. Paris attended Indianola Academy, a new all-white private school whose principal was also a member of the First Presbyterian Church. He was there for four years before desegregation in 1970 caused the school to grow “exponentially overnight.” By the time he graduated in 1975, Paris had never been to school with an African American, nor had

---

67 Ibid.
he had any social contact with an African American that extended beyond the bounds of an employer/employee relationship.\textsuperscript{72}

Despite the dramatic integration of Mississippi’s flagship University in 1962, when the young Paris came onto the campus in 1975, there were only just over 600 African American students enrolled at Ole Miss.\textsuperscript{73} He studied history and business before moving on to the law school. The African Americans he met at the university did not trouble his “white world.” “There were a few [African Americans] and it was fine. The ones I encountered, other than their skin color, [were] just like everybody else at Ole Miss.” \textsuperscript{74}

While his college years did not revolutionize the young Paris’s views on race, his commitment to his faith and theological tradition deepened. He helped start the University of Mississippi chapter of Reformed University Fellowship (RUF), serving on their “core-group steering committee” and as their first treasurer.\textsuperscript{75} RUF was the student ministry of the newly formed Presbyterian Church in America (PCA). Upon graduating in 1982 and moving to Jackson with his new wife Lisa, it seemed an obvious choice to join First Presbyterian Church, the largest PCA church in the city.

In the nine years between moving to Jackson and the start of Mission Mississippi, Lee Paris says of himself: “I was a busy ambitious young man, making my mark in the world, raising my family.” In 1983, he went to work as general council for the real estate
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firm Mississippi Properties; he acquired the company in 1986 with the help of a partner. Alongside his business commitments, Paris enjoyed his involvement as a deacon at First Presbyterian Church and his participation in the Christian Businessmen’s Committee. All of these worlds in which he moved -- work, family, church -- were white. The few African Americans he related to were those who by dint of education and business acumen caused minimal disturbance to the cultural homogeneity of the closed white world. As at Ole Miss, the possibility of Paris’s relationship with an African American required the African American to enter Paris’s closed white world and to have some significant degree of sameness:

I came to Jackson and continued pretty much exclusively in a white world. I had during those next ten years a few encounters with African Americans. You could probably count them on one hand. I remember having my first social lunch with an African American at the University club. Again, the few encounters that I had were blacks that were on such a level that they were just no different from me. It wasn’t a stretch and the fact that they were black, it was so few and it still wasn’t that much of an encounter with blacks.  

It was in this closed white world of church and business that Paris responded to the challenge of Pat Morley and Tom Skinner. Praying over Morley’s suggestion to have a citywide crusade, Paris believes he heard the call of God on his life. Initially this call made little sense to a man steeped in white Mississippi culture and without an African American to call a friend: “I think in the beginning you know I was just amazed that God would call me to do something like this. I mean, given my background.” He now considers his background a strength for his work starting Mission Mississippi:
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If somebody from a more liberal school of theology would start it then obviously the more conservative element would just not have been involved. Had I been from Minnesota and moved down here: well that’s just somebody trying to cram their ways down on us again. Had I been from here but gone off north to school: well look what he learned up there and coming down here . . . Had I been of another political party, well you know there’s that stuff. I was culturally “one of us.”  

Rather like St. Paul’s boast “in the flesh” that he is a “Hebrew of the Hebrews,” Paris repeatedly references how he is just, “a typical guy from the Delta interested in Southern Heritage, Ole Miss, [his] fraternity, [a] Republican.” He tells how he has six generations of Mississippians on both sides and his daughter is the fifth generation to graduate from Ole Miss. He also boasts of his Presbyterian credentials and love for First Presbyterian Church, Jackson.

Paris now claims his Christian identity trumps his identity rooted in Southern Presbyterian tradition and white Southern heritage. This was not always the case. The turning point came, Paris recounts, one New Year’s Eve shortly before the start of Mission Mississippi. Sitting in his pew at First Presbyterian Church, Paris listened to the preaching of his pastor, Dr. Baird. It was, he recalls, “one of the most powerful sermons I have ever heard in my life.” The message that night was about “the difference in biblical thinking and traditional thinking and that how at First Pres we are so tied to our traditions in such a powerful way.” Baird challenged the congregation that night that they were in danger of “embracing tradition over and above what . . . God had called us to do.”
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felt convicted that his own life and that of the congregation stood “at a crossroads” of tradition and the gospel.

At the time Paris wrestled with his doubts and fears in Smith Park, First Presbyterian Church was the single biggest supporting church of Mission Mississippi. With a membership of around 3,500 described by their minister as “an affluent, transient congregation that has second and third houses and jets around the world,” they gave a lot of money. In 1993, the church contributed $12,000 to Mission Mississippi. In addition to the church’s donation, seventy family units gave money. The church’s membership also lent significant leadership to the new organization: thirty people served on Mission Mississippi’s committees; four of the seven working committees in 1993 were chaired or co-chaired by church members; and two (Baird and Paris) were key members of the executive board.

Lee Paris’s enthusiasm and Baird’s influence explains the disproportionate commitment of First Presbyterian Church to Mission Mississippi when compared with other churches. Baird considers his high profile support of Mission Mississippi -- he
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82 The Clarion-Ledger listed some of the city’s largest congregations and their membership:
- First Baptist Church — 9,500
- St. Richard Catholic Church — 4,000
- Anderson United Methodist Church — 3,500
- Word of Faith Christian Center — 3,500
- First Presbyterian Church — 3,276
- Galloway Memorial United Methodist Church — 2,075
- New Hope Baptist Church — 3,000
- St. Andrew’s Episcopal Cathedral — 1,800
- Wells United Methodist Church — 700
- St. Peter’s Catholic Cathedral — 650
- Trinity Presbyterian Church — 634
- Graham, "As Communities Change, Ministries Decide to Stay."

83 By comparison, First Baptist Church with almost 3 times the membership had seven members serving on committees; 5 came from Galloway, 18 from Dan Hall’s Cornerstone Church, 16 from College Hill MB, 23 from Community Christian Church, 3 from St. Richard Catholic Church, 6 from Anderson United
served on the first board and his picture appeared in the *Clarion-Ledger* at the launch of Mission Mississippi -- “probably not the smoothest thing for me to do at First Presbyterian Church.” Most ministers, Baird contends, especially in such a conservative bastion . . . would rather have their own ministry . . . which they [could] control.” Mission Mississippi was not a small sub-ministry of the congregation; it demanded cooperation. “With Mission Mississippi you can’t control it. You can take part in something and what you are doing is trusting. You are trusting the blacks.”

Before Mission Mississippi, First Presbyterian Church did not have a reputation for “trusting the blacks” or supporting ministries run by African Americans. Dolphus Weary recalls that while he was the Executive Director of Mendenhall Ministries he asked First Presbyterian Church to help support, and be involved with, Mendenhall Ministries. Weary found the church’s response exasperating: “They said to me, ‘We support a black ministry in Canton, do you want us to stop supporting them and support you?’” Weary considers First Presbyterian Church’s response unjust:

> Now they support 150 to 200 white ministries, but they were going to put it on me, put the weight on me, “Do you want us to stop supporting this other ministry and support you?” And I looked at them and said, “No, I just want to encourage you to keep on supporting them,” and I left.

Given this track record it seems amazing that the church ever supported Mission Mississippi. Credit for eliciting First Presbyterian Church’s initial support for Mission
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Methodist, 4 from New Hope Baptist Church, 2 from St. Andrews Episcopal Cathedral, 6 from St. Peter MB, 9 from VOC and Mendenhall Bible Church. George R. Fair to Calvin L. Wells, March 1, 1994, MMP.
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Mississippi must go to Baird’s negotiation of the cultural crossroads facing his congregation. Baird adopted the classic Southern approach of gradualism that he dubbed “patience;” he claims never to have preached on the subject of race from the pulpit.88 Baird’s cautious way of showing that the gospel trumps tradition seemed frustratingly circumspect to Paris; the issue of race, Baird tried to explained to Paris, “was a powder keg within the congregation.”89 The way to handle this delicate situation was through a non-confrontational style of leadership. “We don’t push,” he told Paris, “we demonstrate. Just demonstrate. We don’t ask for anything. We provide good leadership for Mission Mississippi. [If we do this] our people will come along.” An alternative approach might not result in the desired ends. “If we have to ram it down their throats and if you’re going to make a big issue out of it,” he warned Paris, “there will be some strong resistance.”90 Initially, Baird’s gentle approach bore fruit. For the first year, the congregation seemed happy hosting Mission Mississippi’s prayer breakfasts and even having Tom Skinner preach at an evening service.91 Despite all of Baird’s caution, however, there were those within First Presbyterian Church who wished to set a spark to the powder keg of race and tradition.
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88 William Faulkner gave perhaps the most famous example of gradualism as an approach to solving the problem of race in the South when he advised those who wished to “eradicate” the “evil” of segregation “overnight” to “Go slow now. Stop now for a time, a moment;” and “give [the Southerner] a space in which to get his breath.” William Faulkner, “A Letter to the North,” Life 40 (March 5, 1956): 51-52.

89 Paris, 19 May 2003.


Letters

At the end of 1993, the ministers and a selection of the elders of First Presbyterian Church received an anonymous letter. Its author claimed, “Mission Mississippi obviously is a means of forcing social integration [sic] on the congregation of First Presbyterian Church.” The advocates for Mission Mississippi within the congregation: “Jim Baird, Lee Paris, Victor Smith, and the ruling clique of the Session: Bob Cannada, Gene McRoberts, John Crawford, Steve Rosenblatt and Jim Moore” had fallen, “for the social restructuring ruses now in wide vogue and use.”  

This letter came as the session of First Presbyterian Church considered Mission Mississippi’s request for the church to continue their significant financial contribution for 1994. What had appeared to be a one-off event was now a movement requesting an on-going financial commitment.

The author conceded that “red and yellow, black and white [are] equal in His sight.” But, in language echoing the rhetoric of the 1950s, the author of the letter states that the notion of equality “does not require us to emulate the Blacks' forms of worship, or to take a negro home for dinner in order to prove ourselves Christians.” The writer’s position denied the possibility that the gospel of Jesus Christ requires anything resembling Moltmann’s open friendship. “We can do justice to all men and women,” he contented, “and still select our intimates for whatever reasons strike our fancy.” The letter ended with a warning shot across Baird’s bows: “If you are concerned about
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Mission Mississippi, consider cutting your church pledge and your payment of monies to 1st Pres.”

Fig 3.3. Cover of First Presbyterian Church’s Bulletin. 18 August 2002.

95 Ibid.
The church responded to the warning in classic Presbyterian style: the management committee appointed a sub-committee. They were commissioned “to study Mission Mississippi regarding its purposes and goals.” Lee Paris and George Fair (First Presbyterian Church’s Mission Mississippi representative) rushed to furnish the committee’s requests for more information in writing regarding how Mission Mississippi’s money had been and would be spent and what their plans were for the future, “specifically the total period of time the group intends to operate?”96 A final request hoped to address the fears that Mission Mississippi might be a front for the social gospel: “Please furnish us with a copy of a statement setting out Mission Mississippi’s theological convictions, and from where same have been obtained.” 97

The evidence of Mission Mississippi’s sound doctrine, vision and fiscal responsibility satisfied the committee and its chairman Calvin Wells, who passed on their findings to the Session. Paris hoped his church would finally wholeheartedly endorse Mission Mississippi. While the Session’s resolution, passed on March 14, allowed that “Mission Mississippi may continue to show during the year 1994 that First Presbyterian Church . . . is a supporting church,” the Session also tried to mollify those opposed to the organization. “In view of the fact that . . . some members of this congregation have objected to using budgeted funds to support Mission Mississippi, it is the decision of this Session that no part of the 1994 budgeted funds be used to support Mission Mississippi.”

The creative solution to this seeming logical impasse was:

96 Wells to Mission Mississippi, 3 February 1994.  
97 Ibid.
[A] separate fund be created into which fund interested members of this congregation or others may make contributions with the understanding that this will be over and above what the individual gives directly to Mission Mississippi. Such fund shall not exceed $12,000.00, with any contribution to this fund in excess of $12,000.00 being returned to the sender, and is to be paid over to Mission Mississippi for the year 1994.98

This attempt to avoid conflict by refusing to take sides proved a disaster. Far from sweeping the matter of racial reconciliation back under the Presbyterian carpet, the Session precipitated a series of events that threatened to polarize the congregation.

On Friday, April 8, 1994, every member of First Presbyterian Church received a letter in the mail.99 The recipients recognized the familiar dot matrix printing on the address labels from official church mailings, but there was nothing familiar about the contents. The anonymous author of December’s threatening letter had written a response to the Session’s decision. Typed and photocopied on legal size paper, the letter entitled “Concerning MISSION MISSISSIPPI” included a clipping of the Session’s resolution and a copy of the December 29, 1993 letter.100 Along with the appeal to members to

---

98 Resolution of the session of First Presbyterian Church March 14, 1994 printed in the church’s bulletin First Epistle, quoted in: Anonymous to the Congregation First Presbyterian Church, "Concerning MISSION MISSISSIPPI", 28 March 1994, MMP.
This change in contributions to Mission Mississippi meant that instead of $12,000, Mission Mississippi had only received $4,645 from First Presbyterian Church by Oct 7, 1994. We can assume that most of this money would have been given by private donation from members at First Presbyterian Church directly to Mission Mississippi had First Presbyterian Church not made their decision to cut their donation to Mission Mississippi from the 1994 budget, in addition to any money given from the church budget. "Mission Mississippi 1994 Contributions of Affiliated Churches as of October 7, 1994," 7 October 1994, MMP.
99 The postmark reads:  
JACKSON, MS 392
PM  
7 APR 1994
100 See Appendix A for the full text of both letters.
The identity of the author of the letter became widely known within First Presbyterian Church though he only revealed his identity under pressure to the session whose meetings and minutes are strictly confidential. The letter itself, as a document mailed to hundreds, if not thousands of people, is a matter of public record. The author of the letter clearly wished his views to be widely read, while wishing his identity remain a secret.
“[s]top making pledges to 1st Pres, or cut them drastically”, came a series of new allegations against the Session and Mission Mississippi. “First Pres” had “deliberately abandon[ed] its primary mission of preaching only the pure gospel of Jesus”; instead, they had been “snuckered-in” to following the “Social Gospel.” Pat Morley and Tom Skinner had fooled the church into contributing toward “‘honorariums’ or payments of at least $13,500 plus unnamed expenses for preaching a sermon or two on reconciliation of blacks & whites.” In one sentence the author crudely demonstrates his contempt for any attempts at racial reconciliation; disdain for Mission Mississippi’s motives and theology; and a stereotyping of African Americans:

> An astoundingly good business Skinner & Morley have, a black man and a white man peddling to white preachers and laymen, scared by constant Black violence, the promise of peace between the races by man's reconciliation, not God's. 

The most outrageous statement in that mailing came in a paragraph in the reprinted first letter:

> Blacks in this country claim they are furious because of the wrongs historically done their forefathers: lynchings and other murders, and therefore WE now are required to placate this fury by groveling to the blacks and acceding to their every present demand. No matter that neither we nor our forefathers in this church ever did any of the injustices complained of. Should we now refuse to somehow placate these Black resentments, we are labeled as being Prejudiced and Non-christian.

Here the author absolves himself and his ancestors – and all three thousand members of the church and their forbears – from participation in any acts of injustice that African Americans suffered. However, the members of First Presbyterian Church were in fact deeply involved in the “wrongs historically done” African Americans, if only through

---

101 Anonymous to the Congregation First Presbyterian Church, 28 March 1994
102 Anonymous to the Congregation First Presbyterian Church, 29 December 1993
their complicity in a society built first on slave, then on exploited black labor. The struggle to hold onto the economic and social privileges afforded by the perpetuation of these “wrongs historically done” profoundly shaped the culture, doctrine and history of First Presbyterian Church, Jackson. Considering this history throws light on why Mission Mississippi avoids the word justice and the need for whites to understand how the legacy of racism shapes their church practices and doctrines.\(^{103}\)

**1837 – The First Presbyterian Church in Jackson**

On April 8, 1837, seven Presbyterians organized the first Presbyterian congregation in Jackson, Mississippi. They held their services in the State House, a simple two story 30-by-40 foot brick building.\(^{104}\) It was an inauspicious start in an inauspicious town. Jackson, only 16 years old, was a rough and ready frontier town in comparison with the antebellum grandeur of the older river towns of Vicksburg and Natchez. Following the ceding of 5 million acres of land to the federal government by the Choctaw at the treaty of Doaks Stand, the General Assembly of the state of Mississippi formed Hinds County in 1821. At the same time they commissioned Thomas Hinds, James Patton and William Lattimore to locate the site for the state capital within 20 miles of the "true centre of the state."\(^{105}\) They recommended land on the west bank of the Pearl river called Le Fleur's Bluff. On December 23, 1822, the sixth session

---

\(^{103}\) The term racism, as used here, refers to structures and projects, not to individual’s racial prejudices. I am using Howard Winant’s criterion for racism. For Winant a project is defined as racist “if it creates or reproduces social structures based on essentialized racial categories.” Howard Winant, *The New Politics of Race: Globalism, Difference, Justice* (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2004), 45. Mississippi’s system of Jim Crow laws to enforce White Supremacy would fall under this definition.


of the state’s General Assembly opened in Jackson in a patch of wilderness ambitiously
demarked with an elegant checkerboard street plan laid out along lines suggested by
Thomas Jefferson.\textsuperscript{106}

The new capitol, named for president Andrew Jackson, did not flourish. For the ten or eleven months the Assembly was not in session, the town emptied. In 1830, the Hinds County tax roles show only three lots in Jackson on which taxes were assessed.\textsuperscript{107} Having the character of a frontier town, one early citizen recalls of those early years:

Our old State House . . . was rarely used as a house of worship; for in the first place there were hardly enough people to constitute a congregation, had all been inclined to attend; and secondly, there were so many who cherished a deep-rooted aversion to such assemblies that a wandering divine would have been obliged to declaim to empty benches.\textsuperscript{108}

It would take 14 years before the Methodists, organizing a congregation in 1836, started holding the first regular Christian worship in Jackson.\textsuperscript{109} T. J. Wharton, who became a judge in the city, remembered the state of Jackson when he arrived in 1837. Hoping to find the “metropolis of the great cotton-growing, slaveholding aristocracy of this proud commonwealth”, he was deeply disappointed:

Instead of the flourishing young city my imagination had promised I should see, the population did not exceed 900 people, I would suppose. The buildings were scattered at long intervals over the corporate limits of the

\begin{flushleft}
\textsuperscript{106} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{107} Ibid., 22.
\textsuperscript{109} Baptists in 1838, Episcopalians in 1844 and Roman Catholics in 1846. The Methodists built Jackson’s first church in 1839. Ibid., 19.
\end{flushleft}
town-and of the plainest materials, wholly devoid of architectural taste or design, even then wore the appearance of decay, though the town was then in its infancy. Many of the squares now covered with handsome buildings and luxurious homes of wealth and refinement were thickest forests, not a house upon them nor a primeval tree detached from the soil. The State House, then occupied by the legislature when in session, was a small, two-story structure, with two rooms, the one above for the senate, below for the House of Representatives. . . This is where the Methodists and all other congregations worshipped at that time. There was a plank walk three or four feet wide elevated above the ground and extending from the back door to a side door of a saloon or "dram shop” . . . Over that plank walk a stream – a human stream – was continually pouring, day and night, during the session of the legislature.110

Jackson’s hope for improvement lay in the in the state’s economic development; and in 1837, slave labor powered the economy. Wealthy planters had only just started establishing plantations in the Delta, and they needed their slaves to continue the work.111 Slaves cleared and drained the swampy wilderness exposing the fertile earth for the planting of cotton. Cotton, a labor-intensive crop, required slave labor to plant, chop the weeds and pick the bolls. The growing abolitionist movement in the North threatened the planters investment in land and slaves and jeopardized Jackson’s future as the “metropolis of the great cotton-growing, slaveholding aristocracy of this proud commonwealth.”

1837 – New School/ Old School Split

The same year Presbyterians started meeting in Jackson, the national Presbyterian Church took steps to silence the abolitionists within their ranks. Abolitionist sentiment had been growing in the Presbyterian Church and in 1835, 397 abolitionists were able to

bring the matter of slavery to the floor of the General Assembly. Avoiding debate, the General Assembly voted to pass the issue on to a committee to make their report the following year.\textsuperscript{112} In 1836, the committee delivered an unequivocal condemnation of slavery, crucially declaring slaveholding a sin:

\begin{quote}
[Slavery is] an institution, contrary to nature -- unsanctioned by the divine law of love. The authority it claims is usurpation, and the subjection it demands is unreasonable. The whole system is at war with the divine institutions. It is therefore sin -- essentially SIN -- and all its claims are founded in injustice.\textsuperscript{113}
\end{quote}

They proposed the General Assembly pass a resolution:

\begin{quote}
That the buying, selling, or holding of a human being as property, is, in the sight of God, a heinous sin, and ought to subject the doer of it to censure of the church.\textsuperscript{114}
\end{quote}

If the General Assembly declared slavery a sin then it would require the excommunication of all slaveholders.\textsuperscript{115} Moderates, wishing to hold the church together, joined with delegates from the Southern slaveholding states and blocked the proposed resolution with their own:

\begin{quote}
2. That it is the duty of every one, and especially of every Christian who may be involved in this sin, to free himself from its entanglements without delay.

3. That it is the duty of every one, and especially of every Christian, in the meekness and firmness of the gospel, to plead the cause of the poor and needy, by testifying against the principle and practice of slave holding; and to use his best endeavors to deliver the church of God from this evil; and to bring about the emancipation of the slaves in these United States and throughout the world.
\end{quote}

\textsuperscript{112} C. Bruce Staiger, "Abolitionism and the Presbyterian Schism of 1837-1838," \textit{The Mississippi Historical Review} 36, no. 3 (Dec. 1949): 395.

\textsuperscript{113} \textit{Minutes of the General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church in the United States of America}, (Philadelphia: Presbyterian Board of Publication, 1836), 250.

\textsuperscript{114} Ibid. They proposed two other resolutions:

1. If the General Assembly declared slavery a sin then it would require the excommunication of all slaveholders.

\textsuperscript{115} The Presbyterian \textit{Directory of Worship} is quite explicit in the matter; a person can only approach the table "such as desire to renounce their sins, and are determined to lead a holy and godly life." \textit{The Directory for the Worship of God in the Presbyterian Church in the United States of America}. adopted in 1821 VIII: IV in \textit{The Constitution of the Presbyterian Church in the United States of America: Containing the Confession of Faith, the Catechisms, and the Directory for the Worship of God}, (Philadelphia: Presbyterian Board of Publication, 1841), 501.
The shortness of the time during which they can continue in session, render it impossible to deliberate and decide judiciously on the subject of slavery in its relations to the church; therefore, resolved, that this whole subject be postponed indefinitely.\textsuperscript{116}

This counter resolution passed 154 to 87, but no one believed the question could be “postponed indefinitely.” Those wishing to defeat the abolitionists formed a decisive alliance that exploited an on-going theological division within the denomination.

In 1801, the Congregationalists of New England and the Presbyterian Church passed the Plan of Union joining their resources to better compete with the Methodists and the Baptists in evangelizing the expanding frontier.\textsuperscript{117} As the nineteenth century progressed, the Congregationalists increasingly came under the influence of Unitarian theology and a distinctive ‘New School’ developed that brought into question the orthodox Calvinist beliefs in original sin.\textsuperscript{118} The increasing presence of this New School in the General Assembly alarmed the orthodox or ‘Old School’ Presbyterians at a time when the abolitionists were coming close to striking a decisive blow.\textsuperscript{119}

Those in support of Old School “orthodoxy” had wished for some time to excise the Congregationalist New School element from the church but they lacked the votes to

\textsuperscript{116} Minutes of the General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church in the United States of America, 272; Irving Stoddard Kull, "Presbyterian Attitudes toward Slavery," \textit{Church History} 7 (June 1938): 107.


\textsuperscript{118} The New School embraced the evolutionary optimism of the day and reacted to the doctrine of original sin as it removed the possibility of human agency and responsibility. Nathaniel W. Taylor, the chair of didactic theology at Yale, became the chief proponent of New School or "New Haven theology." In 1828 he preached a sermon that rejected original sin in the sense that man inherits "any disposition or tendency to sin, which is the cause of all sin." Staiger, "Abolitionism and the Presbyterian Schism of 1837-1838," 393; Ernest Trice Thompson, \textit{Presbyterians in the South}, 3 vols., vol. 1: 1607-1861 (Richmond: John Knox Press, 1963), 362.

\textsuperscript{119} The sudden increase in numbers was, in large part, due to the revival taking place during the 1830s in New England under the evangelist Charles G. Finney. Kull, "Presbyterian Attitudes toward Slavery," 106; Thompson, \textit{Presbyterians in the South}, 363.
do it. While the moderates were essentially sympathetic to the Old School position, they stopped short of wishing to split the church over the issue. If the New School could be removed, then the abolitionists would lose their numerical advantage in the vote on slavery.\footnote{There is no direct correlation between the theological arguments of the New and Old schools and the abolitionist debate. Abolitionism was not inconsistent with the Old School position; however, slavery was anathema to the New School’s concept of Human liberty (Charles G. Finney was an ardent abolitionist), and the inherently conservative stance of the Old School meant they were “no more disposed to tamper with the social order than with true doctrine.” Kull, “Presbyterian Attitudes toward Slavery,” 107.} And so an alliance was formed: the Old School agreed to leave the subject of slavery completely alone if they received Southern support in ousting the New School.\footnote{Staiger, "Abolitionism and the Presbyterian Schism of 1837-1838," 409.}

The removal of the New School proved remarkably simple. In 1837, the motion was brought that, "the 'Plan of Union' adopted for the new settlements, in 1801, was originally an unconstitutional act on the part of the Assembly. . . . therefore, let it be resolved that. . . the 'Plan of Union' be, and the same time is hereby abrogated."\footnote{Minutes of the General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church in the United States of America, (Philadelphia: Presbyterian Board of Publication, 1837), 421.} This abrogation meant that any synods brought into being after 1801 under the Plan of Union were unconstitutional and simply ceased to exist as far as representation at the Assembly was concerned. The motion passed 143 to110 with the assistance of the Southern delegates.\footnote{Ibid., 422. Instantly the Western Reserve Synod and the synods of Genesee, Utica and Geneva ceased to be a part of the Presbyterian Church of the United States of America. Minutes of the General Assembly 1836 &1837, 422. A number of other resolutions passed that further secured the Old School position. In each instance, the South voted as a regional block with 51 of the 61 southern votes consistently cast for the Old School. Staiger, "Abolitionism and the Presbyterian Schism of 1837-1838," 411.}

There is no reference to slavery in the minutes of the General Assembly for 1837, but it would be grossly misleading to suggest the schism that resulted from this assembly
was purely doctrinal in nature and had nothing to do with slavery.\textsuperscript{124} The split in the church served to secure the proslavery position of the Presbyterian Church. Whereas, only the previous year, the anti-abolitionists had to be satisfied with the truce that the “subject be postponed indefinitely,” now with the removal of the Congregationalists, the Presbyterian Church proclaimed the benefits of slavery. A pastoral letter, "Narrative of the State of Religion," included in the minutes for the assembly for 1837, postulates the possibilities for mission afforded by slavery:

To what source so promising can we turn for missionaries to traverse the sands of Africa, as to this numerous people? They are providentially placed among us.

The letter continues in a reminder to the Presbyterian faithful that freedom for the slave lies in the private sphere of personal salvation rather than the political sphere of emancipation.

The prayer of every benevolent heart should ascend to God for their best interests, and especially that all classes of them may be delivered from that worst of bondage, the thralldom of sin and Satan.\textsuperscript{125}

The General Assembly’s decision that Presbyterians could continue as slaveholders was good news for the newly formed congregation in Jackson, Mississippi, some of whose members were undoubtedly slave owners themselves. The records of the congregation show on April 1, 1842, there were 27 white and 12

\textsuperscript{124} Morton Smith, an apologist for the PCA in a misleading statement claims the split was simply “over theological issues.” Morton H. Smith, \textit{How Is the Gold Become Dim! (Lamentations 4:1) the Decline of the Presbyterian Church in the United States as Reflected in Its Assembly Actions} (Jackson, MS: The Steering Committee for a Continuing Presbyterian Church, Faithful to the Scriptures and the Reformed Faith, 1973), 4. The split closely followed geographical divisions. In 1856 when the New School churches split over slavery, of the total, 1677 congregations, only 301 were in slave states. Kull, "Presbyterian Attitudes toward Slavery," 114.

\textsuperscript{125} "Narrative of the State of Religion" in \textit{Minutes of the General Assembly 1836 &1837}, 509-10.
black members.\textsuperscript{126} The black members were almost certainly slaves owned by white members of the church.\textsuperscript{127} This small band met in the Methodist church and the Baptist Church before holding the first service in their own building on February 1, 1846.\textsuperscript{128} The church, located on the northwest corner of Yazoo and State streets, could accommodate nearly a thousand congregants.\textsuperscript{129}

The congregation had difficulty recruiting and retaining ministers, having eight ministers in their first 21 years.\textsuperscript{130} Part of the problem was that Jackson was not the most desirable of places to live. While the city itself was set up on high ground on the west bank of the Pearl River, to the east lay swampy land. Residents complained of mosquitoes “so numerous and hungry that we all look as if we had small pox.”\textsuperscript{131} Consequently, malaria and the dreaded Yellow Fever blighted the city.\textsuperscript{132} Epidemics of Yellow Fever, or “the yellow jack,” swept the city between 1852 and 1855.\textsuperscript{133} In 1855, the disease caused families to flee the city and the churches to close their doors.\textsuperscript{134} Stability came to the Presbyterian Church in Jackson in 1858 with the

\textsuperscript{126} McCain, ed., \textit{The Story of Jackson}, 152.
\textsuperscript{127} There were a small number of African Americans who were not enslaved. The 1825 Hinds County census showed a population of 1536 free whites. 522 slaves were the property of 93 slaveholders. Of the 198 taxpayers, only 2 were “free men of color.” Ibid., 19.
\textsuperscript{128} \textit{Southern Reformer}, 9 February 1846 in Ibid., 154.
\textsuperscript{129} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{130} Hunter stepped down in September 14, 1895 Ibid., 276.
\textsuperscript{131} Clarice T. Campbell and Oscar A. Rogers, \textit{Mississippi, the View from Tougaloo} (Jackson: University Press of Mississippi, 1979), 97.
\textsuperscript{132} Hamilton, \textit{Galloway Memorial Methodist Church}, 74.
\textsuperscript{133} Martha Boman, “A Social History of Jackson, Mississippi: 1821-1861, State Capital in the Old South” (University of Mississippi, 1952), 197.
\textsuperscript{134} In 1855, the Episcopal church conducted 27 funerals (one for the minister) though the congregation numbered 102. The epidemic caused the Episcopalians to close their St. Andrews College the following year and the eventual location of the University of the South at Sewanee, TN. Sherwood Willing Wise, \textit{The Cathedral Church of St. Andrew: A Sesquicentennial History, 1839-1989} (Jackson, Miss.: The Cathedral Church of St. Andrew, 1989), 18. The epidemic of 1878 was bad enough that one person called it a
appointment of the reverend John Hunter. Hunter, an Irishman by birth, would serve as the pastor for the next 38 years. At the time of his appointment, the greatest threat facing Jackson and Mississippi was not Yellow Fever but War.

**Thornwell and a Theology for Slaveholders**

From the 1830s until the Civil War, the abolitionists took an increasingly militant position; where once they had advocated (with some success) voluntary emancipation, they now demanded immediate abolition. The Presbyterian Church, through the work of men such as James Henley Thornwell of South Carolina, produced perhaps the most sophisticated theological arguments in defense of slavery and against the abolitionists.

As a good Calvinist, Thornwell believed himself to be a part of the covenant community of God, the same covenant that God established with Abraham. The covenant, originally marked by circumcision, now by baptism, extends to the children of the covenant community. Thornwell, in a long article with the euphemistic title “The Baptism of Servants”, extended the covenant still further to include “the household” of calamity, “worse than war, carpetbaggers or the Negro question.” Wise, *The Cathedral Church of St. Andrew*, 39.

---

136 Hunter stepped down in September 14, 1895 Ibid., 276.
138 Misgivings about slavery led to the formation of groups dedicated to resettling slaves in Africa. The Methodist dominated *Mississippi Colonization Society*, founded in 1831 resettled 570 freed slaves in Liberia in a colony called Mississippi in Africa. For a remarkable account of the journey of freed slave from a Mississippi Delta plantation to Africa read Alan Huffman, *Mississippi in Africa* (New York, N.Y.: Gotham Books, 2004).

138 Thornwell is one of the most influential of the antebellum divines and is revered by conservative Southern Presbyterians as one of their foundational theologians. In the years following the civil war, Benjamin Morgan Palmer the minister of New Orlean’s First Presbyterian Church, a student of Thornwell and his biographer (Benjamin Morgan Palmer, *The Life and Letters of James Henley Thornwell* (Richmond: Whittet & Shepperson, 1875)), became “one of the South’s preeminent clergymen during the second half of the nineteenth century.” Stephen R. Haynes, *Noah's Curse: The Biblical Justification of American Slavery* (Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press, 2002), 13.
the patriarch. Just as Abraham had to circumcise his entire household, so, Thornwell argued, Christian slaveholders should baptize their slaves.\textsuperscript{139} In a later sermon, \textit{The Rights and Duties of Masters}, Thornwell turned on the abolitionists. He showed how their criticisms of slavery were in fact not arguments for emancipation; rather they were arguments against the abuses of slavery with which he was in full agreement. Rather than calling for the abolition of slavery, Thornwell urged Christian slave masters to reform not abolish the institution.\textsuperscript{140}

As the world turned against the South and its peculiar institution, Thornwell and his Presbyterian colleagues worked hard to perceive the providential purpose in slavery. In the sermon \textit{The Rights and Duties of Masters}, Thornwell explained how God, in his “inscrutable Providence… arranged that the circumstances of… social and political institutions of communities” best to suit “their moral progress.” The condition of slavery would not suit the temperament of white Americans, Thornwell explained to his white audience, “But the… subjugation to a master, [is] the state in which the African is most effectually trained to the moral end of his being.” Thornwell concluded, “Slavery may be

\textsuperscript{139} James Henley Thornwell, "The Baptism of Servants," \textit{Southern Presbyterian Review} 1 (June 1847): 77. It is important to note that making the slaveholder responsible for bringing slave children for baptism, placed the slaveholder in a difficult position with regard to the laws of South Carolina that forbid teaching slaves to read. The Directory of Worship stipulates that those bringing a child for baptism must promise, "That they teach the child to read the word of God; that they instruct it in the principles of our holy religion." \textit{The Constitution of the Presbyterian Church in the United States of America}, 499. Advocating for the inclusion of slaves in the church as baptized members, he stops short of suggesting equality between black and white. "[T]heir religious teachers should never be taken from among themselves,” Thornwell recommends, “There is too great a proneness to superstition and extravagance among the most enlightened of them, to admit of their being entrusted with the cure of souls… we would as soon think of making Ministers and Elders, and organizing Churches, of children, as of according the same privilege to slaves.” James Henley Thornwell, \textit{The Collected Writings of James Henley Thornwell}, ed. John B. Adger, vol. 4 (Richmond: Whittet & Shepperson, 1871-73), 396.

\textsuperscript{140} James Henley Thornwell, \textit{The Rights and Duties of Masters: A Sermon Preached at the Dedication of a Church Erected in Charleston SC., for the Benefit and Instruction of the Coloured Population} (Charleston SC: Walker & James, 1850).
a good, or to speak more accurately, a condition, though founded in a curse, from which the Providence of God extracts a blessing.”

For Thornwell, and those who shared his view of slavery as an instrument of God’s providence, the abolitionists had an “awful temerity to tamper” with this divine scheme. It was both blasphemous and futile, Thornwell maintained, to try to abolish slavery as both scripture and natural law supported the place of slavery in a divinely ordered society:

[Slavery] arose, in the progress of human events, from the operation of moral causes; it has been grounded by philosophers in moral maxims, it has always been held to be moral by the vast majority of the race. No age has been without it. From the first dawn of authentic history, until the present period, it has come down to us through all the course of ages. We find it among nomadic tribes, barbarian hordes, and civilized States. . . We insist only upon the fact that slavery is rooted in common law, wider and more prevailing than the common law of England - THE UNIVERSAL CUSTOM OF MANKIND.

International condemnation merely confirmed Thornwell’s worldview for, “God has not permitted such a remarkable phenomenon as the unanimity of the civilized world, in its execration of slavery, to take place without design. . . God will vindicate the appointments of His Providence. . . we can receive the assault of the civilized world.”

Mississippi set itself to receive “the assault of the civilized world” when the upper house of the Mississippi legislature, following the prayers of William C. Crane, the rector

---

141 Ibid., 33.
142 Ibid., 15.
144 Ibid., 13.
of St. Andrews, Jackson, voted to secede from the Union on January 9, 1861. In May 1861, the General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church (Old School) met in Philadelphia; by this point all Southern States had seceded except North Carolina and Tennessee. The Assembly, in the Gardiner Spring Resolutions, pledged allegiance to the Federal Government. The delegates from Southern states withdrew from the Assembly and called for a meeting in Augusta, Georgia to form a new Assembly. On December 4, 1861, the representatives of 47 Southern Presbyteries formed the Assembly of the Presbyterian Church in the Confederate States of America (PCCSA). Thornwell, in an address to the first assembly of the new church entitled “To all the Churches of Jesus Christ throughout the Earth,” spelled out the reasons for the formation of the PCCSA. At the heart of the split, Thornwell recognized, was slavery:

[Slavery is the] one difference which so radically and fundamentally distinguishes the North and the South, that it is becoming everyday more apparent that the religious, as well as the secular interests of both will be effectively promoted by complete and lasting separation.  

The War came to Jackson in the summer of 1863. In May, General Sherman took the city, destroying the railroad tracks and any public property deemed to be aiding the war effort. His troops rampaged through the city. They burnt the Roman Catholic Church, two hospitals, a bank, and a block between city hall and the state house. Sherman took the city again in July. In his report, Sherman noted, “the city with the destruction

---

committed by ourselves in May last and by the enemy during this siege, is one mass of charred ruins.”\textsuperscript{149} The correspondent for the \textit{Chicago Times} with the Union forces reported, “The entire business portion of the city is in ruins except a few old frame buildings.”\textsuperscript{150} Jackson’s smoldering ruins earned the city the name “Chimneyville.”\textsuperscript{151}

The war wreaked a terrible toll on Mississippi. By the end of the conflict, Mississippi’s cities were in ruins; the economy was shattered; and a quarter of the state’s white men, who were of military age in 1860, were dead.\textsuperscript{152} The churchmen, who had confidently predicted a Confederate victory as the vindication of Divine Providence, now had to come to terms with the apparent fallibility of their predictions.

Following the war, and the obvious defeat of the cause so many Southerners believed to be ordained by providence, Presbyterians were less inclined to publicly proclaim divine sanction for human politics. Before the Civil War, Southern Presbyterians had a strong tradition of political preaching rooted in a progressive millennialist theology (the belief that God’s kingdom is gradually being established on earth). The Southern Presbyterian brand of post-millenialism, as historian Jack Maddex explains, “combined a paternalistic sense of social responsibility with a schematic theology of God’s providential direction of history.”\textsuperscript{153} By declaring that basic social institutions, including slavery, were providential, men such as Thornwell believed, “the

\textsuperscript{149} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{150} Quoted in Garner, \textit{Reconstruction in Mississippi}, 12.
\textsuperscript{151} Wise, \textit{The Cathedral Church of St. Andrew}, 22.
\textsuperscript{152} McLemore, ed., \textit{A History of Mississippi}, 542.
church [was] free to address the state and to endorse or condemn societies' programs.”

The Southern Church’s General Assembly of 1865, at which the church changed its name to the Presbyterian Church in the United States (PCUS), drew the conclusion that only as “a spiritual kingdom” independent of politics could the church survive the fall of earthly regimes.  

The same churchmen, who once demanded from their pulpits their state’s secession from the Union, now “championed ‘spirituality’ and showed interest in pre-millennialism.”  

Southern Presbyterians, in facing up to the harsh realities of the post-war South, established this “spirituality” of the church as one of their distinctive doctrines.

The Southern Presbyterian theologians who preached this Spirituality of the Church claimed this had always been the position of the PCUS. They made their case from selective use of antebellum church pronouncements designed to silence the political utterances of abolitionists, quite ignoring the fact that these were also the justifications for endorsing the politics of Southern resistance and eventual secession.  

After the war, Presbyterian preachers such as Robert L. Dabney and Benjamin M. Palmer pointed to the PCUS’s proclamation in 1845 that “The Church of Christ is a spiritual body whose

156 Maddex, "Proslavery Millennialism," 60. If it took the American theological establishment the disillusionment following the First World War to throw off the optimism of the social gospel’s millennialism, the South experienced defeat and disillusionment over 50 years earlier.
jurisdiction extends only to the religious faith and moral conduct of her members."\(^{158}\)

Above all, however, they appealed to the late Thornwell who, on the formation of the PCCSA in 1861, gave what would become the classic text for the doctrine of the spirituality of the church for Southern Presbyterians. In his speech *To All the Churches of Jesus Christ throughout the Earth* Thornwell said:

> The provinces of the Church and State are perfectly distinct, and the one has no right to usurp the jurisdiction of the other. The State is a natural institute, founded in the constitution of man as moral and social, and designed to realize the idea of justice. It is the society of rights. The Church is a supernatural institution, founded on the facts of redemption, and is designed to realize the idea of grace. It is the society of the redeemed. The State aims at social order; the Church at spiritual holiness. The state looks to the visible and outward; the Church is concerned for the invisible and inward. . . . They are as planets moving in different orbits."\(^{159}\)

This position, originally taken to defend the privileged and powerful from the intrusion of abolitionist morality into their religion, became a trusty bulwark for a closed church against the continued intrusion of carpet-baggers, scallywags, liberals, ecumenists, and civil rights activists into the Southern way of life and the Southern Presbyterian Church.\(^{160}\)

**Jim Crow and the Church**

With the close of the war came a new relationship between whites and blacks in Mississippi. The occupying federal troops organized the registration of eligible voters, which included the freed slaves. Before the election of 1867, 79,176 blacks and 58,385

---


whites registered to vote. With many whites staying away from the polls in protest, the Republicans with the support of freed slaves, won decisively. Their victory led to the inclusion of the fourteenth and fifteenth amendments against voter discrimination in the state’s new constitution.\textsuperscript{161} Learning their lesson, in 1868, the Democrats started to intimidate black voters. In addition to the first appearance of the Ku Klux Klan in the state, Democrats recorded the names of black voters at the polls, threatening to have them sacked from their jobs if they voted Republican.\textsuperscript{162} In 1875, W. Calvin Wells, the secretary of the Democratic campaign committee for Hinds County and future elder at First Presbyterian Church, Jackson, helped coordinate a strategy to defeat the Republicans. Known as the “Mississippi Plan,” Wells’ strategy included intimidation, bribery, and deception.\textsuperscript{163} The methods proved so effective that by 1876 the Democrats had regained control of every branch of the state’s government.\textsuperscript{164}


\textsuperscript{162} For a detailed description of the politics of this period read McLemore, ed., \textit{A History of Mississippi}, 542-89.

\textsuperscript{163} Ibid., 587.

\textsuperscript{164} W. Calvin Wells explained the strategy thirty years later:
1. A solidly organized Democratic front.
2. Individual effort with negroes, persuasive, but if necessary, intimidation.
3. And if these failed, then stuff the ballot box by putting in Democratic votes after the election and before the counting had taken place.
4. Destruction of Republican tickets when they could be gotten.
5. Substitution of Democratic for Republican tickets in the hands of the negroes before they voted by inserting "Republican" at the top of Democratic tickets and have the names of Democrats below the word "Republican."
6. As a \textit{dernier resort}; if these plans did not carry, then the Republicans were to be counted out and the Democrats counted in.

Wells reported, "... all of these means were used, except stuffing the ballot box and counting out.” He acknowledges these “were corrupt practices,” but he explains the Democrat’s actions as “a lesser evil”: “...we were \textit{forced} to a choice between the evils of Negro rule and the evils of the questionable practices to overthrow it. We chose what we thought to be the lesser evil, and it is now not to be regretted.” W. Calvin Wells, "Reconstruction and Its Destruction in Hinds County," in \textit{Publications of the Mississippi Historical Society}, ed. Franklin L. Riley (Oxford, Mississippi: The Mississippi Historical Society, 1906),
The political turmoil only briefly slowed the state’s economic development. In the 1880s, railroad companies drove tracks through the fast disappearing wilderness of the Delta. Towns sprang up along the lines and planters and loggers could now move their cotton and timber to market with ease.\textsuperscript{165} By 1884, Jackson had become a transport hub with seven railroad lines coming into the city. The railway lines brought raw materials and people to the city’s fledgling industries and processing plants.\textsuperscript{166} The Presbyterian Church, under the leadership of John Hunter, now had a congregation of around 200. With the population of Jackson increasing every year and with new wealth starting to banish the privations, if not the memory, of the Civil War, the congregation decided to build a new church. They held their last service in their old building on July 19, 1891.\textsuperscript{167} For the cost of around $18,000, the new church, “a handsome, Gothic structure,” was dedicated on May 14, 1893.\textsuperscript{168}

Presbyterians in Jackson laid the foundations for their new church only a year after the state legislature, in the Constitution of 1890, disenfranchised blacks and established white supremacy in Mississippi for the next 74 years.\textsuperscript{169} The Constitution of 1890 was another step in legalizing the new code of social exclusion that had replaced the

\textsuperscript{104-05} W. Calvin Wells’ great grandson Calvin L. Wells, also an elder at First Presbyterian Church, was the chairman of the sub-committee formed in 1994 to look into the allegations against Mission Mississippi.\textsuperscript{164} McLemore, ed., \textit{A History of Mississippi}, 589.
\textsuperscript{165} Cobb, \textit{The Most Southern Place on Earth}, 80-81.
\textsuperscript{166} Van Gelder, “Growth Patterns”, 65.
\textsuperscript{167} Clarion-Ledger, 23 July 1891, in McCain, ed., \textit{The Story of Jackson}, 275-76.
\textsuperscript{168} Clarion-Ledger, 9 July 1891, in Ibid.
\textsuperscript{169} McLemore, ed., \textit{A History of Mississippi}, 10.
The new constitution included the “Understanding Clause” requiring a person registering to vote to be able to read and give “a reasonable interpretation” of a section of the constitution and the payment of poll tax for two years before registering. The results of the convention can be seen in the 1892 voter registration with 56,587 whites and only 6,648 blacks on the poll books. McLemore, ed., \textit{A History of Mississippi}, 14-17.
slave codes in Mississippi. For the first two decades after the war, these new codes were informal in nature, though almost universally understood by whites and African Americans. The state’s African Americans found themselves increasingly excluded from restaurants, parks, theatres, railway cars and steamboat salons.  

Fig. 3.4. First Presbyterian Church, Jackson. 1927.  

170 McMillen, Dark Journey: Black Mississippians in the Age of Jim Crow, 4-5.  
171 Jackson, the City Beautiful, 25.
Mississippi, along with other Southern states, gradually formalized these discriminatory practices in what became known as the ‘Jim Crow’ laws. In 1888, two years before the 1890 constitution usually considered the start of Jim Crow in Mississippi, the state legislature passed a law requiring separate accommodations on railroads. The Federal Supreme Court offered no opposition to the growing number of Jim Crow laws when in the famous 1896 case, *Plessy v. Ferguson*, the justices ruled that separation of the two races did not mean they were any less equal under the law.

The PCUS had embraced the new Southern custom of segregation long before the Supreme Court ruled in Jim Crow’s favor. In 1869, the General Assembly in 1869 adopted measures anticipating separate denominations for white and African American Presbyterians. In 1874, they approved the establishment of Stillman College in Tuscaloosa, Alabama, “an institution for the training of the colored ministry.” Next, the General Assembly’s Committee on Home Missions formed Presbyteries specifically for African American congregations. One such was Ethel Presbytery founded in Mississippi in 1891. By 1898, the General Assembly felt the time had come for the African Americans to form their own denomination. The Afro-American Presbyterian Church, however, failed to thrive, and so in 1915 the Afro-American presbyteries

organized into a constituent synod of the PCUS called the “Snedecor Memorial” synod.\textsuperscript{175} The distinctive attitude of Southern white society towards race hampered attempts to reunite the PCUS with the Northern Presbyterian Church in the USA. Often talked about, the General Assembly first seriously considered union with the Northern church in 1918, appointing a committee to look into organic union.\textsuperscript{176} Delegate John M. Wells of the First Presbyterian Church, Jackson opposed union on the floor of the Assembly on three grounds: doctrinal differences, the differing attitude toward “the Negroes,” and “the continuing political deliverances by the Northern Church.”\textsuperscript{177} Of particular concern to him was the difference over the doctrine of the spirituality of the church.

Since the beginning of the century, Southern Presbyterians considered the spirituality of the church “a distinctive doctrine” of their denomination.\textsuperscript{178} In 1901, Thomas Cary Johnson, a professor at Union Theological Seminary in Richmond, Virginia, listed the “spirituality of the church, both in practice as well as theory” in his five distinctives of the PCUS.\textsuperscript{179} The areas of disagreement between the Northern and Southern church of doctrine, race and the spirituality of the church intertwine and thread through the next 90 years of Southern Presbyterian debates. Political,

\textsuperscript{175} Ibid., 13-15. By 1917, the synod, named after James G. Snedecor a member of the Executive Committee on Colored Evangelization, claimed 1492 communicants.
\textsuperscript{176} Thompson, \textit{Presbyterians in the South}, 292.
\textsuperscript{177} Ibid. Of course, Wells’ concerns are interconnected. He finds the political deliverances problematic as they pertain to race relations in the South and he considers them a contravention of the doctrine of the Spirituality of the Church which is one of the key doctrinal differences between the two denominations.
\textsuperscript{178} Thompson, \textit{The Spirituality of the Church}, 1.
theological and ecumenical developments in the church and the country amplified these themes until they exploded in acrimonious schism.

**A New Century and the Impact of Modernity**

When Dr. James Buchanan Hutton succeeded Dr. Hunter at the Presbyterian Church in 1896, there were no inklings of the impending crises that would rupture the fabric of the region and church. An earnest man, throughout his ministry Hutton worked for moral regeneration and Sabbath observance in Jackson; his obituary remembered his fight against “the traffic in the virtue of women, in liquor, and in gambling.”

Serving the church for nearly 44 years, Hutton saw the impact of modernity on both the city of Jackson and the Southern Presbyterian Church.

At the start of Hutton’s ministry, Jackson, with a population of around 6,000, was still only the fourth largest city in the state. The growth in industry made possible by the railroads meant that by 1920 the city numbered 21,262, and by Hutton’s death in 1940, the census revealed Jackson’s population to number 62,107. Continuity in the city’s political and social structures aided this period of prosperity. Between 1917 and 1945, the city’s Commissioners remained unchanged. The three men boasted of their “harmony... [with] the business interests of the city.” The churches expanded to meet the needs of the growing population. First Presbyterian Church under Hutton planted three new

---
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churches in the new suburbs: Central (1898), Power Memorial (1924), and Fondren (1930).\textsuperscript{183}

While the city grew as a bifurcated community, divided socially and geographically by Jim Crow, churchgoing whites did concern themselves with the welfare of African Americans. They saw the matter of race relations in paternalistic terms, assisting Negro institutions in their work of advancing their race. Presbyterians were particularly notable in their support of education. Throughout the 1930s, Jackson College struggled after the Baptist Home Mission withdrew their funding of the African American college.\textsuperscript{184} The College tried to secure state institution status and funding, but the legislature turned down their requests in 1936, and again in 1938. Worthy white churchgoers formed the Religious Conference Groups with the aim “that if sufficient evidence of all-out co-operation, regardless of differences, could be shown to the authorities, then there was every hope that sympathy and a strong positive belief of a successful future could eventually persuade the Powers-that-Be to act.” These groups, noted B. Baldwin Dansby, the college president, were “over-all Presbyterian.”\textsuperscript{185}

Despite such acts of paternalistic concern, white Mississippians were aware that their Jim Crow laws, ideology of white supremacy, and record of lynchings garnered harsh criticism from much of the nation. During the 1930s, the NAACP shone a harsh light on Mississippi during its unsuccessful attempts to pass federal anti-lynching legislation.\textsuperscript{186} Dansby, the president of Jackson College, took note of the apparent
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contradiction between the external criticism of Jim Crow Mississippi and the piety of her white Citizens:

The State of Mississippi was always before the gaze of the nation, but regardless of what were the recurrent defects, politically, socially or otherwise, defects that persisted in the creation of a negative atmosphere as far as the rest of the country was concerned, her devotion to the church and her insistence upon functional religion were well established.\textsuperscript{187}

Mississippi’s conservative equilibrium shaped by white supremacy and “devotion to the church” faced internal as well as external challenges. In the 1920s, conservative Presbyterians grew increasingly concerned by the rise of the liberal German school of biblical criticism in seminaries that wished to demythologize the text of the Bible and throw into question the historicity of the miracles, virgin birth and physical resurrection of Christ. These Presbyterians became part of the fundamentalist movement that “opposed both modernism in theology and the cultural changes that modernism endorsed.”\textsuperscript{188} In 1923, J. Gresham Machen, a professor at Princeton and vigorous proponent of Thornwell’s Old School, published an attack on modern theological trends. In his book \textit{Christianity and Liberalism}, Machen rejected modernism in favor of
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the fundamentals of the Christian faith enshrined in the traditional Calvinist doctrines of
the faith and supported by the inerrant words of scripture.\textsuperscript{189} Resigning from Princeton
Seminary in 1929, Machen founded Westminster Theological Seminary in
Philadelphia, and in 1936 left the PCUSA to form a new denomination called the
Orthodox Presbyterian Church.\textsuperscript{190}

While Machen’s conflicts took place in the Northern Church, Southern
Presbyterians watched developments with a keen interest. Machen’s denunciation of the
PCUSA for departing from the traditions and doctrines of the Church lent ammunition to
those opposed to union with the Northern Presbyterians. Machen and Westminster
Seminary found strong support in Mississippi. During the 1930s, both Machen and
Cornelius Van Til, Westminster Seminary’s professor of apologetics, spoke at
Synod of Mississippi youth conferences.\textsuperscript{191} J.B Hutton, the minister at First
Presbyterian Church, Jackson and editor of \textit{Mississippi Visitor}, publicly backed the
Northern Conservatives. With his encouragement, churches in the Central
Mississippi Presbytery called Westminster Seminary graduates to fill their
pulpits.\textsuperscript{192} The fundamentalism of Westminster Seminary gave energy and resources
to conservative Southern Presbyterians at a time when progressives within their

\textsuperscript{190} George M. Marsden, \textit{Understanding Fundamentalism and Evangelicalism} (Grand Rapids, Mich.: W.B.
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backbone behind conservative Presbyterians’ rejection of Karl Barth’s neo-orthodoxy linking him to the
social activism of the liberals that so disturbed Southern Presbyterians: “Barth replaces the Christ of Luther
and of Calvin,” Van Til wrote, “with a Christ patterned after modern activist thought.” Cornelius Van Til
vii.
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denomination were attacking their traditional defense against social change: the Spirituality of the Church.193

The influence of the social gospel movement and the widespread hardships of the depression caused many Southern Presbyterians to reassess their traditional view of the Church as a strictly spiritual entity. In 1934, the General Assembly established the Permanent Committee on Moral and Social Welfare. The following year, the General Assembly adopted its report without debate. The report refuted the denomination’s “historic position . . . that the power of the church is exclusively spiritual”; instead, “the Church must interpret and present Christ’s ideal for the individual and society.” The Church, therefore, has a responsibility to “deal with those actual evils in the individual life, and in the social order which threaten man’s moral and spiritual development, which hinder the progress of God’s Kingdom here on earth, and which produce needless suffering.”194 No one had to wait long to find out which ‘actual evils’ the Committee felt were most pressing. In 1936, the committee presented a report highlighting the plight of the “Negroes in the South.”195

The desire of the progressive members of the PCUS to address social injustice and race relations came with an accompanying push towards ecumenism

193 Van Til was a proponent of the Dutch Calvinist Abraham Kuyper’s doctrine of “sphere sovereignty.” This separation of the social order into separate spheres fitted neatly and added complexity to the Southern Presbyterian doctrine of the spirituality of the church. For a detailed exegesis of Kuyper’s doctrine as it evolved through Westminster Seminary see Harriet A. Harris, Fundamentalism and Evangelicals, Oxford Theological Monographs (Oxford, New York: Clarendon Press; Oxford University Press, 1998), 233-77.
195 Alvis, Religion & Race, 48; Thompson, Presbyterians in the South, 530.
and doctrinal reform. All three of these areas would need to change if a union with
the Northern church could ever be possible. While those in favor of change
described this as a movement toward “spiritual” and “ethical maturity,” the
traditionalists saw this as a dangerous departure from the distinctives of the
church.196

To understand the role race played in the ensuing struggle, it is essential to
realize the inextricable interconnection at this time between: liberal theology with
its use of sociology and biblical criticism; the ecumenical movement and the Federal
Council of Churches’ social pronouncements; and the movement to reunite the
Presbyterian churches with the doctrinal and social compromises required to make
this possible. These progressive movements threatened the ordered worldview of
their traditionalist opponents. Fighting to be true to their religion and received
social hierarchy of caste and class, Southern conservatives felt it imperative to hold
their ground on the inerrancy of scripture and a rigid subscription to the
Westminster Confession of Faith. In the battle to resist the erosion of religious and
social traditions, the spirituality of the church formed the wall of the conservative’s
bastion. To extend the metaphor, the besiegers tried to breach the wall at its most
vulnerable point: segregation. The defenders managed to hold onto segregation for a
protracted siege of 30 years using the weapons of tradition, doctrine and theology.

196 Thompson, *Presbyterians in the South*, 530.
In 1942, Dr. L. Nelson Bell, a former missionary and father-in-law to Billy Graham, started publishing *Southern Presbyterian Journal*. Founded in response to the PCUS’s reentry into the Federal Council of Churches (FCC) and the growing momentum towards union with the PCUSA, the journal “advocated fundamentalist theology and endorsed segregation.” The journal became the mouthpiece for a conservative group within the PCUS calling themselves the Continuing Church Movement. The Synod of Mississippi threw its weight behind the movement to stop the union and the attendant reforms of doctrine and social policy. In 1944, W. Calvin Wells, grandson of the W. Calvin Wells of Reconstruction fame, elder at First Presbyterian Church and the Synod of Mississippi’s representative on the General Assembly’s Committee on Cooperation and Union, issued a public challenge in the pages of the *Southern Presbyterian Journal* to any union. Union, Wells believed, would result in a terrible compromise of orthodox Presbyterian Christianity. After outlining the extent of liberal belief in the Northern church, Wells concluded that union would lead to “those who believe the Bible is verily God’s Word, which teaches the doctrines as set forth in our Confession of Faith and the Larger and Shorter Catechisms,” being, “ridiculed, overwhelmed with votes to the contrary, and . . . expelled or excommunicated.”

The Synod of Mississippi, and the First Presbyterian Church in Jackson in particular, gained a reputation as a stronghold of opposition to the changes taking place in

---

the PCUS. Through the 1940s, the church grew under the ministry of R. Girard Lowe, remembered fondly as a “consecrated, spiritually-minded, conservative man of God.”

Lowe’s death by cancer in 1951, just months before the completion of a new church building on North State Street, left the elders casting about for a suitably conservative candidate for the pulpit in the new sanctuary. They found John Reed Miller, a professor at Belhaven College and minister at the new Trinity Presbyterian Church on Northside Drive.

John Reed Miller was a powerful preacher and an ardent conservative Calvinist of the Machen school. A native of Pittsburgh and formerly professor at the all black Knoxville College, he found in Mississippi an eager audience for his ultra-conservative brand of theology. Miller’s militant stand against theological liberalism in the PCUS formed a symbiotic relationship of conservative resistance with the First Church’s militant social conservatism. As the PCUS continued its move towards union, the conservatives started to prepare for the day that they might have to leave the denomination. Miller advised members of his church against going to any of the PCUS’s liberal seminaries, directing them instead to the OPC’s Westminster Seminary in Philadelphia. Westminster Seminary in turn recommended their graduates consider
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pulpits in Mississippi, describing it as “one particular bright spot in the Southern church.”

In 1957 the liberal PCUS journal *The Presbyterian Outlook* ran an investigative expose of what they saw as preparation for churches in Mississippi to leave the PCUS and join the OPC. The article entitled “Infiltration -- To What End?” pointed to Miller and a professor at Belhaven College called Morton Smith as the architects of the OPC “infiltration.” Historians of the subsequent split in the PCUS point to the significant influence of Miller and his connection with Westminster Seminary.

By the time the General Assembly met in 1954, conservatives and liberals were warring on the two fronts of segregation and union in their battle for the PCUS. The Assembly approved a plan of Union and sent it to the Presbyteries for their decision. Conservatives led by Bell, through vigorous campaigning, managed to stop it receiving the support of three quarters of the presbyteries required to proceed with the union.

At the same assembly, the Council on Christian Relations presented a report on segregation. Condemning segregation as “discrimination which is out of harmony with Christian theology and ethics,” they recommended, “the church, in its relationship to cultural patterns, should lead rather than follow.” The acceptance of the report led to

---
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the Assembly issuing “A Statement to Southern Christians” declaring support for the recent Supreme Court’s Decision in the case of *Brown vs. Board*.209

**Resistance**

That summer of 1954, First Presbyterian Church, Jackson stood with Mississippi’s state legislature and the newly organized Citizen’s Councils in their determination to challenge the federal ruling and defend white supremacy. First Presbyterian Church, Jackson distinguished itself as having one of two church sessions in the PCUS that *unanimously* dissented from the denomination’s support of *Brown vs. Board*.210 The elders issued a statement denouncing the Assembly’s recommendation as wrong to claim that segregation of the races was discrimination. Such a suggestion threatened “the peace and purity of the church”; consequently, First Presbyterian Church would “maintain its traditional practice of distinct separation of the races.”211 The church received the support of the Synod of Mississippi which sent an overture to the next General Assembly asking them to rescind the statement and “to redefine the functions of the . . . Council on Christian Relations.”212

---

Those Presbyterians wishing to be convinced of the necessity of segregation and white supremacy could find eminent and intellectual members of their own community to reassure them. Dr. Guy T. Gillespie, the retired president of Jackson’s Belhaven College and architect of the synod’s dissenting overture, presented an intellectual argument for the segregation of the races. In an address, later printed and widely circulated both by the Citizen’s Council and by the liberal Presbyterian Outlook, Gillespie set out his case.

America faced a choice between “the Anglo Saxon ideal of racial integrity . . . and the Communist goal of amalgamation.” The weight of his argument rested on his observation that segregation is “one of nature’s universal laws” and is a “time-tested national policy.” Segregation’s proponents, he maintained, engaged in “racial pride” not “race prejudice.”213 Gillespie was careful to acknowledge, “[T]he Bible contains no clear mandate for or against segregation.” He argued “valid inferences can be drawn in support of the general principle of segregation as an important feature of the divine plan throughout the ages.”214

No matter how erudite the arguments for segregation, and there were many, the strongest case against the Presbyterian church’s denunciation of segregation still rested not in theological cases for segregation but in an appeal to the spirituality of the

---
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In 1957, in a carefully worded response to further pronouncements by the Council on Christian Relations, First Presbyterian Church set out their case against the church’s interference in the political issue of segregation:

The Session does not feel that the Presbyterian Church in the United States should take any action with reference to current social, political and economic problems… an organized church should exist only for the purpose of stimulating and strengthening its members and for coordinating and implementing their activities in bringing others to know Him and serve Him.

The individual Christian should “seek to correct injustices and defects in our social, political and economic order” but exactly what constitutes an injustice should remain a “private judgment in all secular matters.” The statement objected to the General Assembly’s condemnation of severe literary tests as requirements for voting, segregated schools, and the Citizen’s Councils. They also strongly contested the notion that “freedom of fellowship” should extend to, what they called, “an unnatural association of people.”

Another example of an argument in defense of segregation is found in a sermon by John Edwards Richards, one of the architects of the PCA; printed and circulated to all PCUS ministers, he compared the Unity that Christ prayed for with the false unity preached by such dastards as the universalist, socialist, communist, Romanist, Christian Organizationalist (ecumenist), Humanist, Sentimentalist and “The Racial Amalgamationalist.” Most of the sermon is dedicated to refuting the last one in this liberal rogues gallery. “God made man of diverse races,” argues Richards, “but some would fly in the face of God’s creating genius and merge the races into oneness.” He links the rise in rape nationally with the civil rights movement amongst other terrible results of misguided attempts at unity. Contrary to Mission Mississippi’s theology Richards concludes “On Earth God has ordered our physical pathways separate, but all true believers are spiritually one in Christ.” “As God is One”: A Sermon by Dr. John E. Richards preached in First Presbyterian Church, Macon, Ga., 25 July 1965. Richards is happy to reprint his views in full over twenty years later in Richards, The Historical Birth of the Presbyterian Church in America, 49-61; Smith, The History of the Presbyterian Church in America, 62.
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Members of First Presbyterian Church, including some of the most powerful and influential men in Jackson, used their private judgment in all secular matters to defend the existing social, political and economic order. In the 1960s, civil rights activists labeled John Reid Miller the “chaplain of the White Citizens’ Council of Mississippi,” and historian and Presbyterian minister R. Milton Winter claims that church members “included many influential leaders, including founders of the powerful White Citizens’ Council.” The session of First Presbyterian Church’s public pronouncement in 1957 that “there are numerous citizen’s councils and groups throughout the South which are composed of Christian citizens of the highest type” would seem to support Winter’s assertion. In fact, elders of First Presbyterian Church were involved at the highest level in the Citizen’s Council. Two attorneys and highly regarded elders in the church, Robert C. Cannada and Erskine Wells (another descendent
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of W. Calvin Wells), served as directors of Jackson’s Citizen’s Council. Cannada considered his term as a director from 1963 to 1965 his civic responsibility:

I struggled with [the decision to stand]. Should I lend my name to this or should I not? And I concluded that if people who felt a strong civic responsibility were not willing to lend their name then we could have problems in Jackson and I wanted to do what I thought was proper: to go through this without any violence, and we did. . . And I took criticism for that, but everybody knew where I stood, I followed the law. But that was the purpose of it. . . We were an organization to guide Jackson through the future we had.

In his professional capacity as attorney, Cannada had a grandstand view of the approaching changes that Jackson would undergo as a result of federal legislation. He defended the City of Jackson when the NAACP field secretary Medgar Evers brought a case against the city’s segregated public schools. “[Medgar Evers] was killed before I got involved,” remembers Cannada, “and I never did meet him.”

Leon F. Hendrick was another elder at First Presbyterian Church involved in the turmoil of change. A circuit court judge during the Civil Rights Movement, Hendrick signed-off on the order that allowed Freedom Riders arrested in Jackson to be transferred straight to Parchman, the state penitentiary. Hendrick also presided over
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both inconclusive trials of Byron de la Beckwith in 1964 for the murder of Evers. In 1962, the civil rights movement placed Hendrick on the other side of the bench when, as the Chairman of Jackson’s Library board, he found himself a defendant in a case brought by two African American students from Tougaloo College. Police had arrested the students on March 1961 during a “read-in” at the city’s municipal library.

These students were part of a coordinated civil rights campaign in Jackson that started in 1961. The campaign directly challenged the segregationist policies of the city’s white churches. Starting on Sunday, June 9, 1963, Ed King the new chaplain of Tougaloo College started a ‘pray-in’ campaign. Groups of students sought admission to worship services at Jackson’s white downtown congregations.

Three days later Jackson’s citizens woke up to the news of Medgar Evers’ assassination. That next Sunday, First Presbyterian Church, First Baptist Church and Galloway turned away groups of Tougaloo students, their numbers swelled by out-of-town visitors for Evers’ funeral. St. Andrews Episcopal Cathedral, however, admitted a group of black and white students. The news flashed across the wire, but the other churches, including First Presbyterian Church, kept their doors closed.
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A month later John Reid Miller received word from a Methodist minister, from New Haven, Connecticut, that he would be visiting First Presbyterian Church on Sunday July 28 with a group of black and white students currently attending a World Council of Churches’ work camp at Tougaloo College. Ushers barred nine whites and two black students from entering the church, while Policemen hovered nearby in case of trouble. Ed King recalled, “there had been no arrests at Presbyterian churches . . . although the doors were closed and the police guards were always present and often threatened visiting groups.” Jackson’s Citizen’s Council shared First Presbyterian Church’s resolve to “maintain its traditional practice of distinct separation of the races.” In late September, with First Presbyterian Church’s elders Erskine Wells and Robert C. Cannada serving as directors, the Jackson press reported “Jackson Citizens’ Council . . . would develop a plan to eliminate integration in local churches.”

Accommodation in Society and Succession in the Church

As activists beat on the doors of the closed society and marshaled the force of the courts, Presbyterians like Cannada realized that change would come to Mississippi. Their
response to this change was informed by the doctrine of the spirituality of the Church. Thornwell had insisted the divinely ordained role of the state is “to realize the idea of justice” to ensure “social order.”²³⁷ Therefore, the Christian of good conscience must obey the law and work to bring about a peaceful social order. As the Massive Resistance of the ten years after Brown v. Board gave way to strategic accommodation, Cannada worked to usher in such change as was necessary while avoiding a breakdown in law and order.²³⁸

Paul Johnson’s election as governor in 1964 marked the move from “bitter-end” resistance to desegregation in Mississippi.²³⁹ In his inaugural address Johnson announced: “if I must fight, it will not be a rearguard defense of yesterday . . . . it will be an all-out assault for our share of tomorrow.”²⁴⁰ The Citizens’ Councils also changed their tune. They abandoned the strategy of Massive Resistance to desegregation of public schools, adopting instead a strategy of starting private whites-only schools. In August 1964, Jackson’s Citizens’ Council, with Cannada on the board, announced the opening of Council School No. 1 in Jackson. The September issue of The Citizen was entirely devoted to “How to Start a Private School” that would better “teach the importance of racial integrity.”²⁴¹ The school opened late in November with only 22 pupils in six grades. Undaunted, they relaunched the school with greater success in 1965. By 1966, the Citizens’ Councils

²³⁷ Thornwell, The Collected Writings of James Henley Thornwell, 449.
²³⁸ Cannada, 21 June 2005.
of America announced “promotion of segregated private education is the major project of the Citizens’ Councils.”\textsuperscript{242} As a legal and peaceful way of thwarting the intention of federal legislation, the plan was brilliant. It was not until 1970 that Mississippi, under federal pressure, finally had to stop running a segregated school system. In the meantime, Cannada, the attorney for the Jackson Municipal School District, joined the Public School District Lawyers’ Committee. Formed in 1966 by the Mississippi State Bar, the Committee gave legal advice to support school districts fighting desegregation lawsuits.\textsuperscript{243}

In 1965, First Presbyterian Church opened a day school which operated from the church buildings on North State Street. Cannada, with his expertise and insight into the future of the city’s school system, was one of the originators of the idea. Forty years after the school opened, Cannada remembered:

\begin{quote}
I was one of the original [members of the session with the] ideas to start it. I had to be careful at the time because I was attorney for the Jackson Municipal School District. . . So there was no antagonism, but it was just that we felt it was a service that the First Church could render to those who wanted the kind of Christian education that we could give. It wasn’t antagonistic to the public school system at all. It was just something that we thought we could furnish.\textsuperscript{244}
\end{quote}

Despite Cannada’s insistence that the church’s decision to start a school “wasn’t antagonistic to the public school system,” the timing of the decision is a little difficult to overlook. Whether intentional or not, First Presbyterian Church Day School opened its
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doors as the Citizens’ Council’s campaign to preserve racial integrity shifted to opening private schools. First Presbyterian Church was just one of many churches which either started their own school or supported others.

Applying the logic of the spirituality of the church, one might think that operating a school meant that First Presbyterian Church was straying outside its spiritual mission. But this was not how the church session understood the matter. According to Thornwell, the state’s concern is the lawful preservation of public order; “the Church is a supernatural institution, founded on the facts of redemption, and is designed to realize the idea of grace” whose “provinces” are “perfectly distinct” from the State.245 Put simply, the state defends the peace while the church holds fast to the truth. According to this view, accommodation to liberal demands is a possible course of action for the State, but the Church can never compromise the truth. If starting a school provided the church’s children with an education built on “the facts of redemption,” then it easily fell within the province of the church as set out by Thornwell. From the perspective of the leadership of First Presbyterian Church, the imminent desegregation of the city schools was simply another symptom of the wave of liberalism that the church was duty bound to resist.

Just as First Presbyterian Church countered the liberal influence in the public school system by founding the day school, they resisted the liberal turn in the PCUS by starting their own seminary. John Reid Miller and his colleagues in the Central Mississippi Presbytery had for some time been unhappy sending students to any of the denomination’s seminaries. First Presbyterian Church had supported the OPC’s

Westminster seminary, and in June 1960, the church offered a large gift to the PCUS’s Columbia Seminary conditional on theological restrictions being placed on professors and the maintenance of a whites-only enrollment policy. Columbia refused the gift. In the early 1960s, Miller, who had already started a winter theological institute at First Presbyterian Church, pushed for the foundation of a seminary. Among the key individuals in the movement to start a seminary were Robert Cannada and Erskine Wells, both of whom were Jackson attorneys, First Presbyterian Church elders and Citizens’ Council directors. With support in place for a seminary, there was reluctance, in the midst of the racial unrest in the city, to start the seminary in Jackson. However, Central Mississippi Presbytery was “the only Presbytery in the old Southern Presbyterian Church that would support this new independent conservative seminary and let its ministers teach in that seminary.”

In 1964, Reformed Theological Seminary (RTS) opened in Jackson, Mississippi. Designed to resist any liberal interference from the PCUS, RTS was free from any denominational affiliation and its board consisted entirely of laymen. Teachers had to stand by the “absolute inerrancy” of the Bible and subscribe to “the Westminster Confession of Faith . . . as originally adopted by the Presbyterian Church in the United
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States.” The seminary had such strong links with First Presbyterian Church that 35 years later the church’s minister could joke, “we are RTS and RTS is us.” Robert Cannada personified this connection as a ruling elder at First Presbyterian Church, chairman of the RTS Board of Trustees, and chairman of the Executive Committee of the Board for 34 years. His son, Robert C. “Rick” Cannada served as Executive Vice-President of RTS. While claiming neutrality, RTS produced ministers who opposed the moves towards union in the PCUS and considered the progressive social pronouncements and activism within the main denomination a betrayal of the distinctive doctrine of the spirituality of the Church. Many of these RTS graduates became instrumental in leading their congregations out of the PCUS.

The Presbyterian Church in America (PCA) split from the PCUS in 1973, following a decade of increasingly acrimonious debate and political maneuvering within the denomination. Throughout the 1960s, the ecumenical movement and the civil rights movement, to the horror of the conservatives, drove much of the denomination’s agenda. The World Council of Churches, with delegates from the PCUS, meeting on

251 Smith, The History of the Presbyterian Church in America, 52; Thompson, Presbyterians in the South, 492, 503; Winter, "Division & Reunion," 74. This return to the older confession excised the 1942 addition of chapters on the Gospel and the Holy Spirit. The chapter on the Gospel undermined a strict understanding of limited atonement as salvation offered in Christ is “sufficient for... the whole lost race of man” (X.1)
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255 William Winter is qualified to reflect on the schism:
I was the chairman of the judicial commission in the synod of Mississippi to investigate the efforts to cause a schism in the church. That was in the early sixties, last place in the world an aspiring politician should have been. I found myself right in the middle of that huge church conflagration. And it was a lose-lose for everybody. There was no way we were going to preserve the unity of the church given the mindset of the [conservatives]. And they looked at me as a heretic. . . . I have been in a lot of secular political battles but I have never been in a battle where there was as much real gut wrenching animosity expressed towards me... They threatened me.” William Winter, interview by the author, 13 October 2004, Jackson, MS.
World Mission and Evangelism in Mexico City in 1963, designated Mississippi a mission field on account of the state’s poverty and racism. The PCUS’s contributions to the National Council of Churches went in part to the Delta Ministry established in Mississippi in 1964, just in time to support SNCC’s Freedom Summer. The Central Mississippi Presbytery protested. Adopting a resolution on April 16, 1964, they refused to recognize the World or National Council of Churches and objected in the strongest terms to the Delta Ministry. “The preaching of the word of God would be seriously hindered,” they insisted, “and relations between the races would be disrupted.” The text, reported in the Jackson papers, continued in prose that activists interpreted as a threat: “[We protest] all those agencies cooperating with them in this project which will promote lawlessness and the flagrant violation of the inherent right of all citizens of the state of Mississippi. We insist such action will certainly result in violence, bloodshed, and possible death.”

That same year, following the General Assembly’s failure to endorse civil rights legislation, liberal Presbyterians formed the Fellowship of Concern, a group dedicated to moving the PCUS General Assembly toward racial reconciliation. In response to this group, the Continuing Church Committee formed the Concerned Presbyterians to put forward the opposing conservative position. As momentum grew toward a final showdown, various other conservative groups formed. One of the most significant was
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Presbyterian Churchmen United, whose founders included Morton Smith and Donald Patterson, soon to be called as the minister to First Presbyterian Church. 260

The civil rights agenda propounded by the General Assembly, the growing ecumenical movement, and the accompanying threat of the dilution of distinctive Presbyterian doctrines enraged conservatives. They heartily opposed the adoption of a resolution made in the 1966 General Assembly to become part of the Consultation on Church Union (COCU) taking place between United Presbyterian Church USA, the Methodist Church, the Protestant Episcopal Church and the United Church of Christ. 261

Along with the main concerns over the growing domination of liberal theology, support for the civil rights movement, and ecumenism as the 1960s progressed, the conservatives increasingly voiced their concern at the growing influence of feminism, the anti-war movement and a change in teaching on personal sexual morality and abortion. One of the pillars of the conservative resistance believed there was an “effort... to undermine not only the faith of our children and grandchildren but also their morals.” 262

By the end of the 1960s, the conservatives decided their future lay apart from the PCUS. On August 11, 1971 they announced the formation of a steering committee for the Continuing Presbyterian Church to be headed by Donald Patterson who had succeeded Miller as minister at First Presbyterian Church in 1969. 263 Moreton Smith,

---

261 Thompson, Presbyterians in the South, 580.
263 Winter, "Division & Reunion," 77. The Committee issued the following statement:
now a lecturer at RTS, wrote a book with the obtuse title *How is the Gold Become Dim!*, setting out the case for the Continuing Presbyterian Church movement.\(^{264}\)

Morton Smith’s book demonstrates the continuing importance of the ‘spirituality’ argument as the cornerstone for the conservative’s case and the connection between this doctrinal position and social conservatism. In the longest chapter in the book, Smith details the erosion of doctrine of the spirituality of the church in the PCUS. In a point-by-point refutation of the 1954 General Assembly’s document “The Church and Segregation,” Smith appeals to the doctrine of limited atonement. The General Assembly was wrong to base their support for equal rights on “the infinite value of every person . . . demonstrated in the sacrificial death of Jesus Christ.” For Smith, “[they] have departed from the Confession’s view that the sacrifice of Christ is limited to the elect only.”\(^{265}\)
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**INTOLERABLE SITUATION**

We have reached the point where the situation in our beloved church has become intolerable to thousands of loyal Presbyterians who love the Lord, and want to serve Him in a Presbyterian church which will be true to His Word.

We feel that we can no longer be a part of a denomination in which the Board of Christian Education publishes literature which violates our Confession of Faith and encourages our young people to experiment with sex and drugs;

in a denomination in which the Board of World Missions no longer places its primary emphasis on carrying out the Great Commission; in a denomination with seminaries which train ministers who substitute social and political action for the preaching of the Word;

in a denomination where presbyteries violate our constitution by receiving ministers who refuse to affirm the Virgin Birth, the bodily resurrection and other cardinal doctrines, while denying membership to faithful ministers who stand firmly for these doctrines which they vowed to uphold.

Especially do we feel that we can no longer subject our children and grandchildren to the kind of youth leaders that those in control have seen fit to place in these sensitive positions—young radicals who seem determined to lead our young people away from their faith in God.

*Keyes, A Brief History of the Developments in the Presbyterian Church in the United States.*

\(^{264}\) Smith, *How Is the Gold Become Dim!*

\(^{265}\) Ibid., 152. Opposing liberals on the grounds that they base their concern on Universal atonement is still very much alive in the PCA. In an anachronistic sermon in 2003, Robert C. Cannada preached:

“The universal fatherhood of God and the brotherhood of man.” It is the exact opposite of what the Bible teaches. It is a phrase straight from hell. The Bible doesn’t teach that! You see it makes a
The report is also wrong, contended Smith, to claim that the church’s duty is to oppose segregation. Smith used arguments similar to those put forward by Gillespie nineteen years earlier. Just as Southern Presbyterians “refrained” from debating the slavery issue “because the Bible itself did not do so:”

The same can be said of the matter of segregation. The fact is that God Himself segregated Israel from the Canaanites. It is debatable as to whether the Church should get into the matter of trying to change that particular cultural pattern, and branding one form of culture sinful as opposed to another.266

Smith’s book also demonstrates how, by 1973, new social concerns had joined the concern to preserve racial integrity voiced by Gillespie in 1954. Smith was outraged by a slew of liberal pronouncements from the General Assembly on issues ranging from capital punishment, Vietnam, equal opportunity housing, gun control, and abortion to changes in the Book of Church Order allowing women to serve as teaching and ruling elders and deacons in the Church.267

The split from the PCUS initially consisted of nearly 41,000 communicants in 260 churches. The strongholds of this new denomination were in the deep Southern states of Mississippi, Alabama and South Carolina.268
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First Presbyterian Church, 38 of Central Mississippi Presbytery’s 73 churches left the PCUS to form the Mississippi Valley Presbytery; joined by 10 more congregations in the succeeding months, this meant that more churches left the PCUS in this presbytery than in any other.\footnote{269} First Presbyterian Church’s influential presence in the old Central Mississippi presbytery was the main cause for this flood of congregations supporting the schism.\footnote{270} The schism proved extremely traumatic for those on both sides. In a sermon delivered at the opening of their first General Assembly, the Convener Jack Wilson said, “This separation has forced division among families, friends, and local congregations. It has been heartrending with many tears. . . . [The] separation from fellow Christian friends is traumatic.”\footnote{271}

Pride in their Presbyterian and Confederate heritage marked the new denomination. “[T]he Reformed Faith as it has been embraced by Presbyterians,” boasted Morton Smith, “is the purest form in which the Gospel has been manifested since the days of the Apostles, [and] the PCUS in its earlier years represented one of the greatest Churches ever to exist.”\footnote{272} Calling themselves the National Presbyterian Church in America, they held their first General Assembly on December 4, 1973 at Briarwood Presbyterian Church in Birmingham, Alabama, 112 years to the day after the PCCSA
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split from the National Church.\footnote{Alvis, \textit{Religion \& Race}, 132; Richards, \textit{The Historical Birth of the Presbyterian Church in America}, xvi.} In 1861, the PCCSA issued a statement by Thornwell entitled “To all the Churches of Jesus Christ throughout the Earth”; now in 1973 the new denomination issued a five-page declaration entitled “Message to all churches of Jesus Christ throughout the World.” This document consciously echoed Thornwell in content as well as title. Seemingly unconcerned that Thornwell’s argument for separation of the spheres of State and Church was rooted in the defense of slavery, the 1973 document reiterated his position: “We believe the church is a spiritual organism. It is our duty to set forth what God has given in His Word and to not devise our own message or legislate our own laws.”\footnote{Richards, \textit{The Historical Birth of the Presbyterian Church in America}, 407.}

**Mission Mississippi and the Spirituality of the Church**

In 1992, as Mission Mississippi took shape, both James Baird and Lee Paris sought approval and advice from the elders at First Presbyterian Church. They invited the influential Robert C. Cannada to the first meeting at Primos in November 1992 whose relationship with Lee Paris, whom he considers “like one of my children,” led to his mentoring the chairman of Mission Mississippi. His counsel to Paris followed the traditional understanding of the spiritual mission of the church. “Let’s use scripture as our guidelines rather than politics,” Cannada advised. “Let’s look at this thing as a spiritual objective rather than a political objective or an economic objective.”\footnote{Cannada, 21 June 2005.} Cannada, based on Mission Mississippi’s public statements, believes Paris took his advice. “As far as I can know,” Cannada stated, “the literature of Mission Mississippi is
very much along spiritual lines." Cannada draws a careful distinction between integration, which is a political act, and a spiritual unity in Christ that pays no regard to color:

If the purpose of [Mission Mississippi] was the integration of the races, then I thought it was a mistake. That was not the function of the churches as such... But... within the Church there should be no color. Within the Church, we were all children of God and we should function as such. When we go out into society, then that becomes a political situation; we get the church all mixed up in politics and I didn’t want to do that. I wanted those to be kept as distinct as possible.

When Baird and some elders at First Presbyterian Church received the first anonymous letter in December of 1993, Cannada tried to support Paris and Baird and calm the waters at the session by pointing out the solely spiritual nature of Mission Mississippi. Cannada also drew up the resolution for the session that would still enable First Presbyterian Church to say they supported Mission Mississippi without any money coming from their budget. His effort to quash the dissent within the session clearly failed with the appearance of the second widely circulated letter in April 1994.

The second letter found a number of supporters in the congregation. “I would have calls at home well into the night with folks voicing their objections [to Mission Mississippi],” recalls Paris. While graciously appreciating the cultural legacy that prompted Mission Mississippi’s detractors, Paris occasionally found himself caught off guard:

I had one lady tell me that she had known me all my life and known my family, and my grandmother would be turning over in her grave if she knew what was going on. And I told her that I could take all of her remarks but not that one. That,
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if I told my grandmother that I was doing. . .God’s will. . . [then] whatever it
would be, that my grandmother would be supportive of me.  

The session, rather than dismissing the accusations as an echo from a darker time,
afforded them almost unbelievable gravity. Despite James Baird’s robust denunciation
to the whole session of the charges leveled against the church and Mission
Mississippi, the elders formed the Mission Mississippi Ad-Hoc Committee to examine
the charges in detail. Paris described what happened: “There were some very wise men
on the session that were assigned to kick the tires in a way that I don’t know if anything
has been kicked – before or since – so hard.”

The committee canvassed church members for written responses to the
question of First Presbyterian Church’s involvement with Mission Mississippi. The
Ad-Hoc Committee presented the session with a summary of the responses, noting
in the introduction that of the 35 responses received by January 5, 1995: “twenty
two (22) of these letters are in favor of continuing to support MM, while eleven (11)
are opposed to continuing support. (Two (2) letters are non-committal.)”

The collection of quotes from “Pro” respondents demonstrated an incredulity
that anyone could object to Mission Mississippi given the urgent problems facing
Jackson: “Any organization which can have any type of positive effect on the
black/white, black/black situation needs the support of the church.” It seemed clear
that Mission Mississippi furthered the gospel. “You can’t present Christ to another
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without some personal friendship.” There was also a level of pride that First Presbyterian Church had taken such a significant leadership role in Mission Mississippi. “We cannot pull out of MM without hurting our Witness for Christ and losing the tremendous respect our church has in this community.” These issues seemed so obvious that one respondent expressed a level of puzzlement: “Why is it an issue in this church anyway? I’m confused. . .”283

The excerpts from the “Con” letters revealed a desire to maintain the purity of First Presbyterian Church’s worship. Respondents feared contamination from the politics of race and economics. “We should disassociate ourselves from this organization,” wrote one congregant, “whose purpose. . . is self-serving.” Another wrote, “It is social gospel at best, not a preaching of God’s word.” At least one respondent concluded Mission Mississippi “does not merit church support” based on an argument rooted deep in the distinctive doctrine of the spirituality of the church. “The church should target its Biblically defined duties: Word, Sacraments and Church Discipline. Anything outside this target is not the domain of the church.”284

Among those against participation in Mission Mississippi were some who found involvement with African American churches problematic as they were inherently political. “In my recent dealings, I have had a number of problems with black pastors and their churches,” confessed one member. “Primarily, they are political and probably corrupt.” Worshipping with black churches was worrisome for reasons other than politics. “Our church puts the highest priority on spiritual
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matters,” stated a member proudly, “[but] Blacks tend towards charismatic and emotional forms of worship.”

Following the Ad-Hoc Committee’s report, in February 1995, the Session ratified their previous decision to support Mission Mississippi in name only with no budgeted funds to be used. “Individual members of the congregation who desire to financially give to this cause may give directly to Mission Mississippi.” In addition, “the Session continues to decline recommending any particular action by . . . any group representing itself to be a part of the First Presbyterian Church, such as a Sunday School class, members of the church staff, Women in the Church, church committees, organized choir, or other unit.” If anyone wanted to be involved in a Mission Mississippi activity representing First Presbyterian Church then they must “request approval from the Session of such contemplated action.”

In a letter to a member of the session, Paris made clear his disappointment and distress that the church that had nurtured him in the faith could not support what he believed to be a ministry with a clear biblical mandate:

As you know, for the past two years I have poured my heart into this effort. . . It has been a heavy cross for me to bear not feeling the full support of the Church I love and serve as I labored for the Lord as He has called me.

Those on the session who prolonged the deliberations on Mission Mississippi demonstrated their distrust of James Baird’s judgment. With the resolution of the Session in February 1995 to support Mission Mississippi in name only, Baird must
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have been relieved the matter had reached some conclusion; however, following his retirement in December, the Session recalled the Ad-Hoc Committee to reopen their investigation of Mission Mississippi. Paris, with evident exasperation, wrote to the committee:

That some would have doubts that Christ is at the center of his ministry of Mission Mississippi is difficult to comprehend. I would surmise that these men have had little personal experience of us. . . As to the question of causing disagreement within our particular church body. . . I would respectfully offer that decisions seeking to obey Christ have and always will meet opposition. It is not my place but our Session’s call to determine the will of Christ for our Church. In doing so, I pray that His response is the only consideration.  

After months of continued deliberations, the committee could not fault Mission Mississippi. “Some godly men on that committee I think really tried to find every reason in the world that they could recommend back to the session not to support Mission Mississippi,” explained Paris. “It was always the social gospel problem . . . They just could not believe that that’s not what this is about.” Finally, after two years of investigation and withholding contributions, the Session reinstated Mission Mississippi as a ministry supported by the church. First Presbyterian Church’s new level of financial support was only a quarter of the amount given by the church in 1993. 

Lee Paris continued to worship at First Presbyterian Church and worked to move the congregation he loves closer to his understanding of unity in the body of Christ that should transcend racial and denominational lines. Through his friendship with Rev. Thomas Jenkins, First Presbyterian Church teamed up with Jenkins’ New Lake Church of 
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Christ (Holiness) USA to run a summer day camp program. An incident of greater significance came following the appointment of Dolphus Weary as executive director of Mission Mississippi. On Sunday November 15, 1998, Dolphus preached at First Presbyterian Church. As this was only second time an African American had spoken from the pulpit, Lee Paris asked the board to attend the service.

**Conclusions**

Lee Paris’s journey into racial reconciliation and his relationship with his church and his culture is a story that highlights the new boundaries of a closed society. Emerson and Smith’s account of the evangelical racial reconciliation movement does not explain the sharp resistance experienced by Paris within his church. Paris’s story also shows the profound ways in which the white churches were and are complicit in maintaining, defending, and reconfiguring the Closed Society.

In his book *A Stone of Hope*, historian David L. Chappell argues the success of the civil rights movement came from the strength of the black churches’ prophetic challenge to Jim Crow and the corresponding failure of the Southern white churches to provide a united front in support of segregation. According to Chappell, the white churches split over the race question (“a split... that never became formalized”), and failed “in any meaningful way to join the anti-civil rights movement.” Chappell acknowledges the majority of white Southerners needed no persuading over to the segregationist cause, but, he argues, white ministers did not try to inspire their
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congregations to militant resistance of the Supreme Court’s decision. The role the ministers played came from “the urge to appear moderate and reasonable while proving their segregationism.”

Chappell rightly points out that lacking a convincing biblical case for segregation, pro-segregationist ministers’ arguments “coalesced around opposition to social and political preaching.” However, by ending his account of white resistance in the 1960s, Chappell makes an extraordinary and dangerous error. While the fire-breathing segregationists might have wished for more dramatic public support from white churches, as Federal Courts stripped the Closed Society of legal segregation, the white churches acted as the bastions of the closed society. Through the white churches’ sponsorship of Christian ‘seg’ academies, the Closed Society, not the Supreme Court, won the battle over school desegregation. Only by taking a chronologically truncated view of the civil rights movement is it possible for Chappell to state so categorically that the white church “failed in any meaningful way to join the anti-civil rights movement.”

Chappell makes a second fundamental error in his assessment of white churches’ contribution to the defense of the Closed Society. The different demands placed upon
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297 Ibid., 107. Chappell himself acknowledges by the end of his book that “a later conservative movement . . . sublimated the racism of the southern white masses and built its power within the churches [forming] . . . a radical (and largely successful) conservative insurgency.” Chappell, A Stone of Hope, 178.
In another recent work, historian Paul Harvey, who sees the Civil Rights Movement as a kind of “regionwide revival movement,” argues that the conservative white churches were, “discredited after their defeat in the freedom movement,” however, now “freed from the historic burden of defending segregation” conservative churches have “roused themselves to preserve God-ordained hierarchies of gender and class.” Paul Harvey, Freedom’s Coming: Religious Culture and the Shaping of the South from the Civil War through the Civil Rights Era (Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 2005), 216-17. While Harvey presents a more nuanced narrative, he still makes the mistake of declaring the question of racial segregation over with the end of the Civil Rights Movement.
religion by the powerful and the powerless eludes Chappell. Excited by his personal
discovery of a robust prophetic religion in the African American church, and failing to
find a corresponding prophetic religion in the white church “to inspire solidarity and self-
sacrificial devotion to their cause,” Chappell concludes that this is why whites failed to
successfully counter the civil rights movement. He fails to appreciate that while the
powerless need prophetic religion to challenge the institutions that oppress them, the
powerful need a religion that quietly goes about its spiritual business allowing them to do
as they please in the world they run. This religion of the powerful did not founder on the
rocks of prophetic religion, but sailed through the storm of the civil rights movement and
continued on course. Masquerading as one of the great doctrines of Southern
Presbyterianism, the spirituality of the church is in fact the time tested political strategy
of powerful men to perpetuate an unjust status quo free from moral censure.

While Jim Silver’s Closed Society is gone, his student, Governor William Winter, sees an unbroken continuity between the state today and the Mississippi his teacher
described:

There is still a tendency in the South, in Mississippi, to establish a certain
orthodoxy in terms of what is politically and socially and racially desirable. It is
much more subtle; it is much more understated, much more sophisticated, but
there is a subtle conformist pressure that exists for people to maintain a certain
Southern way of life, different from the old Southern way of life but still a
Southern way of life, however you want to define that. Churches play a major
[role] in maintaining, not only the religious orthodoxy, but the political orthodoxy
which goes along with the religious orthodoxy, and that is a devil’s brew.
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Today, nearly 40 years after the “end” of the civil rights movement, hundreds of thousands of white Mississippians gather on Sunday to worship in all white congregations. The boundaries of the Closed Society have retreated and grown tighter around the accommodationist redoubts of the private sphere. To call into question the rightness of these racially closed private spheres is to challenge the heart of this new Closed Society. This explains the vehemence of the reaction to Mission Mississippi from within First Presbyterian Church when, by Emerson and Smith’s account, there should have been little protest in an evangelical church to a call for racial reconciliation stripped of a systemic or economic critique. It is, however, no small thing in the re-segregated world of contemporary Mississippi when the color line is broken “in the most intimate arenas of friendship, family, social life, neighborhood and church.”

In his doctoral dissertation Joe Crespino makes the compelling argument that the white leaders in Mississippi “negotiated a token acceptance of civil rights reform that preserved key elements of their own racial, political and economic privilege. . . [T]hey [also] reshaped anti-civil rights animus in the white community, transforming it from a last-ditch defense of white supremacy into a key piece in a national conservative coalition.” Joseph Hardin Crespino, *Strategic Accommodation: Civil Rights Opponents in Mississippi and Their Impact on American Racial Politics, 1953-1972* (Ph.D. diss., Stanford University, 2003), 2.

Interestingly the current evangelical defense of family values spearheaded by Mississippi’s AFA is not the first time defending the family has fronted a reactionary conservative agenda. In 1836 James Smylie, a Presbyterian from Amite County, Mississippi, published a pro-slavery pamphlet. It was widely read and well received across the Southwest. In it, he argues that an attack on slavery is an attack on the family and its true patriarchal form. “At the heart of Smylie’s pamphlet,” writes historian Randy J. Sparks, “is his emphasis on the household as the bedrock of southern society, the foundation on which all else rested.” Smylie is only one of many proslavery clergymen who “with an impressive display of exegesis. . . forged links between religion, family, slavery and society in a way that maximized their influence within southern society.” “A proslavery stance, then, marked a repudiation of the enlightened Revolutionary ideals of individual rights, liberty, and equality, and celebrated instead a vision of a modern, hierarchical slave society based on mutual responsibilities and cohesion.” Sparks, *Religion in Mississippi*, 123.
determined to repel the invaders and patch the breach invoked the trusty doctrine of the spirituality of the church to defend these closed societies of the private sphere.

The doctrine of the spirituality of the church is a sophisticated theological resistance to systemic change: it is not an innocent doctrine misused. The development of the doctrine, as this chapter has shown in detail, is deeply embedded in the history of maintaining first slavery, then white supremacy and segregation. The doctrine continues to be conservative Presbyterian’s best weapon in their culture war with the liberals. “We are in a war; we are in a battle. And the quicker we realize we are in a battle, the better,” preached First Presbyterian Church’s Ligon Duncan, and the first line of defense in this war “entails believing right doctrine.”

This marriage of reactionary conservative politics and dogmatic theology makes sense when viewed in the light of Jürgen Moltmann’s diagnosis that a closed society fears the future. Fear of the future causes a society to close ranks in homogenous groups resistant to change. Churches that fear the future become increasingly obsessed with purity of doctrine and morality as a way of resisting the ambiguity that openness to future possibility requires. “Such a faith tries to protect its ‘sacred things’, God, Christ, doctrine and morality, because it clearly no longer believes these are sufficiently powerful to maintain themselves.” Paris, in suggesting his church should be open to other Christians of a different race, precipitated a fearful defense of the distinctivly Southern
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302 Ligon Duncan, *What in the World Is a Worldview? Thinking Christianly About All of Life* [sermon] (First Presbyterian Church, Jackson, MS, Summer 2004 [cited 9 June 2005]); available from [http://www.fpcjackson.org/resources/sermons/Worldviews%202004/1a_duncan.htm](http://www.fpcjackson.org/resources/sermons/Worldviews%202004/1a_duncan.htm).

Presbyterian “sacred things.” Moltmann’s description of a closed church predicts the outcome of actions such as this. “When ‘the religion of fear’ finds its way into the Christian church,” he writes, “those who regard themselves as the most vigilant guardians of the faith do violence to faith and smother it.”

Ed King, the Civil rights activist and Methodist minister, wrote a similar reflection on America and Mississippi in the 1960s that could be a commentary on Paris’s experience at First Presbyterian Church:

[They] have a terrible fear of the unknown, of the future, of change. Uncertainty is intolerable; doubting is dangerous. Any man who doubts that the present order is the best possible arrangement of things... is a dangerous man. Any man who would risk the good of the present for the uncertainty of the future – just because he... doubts the present order is such a threat to his fellow man that in the state some see him as a traitor; in the church some see him as a heretic.

Lee Paris is, by his own admission, a reluctant as well as an unlikely prophet to his own people:

I didn’t like fighting my culture. I was uncomfortable doing that but I am one hundred percent sure that it was a very clear calling from God. I mean, just as sure as I am sitting here talking to you, He called me to do this. And so it was a question of whether I was going to be obedient or not and He made it clear it was not going to be easy and it was not going to be short and I didn’t have a choice in the matter.

As much as Paris challenges his own church, he is still a creature of his culture and firmly believes the Church must avoid the political or economic spheres and stay true to its “spiritual” mission. For Paris, justice remains none of First Presbyterian Church or Mission Mississippi’s business.
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Paris and Baird, with the advice of men like Robert Cannada, prevented Mission Mississippi even including the word justice in the literature: not out of some strategic desire to keep whites at the table, but from a deep seated belief that God requires the church to concern itself solely with the spiritual matters. This doctrine, forged by generations of powerful men invested in the status quo, works very well for those with political and economic power; however, it does not work so well for the powerless who lack any political voice. For the powerless, their church is perhaps the only institution that can speak truth to power. The religion of the power-holders should not tell the church of the powerless to refrain from speaking against injustice.

To fully participate in Christ’s ministry of reconciliation, Mission Mississippi must take the side of the widow and not the judge: that is, to become a participant in Christ’s ministry of restorative justice. Hindering this ministry are the unconscious constraints of what sociologists Emerson and Smith describe as the evangelical’s “religio-cultural tools” of the “freewill individualist tradition.”307 There are also, as we have seen, explicit limits placed on reconciliation by those who subscribe to the spirituality of the church. Dolphus Weary, and those among his colleagues at Mission Mississippi who see the racist systemic injustices in Mississippi and America, place an audacious hope in the power of Open Friendship in the Closed Society. They believe that intentional relationships across racial and denominational lines can open the eyes of the socially blind and undermine doctrines founded in fear. They hope to change Mississippi one relationship at a time.

307 Emerson and Smith, Divided by Faith, 77.
Chapter 4

Reconciliation 101: Opening Friendship

Mission Mississippi’s claim to be “changing Mississippi one relationship at a time” is both a pragmatic strategy and a theological statement of hope for social and systemic change in the state. This relationship-driven approach to racial reconciliation is acceptable to white evangelicals who wish to keep their personal religion free from the taint of the social gospel. At the same time, for the African American leaders of the movement with a commitment to grassroots community development, “one relationship at a time” is the first step in a strategy to access and mobilize the economic and social capital of the white church.

Dolphus Weary, the president of Mission Mississippi, calls this first step: Reconciliation 101. For Weary, Mission Mississippi is only the entry-level class in a program that will move its students on to address issues of justice. Such advanced classes in reconciliation lie beyond Mission Mississippi’s remit. Mission Mississippi’s clear and limited objective is to bring Christians together to form intentional relationships across racial and denominational lines.1 Weary considers John Perkins’ teaching on
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1 Mission Mississippi sets out the three key tasks for the organization:

1. To challenge the body of Christ to pray, socialize, minister and work together.
2. To serve the Church by providing specific opportunities for Christians to fellowship on neutral ground for the purpose of developing relationships.
3. To act as a catalyst for the movement of reconciliation and provide resources, perspective and experience in racial reconciliation.

reconciliation and economic development part of a more advanced curriculum: “I tend to call that Reconciliation 202 or Reconciliation 303 that people need to be led to.” The reason, according to Weary, that justice is not on the syllabus for Mission Mississippi is that its inclusion would cause some constituents to withdraw from the dialogue. Weary explains:

It’s called Reconciliation 101 because there are so many people who are waiting to hear the right word to jump off the boat; who are waiting to hear the right phrase to justify why they shouldn't be involved. Waiting to hear stuff like: If we are truly reconciled racially then we ought to do something about the justice issues.3

Weary does not see this as capitulating to the demands of the unjust; rather he believes if such people will stay long enough to develop relationships across racial lines, then the possibility exists for them to change. He outlines the way people, awakened to the Christian call to reconciliation through Mission Mississippi, might advance to these tougher lessons:

[Once] we get some people together who are now ready, people who have been introduced, they've got to know some people. . .now they are ready to go deeper in their racial attitudes and some discussion groups and some planning time to really deal with issues like justice and economic development.4

Charles Marsh reports, based on his interview with Weary, that for Mission Mississippi, “the emotionally uplifting work of “Reconciliation 101” has come to an end” by November 2000. Charles Marsh, The Beloved Community: How Faith Shapes Social Justice, from the Civil Rights Movement to Today (New York: Basic Books, 2005), 205. While Weary certainly talks of moving people “deeper” in reconciliation, six years later, there is no evidence in Mission Mississippi’s literature or programs that it has relinquished its singular focus on “providing specific opportunities for Christians to fellowship on neutral ground for the purpose of developing relationships.” In 2006, Weary told a reporter the Mission Mississippi wants people, "to stay in the room long enough. . . to begin to get to know some people beyond their label." Jean Gordon, "Man on a Mission,” Clarion-Ledger, 11 March 2006.

2 Dolphus Weary, interview by the author, 25 February 2000, Jackson, MS.
3 Ibid.
4 Ibid.
Reconciliation 101 is, however, more than a pragmatic starting point for a social program. For Weary and his colleagues, it is essentially a theological position: any possibility of “changing Mississippi” starts with individuals intentionally establishing personal relationships motivated primarily by the desire for reconciliation. It is the individual’s belief in their reconciliation in Christ that demands such intentionality in reaching out across seemingly insurmountable barriers of race, denomination and tradition. This theology of Reconciliation 101 places the call for intentional relationship before the demands for justice in the belief that as Christ-centered reconciling friendships develop, both parties will see the need to address issues of justice in their society.

Reconciliation 101 is both a contextual theology and a strategy shaped by the fears and desires of Mission Mississippi’s various constituents. This chapter considers this theology in light of contemporary scholarship on theologies of reconciliation, particularly the work of Miroslav Volf. There follows an assessment of the outworking of Mission Mississippi’s project using the stories of key participants and the history of two programs: the church partnerships and the Monthly Businessmen’s Prayer Luncheon. The chapter concludes by locating instances of Volf’s concept of “double vision” resulting from Mission Mississippi’s Reconciliation 101.

**Volf and the theology of Embrace**

The contemporary theologian Miroslav Volf has written extensively on reconciliation and its relationship to forgiveness and the demands of justice. This
theology finds its fullest expression in his 1996 book *Exclusion and Embrace*. Volf formulated his theology of reconciliation a long way from Jackson, Mississippi, in a crucible of bitter sectarianism and deadly ethnic division. Born in Croatia – then a part of Yugoslavia – Volf grew up the son of a Pentecostal minister in the Serbian town of Novi Sad. He experienced firsthand the hostility of Tito’s communist government to the church. At the beginning of each school term, the young Volf had to publicly answer the question: What work does your father do? Volf had to answer “pastor.” “Out of 3,500 students in my high school,” he recalls, “I was the only openly professing Christian kid. Obviously there were challenges. ‘Only old and stupid people believe...’ I suppose my first intellectual work, my first work of scholarship, was trying to answer those questions.”

Volf left school and studied theology at the new evangelical seminary in Osijek, Croatia. Upon graduating, he took the opportunity to go to Fuller Theological Seminary in California. There, one of the professors recommended Volf to Jürgen Moltmann in Tübingen as a doctoral student. A Pentecostal evangelical, Volf recalls that Moltmann “accepted me for who I was. He knew that I was an evangelical and he was not bothered by it. I encountered openness to who I was.” While studying under Moltmann, Volf
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8 Ibid. Volf’s work at Tübingen was on a Trinitarian theology of the church under Moltmann for his *Habilitationsschrift*. The second of two required dissertations was published as Miroslav Volf, *Trinität Und*
taught at his old seminary in Osijek. In the summer of 1991, tanks rolled through the streets of Osijek forcing the faculty and students to flee the seminary. Volf described in an interview for *Christianity Today* the formative experience of being a refugee professor in a makeshift seminary:

[C]rammed into one Reformed parsonage in a remote corner of Slovenia, My wife Judy and I lived with students, six or seven of them crammed in one room. We could see on TV the destruction of our own homes in a war that was raging some 100 miles away. The experience of working in a seminary in exile and of the war that made exile necessary shaped me profoundly.º

Volf did not stay long at this “seminary in exile.” That same year he took a position on the other side of the world as a lecturer back at Fuller Theological Seminary. Safely in the United States, Volf “was asked to write a paper addressing the upheavals in Eastern Europe from a theological perspective.”¹⁰ Setting about the task, Volf found that the theological tools he had at hand were inadequate:

I tried to apply liberation theology to the situation, which says we need to fight first for justice and liberation and then we can get reconciliation. It didn't work. Both parties saw themselves as oppressed, and both saw themselves as engaged in the struggle for liberation. So the main categories of liberation theology, oppression and liberation, serve to justify the struggle rather than lead to peace.¹¹

Volf needed a theology of reconciliation that would bring the hope of peace to these warring factions. He saw two poles in the existing practices of reconciliation in the church. On the one hand, he saw an approach to forgiveness and reconciliation *outside*
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justice and on the other, forgiveness and reconciliation after justice. Forgiveness and reconciliation outside justice is a form of reconciliation made possible by the ignoring of past and ongoing injustices. The oppressor will be reconciled to the victim only if the slate of past wrongs is ignored and the victim makes no demands for justice and reparation. Volf calls this reconciliation outside of justice: “cheap reconciliation.”

This cheap reconciliation excises what he calls the social dimension from the doctrine of reconciliation. “The retreat from social responsibility,” laments Volf, “is more than a failure to take seriously the traditions of Jesus and the prophets. It presupposes an inadequate understanding of reconciliation.”

Alternately, those engaged in struggles for liberation reject all such attempts at cheap reconciliation demanding instead that justice be done as a prerequisite to reconciliation. They demand “strict justice” – that everything be made right – before extending forgiveness and reconciliation.

Both the approaches of “strict justice” and “cheap grace” are problematic. John De Gruchy, the South African Reformed churchman and theologian meditating on this problem after his country’s Truth and Reconciliation hearings, concluded that, “the single-minded pursuit of justice can lead to destructive vengeance, just as the pursuit of
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14 Volf, "The Social Meaning of Reconciliation," 162.

reconciliation without justice perpetuates evil.”¹⁶ Volf makes a bolder claim using milder language. Neither justice after, nor justice outside, forgiveness and reconciliation is Christian, because “in both cases it is to treat the offender as if he had not committed the offense or as if it were not his.” Both cases, for Volf, deny the central Christian doctrine that everybody is in need of forgiveness.¹⁷

The search for an alternative understanding of reconciliation, forgiveness and justice took Volf to the parable of the Prodigal Son in Luke’s gospel. In the parable, the father, seeing the returning son, does not wait for the son’s confession and repentance; instead: while he was still far off, his father saw him and was filled with compassion; he ran and put his arms around him and kissed him (15:20). Volf notices that the father did not demand a confession of guilt from the errant son before he embraced him because their relationship “did not rest on moral performance.”¹⁸ Volf reads this embrace of the father as God’s embrace of all creation. He finds this embrace key to an understanding of the cross and atonement where “God does not abandon the godless to their evil but gives the divine self for them in order to receive them into divine communion.”¹⁹ The connection Volf makes between the parable and the cross is poetically expressed in the Anglican eucharistic liturgy when the priest prays: Father of all, we give you thanks and
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¹⁹ Ibid., 23.
praise, that when we were still far off you met us in your Son and brought us home. Dying and living, he declared your love, gave us grace, and opened the gate of glory.  

Delivering his paper in 1993 on the metaphor of embrace as a theological response to the trouble in Yugoslavia, Volf argued that Christians were required to extend the same embrace to their enemies. Volf supported his injunction with Paul’s exhortation in his letter to the Romans: Welcome one another, therefore, just as Christ has welcomed you, for the glory of God (Romans 15:7). Christians are called to have this same “will to embrace” toward their enemies.

Following the lecture, Jürgen Moltmann asked his student a question. Volf recalls:

Moltmann. . . in his very pointed and penetrating way asked me, "But can you embrace a četnik?" Četniks are notorious Serbian fighters. I paused—not because I didn't know what to say, but because it was difficult to say for me. It was at a time when a third of Croatia was occupied and when many of our cities and towns were being destroyed. Many people had been driven off their lands, and Croatia was full of refugees from many other countries. Finally, my response was, "I can't; but as a follower of Jesus Christ I ought to be able to."

Volf’s development of a theology of embrace, he would later write, “is a product of the struggle between the truth of my argument and the force of Moltmann’s objection . . . between the demand to bring about justice and the call to embrace the perpetrator.”
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22 Volf, Exclusion and Embrace, 9. Despite Moltmann’s challenge, Volf’s “embrace” is clearly commensurate with, and a development of, his teacher’s theology of open friendship. As with Moltmann’s friendship, embrace is rooted in Volf’s “narrative of the triune God” that shapes his ecclesiology. Volf, Exclusion and Embrace, 110. Friendship for Moltmann and Embrace for Volf both describe the desire of God to be in relationship with the other while respecting the other’s integrity and freedom.
The key to understanding Volf’s theology of embrace is his distinction between the will to embrace and the embrace itself. “The will to embrace,” writes Volf, “is indiscriminate, the embrace itself is conditional.” This careful differentiation enables Volf to negotiate a third way between the two poles of cheap reconciliation and strict justice, because, as he explains, “the embrace itself – full reconciliation – cannot take place until the truth has been said and justice done.” Truth and justice occur in what Volf calls the “overarching framework” of the will to embrace. This framework – the will to embrace the other within the embrace of the triune God – reconfigures our very notion of justice. In fact, argues Volf, “There can be no justice without the will to embrace.”

Full embrace – the embrace of reconciled equals – is never fully achievable in our present world shot through with “pervasive inequality and manifest evil.” Our will to embrace only finds fulfillment in the glorious eschatological moment of reconciliation when says Volf, quoting from the book of Revelations, “the first things have passed
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25 Volf, "The Social Meaning of Reconciliation," 168. Volf attacks the *Kairos Document*, produced by the South African churches resisting apartheid, for insisting that “it would be totally unchristian to plead for reconciliation and peace before the present injustices have been removed.” He disagrees with De Gruchy’s support of this “first justice then reconciliation” position. Volf, "Forgiveness, Reconciliation, & Justice," 35. This approach, in contrast to Volf’s understanding, sets reconciliation within the framework of justice, claiming justice must be done before forgiveness can be extended. De Gruchy responds vigorously insisting he advocates a more nuanced relationship between justice and reconciliation than the Kairos Document that did not distinguish between types of justice. De Gruchy restates his position: “I would argue that reconciliation and justice are both part of the process and the goal, both means and ends.” De Gruchy, *Reconciliation: Restoring Justice*, 199.
28 Ibid., 110.
“away” and “the home of God is established among mortals.” Volf’s insistence on the eschatological fulfillment of embrace does not lessen the Church’s responsibility to seek truth and justice in the hope of embrace. As a student of Moltmann, Volf understands that an eschatological horizon of embrace does not lead to an otherworldly postponement of justice; rather, it intensifies the will for embrace and the desire to seek just and truthful reconciliation in the present. Such reconciliation in the here-and-now is always “nonfinal reconciliation,” and those who desire reconciliation engage in the practice of clumsy, exploited or even rebuffed embraces.

Volf’s experience of the Yugoslavian war informs his view that in situations of conflict and injustice a simple binary division between oppressor and oppressed rarely applies. Both sides come with their own grievances and demands for justice and truth. Volf resists the post-modern notion that there are many truths by reasserting the classic Christian and monotheistic belief in God’s universal justice and the christological title of the truth claimed by Jesus in the gospel of John. However, Volf warns, “our commitment to Jesus Christ who is the truth does not therefore translate into the claim that we possess the absolute truth.” We are sinful humans seeking to embrace other sinful humans; therefore, “If we believe rightly in Jesus Christ who unconditionally embraced us, the godless perpetrators, our hearts will be open to receive others, even enemies, and our eyes will be open to see from their perspective.”

29 Ibid., 226.
30 Ibid., 29.
31 Ibid., 271.
32 Ibid., 215.
Volf develops this expansion of vision; embrace requires a reflexive truth-telling that he calls “double vision.”³³ Double vision describes the process whereby an individual must seek to hear and understand the other’s truth and then seek to see themselves and their claims to justice and truth from this new perspective.³⁴ For Volf, this pursuit of double vision is the practical work demanded by the will to embrace because it requires the participant accept the notion that “the self of the other matters more than my truth.”³⁵ Without a commitment to create the space in oneself for the other’s truth and justice, the embrace is a charade that can mask continued exploitation and injustice.

**Mission Mississippi and the “Will to Embrace”**

The message of Mission Mississippi, clearly articulated at every meeting is: the healing of historical divisions and wounds starts with individual Christians sitting down with the desire to get to know another person of a different ethnicity or denomination. Mission Mississippi challenges participants to be intentional and deliberate about this often awkward practice of making space to meet the other person and hear their story. Here is the practical outworking of the Christian impulse that Volf calls “the will to embrace” and that his teacher Jürgen Moltmann calls “open friendship.” Mission Mississippi, then, presents an opportunity to see how, in this particular context, issues of social justice manifest in this framework of the will to embrace.

The legacies of slavery, Jim Crow, white supremacy and the civil rights movement in Mississippi shape the state’s race relations in the minds of many of its
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residents into a binary ethnic confrontation of the black oppressed and the white oppressors. Many black churches and white activists demand – to use Volf’s categories – justice before reconciliation and forgiveness. Because of this, Mission Mississippi has run into considerable resistance to their call that both sides need to participate in seeking reconciliation based on their common unity in Christ.

Dolphus Weary who expected resistance from white churches was surprised by the response Mission Mississippi received from African American churches: “We anticipated that the black church was ready for racial reconciliation,” Weary confesses, “and what I discovered was that because of so much historical pain, the Black Church is no more ready than the White Church. It’s been hard to even get [churches] to put it on their agenda.” What Weary found is that whites tend towards cheap reconciliation, while African Americans desire the safeguard of strict justice against the possibility of further exploitation. “White people don't want to give up their position of power that whiteness brings,” he explains, “and black people are afraid that the little power they do have is going to be lost.”

Mission Mississippi, in asking the oppressed to extend reconciling friendship to their oppressors is, in the view of its organizers, following the example of Jesus. Jesus’ choice of friends shocked the respectable religious world of his day not simply because it included prostitutes, those transgressors of personal morality and threat to family values, but also tax collectors. (Luke 7:34) In befriending tax collectors, Jesus entered into relationship with those who, using the threat of state sanctioned violence, had financially

exploited his neighbors and himself. When Mission Mississippi asks African American churches to be reconciled to their white brothers and sisters, they are asking them to befriend those who have benefited from their economic exploitation for years – they are asking them to embrace their tax collectors.37

As well as a power imbalance between the African American and white community in Mississippi, there is also a knowledge imbalance. At the risk of generalizing, asking a white Mississippian to enter a relationship with an African American neighbor is to ask them to engage with a person from a world about which they know next to nothing. Lee Paris is typical of many whites when he says that before Mission Mississippi he lived “pretty much exclusively in a white world” and “the few encounters that I had were [with] blacks that were on such a level that they were just no different from me.”38 Ronnie Crudup, an African American minister and Mission Mississippi board member, describes the imbalance in knowledge from the other side of the racial divide. He says, “Our livelihoods, our safety, the whole nine yards, depend on us entering the white world and knowing how to navigate the white world, whereas [whites] had no need to enter the black world, to know black people.”39 In this context, African Americans find Mission Mississippi’s appeal for them to enter into relationships with whites strange. Neddie Winters, Mission Mississippi’s executive director, explains, “We have been figuring out how to relate to you guys all our lives. We have been careful

37 This direction of reconciliation from the offended to the offender is found in the Pauline doctrine of Reconciliation. De Gruchy notes that when Paul speaks of reconciliation: “God is the subject or agent of reconciliation. In speaking of God this way, Paul becomes the first Greek author to speak of the person offended as the one who initiates the act or process of reconciliation.” De Gruchy, Reconciliation: Restoring Justice, 52.
38 Lee Paris, interview by the author 10 October 2004, Jackson, MS.
39 Ronnie Crudup, interview by the author, 5 February 2004, Jackson, MS.
about what we could say and what we couldn’t say all our lives, so it is not a big issue for us to relate to you.⁴⁰ Here Winters is describing a relationship based not on a desire for friendship and embrace but on economic necessity and delineated by fear and exploitation.

Central to both Mission Mississippi’s Reconciliation 101 and Volf’s embrace is the creating of safe space in which truth can be told and stories graciously heard. Speaking to a group of black and white college students, Dolphus Weary stressed the important lessons of reconciliation learned by Mission Mississippi. Essential to intentional relationship is “a conversation of understanding, not a conversation of convincing.”⁴¹ Such a conversation of understanding requires the participants accept, as Volf states, that “the self of the other matters more than my truth.”⁴² Weary explained to the students how this attitude has to effect relationships in the highly charged sectarian atmosphere of Mississippi: “We are not trying to change people’s theology. What we are trying to do is say we need to get to know each other because when we know each other we can talk about our differences in theology.”⁴³

The will to embrace – to make space in oneself to understand the other’s truth, not to convince them of your own rightness – carries with it an understanding that this will mean a change within one’s own self and identity. To enter into an embrace on the common ground of unity in Christ is to have to renegotiate one’s own cultural and ethnic
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identity. In a world where these identities are entrenched, this is no easy charge. Weary, in his sermon at the final rally of the Grace is Greater than Race Tour in 2000, publicly wrestled with this challenge. “What does it mean to be a part of a new creation?” he asked. “Am I a Christian first? Am I a Christian above my denomination? Am I a Christian above my blackness?” Volf faced a similar challenge at the height of the Balkan conflict:

I would ask my students: Who is closer to you, your Croatian neighbor who's not a Christian, or your Serbian brother or sister in Christ? It was not at all clear how the answer would go. Pretty soon it became obvious that's not a Croatian question. It's the dilemma of the church and the world.45

Most churches participating in Mission Mississippi are considering for the first time how to engage in racial reconciliation. They are only just beginning to face Volf and Weary’s dilemma of how to renegotiate one’s own cultural and ethnic identity.

**Memory, Forgiveness and Justice**

When African Americans and whites sit down and start conversations of understanding in Mississippi, the participants have to navigate the burdens of memory and the legacy of tradition. Former Governor William Winter expressed it well when he told a reporter, “White folks can't continue to live in the pre-1860s. Black folks can't continue to live in the pre-1960s. We've come too far now to let the old scars continue to
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44 Volf, in a nuanced argument moving from the call of Abraham to “Go from your country and your kindred and your father's house to the land that I will show you” (Gen 12:1b) to Paul’s theology of a new covenant, argues that Christians can now distance themselves from their own culture while at the same time retaining their own cultural identity. Volf, *Exclusion and Embrace*, 50.

Jarvis Ward, the first executive director of Mission Mississippi, employs the same medical metaphor when he recalls watching black and white Christians come together for the first time:

[Longfellow] uses [a] phrase after the tribes had been warring and fighting. Hiawatha and Minnehaha are about to get married and the chiefs are coming together and the wonderful phrase that I often remember is that: There are wounds that are healing that still may open. And, I think there were people who had some wounds.  

How African Americans share these wounds and how whites hear them determines if the healing continues or if the wounds rip open again. These conversations are the practice of confronting the issues of justice and forgiveness in the framework of the will to embrace.

With his experience of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission, John De Gruchy argues that in order for there to be forgiveness, the past must be remembered “in ways that heal relationships, build community and thus anticipate a new future.” This remembering does not involve glossing over or discounting past injustice to avoid upsetting the offender. “Genuine forgiveness,” de Gruchy argues, “does not mean brushing aside and regarding injustice lightly, but on knowing how to remember rightly.”

James Turner is a regular at Mission Mississippi events in Jackson. Turner, like so many African Americans in Mississippi lives with memories that are wounds that ache
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Wounds that ache and still may open!
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and still may open. As a minister, Turner feels a responsibility to pursue a resolution to his haunted and hurt memories: “It is important for me to be able to espouse and know that there is healing when you have had hurt . . . if I want to try to preach it, if I want to try to teach it, I should also be living it.” In Mission Mississippi, Turner has found a place to fulfill his pastoral obligation to seek his own healing. “Mission Mississippi,” reflects Turner, “[affords] the opportunity to come together, the opportunity to pray together, the opportunity to work together. [It] is a continuous healing process.” He has pastored congregations in the Church of Christ Holiness (USA) for 25 years. One morning at a Mission Mississippi prayer breakfast, he met Stephen Edwards, an elder at First Presbyterian Church, Jackson (FPC). Edwards was also a board member of the Neighborhood Christian Center – an FPC sponsored network of church based after school programs for African American children in the city. A friendship developed between the two men leading to Edwards recruiting Turner as the Neighborhood Christian Center’s new executive director.

In his conversations with Edwards, Turner found that even though they were both professing Christians they came from such radically different worlds that they saw the Mississippi in very different ways:

Steve has grown up . . well . . not so much a person who has had almost everything he has wanted, but he has been blessed in certain things and so it means there are certain things he has not been exposed to, [that] he has not seen. In my case I was born in Utica, Mississippi on Mr Simmond’s place – my parents and grandparents were sharecroppers.
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Steve did not really have any exposure to blacks until . . . college. And my situation: I [grew up] in an environment where if I worked [then it was] for somebody who was white.52

During the 1960s, Turner attended Holy Ghost High School, a Roman Catholic high school for African Americans in Jackson; after graduating high school he went to Tougaloo College. As a high school and then a college student, Turner participated in civil rights demonstrations and voter registration drives. What he witnessed haunts him to this day. “We were involved with voter registration drives in Canton. . . we went in the [county] recruiting and bringing people to register.” The students brought the would-be registrants to the courthouse in Canton. Surrounded by lawns, the courthouse sat in the middle of a picturesque Southern square with stores and shaded sidewalks on each side.53

Waiting for the students and the registrants were officers of Canton’s police department. Knowing the policeman’s record of intimidation, violence and arbitrary arrests of those involved in civil rights, the students gathered around the courthouse as witnesses to afford the brave registrants some protection.54 “It was just confrontational,” Turner remembers. “You don’t have the right to know who is in this vehicle,” The policemen barked at the students as they tried to watch the proceedings. “You don’t need to observe.” When this failed to deter the teenagers, the police started shoving the students and shouting words that haunt Turner to this day: “Y’all get on out of the way or we’ll

52 Ibid.
53 Hollywood used the square as a location in the movies A Time to Kill (1996), My Dog Skip (2000), and O Brother Where Art Thou (2000).
stomp you and beat you.” 55 These were not idle threats; Turner witnessed acts of police brutality toward his fellow students.

[Now] each time I go to Canton, I remember that the Sheriff. . . would stomp us into the concrete and do different things to us and all those kind of things. And those kind of memories come up and I have to continuously work at moving past those things. 56

Even language triggers these memories. “It is the words and things that really sticks with me,” explains Turner. “Somebody [will] say a certain word and it keys a memory. . . [Words like]‘beating’ and ‘stomping’ – I don’t think I even use the word[s]. But when other people say ‘stomp’ it causes, I guess, more of a fear.” 57

Turner has cautiously shared these memories with Edwards and some other whites involved with Mission Mississippi from his desire to “move past those things.” He states simply: “It has been helpful.” Turner’s caution comes from his experience of white Christians responding to African Americans’ stories of oppression from a position informed by a theology of reconciliation outside of justice: “I have had to understand that some people say: Well I wasn’t involved, I didn’t do it.” 58 Despite this type of response, Turner continues to pursue relationships with whites from his belief in the unity of the church in Christ and his own need for healing. “I have to work on the healing process. . . otherwise I would be going the opposite direction and that’s not where it’s at, because

56 Ibid.  
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Christ prayed for us to be one and we can’t be one when we are continually being divided.”

Turner talks of the “healing” of his memories, but this expression might seem to validate those whites who believe African Americans should simply “get over” their grievances, as it suggests that those memories have been cured and are no longer there. De Gruchy, considering the role of memory in reconciliation, concludes that forgiveness “does not mean brushing the past aside and treating the past lightly, but on knowing how to remember rightly.” Avoiding the suggestion that the wrongdoers are correct in encouraging the victims to forget and move on, what language might be more helpful to talk about the healing of the victim’s memory? A better phrase might be the healing of remembering; for, the healing required is not an amputation of a painful past nor the amnesia of a divine anesthetic but the transformation and redemption of memory that enables reconciliation and forgiveness. The memories are necessary and need to continue; they are not to be left behind on some psychic operating table with the victim discharged back into the world as an amputee. True healing of remembering comes, Volf believes, when the victim’s “memory of wrongdoing is framed by the hope of reconciliation between the wrongdoer and the wronged.”

The reason the victim must continue to “remember rightly” is that it extends the hope of reconciliation to the oppressor—the possibility that they will listen with
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understanding and change their world-view. Jürgen Moltmann, speaking from his position of white privilege, explains the importance for whites of listening “seriously to the stories of the blacks,” for their own redemption.62 “The person who has incurred guilt can no doubt admit his guilt,” Moltmann observes, “but only his victims know what suffering his injustice has caused.” 63 It is therefore necessary for whites to listen to African Americans and to learn to, as Moltmann says, “see ourselves through the eyes of our victims.”64

Weary predicates Mission Mississippi’s strategy and theology on the belief that, as Moltmann suggests, whites will listen to their African American brothers and sisters and develop double vision. Their new perspective, he hopes, will cause them to “go deeper” into racial reconciliation. Phil Reed of Voice of Calvary Ministries in Jackson explains how this relational model of reconciliation can move to address systemic issues of injustice:

In Jackson 85% of the people living below the poverty level are African Americans. And that’s the statistic. But, when you develop this kind of friendship, then it is no longer a statistic. This is my friend that can’t find a decent job, that doesn’t have a decent place to stay. . . . It is not a statistic anymore; it is my friend. And so, it starts with friendships.65

Those who believe that Mission Mississippi will change Mississippi one relationship at a time place their hope in friendship.

---

63 Ibid.
64 Ibid.
65 Ibid.
66 Phil Reed, interview by the author, 7 October 2004, Jackson, MS.
Among those convinced of the importance of Mission Mississippi’s strategy are a group of African American pastors active not only in Mission Mississippi’s leadership but also in church-initiated community development projects in Jackson. A critic who would dismiss Mission Mississippi as simply an exercise in racial civility to assuage white guilt must explain the earnest and continuing support of these men. The fact that these African American leaders in Mission Mississippi have a track record in grassroots economic community development lends significant credibility to Dolphus Weary’s insistence that Mission Mississippi is the starting point for moving Christians to a deeper commitment to a reconciliation that includes social justice.

Thomas Jenkins, the pastor of New Dimensions Ministries in Jackson, has been involved with Mission Mississippi since he served on the original steering committee for the first stadium event in 1993. A prominent figure at most Mission Mississippi functions, he has a public friendship with Lee Paris. Jenkins came under the influence of John Perkins while majoring in accounting at Jackson State University in the early 1970s. Perkins had Jenkins keep the books for Voice of Calvary (VOC). “John was mentoring me. . . telling me all these things about what the black church ought to be doing. What the church ought to be doing period,” recalls Jenkins. When Jenkins graduated, Perkins, always keen to develop African American leadership and economic development, connected him with an African American priest in Louisiana named Father
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Albert J. McKnight, who had started the Southern Cooperative Development Fund.\textsuperscript{69} Jenkins returned to Jackson to work out of an office in Perkins’ building as the regional director of the Southern Cooperative Development Fund for Mississippi, Kentucky, South Carolina and Alabama. Perkins took full advantage of such easy access to his protégé and the Development Fund. “We were able to help Voice of Calvary buy houses, [and] renovate houses,” remembers Jenkins, “and where we could get capital to them – capital to the Mendenhall ministry – [we] did a lot of stuff. . . not only with them but with a lot of people because of his contacts.”\textsuperscript{70}

The connections between the radical VOC and Mission Mississippi are striking. Not only Thomas Jenkins but also Neddie Winters (Mission Mississippi’s Executive Director) and Ronnie Crudup (Vice Chairman of Mission Mississippi’s Executive Board) have all served as chairmen of the board of Voice of Calvary Ministries.\textsuperscript{71} These men were also all involved in Hope Community Credit Union, a very significant and quite remarkable piece of economic development work.

By 1999, Hope Community Credit Union, founded in 1995 by members of Anderson United Methodist Church, was struggling and about to close. The church asked various groups for assistance including the Fellowship of Hope Ministries, a group of

\textsuperscript{69} In 1969, McKnight completed a two-year Ford Foundation program organizing cooperatives in four Southern states. As part of this program, McKnight created and ran the Southern Development Cooperative Fund (SDCF). Based in Lafayette, Louisiana, the SCDF is a development bank serving low-income cooperatives, community organizations, rural enterprises and minority businesses that are unable to obtain loans from conventional sources. In 1979, President Jimmy Carter appointed McKnight to the first board of directors of the National Cooperative Bank. Albert J. McKnight, “Why I Am Retiring to Haiti,” \textit{Mission Diary} (July-August 2005): 2. \textit{Father Albert J. McKnight} (Cooperative Development Foundation, 2003 [cited 10 September 2005]); available from http://www.coopheroes.org/inductees/mcknight.html.
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some fifteen congregations that included Voice of Calvary and New Horizons.\textsuperscript{72} The Fellowship of Hope took over the credit union’s charter with VOC’s Phil Reed as the chairman of the board.\textsuperscript{73} Ronnie Crudup, pastor of New Horizons, suggested Neddie Winters as the first manager. Winters, with a background in business, gave up his job as a full time pastor and served as the Credit Union’s manager for three years. In that time, Winters remembers, “We started with $165,000 . . . and we moved that to something like a $10 million credit union.”\textsuperscript{74} In 2005, HOPE Community Credit Union boasted they “have generated over $150 million in financing and brought economic opportunities to more than 10,000 people in economically distressed areas.”\textsuperscript{75}

Another example of the commitment of Mission Mississippi’s leaders to church based community development is Thomas Jenkins’ New Dimensions Development Foundation.\textsuperscript{76} On the corner of Farish and Monument Streets in the heart of Jackson’s old African American business district stands a large white windowless corrugated iron barn. On the end wall, a sign with a large red cross proclaims in the best Elizabethan English, “Come unto me all ye that labour and are heavy laden and I will give you rest.” Surrounded by empty lots and derelict and burned out buildings, this is the home of the Church in the City, a church plant of Thomas Jenkins’ New Dimensions Ministries, Inc., pastored by Neddie Winters. The building also serves as the center of operations for New Dimensions Development Foundation, Inc. (2004 [cited 21 July 2005]); available from www.ndifom.org.
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\textsuperscript{73} Ibid.  
\textsuperscript{74} Winters, 18 Oct. 2004.  
Dimensions Development Foundation. The ground floor contains classrooms for tutoring and skills training, storerooms for a food program, and a small factory for a business manufacturing choir and clergy robes, and custom-made draperies. At the back of the building, stairs lead up into the attic and a warren of windowless bedrooms, lounges and a kitchen for the New Dimensions shelter for homeless women and their children.

The physical proximity of these different responses to the chronic needs of the African American community in Jackson are symbolic of the inseparability for Jenkins and Winters of charity, housing, economic development, skills training, job creation, evangelism and Christian worship. Church in the City’s vision statement demonstrates the progression from individual relationships to systemic change to which Winters, Jenkins, Weary, and Crudup all subscribe. It reads: The deplorable conditions of our communities are changed through relationships one person, one family, one block, one neighborhood, one community, one City at a time.

Jenkins, Winters, Crudup and Weary are passionately committed to moving Christians to recognize and address these deplorable conditions. “But,” Weary points out, “If people won’t walk into the room, and if people won’t hear it, and if [reconciliation] is not on the agenda, then how in the world can you move people?” This explains these men’s commitment to Mission Mississippi whose main goal — *Reconciliation 101* -- is to facilitate the coming together of African American and white Christians in the same room with reconciliation on their agenda. While Mission Mississippi directly organizes a range
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of events – picnics, prayer breakfasts and luncheons, and conferences – to bring people together, they hope that participants will generate their own programs and projects. “The state is so big and the communities are so dysfunctional,” explains Weary, “that we need to go and try and help motivate those communities to start something.”

**Church Partnerships**

Mission Mississippi’s central strategy is the formation of church partnerships between historically white and historically African American congregations that will, it is
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hoped, create a momentum that moves these communities past simple acquaintance and deeper into a reconciliation that names and addresses injustice. In 1995, when Cade Chapel, the oldest African American congregation in Jackson, and Crossgates Baptist Church in Brandon worshipped together, Mission Mississippi declared the service “a wonderful example of Mission Mississippi’s vision.” To facilitate the formation of these partnerships, Mission Mississippi encourages churches to partner in preparing food for the annual Picnic in the Park. Weary, however, expects much more from church partnerships than the occasional pulpit swap and annual food preparation: “What we’d really like to do is put a New Horizon and a Trinity together and let the leadership say: How do we go deeper with our church relationships?”

Weary is referring to the relationship between New Horizons Ministry and Trinity Presbyterian Church, as a model for church partnerships. These two churches are often used as an example in Mission Mississippi’s promotional literature. New Horizons is a large vibrant congregation in South Jackson based in a collection of buildings that more resemble a school than a church. The sanctuary, which holds around 400 people, fills to overflowing for the two Sunday morning services. Their minister, Ronnie Crudup, bathed in the bright television lights, preaches a charismatic gospel of affirmation and personal development to his almost exclusively African American flock. The worship is
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passionate led at high volume by the accomplished band and singers. Trinity Presbyterian Church, for most of the partnership, worshipped in their church of sandy brick, white columns and a white steeple on Northside Drive. A conservative Presbyterian congregation averaging around 400 on a Sunday morning, they sit in the wooden pews in a plain and tastefully appointed sanctuary singing the great hymns accompanied by a pipe organ. The minister, Mike Ross, delivers long academic sermons that would be at home in a seminary classroom.

The partnership began with a joint Easter Service held on neutral ground at Belhaven College a year before the start of Mission Mississippi. The relationship came about through contacts made between men involved in their respective church’s prison ministries. Trinity and New Horizons continue to hold Easter day sunrise services and conduct pulpit swaps twice a year. Both congregations have benefited from the link. Trinity’s connections with international mission work enabled New Horizons to join with them in running joint mission trips to Malawi and South Africa; and Crudup and his team advised Trinity on their community outreach programs in the transitioning neighborhood around their church. “They helped us in knowing how to interface with, and relate to, and reach African Americans,” recounts Ross. “And they said, ‘Because of your preaching style and your worship and just the reputation of white Presbyterians. . . you’re not going

---
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to reach a whole lot of adults. What you’ve got to aim at is the youth.” 86 This advice resulted in Trinity’s thriving after-school program.

The elders of Trinity Presbyterian, part of the Presbyterian Church in America, fiercely guard their order of worship and doctrines from adulteration. Crudup believes it was his training at the white theological conservative Reformed Theological Seminary (RTS) that allayed fears at Trinity that the partnership would compromise its doctrinal and theological purity. 87 It was Gordon Reid, one of Crudup’s teachers at RTS and interim pastor at Trinity, who helped establish the link. 88

Mission Mississippi’s touting of the New Horizon/Trinity partnership as a model is problematic. The same impulse to doctrinal purity that makes Crudup acceptable in Trinity’s eyes works against Trinity’s participation in Mission Mississippi. Trinity Presbyterian Church withdrew its support from Mission Mississippi soon after the first stadium event. Happy to go along with reconciliation across racial lines, crossing denominational lines proved less attractive. Mike Ross explains that following the appointment of Roman Catholics to the board of Mission Mississippi, Trinity’s session, “wrote to them and said we feel this is contrary to the gospel and to the direction of Mission Mississippi.” The inclusion of Roman Catholics signaled to the elders at Trinity that Mission Mississippi was now an ecumenical rather than an evangelical organization, and as Ross explains, “We are not in favor of that.” 89
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A second church partnership publicized by Mission Mississippi exists between Neddie Winters’ Church in the City and St. Peter’s By-the-Lake Episcopal Church. Unlike New Horizon’s and Trinity, Mission Mississippi instigated this partnership. In 1997, Rev. Barry Cotter, following his attendance at a Promise Keeper’s rally, called the Mission Mississippi offices asking if his church could be connected with a black church. They put Cotter in touch with Nedddie Winters, then pastor of Hope Springs Missionary Baptist Church. The two men started meeting together to talk and pray and quickly developed a mutual respect. This friendship led to the two congregations coming together over a “covered-dish dinner.” Encouraged by this success, the congregations then joined together feeding the hungry and homeless in inner city Jackson on Thanksgiving morning. The partnership then progressed to a point where the congregations held joint services of worship.\(^90\)

Unlike the New Horizon/Trinity partnership, in this case people were crossing racial and theological lines. The Baptists from Hope Springs were suspicious of the Episcopalian’s liturgy and eucharistic emphasis that all came out of a prayer book. The white Episcopalians found the spontaneity and level of congregational participation uncomfortable. Despite the awkwardness, the experience brought some healing to old wounds and laid the foundations for lasting friendships. One elderly African American woman found the significance of everyone drinking from the same communion cup deeply moving. Neddie Winters remembers:

One lady, she went to her grave just blessed as she could be with peace and with everything within her heart after being there with them [and] to be all drinking out

of the chalice and not out of the little cups. She said, “Being there with them white folks and I used to work for these people and I have seen them mistreat my people. And to be there and to be in a setting like that where I can be in contentment and be at peace.”

The strategy of church partnerships moves Mission Mississippi’s focus from relationships between individuals to relationships between institutions; however, these institutional relationships gain their strength and form from individual friendships. The partnership between St. Peters by the Lake Episcopal Church and Hope Springs MB Church gained its strength and focus from the friendship between Winters and Cotter. When Winters left Hope Springs MB Church and became pastor of the Church in the City, St. Peter’s switched its partnership to Winters’ new congregation. These two congregations signed a covenant stating: “God has established the relationship between the. . . congregations to function in the Body of Christ as a community of believers gathered together to be extensively involved in the work of racial reconciliation.” The departure of Cotter from St. Peter’s again underscored the reliance of this partnership upon the personal friendship of the two ministers. The partnership now struggles to maintain momentum. The two churches joined in bringing food to Mission Mississippi’s 2004 Partnership Picnic in the Park, but only one family from St. Peter’s by the Lake attended.

Despite all its efforts to establish significant and lasting church partnerships, examination of the two examples Mission Mississippi most often gives does not reveal the sort of on-going and deepening relationships for which it strives. It does reveal,
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however, the energy required to move congregations beyond their closed boundaries of denomination and race. It also shows that it is the establishment of personal friendships between church leaders that generates this necessary energy.

**Reconciliation and Business Opportunities**

Mission Mississippi has a second strategy that more directly connects the will to embrace with systemic issues of economic access and investment in Mississippi’s struggling communities. With its origins in the Christian Businessmen’s Committee of Jackson (CBMC), Mission Mississippi has consistently held events targeting the business community. On October 15, 1993 at the Ramada Coliseum, 550 men gathered for a “Businessmen’s Luncheon” which, in turn, lead to a much smaller group meeting monthly for breakfast. Mission Mississippi continues to host a businessmen’s prayer breakfast at Jackson’s University Club on the third Wednesday of every month. Through relationships formed at these meetings, Mission Mississippi hopes to influence the state’s economic landscape. As one regular attendee explains:

> This is where networking happens and you build up a relationship with somebody. That is what they do when they go out on the golf course. They are trying to build relationships and get the jobs – [the prayer breakfasts are] the same thing – it is a networking opportunity.

Mission Mississippi is creating a networking opportunity for African American businessmen who traditionally have little access to the powerful and informal business networks of the closed society: the networks of club, church and family.
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It is difficult to assess the extent to which business opportunities and investment in the African American community stems from these contacts. Two examples of “success stories” repeatedly offered by both African American and white participants in Mission Mississippi are: Charles Doty and Lextron; and Kenneth Leaks and his New Deal supermarkets. Considering these two examples illuminates the way Mission Mississippi believes relationships, founded on the will to embrace, may change the distribution of wealth and the economic future of the state.

In 1992, at the birth of Mission Mississippi, Tom Skinner issued a challenge that Victor Smith, the white businessman who along with Lee Paris helped start Mission Mississippi, took to heart: “Tom Skinner asked us all: would you be willing to have a black friend? And I prayed that day: God I would be willing if you give me a friend.”

Smith served on the board of Jackson’s Habitat for Humanity with Doty Smith, a young African American businessman; following Skinner’s challenge, Smith invited Doty to have lunch with him. Smith, with his years of business experience, heard about Doty’s difficulties launching his electrical assemblies manufacturing company called Lextron. Doty told an interviewer in 2002, “[Smith] helped me in the financial community when I didn’t have those relationships established.” The help Smith provided was to act as guarantor for the bank loans Doty needed to purchase materials. “He had some credit problems with the bank and he needed better credit,” recalls Smith. “I took him over to another bank and the banker said: well we will agree to loan him if you will sign on a
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note with him, which I did. And we became partners. Doty bought Smith out when Lextron secured contracts with AT&T and Bell South.

Mississippi’s boosters publicized the success of Lextron, a minority owned business. Starting with only ten employees, by 2003, Doty employed 185 people at Lextron’s factory in Jackson and another 200 people at a sister company Lextron-Visteon Automotive Systems supplying the new Nissan plant in Canton. In 2004, however, following the cancellation of a major contract, Lextron, filed for bankruptcy with debts of $50 million.

What distinguishes the story of Doty and Lextron from a simple tale of African American entrepreneurial endeavor is the close attention Doty reportedly paid to the needs of his workers. Doty chose to develop his operation within inner-city Jackson providing good health insurance and benefit packages for his workers. With 90% of his work force made up of women -- many of them single mothers -- Doty claimed to have created a “state-of-the-art” childcare center and offered tuition reimbursement for schooling. The company was also a major sponsor of Jackson’s Habitat for Humanity.

The second story of Kenneth Leaks and New Deal supermarkets, is of a more dramatic commitment to urban regeneration and economic development of African

American communities. In 1998, Leaks and his partner Greg Price bought the old supermarket on Monument Avenue right across the street from Jenkins’ New Dimensions building. Leaks, who had worked for years as a manager for the supermarket chain Jitney Jungle, now wanted to develop supermarkets in neighborhoods that the large chains were abandoning.\(^\text{102}\) With half of his customers paying for their groceries with food stamps, Leaks caters to the needs of the impoverished neighborhood. The Store’s signature “Pick 5” deal (5 family sized servings of various meats) boasts you can “feed your family meat for a week for $19.99.”\(^\text{103}\)

Mission Mississippi played a role in the success of Leaks’ enterprise. Leaks is a member of Word of Faith Christian Center Church, a congregation that supports Mission Mississippi; he also regularly attends the businessmen’s prayer breakfast. When the New Deal store opened on Monument Avenue, the Prayer Breakfast, instead of meeting at the University Club, adjourned to the Monument Avenue store. “We went in and prayed over everything,” recalls Neddie Winters. Their assistance did not stop with their intercessions; each of the businessmen present committed to spend at least $25 a week at the store. As a result, wealthy white businessmen purchased groceries alongside the poorest African American’s in the Farish Street neighborhood. “I see those white guys over there shopping,” Winters reports.\(^\text{104}\) The same year the store opened, Mission


Mississippi announced that Leaks would be joining their board of directors. Lee Paris, the chairman, praised Leaks for his “commitment to the inner-city.”

By 2002, Leaks and Price owned six stores, making New Deal among the largest black-owned grocery chains in the country and the largest in Mississippi. Leaks hopes that by halting the flow of services and businesses out of the inner city neighborhoods he can help return resources to the African American community. “The only way our neighborhoods are going to do better is the people in our neighborhoods are going to have to own businesses,” explains Leaks, “[then] all of a sudden the money is coming in the neighborhood. Then all of a sudden, it's sustaining itself.”

His faith means Leaks refuses to see these neighborhoods and their residents as hopelessly dysfunctional:

As a Christian, I have to believe that God has placed enough of everything needed in a community. It's not a slight on anybody else, but I refuse to beg anybody else. I refuse to accept this myth that we as a people can't work together . . . I cannot give up on us as a people.

Leaks and Price stated their goal is “to create as many [minority owned] businesses as we can.” In 2002, they turned the ownership of their stores over to their managers while retaining ownership of the in-store customer service centers. This enabled the two entrepreneurs to concentrate on seeking new locations and helping other African
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American business owners expand their business alongside the New Deal Supermarkets.\textsuperscript{111} Given the examples of Lextron and New Deal Supermarkets – and to a lesser extent, the church partnerships – Weary’s insistence that Mission Mississippi “is not [just] a place where a group of people get together and feel good,” carries some weight.\textsuperscript{112} Nevertheless, do we see the work of Mission Mississippi leading to white Christians developing what Miroslav Volf calls double vision? Do whites learn to see Mississippi from an African American perspective and decide to work to transform the systems that privilege whiteness? This is not a simple question to answer; there are, after all, forces within Mission Mississippi effectively working against systemic social transformation. Mission Mississippi’s pronouncements and practices carefully seek to remain acceptable to those whites who insist that justice is not the business of the church. Those who hold this position are among the influential whites involved in the formation of the organization; and, as demonstrated in the last chapter, these whites have powerful cultural and doctrinal checks in place resisting: first, the perception of racialized systemic forces; and second, the social implications of the gospel of Jesus Christ. Is Dolphus Weary correct to trust that Mission Mississippi will lead participants deeper into reconciliation? Do the relationships formed through Mission Mississippi open whites’ eyes to systemic injustice and subvert their established notions of the “spirituality” of the church? Simply, is there a double vision developing in which, as Volf believes,

\textsuperscript{111} Lindsay, "Making Successful New Deals."
“forgiveness will name injustice as injustice and therefore demand that its causes be removed”?\textsuperscript{113}

While spending time with participants in Mission Mississippi, it is impossible not to hear testimonies of reconciliation and tales of renegotiating racial attitudes without seeing glimmers of something that looks a lot like Volf’s double vision. To try to statistically quantify double vision, even if it were possible, is beyond the scope of this project. Instead, here are two vignettes of such moments that point to the possibility of Mission Mississippi leading to action informed by a new double vision. The first example is from the vitriolic debate surrounding Mississippi’s state flag; the second example is of a white couple’s conscious resistance of the forces that lead to white flight and continuing residential segregation and urban decay.

The Flag

By the end of the twentieth century, Mississippi, along with other states in the Deep South, found itself examining the presence of the confederate flag in their state flag, a symbol that many found anachronistic and inflammatory. In the summer of 2000, a commission appointed by Governor Ronnie Musgrove, headed by former Governor William Winter, and including Dolphus Weary held public hearings around Mississippi to gauge opinion and garner suggestions and support for a new flag. Winter recalls that at the commission’s hearings, “All of us took a lot of very harsh comments from some very irate people.”\textsuperscript{114} Following the hearings, the commission recommended a statewide

\textsuperscript{113} Volf, \textit{Exclusion and Embrace}, 224.

\textsuperscript{114} William Winter, interview by the author, 13 October 2004, Jackson, MS.
The controversy around the flag generated national media attention. The week after the state voted to keep the old flag, Lee Paris and Dolphus Weary appeared in a *Time* magazine article. Weary explained to the reporter his support for a new flag based on the confederate symbol’s connection with slavery, Jim Crow and the Ku Klux Klan, and Paris explained his sentimental attachment to the flag, “I love that flag, and I love my heritage.” The article then reports a startling moment of double vision: “Weary says... that through his friendship with Paris, he has understood the history-and-heritage argument for the first time.” It is not only Weary who sees the situation with new eyes. The report continues: “Because of his friendship with Weary and other African Americans, and because ‘as a Christian man I cannot do that which harms my brother,’ Paris voted to bring the flag down.”

Paris, who grew up waving the rebel flag at Ole Miss football games, explains how this was no small change for him:

I loved that flag and I loved our state flag...but as I got to know the hearts of African American brothers and sisters in this area and when they looked at that flag they saw something completely different than I did. So a scripture came to mind – if it causes your brother to stumble then put it away. And so...I came out

---

for changing the flag. It is painful to do so and there was much division even in my own family about the issue – but I did vote for the new flag.  

Here double vision, resulting from the relationship between Paris and Weary, not only enabled Weary to understand Paris’s dilemma it also led Paris to question his own heritage and position as he saw it anew from another’s perspective. This double vision led to a change in the way Paris voted. The fact that those desiring a new flag lost at the polls complicates the story. The result left Weary wondering if he should have involved himself in the politically divisive flag commission, while Paris, though he voted for the new flag, wryly acknowledges, “I guess in a way I was blessed both ways in that I got to vote my convictions and yet what I really wanted won out.”

The second example is of a sustained attempt by a white couple to maintain double vision and see things from the perspective of their new African American

---

117 Lee Paris, interview by the author 14 October 2004, Jackson, MS.

Sociologist John Shelton Reed attributes the failure of the proponents of the new flag to win popular support to their concentration on the economic argument – the old flag discourages investment – rather than on “the argument from good manners, or from Christian charity.” John Shelton Reed, "The Banner That Won't Stay Furled," Southern Cultures 8, no. 1 (Spring 2002): 85.

Former executive Director of Mission Mississippi, Jarvis Ward, claimed that the defeat of the old flag in Hinds County in the 2001 special election was indicative of Mission Mississippi’s success:

I think Mission Mississippi clearly had a direct impact on the vote that happened in Hinds . . . it can be traced . . . it can be measured . . . if there weren’t . . . it would have had the same experience as every other part . . . but that was a great indicator. The Christians were saying: It’s not about a flag and if I could get rid of this thing in order to move on to more weightier issues of value then let’s do that. I think that is a clear sign that God had used Mission Mississippi.

Ward is incorrect in believing Hinds election results differed from the rest of the state. Statewide the results were 64.39% for the 1894 flag and 35.61% for the proposed new flag. These results come very close to the states racial split between 61.4% whites and 36.3% African American. In Hinds County, while 64% voted for the proposed new flag, the county is 61.1% African American. This result, rather than suggesting the success of Mission Mississippi’s racial reconciliation, confirms the suspicion that the flag vote fell along racial lines.


118 Taken from Weary’s comments about the Flag Commission at Mission Mississippi’s Executive Board retreat, Entergy Conference Center, Jackson, MS, October 4, 2004; and Paris, 14 Oct. 2004.
neighbors. This story did not make national news but it does demonstrate that, at least for some people, involvement with Mission Mississippi does lead some to consider the social and systemic forces at work that perpetuate racial and economic divisions in the state.

**Housing and White Flight**

Ben and Judy Beaird bought their modest ranch style house in a new white subdivision of Clinton in 1977. The neighborhood remained exclusively white until, around the turn of the century, middle class African Americans began moving to the neighborhood fleeing the rising crime in South Jackson. The Beaird’s had been actively involved in churches promoting racial reconciliation and had been involved at various times with both Mission Mississippi and the John M. Perkins Foundation for Reconciliation and Development. Both were surprised at their own emotional reflex when, stemming from old wounds of prejudice, they found themselves in a transitioning neighborhood. Judy Beaird confesses:

I freaked! I was so uncomfortable that I had to cry. Within the last year I now have four black families adjacent to me [and] I was not raised to live in a black neighborhood. That just doesn’t fit with my self image which is an old antiquated but deeply ingrained . . . and I want that to change, but I was having to deal with the reality of it. It was just coming way up from the unconscious stuff.¹¹⁹

Such discomfort, as demonstrated by the shifting demographics of Jackson’s neighborhoods, usually results in whites selling their property and moving. The Beairds actively resisted their own discomfort. When an African American family moved into the house behind their property, Judy Beaird knew what their Christian responsibility was. “I

---

¹¹⁹ Ben Beaird and Judy Beaird, interview by the author, 6 October 2004, Clinton, MS.
said Ben, you’ve got to get up and go meet these people. In order to break the barrier of hesitancy that you’ve got, you’ve got to do that.”

Armed with a flyer for Mission Mississippi’s two and two restaurant days, Ben Beaird introduced himself to his new neighbors and invited them out for a meal. Mission Mississippi’s Two and Two Restaurant Days fit closely with Dolphus Weary’s strategy of Reconciliation 101. Offering a white couple and a black couple a 22% discount when they eat together at participating restaurants, the Two and Two deal literally aims to get African Americans and whites to sit down together at the same table and begin to talk. In the Beaird’s case, this enabled them to break the hesitancy barrier.

Judy Beaird explains the difference these hesitant steps towards relationship have made:

I used to look out of the window and I would see black people going in and out and everything in me would just cringe, I look out now and I smile because I know them. . . . I am not proud at all of how hard it’s been. . . [but] I am in transition just like the neighborhood.120

Deciding to stay and build relationships with the African Americans moving into the neighborhood came as a result of the work of Mission Mississippi and their Reconciliation 101 approach. “I could not have made these kind of moves in the past without some discipling about this . . [from] Mission Mississippi,” explains Ben Beaird. “I’ve been [to Mission Mississippi events] enough to know what the issues are and I had something to draw on, and I drew on it and it worked out.”121

120 Ibid.
121 Ibid.
Conclusion

The asymmetry between these modest tales and the reality of injustice, oppression and continuing economic disparity between African Americans and whites in Mississippi might suggest a conclusion that dismisses Mission Mississippi as too timid. The solution of “one relationship at a time” does not appear to be a proportionate response to the problem. As a Methodist minister newly arrived in Jackson observed:

I admire the goodwill of focusing: let’s do this one relationship at a time. [But] I am not sure that it is working on any significant scale. . . For the amount of energy and money that goes into [Mission Mississippi] I actually think it is quite paltry that it is not systemic. It is not dealing with the fundamental socio-economic and political dimensions of [the problem].

However, a critique of Mission Mississippi’s simple objective -- to place racial reconciliation onto churches’ agendas and create opportunities for relationships to form across racial and denominational lines -- can only be made after an assessment of the underlying strategy and theology articulated by Dolphus Weary as “Reconciliation 101.”

Mission Mississippi has strong theological support for placing the invitation to open relationships before meeting the demands of justice. The will to embrace the other, as Miroslav Volf argues, is not conditional upon both parties having atoned for past sins. At the same time, this theology of embrace reminds Mission Mississippi not to slip into cheap reconciliation. They must not forget that they are merely the ushers for the big reconciliation picture starring truth and justice. The temptation is for Mission Mississippi to be content simply playing host to the comfortable crowd in the foyer, rather than ushering them towards the discomfort of reconciliation that will change Mississippi.

122 Ross Oliver, interview by the author, 14 October 2004, Jackson, Mississippi.
Judging its approach simply as a strategy, Mission Mississippi only succeeds as far as it attracts Christians from African American and white churches and enables them to form sustained relationships that challenge and start to change the racialized structures of the state. Two factors combine in Mission Mississippi to hamper this transition from reconciliation as social interaction to reconciliation as social reconstruction. The first is Mission Mississippi’s desire to involve as many churches in their activities as possible, achieved by keeping barriers to participation as low as possible. The second is that some of the key leaders and policy makers of Mission Mississippi come from white churches that balk at the faintest whiff of ecumenism or the social gospel. The result of this combination is an inertia resisting the idea that reconciliation will require examining questions of systemic economic injustice and social segregation of churches and schools. This inertia fits Volf’s description of a theology of reconciliation outside justice.

Countering this inertia is the energy and conviction of experienced and successful African American pioneers of community development in Jackson who see Mission Mississippi’s strategy as essentially right and important for the future of the state.

Volf’s theology of embrace affirms the importance of Mission Mississippi’s project and, at the same time, exposes some of its pitfalls. Revealed are the forces working against the trajectory of the will to embrace from within the church in the name of justice on the one hand and forgiveness on the other. Perhaps most importantly, Volf’s attention to the importance of double vision justifies and enriches Mission Mississippi’s insistence on the primacy of relationship in the embrace of reconciliation. The development of this double vision gives Mission Mississippi a clear theological model for
understanding how, starting with individual relationships, Christians can move deeper into a reconciliation of forgiveness, truth, and justice, that could change Mississippi.
Chapter 5

Prayer Breakfasts as a Practice of Open Friendship

The contemporary American theologian L. Gregory Jones writes, “Christian forgiveness aims at reconciliation and involves the task of responding to God’s forgiving love by crafting communities of forgiven and forgiving people.”¹ For these communities, Jones argues, “the capacity to discover what it means to be forgiven and to forgive depends on the richness of [their] communal habits, practices, and disciplines.”² If Jones is correct, then for Mission Mississippi to be about the work of Christian reconciliation, of being the community of open friendship, we would expect to find “communal habits, practices, and disciplines” leading to a deepening level of forgiveness and reconciliation among the participants. This chapter contends that Mission Mississippi’s twice weekly prayer breakfasts are a practice of open friendship.³

Considering the experiences and testimonies of the participants, those who gather regularly for the Mission Mississippi prayer breakfasts are engaging in a communal habit, practice, and discipline of a Christian open friendship. Regular participants of the prayer

---

² Ibid.: 131.
³ The term practice is used here mindful of Alisdair MacIntyre’s definition of a practice as a “coherent and complex form of socially established co-operative human activity through which goods internal to that form of activity are realised in the course of trying to achieve those standards of excellence which are appropriate to, and partially definitive of, that form of activity, with the result that human powers to achieve excellence, and human conceptions of the ends and goods involved, are systematically extended.” Alasdair C. MacIntyre, *After Virtue: A Study in Moral Theory* (Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press, 1981), 187. The “the goods internal” to the practice of the prayer meetings include learning to listen to the other’s story, interpreting the stories through the lens of scripture, extending forgiveness and interceding for the other in prayer. The “ends” of this practice include the establishment of relationships that lead to reconciliation.
meetings testify to their experience of forgiveness and forging of relationships across racial and denominational lines. Many of the white participants express a new understanding and appreciation for the lives and opinions of African Americans in Mississippi.

Mission Mississippi frequently proclaims the prayer meetings to be the essential core of its activities. In 1996, Mission Mississippi published a glossy eight-page booklet telling their story and presenting their on-going work. In the booklet, Mission Mississippi described the prayer breakfasts as “the backbone” and “the heart” of the organizations work of reconciliation. The booklet continued to explain that the prayer breakfasts had produced “two striking results. First God has answered prayer. . . . Second, real friendships have been forged in the furnace of regular, weekly fellowship around prayer.” In 1997, Mission Mississippi’s board reiterated the centrality of the prayer meetings in their Vision Statement: Unity in the body of Christ, they wrote, “is most effectively achieved as we pray together. The chief activity of Mission Mississippi is sponsoring regular times of prayer, including weekly morning prayer breakfasts.” As Mission Mississippi struggled to transition from large events to a more relationship orientated program, John Geary, Mission Mississippi’s Director of Development, wrote in a confidential memo to chairman Lee Paris, “everything but Thursday morning prayer breakfasts should be open for change.”

---

Mission Mississippi holds other regular prayer meetings; every third Wednesday of the month, the Businessmen’s Prayer Breakfast meets at the University Club in Jackson, and the Women’s Prayer Luncheon convenes on the third Friday of the month at Galloway United Methodist Church. However, the real heart of Mission Mississippi’s program of intercessory prayer is the prayer breakfasts held in different locations around the city from 6:45 to 7:45 every Tuesday and Thursday morning.

In order to explore the lived theology of reconciliation located in the practice of Mission Mississippi’s morning prayer meetings, it is important to have an understanding at what actually happens at these breakfasts. The following descriptions of two prayer meetings are as representative as any accounts of the morning prayer meetings can be given that the location for the prayer meetings are constantly changing. The members of the prayer committee, who manage the prayer meetings, spend a great deal of their energy contacting churches and institutions to arrange venues. A glance down a list of locations hosting the meetings reveals not only churches, but also private and public schools, hospitals and medical facilities, universities and colleges, police and fire stations, the offices of the *Clarion-Ledger* newspaper, and even the Governor’s Mansion. The two prayer meetings described here were held in the same week in the fall of 2004; the first was held at a private school, the second at a Missionary Baptist church. Neither space was racially neutral. One venue (the school) was a culturally white space; the other (the church) was culturally African American.

---

Jackson Academy, Tuesday, October 5, 2004

Jackson Academy is located in Northeast Jackson on Ridgewood Road in an established white suburb. It is still dark as those attending the Mission Mississippi prayer breakfast arrive, and there is some confusion as to where the library – the location of the prayer breakfast – actually is. The school, established in 1959, fills a city block with its red brick facilities and sports fields. One of the largest private schools in the city, Jackson Academy provides a high standard of education from pre-kindergarten through twelfth grade for its almost all white student body.  

As people straggle into the second floor library, they write their names on labels which they stick to their chests and make their way over to the librarian’s desk where teachers from Jackson Academy have laid out the breakfast (the hosting church or organization supplies the breakfast for the prayer meetings). This morning, next to two flasks of coffee and containers of orange juice sits a mound of rapidly cooling foil-wrapped Chik-fil-A chicken biscuits. People take their food over to the worktables in the center of the library, engage the biscuits, and make polite conversation.

Janet Thomas, a staff person from Mission Mississippi, comes around the tables passing out small bulletins for today’s meeting (she spends the rest of the meeting taking photographs for Mission Mississippi’s website). The four pages contain a list of statewide

---

8 In 2005, Jackson Academy had 1558 students, making it the largest private school in the Jackson Area followed by St. Andrews Episcopal School with 1158. Jackson Prep, with 885 students between 7th and 12th grades, is the largest private high school. The schools are all overwhelmingly white with Jackson Academy the whitest. The presence of minority students at these three schools is:

Jackson Academy: Asian/Pacific Islander 9 (0.62%), Hispanic 2 (0.13%), Black 12 (0.83%)
Jackson Preparatory School: Asian/Pacific Islander 28 (3.16%), Hispanic 3 (0.33%), Black 6 (0.67%)
St Andrews Episcopal School: Asian/Pacific Islander 51 (4.71%), Hispanic 10 (0.92%), Black 62 (5.73%)

and local prayer requests as well as three bulleted prayer requests for Jackson Academy.\textsuperscript{9} On the cover of the bulletin is a picture of the “Jackson Raider,” a mounted civil war era soldier brandishing a sword.

By the time James Turner calls the prayer meeting to order, there are seventeen people present. The group is racially balanced; eight are African American and nine are white. Discounting the three teachers, everyone sitting in the library is a regular attendee of Mission Mississippi’s prayer breakfasts: three are staff members and five are members of the prayer committee. With only two ministers present, one black and one white, laity outnumber clergy.\textsuperscript{10} There are two Roman Catholic sisters participating this morning and three teachers from the Jackson Academy, including Peter Jernberg the headmaster. With a couple of exceptions, everyone is either middle-aged or past retirement.

At the end of the room Virginia Chase, Mission Mississippi’s events and activities coordinator, draws attention to the notices in the bulletin. She particularly asks for prayer for the Weary family and for Dolphus as he goes to Wheaton College, Illinois for a meeting of the college’s board of visitors. Finally, Chase gives directions to the next prayer meeting which will be held in her own church: Hyde Park Missionary Baptist Church. Sheree Tynes, the chair of the prayer committee, briefly requests that everyone attending the prayer breakfast at the Governor’s Mansion the following week would put their names on the guest list. Tynes then invites Jernberg, the headmaster, to say a few words.

\textsuperscript{9} The bulletin is reproduced in Appendix D.
\textsuperscript{10} They are: Fred Rohlfis, the pastor of Northeast Christian Church, Madison, and James Turner, Church of Christ Holiness (USA), a pastor and executive director of Jackson’s Neighborhood Christian Center.
“What a privilege it is for us to have Mission Mississippi back on our campus,” exclaims Jernberg. He goes on to explain, in good Mississippi fashion, how he is connected by geography, church, and school to Mission Mississippi. Jernberg, it turns out, attended Lee Paris’s childhood church in Indianola and was one of his schoolteachers. Following his welcome, Jernberg introduces the morning’s speaker: Lester Walls of the Fellowship of Christian Athletes. When the prayer meetings are held at a church it is customary for the pastor/minister/priest to present a short meditation from scripture; this morning Walls, whose ministry is mostly to high school students, takes this job. Walls, an African American built like a linebacker, appears the epitome of muscular Christianity.

Before he starts preaching, Walls invites Daryl Smith to share his testimony. Smith is as slight and short as Walls is stocky and tall. Standing between the library tables, Smith talks of God’s support in his life before breaking into a very accomplished black gospel rendition of the old hymn “Great is thy faithfulness.” Smith’s voice soars, his ornamentation breaking down the strictures of meter and measure. The small congregation gathered in the library greets his final phrase, floated on an effortless falsetto, with a chorus of Amens.

Walls then delivers his message; it is a reflection on Psalm 101. Employing the style he uses when addressing high school athletes, Walls pulls out a remote control. A remote control is only useful, he explains, when we are in the presence of a television. Similarly, we need to be in God’s presence if we are to be any use. The remote control is only a trifling visual aid compared to what Walls produces next. “God is tired with us
playing church!” Walls declares as from behind a chair he pulls a huge two handed sword that would make any Highland warrior trade in his Claymore. Challenging people to lift the sword with one hand, he demonstrates to everyone’s satisfaction that two hands are required. In the same way, Walls explains, “We need to be reliant on God’s power that comes from his presence.”

At 7:25, Walls finishes speaking and the meeting breaks into small groups of three or four to pray. Though not explicit, the expectation is clearly that these groups should be interracial. Seated at the tables with the prayer bulletins open in front of them, each person in the small group has the chance briefly to share their own personal needs and concerns. The regulars are attentive to ongoing family concerns of other participants.

Fig 5.1. Lester Walls delivers the Word with a very large sword, Jackson Academy, October 5, 2004. Photo: Janet Thomas.
Fig 5.2. Small group prayer. Peter Jernberg (center), James Turner (right). Jackson Academy, October 5, 2004. Photo: Janet Thomas.

Fig. 5.3. Group prays for teachers, Jackson Academy, October 5, 2004. Photo: Janet Thomas.
Often, before a participant can share their needs, another group member anticipates their request: someone asks how their sick mother is doing or if a relative has been able to find employment. The groups then bow their heads and the room fills with the earnest murmur of prayer.

With only a couple of minutes left before the scheduled end of the meeting, Sheree Tynes calls the groups to stop praying. The three teachers from the academy stand in an open space and everyone gathers around them in a scrimmage. Those closest to the teachers reach out one or both hands and touch them; those towards the edge touch the person in front. Lester Walls raises his voice and leads a prayer for the teachers and the school – his tone is fervent and the African Americans in the group interject his words with “Amen” and “Yes Lord.” The prayer ends and participants make their way back to their cars in the parking lot and the day’s work that awaits them. White teenagers on their way to their first class of the morning cast disinterested glances towards the African Americans.

**Hyde Park Missionary Baptist Church, Thursday, October 7, 2004**

Two days after the prayer meeting in Jackson’s white suburbs, those attending the Thursday morning breakfast were driving in the dark trying to find a church in a very different neighborhood. Following the directions given by Virginia Chase, the participants drive down Medgar Evers Boulevard – named for Jackson’s own slain civil rights leader – looking for Coleman Avenue. They recognize the intersection from the presence of Volcano. Volcano is a club, a windowless blue box sporting little more than its name, a door, and old air conditioning units jutting straight out of the walls. Hyde
Park MB Church lies a short way down Coleman Avenue in a rundown neighborhood of small houses. Once a good place to raise a family, now, according to a member of the church, it is “drug infested.” The church is a low brick building with narrow slits for windows and a small ornamental steeple perched over the entrance. In the corner of the parking lot, the church’s minivan sits inside its own barbed wire topped stockade; at 6:30 in the morning, this is the only indication this is a high crime neighborhood.

The prayer meeting is in the hall at the back of the church. It is a long room with white cinder block walls, fluorescent strip lighting and a linoleum floor. Two rows of tables with plastic floral tablecloths and metal folding chairs run the length of the room. As at Jackson Academy, people fill in nametags as they walk in. At the far end of the room, behind a heated trolley, stands R.L. Horton Sr., a deacon of the church. The trolley would put Shoney’s to shame. It is loaded with food: grits, scrambled eggs, beef sausage, pork sausage patties, pork sausage links, bacon, turkey bacon, and hot biscuits. Alongside on a table with the jelly and syrup are bagels, fruit, orange juice and coffee. This morning there are twenty people in attendance. Fifteen are African American, eight of whom are members of the Hyde Park congregation. Again, there is the core group of Mission Mississippi staff and members of the prayer committee.

Virginia Chase calls people from their plates and introduces her pastor and the minister of Hyde Park, Terry L. Davis. He brings a message of encouragement to Mission Mississippi from the story of the ten lepers found in the gospel of Luke. In the same way the lepers cried out for Jesus attention (17:13), preaches Davis, “There [are] a lot of folks

---

Virginia Chase, interview by the author, 18 October 2004, Jackson, MS.
around but there’s only a few folks making a noise!” At this the room explodes with
guffaws and cries of “Well!” and “Amen.”

“There are a lot of organizations in the city of Jackson,” Davis continues, “but a
lot of organizations aren’t making the same noise that Mission Mississippi is making.”
Davis continues to draw out the analogy: It is crucial for Mission Mississippi, as for the
lepers in the story, to see from where their healing comes. Finally, he offers
encouragement, “We never find out too much about the nine [lepers], but [we do about
the] one. And so it is alright to just be the one.”

When Davis finishes his ten-minute address, the meeting breaks into small groups
for prayer. With fewer whites to go round, the small groups are not as racially balanced
as they had been at Jackson Academy. However, in all other aspects, this portion of the
meeting consists of the same elements. The members of each group take it in turns
Fig. 5.5. Deacon R.L. Horton Sr. serves breakfast.

Fig. 5.6. Pastor Terry L. Davis brings the message.
Fig. 5.7. Pastor Terry L. Davis leads prayer for Jackie Pate, Virginia Chase (center), Hyde Park Missionary Baptist Church, October 7, 2004.
to share prayer requests and then the members of the group close their eyes, bow their heads and take it in turns to pray for the needs shared and for those written up in the bulletin.

The small groups are brought to a halt as the time nears 7:45. Then everyone gathers in the middle of the hall for the final prayer. This morning, the prayer meeting departs from the standard format of laying hands on the pastor and praying for his ministry and the mission of the church. Instead of gathering around the pastor, this morning people lay hands on one of their number, an African American woman called Jackie Pate. Pate is a faithful member of the prayer committee and a volunteer in the Mission Mississippi office. With her left arm paralyzed after a stroke following the birth of her sixth child, Jackie is searching for a job while struggling to raise three school-age children. Many in the room have heard Jackie’s story shared through her prayer requests in small groups. “I have been going through hell for the last three and a half years,” she tells people.12

This morning, following the small group prayer time, Pate is deeply distressed and the group gathers around. Virginia Chase holds Pate to her as Pastor Davis prays for Pate to experience “God’s Victory” in her situation. The emotional intensity in the room is far higher than anything experienced at Jackson Academy. A photograph (Fig. 5.7) taken at this time captures the passionate focus of those surrounding Pate in prayer.

---

12 Jackie Pate, interview by the author, 14 October 2004, Jackson, MS.
A Lived Theology of Prayer and Reconciliation

Both leaders and participants in Mission Mississippi claim that the prayer meetings are the heart of the movement and essential to their efforts at relationship driven reconciliation. Phil Reed, a long time supporter of Mission Mississippi, makes just such an assertion: “What makes Mission Mississippi work is people praying together.” He does not want the claim dismissed as evangelical piety, “I know it sounds like a Sunday school answer, but that really is the key.” 13 Lee Paris, the chairman and one of the founders, agrees: “[praying together] is the strongest and quickest way to bind with our brothers and sisters that we have traditionally not been able to dwell with.” 14 This is the lived theology of the community of believers in Mission Mississippi and it deserves serious consideration. What is it about interracial intercessory prayer that, in the experience of Mississippi Christians, proves crucial for their desire to see unity between races and denominations?

In interviews with participants in Mission Mississippi, I asked the questions: “Why is prayer important for racial reconciliation?” and “What has been your experience of praying with people of a different race?” After a few interviews, I realized my questions only elicited brief formulaic answers. I switched my questions to one that tapped into the narrative convention of the evangelical culture: “What is your testimony of praying at Mission Mississippi prayer meetings?” The resulting stories gave a rich insight into the experiences of interracial intercessory prayer that help illumine the importance of this practice for Mission Mississippi.

14 Lee Paris, interview by the author, 10 October 2004, Jackson, MS.
What follows is an exploration of the major themes emerging from the testimonies of interracial intercessory prayer and observations from the particular form and practices of the prayer breakfasts in conversation with theology, biblical scholarship and sociology. This conversation, to use Dolphus Weary’s favored phrase, takes us deeper into an understanding of the relationship between intercessory prayer, open friendship, forgiveness, reconciliation and justice. The result supports the thesis that Mission Mississippi’s prayer breakfasts are indeed a rich communal practice of open friendship.15

**Intercessory prayer is the language of friendship**

Just as Mission Mississippi links prayer to the formation of intentional relationships across the traditional boundaries of the closed society, Moltmann, in his “brief but seminal passage” on open friendship, locates prayer as the preeminent expression of this friendship.16 “[F]riendship with God comes preeminently to expression through the prayer of a free man.”17 Moltmann roots his theology of open friendship in his reading of John’s gospel and Jesus telling his disciples, “I do not call you servants any longer. . . but I have called you friends.”18 Moltmann notes that following this revelation comes teaching on prayer: “the Father will give you whatever you ask him in my name.”19 Moltmann succinctly summarizes this connection between friendship and
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15 Jones, "Crafting Communities of Forgiveness," 131.
18 John 15:15 (NRSV)
19 John 15:16b (NRSV)
prayer: “God can be talked to. He listens to his friends.”\textsuperscript{20} Understood this way, the act of gathering for corporate prayer is the preeminent practice of the community of the friends of God.

Following Moltmann’s definition of “the friend [as] the person who ‘loves in freedom,’” Christian prayer is essentially the expression of a relationship of “mutual freedom and respect.”\textsuperscript{21} If prayer is the free conversation between friends then “prayer is not a servant’s desperate begging, nor is it the insistence of a demanding child. Prayer in Christ’s name is the language of friendship.”\textsuperscript{22} As Mission Mississippi gathers early on a weekday morning for interracial intercessory prayer, the participants build their relationships in the context of an activity constituted by the friendship of God and the language of Jesus’ open friendship.

Before the hyperbole of theological language sweeps us away, it is important to realize that small group prayer meetings in Mississippi are not an unusual occurrence. The high number of churchgoers in Mississippi means that prayer meetings are not simply confined to churches; they take place in homes, schools and places of work.\textsuperscript{23} In fact, it is not only in the Bible belt that prayer meetings are commonplace. Princeton sociologist Robert Wuthnow, in a national study of support groups, estimated that four out of every ten Americans belong to a small group. Of these participants, 69\% say they

\textsuperscript{20} Moltmann, \textit{The Church in the Power of the Spirit}, 118.
\textsuperscript{21} Ibid., 316.
pray together in their groups. That means that almost three out of every ten Americans participates in a group that involves some kind of corporate prayer. While Wuthnow’s study considered secular as well as religious small groups, his survey showed that 57% of those participating in small groups said their group was part of the regular activities of a church or synagogue. Of these, 94% of the participants reported their groups were composed mostly of members of their church or synagogue. Wuthnow noted that as “virtually all” members of church sponsored small groups (bible studies, prayer groups etc.) attend the same congregation, the churches or synagogues effectively “provide a screening function. . . ensuring they have something in common with other members and can feel safe and secure.” It is reasonable to conclude from Wuthnow’s study that while a huge number of Americans participate in small groups that pray, the vast majority of them are praying with people who are like themselves. Indeed, the involvement of churches in these prayer groups tends to safeguard their members from praying with anyone whose racial, economic, or ideological otherness might cause them discomfort.


26 Ibid., 105.
Wuthnow notes uneasily that the burgeoning small group movement in the United States caters to the “religion of one’s choice.” Small groups are most often concerned with the “emotional support” of their members. This emotional support, Wuthnow finds, “is defined to mean encouragement rather than criticism or guidance.”

Mission Mississippi’s prayer meetings are significantly different from the majority of the small groups in Wuthnow’s study: a participant in a Mission Mississippi prayer breakfast is intentionally praying with people who may be racially, socially, economically, educationally or politically different than themselves. Rather than finding comfort in an homogenous closed prayer circle, the participant engages in the often uncomfortable task of meeting people with whom they have little in common and who challenge their preconceptions.

**Intercessory Prayer challenges the Closed Society**

Mission Mississippi’s prayer breakfasts challenge the boundaries of social conformity that define a closed society. Meeting to pray with Christians of a different race, denomination, and culture causes levels of discomfort in the participants at the most basic level. Participants find that, while they may pray to the same God, they do not pray in the same ways. Sheree Tynes, the chair of the prayer committee and a member of First Baptist Church, sees this variety at work: “I hear so much differentness [sic] in prayer because I think Baptists pray kind of alike and Catholics . . . do their rosary and don’t
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always pray out loud. . . And then Presbyterian’s have their way of praying, and Methodists.”

One of the most significant differences in prayer and worship styles is between African Americans and whites. Virginia Chase, Mission Mississippi’s event coordinator and frequent attendee at the prayer breakfasts, finds herself moderating her usually exuberant manner of prayer and worship to minimize the discomfort for white participants. While attending a Mission Mississippi meeting in a white church, Chase recalls a white friend noting her change in behavior:

One of my friends is a member of Christ United [Methodist]. And when I was sitting beside her she said, “I know it is killing you because you are from a Missionary Baptist church and you are used to saying: Amen. . . But you are sitting there as quiet as we [whites] are.”

Chase explained to her friend: “Well I don’t want to be out of line; I don’t want to be insulting; I want to be able to worship and praise with you in whatever way you worship and praise.” For Chase, this is a small price to pay to experience prayer and worship across racial and denominational lines. “I think that sacrifice I can withstand,” she explains, while noting “I can go to my church and whoop and holler any day.”

Many whites reported the expressive character of the African American prayer traditions compared to their own more staid prayers. Rather than a sacrifice, they tended
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29 Sheree Tynes, interview by the author, 7 February 2004, Jackson, MS.
30 Chase, 18 Oct. 2004. Chase’s statement, that she is making a sacrifice (albeit a small one) to attend Mission Mississippi events, fits with sociologists Christerson, Edwards, and Emerson’s findings. They hypothesize that “Numerical minority group members bear the highest relational costs of being involved in interracial organizations.” The hypothesis concludes, “The costs are reduced as representation increases.” Brad Christerson, Korie L. Edwards, and Michael O. Emerson, Against All Odds: The Struggle for Racial Integration in Religious Organizations (New York: New York University Press, 2005), 156. This was observable in the greater enthusiasm expressed physically and vocally in the prayer meeting held at Hyde Park MB Church where African Americans were numerically the majority and on the home ground of an African American church.
to consider this evidence of the enrichment that racial diversity brings to the Church.

Ralph Maisel, a white Episcopalian in his sixties, referring to the difference in style of prayer between African Americans and whites, said, “One thing – at least in my private life – that I have experienced that I didn’t have before was their prayers.” Maisel values this difference, even considering the prayers of African Americans more efficacious than his own, stating “These people are anointed with the ability to pray.”

Attending interracial and interdenominational prayer meetings involves more for the participants than simply overcoming the discomforting barriers of different styles of prayer. Participants intentionally cross the high barriers of history and tradition. Whites come from churches that, during the Civil Rights Movement, passed resolutions excluding African Americans from their services, fearful of the changes the movement represented. Sheree Tynes recalls the fear that the integration of church services generated then and that still lingers in some quarters.

I remember when I was pretty young at my home church there was talk, just sort of under currents, that there might be some black people who’ll try to break into church on Sunday morning. I remember some of the men of the church being posted at strategic location in the church in case some blacks wanted to come in and bust up the service.

While whites deal with a history of segregation and the notional fears that interracial worship generated, for African Americans, the historic barriers to interracial prayer were far more substantial and the consequences for crossing them tangible.
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Joel Weathersby, a retired nurse’s assistant and deacon at Cherry Grove Missionary Baptist Church, grew up with the psychological shackles of Jim Crow. “In a sense,” he explained, “we thought that white was better than black.” His parents, farmers in Simpson County, warned their children to respect whites whatever their age. They told him of African Americans lynched for disregarding the mores of segregation. “You stay in your place,” they told their son, “just do what you’re supposed to do and respect them. . . and keep going.” The Civil Rights Movement changed Weathersby’s view of his own worth relative to whites. “As things began to move on and change,” he recounted, “I could see different and actually I thought different.”

When he started attending Mission Mississippi’s prayer breakfasts, the world was very different from the one his parents trained him to negotiate. “If it had been years previous before that I might’ve would’ve been afraid,” Weathersby says, “[But] the fearfulness at that time had mostly passed over to an extent.” Now a member of the prayer committee, Weathersby remembers going to his first prayer breakfasts, “I wasn’t nervous, I wasn’t afraid. It was more of a challenge just to be part of it, to see what it’s like [to] communicate with the white person.”

The fear of white people may have dissipated since the days of Jim Crow and the rule of lynch law; however, for African Americans, anger at and distrust of whites is an historic barrier that they have to cross when they attend a Mission Mississippi prayer meeting. Jackie Pate, the women prayed for with such fervor at the Hyde Park Church prayer meeting, never imagined she would sit down twice a week with whites for morning prayer. Growing up in Mississippi and Chicago in the 1960s and 1970s, she has
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vivid memories of segregation and racial tension. Before going to her first Mission Mississippi prayer meeting Pate says, “I would never intentionally try to get to be in a relationship with a white person. . . . because of all the anger and hatred and hurt that I had experienced from white people in my past.”36 However, as a member of Ronnie Crudup’s New Horizons, she went to a prayer breakfast hosted by the church. “When I went to the first prayer breakfast it was like a light bulb went off in my head and said: this is where I want you to be. And I said, “Oh yes Lord!” And so I joined the prayer committee the next committee meeting and I have been here ever since.”37 What biblical and theological resources are at hand to help interpret these deliberate crossings of fearsome cultural boundaries to pray?

The Roman Catholic scholar Luke Timothy Johnson, in his work on the letter of James, offers a theological way of framing this act of intercessory prayer as a discipline of open friendship and a challenge to the Closed Society. Johnson shows how understanding James’ use of the terms “friendship with the world” (4:4) and “friendship with God” (2:23) is key to appreciating the epistle. James writes: "Adulterers! Do you not know that friendship with the world is enmity with God? Therefore whoever wishes to be a friend of the world becomes an enemy of God" (4:4).38 This verse would seem to encourage the church to withdraw from society – to concern itself solely with spiritual matters – and become the very closed church in a closed society that Jürgen Moltmann

37 Ibid.
warns against. Not so, argues Johnson. He shows how, in the Hellenistic world of James’ epistle, a friend was “another self;” friends saw things the same way and were equal. James uses the term friendship to indicate complete agreement with a system of values. In addition, when James uses the term world, he means “a measure of reality, or a system of meaning, which can be contrasted to that of God.” Thus, Johnson states, *friendship with the world* means “profound agreement with a measure opposed to God’s.” A church embracing the logic of a closed society – modeling its structures on closed friendships where like seeks after like – is friends with the world. Such *friendship with the world* (closed friendship) is in stark contrast to *friendship with God*. James writes, “Abraham believed God and it was reckoned to him as righteousness, and he was called the friend of God” (2:23). Friendship with God, Johnson explains, is recognizing God’s claim on one’s life and acknowledging that “claim in faith and action.”

Friendship with God is to have, what Jürgen Moltmann calls, a different horizon than those who live without the hope of Christ.

In light of this understanding of friendship, Johnson’s commentary on the passage on prayer (5:13-18) is particularly relevant to an understanding of Mission Mississippi’s prayer breakfasts. In his epistle, James instructs the church to summon the elders to pray for those who are sick. Then in a fascinating couple of verses, James links prayer, the
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confession of sins, forgiveness and healing: “The prayer of faith will save the sick, and the Lord will raise them up; and anyone who has committed sins will be forgiven. Therefore confess your sins to one another, and pray for one another, so that you may be healed” (5:15-16a). Johnson comments, “A community is healed as ekklesia when, in trust and vulnerability, it is able to pray and confess sins together.”45 Immediately following the injunction to pray for the sick and confess sins one to another, James gives the example of Elijah as a righteous man whose prayer was “powerful and effective” (5:16b). Only ten verses earlier James uses the same word “righteous” (dikaios) referring to the poor man murdered by the rich. Johnson writes, “Elijah, in other words, was situated over against the powers of his world, as the oppressed poor are situated over against the rich. Yet Elijah's prayer was more powerful than them.” 46 Then follows Johnson’s summary of James’ teaching on prayer. It is worth quoting at length because it makes explicit the connection between prayer, open friendship and resistance to a closed society:

This is the lesson to James' readers: the prayer that can raise the sick person and heal the community can also prove triumphant over the powers of evil in the world, for prayer is the openness of the human spirit to the powerful word of God that enables it to work. Indeed, the prayer of the community gathered in solidarity is already a victory over the world that defines itself by envy and competition. For prayer refuses that definition of reality. Prayer resists idolatry by insisting on the greater power of what is not seen than that which is seen. The prayer of the community gathered in solidarity triumphs over those forces that seek to divide and conquer, to isolate and eliminate, by insisting together on

45 Johnson, The Letter of James, 343. Johnson notes other examples of the physical healing of Jesus symbolizing social reconciliation (Acts 4:22,30; 28:27). Johnson, The Letter of James, 335. L. Gregory Jones did not have the benefit of Johnson’s work when he first wrote about this passage in his book, L. Gregory Jones, Embodying Forgiveness: A Theological Analysis (Grand Rapids, Mich.: W.B. Eerdmans, 1995). However, Jones comes to rely heavily on Johnson’s commentary in the development of his own work on understanding forgiveness as a set of communal habits, practices, and disciplines. Jones, "Crafting Communities of Forgiveness."
46 Johnson, The Letter of James, 344-45.
being "other" than that world, as being defined by what is totally "other" than that world, by seeking friendship with God rather than with that world (4:4).  

We have seen the ways in which participants in the prayer breakfasts have an awareness of how the intentional practice of praying together challenges the historic social barriers of the closed society and refuses "that definition of reality." But is there also present Johnson’s understanding that in the act of praying the participants insist "on the greater power of what is not seen than that which is seen"? Asked in an interview to explain how prayer is so vital to Mission Mississippi, Lee Paris eloquently made a case for the way the practice of prayer presupposes and creates a solidarity based on a faith in things not seen:

We serve a God who parted the Red Sea, and rescued Daniel from the Lions Den, and raised his Son from the tomb. . . And so, we go to him in prayer asking what the world would deem impossible, what in my culture half a generation ago would have seemed impossible, but in Christ all things are possible. And so we go to him and say: Lord make this happen.

Paris articulated Johnson’s understanding that “the prayer of the community gathered in solidarity is already a victory over the world that defines itself by envy and competition.”

Viewed in this way, overcoming historical and cultural barriers to form communities around interracial intercessory prayer prophetically challenges the idolatry of the Closed Society and its closed churches. Participants in Mission Mississippi, however, claim far more than this for their prayer meetings. They believe that praying
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together actually enables or facilitates their journeys to move deeper into forgiveness and reconciliation one relationship at a time.

**Intercessory Prayer, Story Telling, Double Vision, and Christian Friendship**

As already shown in Chapter 4, Mission Mississippi and the theologian Miroslav Volf agree that the creation of a safe space in which participants can tell the truth and graciously hear the stories of others is crucial to the process of reconciliation. For reconciliation to be a possibility, the participants must be willing to hear and understand the other’s truth and then seek to see themselves and their claims to justice and truth from this new perspective. Volf calls this new perspective “double vision.”

South African theologian John De Gruchy, reflecting on the lessons learned from the Truth and Reconciliation Commission, draws the same conclusion. “The process of reconciliation,” De Gruchy writes, “begins through the taking of what might appear to be small and often tentative steps.” The first step is “meeting and listening to the estranged ‘other’.” The second is “the willingness to listen to the other side of the story even if we remain unconvinced.” This leads to the crucial business “of learning to put ourselves in the place of the ‘other’ who addresses us.”

In Robert Wuthnow’s study of small groups, he and his team of sociologists noted the importance of storytelling to the life and continued health of the groups. Discussing these findings, Wuthnow includes an observation that has great significance for our understanding of Mission Mississippi’s insistence on the importance of prayer meetings.
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52 Ibid. DeGruchy comments that this is the “regarding no one from a human point of view” that Paul talks of as the result of Christ’s reconciliation (2 Cor 5:16).
“In many of the groups we studied,” Wuthnow states, “stories came up most frequently and with the greatest candor as part of the time the group devoted to prayer.”

Wuthnow’s finding concerning truth telling and prayer in small groups is incredibly significant for this study. Prayer meetings are the place where the claims of Mission Mississippi and the findings of sociologists converge, because a small group prayer meeting is the space in modern American culture where people tell their stories to others with candor and frequency. In Mission Mississippi’s prayer breakfasts, time is formally set aside for individuals to share their prayer requests – to tell their stories – as is common practice in the participant’s own church congregation’s bible study groups and prayer meetings. The only difference, as we have noted, is these prayer meetings intentionally gather people across racial and denominational lines. Here the conditions exist for people to meet and listen to the narratives of the estranged other. The candor that marks these stories is a highly unusual feature of relationships between African Americans and whites in Mississippi; but the convention of the prayer meeting fosters, even requires, this candor. As a participant in the prayer breakfasts explained, “when you say what’s going on with you, what can I pray about for you, that’s when you really get the layers peeled off and you find out what a person’s heart is all about and what matters to them.”

When a participant shares a prayer request at the prayer breakfast, often in the form of a story, they tell the story, and the other participants hear it, in a particular way. Wuthnow says of narratives told in the context of prayer meetings that they require the
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narrator to give “a reinterpretation of what happened.” They reinterpret the story to fit both their activity of prayer and the involvement of God. In other words, the participant’s beliefs about what they should pray for, how God answers prayer and even what God is telling them in this situation shape what they tell the group. For those hearing the story, their own theological convictions shape their listening: how is God at work in this situation and how does God wish us to pray for this person? It is a way of telling and hearing stories like no other.

The religious re-interpretive storytelling that Wuthnow finds prevalent in prayer meetings is an example of what New Testament scholar Stephen Fowl considers a foundational practice of Christian friendship. In his study of Paul’s letter to the Philippians, Fowl recognizes “several elements of the epistle [that] are directly designed to address and direct” the friendship Paul and the Philippians share “in Christ.” A central concern for Paul is that the Christians in Philippi understand their Christian friendship in the context of the life, death, and resurrection of Jesus (2:6-11). In his letter, Paul narrates (or reinterprets) his life and the lives of the Philippians in this context. “In Philippians,” Fowl concludes, “Paul takes this ability of properly situating both oneself and one’s friends within the drama of God’s saving purposes to be foundational for all the other practices of Christian friendship.” In a quiet and unspectacular fashion, what Fowl calls the “practice of being able to narrate the story of one’s own life into the

55 Wuthnow, Sharing the Journey, 299.
57 Ibid., 220.
ongoing story of God’s economy of salvation” happens at every prayer breakfast.\textsuperscript{58} Every Tuesday and Thursday morning somewhere in Jackson, stories are interpreted in the drama of salvation through the prayer points printed in the bulletin, in the needs told to the groups and by the intercessions.

Christians turn to the Bible as the source for their understanding of the “drama of God’s saving purposes.” This means that the reading and interpretation of biblical texts plays a fundamental part in the practice of Christian friendship. Fowl asserts, “Christian friends read Scripture so that they can engage each other about how to fit their stories into the economy of salvation.”\textsuperscript{59} Moreover, as already established, these narratives most often find expression in the practice of small group intercessory prayer. These elements – scripture and narrative – are always present in Mission Mississippi’s prayer meetings; scripture is read and interpreted in the short message brought to the group, and participants share their narratives in the form of prayer requests.

**Intercessory Prayer, Double Vision and Networks**

But what of the claim that this interracial intercessory prayer plays a key part in Mission Mississippi’s changing Mississippi one relationship at a time? As already described in Chapter 3, sociologists Michael O. Emerson and Christian Smith conclude from their study that white evangelical solutions to the race problem in America are “profoundly individualistic.”\textsuperscript{60} They characterize this approach as: “become a Christian, love your individual neighbors, establish a cross-race friendship, give individuals the

\textsuperscript{58} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{59} Ibid., 224.
right to pursue jobs and individual justice without discrimination by other individuals, and ask forgiveness of individuals one has wronged.”  

This approach simply does not address, what Smith and Emerson call, “major issues of racialization.”

The first step for white evangelicals to address the problems of racialized America, Smith and Emerson argue, is to “modify their cultural toolkit” so they recognize there is a systemic dimension to the problem. In a remarkable agreement between sociology and theology, Smith and Emerson’s conclusions, as to how such a change might occur, converge with Miroslav Volf’s theory of double vision. For white evangelicals to extend their individualistic cultural toolkit to include an understanding of racialized structural issues, their perceptions of society, Emerson and Smith argue, must start “to resemble those of African Americans.”

Smith and Emerson discovered, rather unsurprisingly, that this change in perceptual toolkit among white evangelicals occurred in direct relation to their contact with black Americans. Interestingly however, they found that individual friendships seemed to have little effect on this perceptual change; instead, “changes in racial perspectives occur mainly in the contexts of interracial networks.”
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networks of family, neighborhood, work, and church. The two sociologists note that in white evangelical culture, outside of family, church provides the most significant and time consuming social network. Because most of these churches are racially homogenous, Smith and Emerson conclude that churches tend to function as monochromatic networking machines that perpetuate and reinforce a racialized society. If immersing whites in interracial networks is the key to changing their racial perceptions, and the most significant network in white evangelicals’ lives are their monoracial churches, what hope is there for racial reconciliation in this part of the Body of Christ? Smith and Emerson’s *Divided by Faith*, with its self-confessed “dismal portrait of the realities of and prospects for positive race relations among American Christians in the
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To be effective, the interracial contact must meet a specified set of conditions. First, the contact should not take place within a competitive context. Second, the contact must be sustained rather than episodic. Third, the contact must be personal, informal, and one-to-one. Fourth, the contact should have the approval of any relevant authorities. Finally, the setting in which the contact occurs must confer equal status on both parties rather than duplicate the racial status differential. Jackman and Crane conclude that “the contact that occurs between intimate, personal friends appears to meet optimally the conditions of the contact theory.”


Jackman and Crane’s results, using data from a 1975 survey conducted by the Institute of Social Research at the University of Michigan, diverged from Allport’s theory. They found that intimacy of relationships is less important than having a variety of contacts in changing beliefs and perceptions. Their results also cast doubt on Allport’s basic assumption that prejudice was a form of ignorance that can be dispelled through cross-group contact. They found “that white’s affective and social dispositions towards blacks change with greater ease than their beliefs about blacks, or . . . their racial policy views.” Jackman and Crane, 479. Further undermining Allport’s support of individual friendship, Jackman and Crane showed that a relationship with an African American of higher socioeconomic status had greater influence on a white’s beliefs than a relationship of equality. In other words, the dynamic of inequality is more significant than friendship.
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United States,” brought together a group of sociologists determined to produce a more constructive piece of work.\textsuperscript{67} Three years after the publication of Divided by Faith, Oxford University Press published a companion volume with a colorful dust jacket and an optimistic title: United by Faith: the multiracial congregation as an answer to the problem of race.\textsuperscript{68} Emerson, joined by sociologists George Yancey and Karen Chai Kim, in collaboration with Curtis DeYoung, a minister and professor of Reconciliation Studies at Bethel University in Minnesota argued the case that “Christian congregations, when possible, should be multiracial.” While presenting a compelling case for their ideal – the integrated multicultural congregation – the authors had to acknowledge, “We are hard pressed to cite definitive examples of such congregations from our study.”\textsuperscript{69} In 2005, with a newly assembled team of sociologists, Emerson published a book with the more chastened title: Against all Odds: the struggle for racial integration in religious organizations. Their study of six different religious organizations led them to conclude that “interracial organizations are inherently unstable.”\textsuperscript{70} Multi-racial churches it seems, despite their best intentions, are more likely to be in a state of transition than sustainable integration.\textsuperscript{71}

\textsuperscript{67} Emerson and Smith, Divided by Faith, 170.
\textsuperscript{68} Curtiss Paul DeYoung et al., United by Faith: the multiracial congregation as an answer to the problem of race (Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press, 2003).
\textsuperscript{69} Ibid., 168.
\textsuperscript{70} Christerson, Edwards, and Emerson, Against All Odds, 152. Returning to Allport’s contact theory, they find that contact in a multiracial church or organization is not sufficient to overcome what they call the niche overlap effect (members attracted to other organizations in which they are a better fit) and niche edge effect (members not part of the dominant group leave at a faster rate than those in the majority group) which work to destabilize these groups. Christerson, Edwards, and Emerson, Against All Odds, 156.
\textsuperscript{71} Their conclusions match the experience of Voice of Calvary in Jackson. Both John Perkins and Phil Reed of Voice of Calvary, attest to the almost inevitable instability of a multi-racial congregation, and the huge expenditure of energy such a congregation demands of its members to maintain diversity. While existing as a multi-racial congregation for over thirty years, the high turnover of membership at Voice of Calvary, particularly among whites, means they remain a small congregation. John Perkins, interview by
Undeterred by the reality they encounter as sociologists, Emerson and his colleagues continue to crusade for multi-racial congregations. While they exhibit an impressive faith in the Church and a desire for its salvation, their how-to guides for multi-racial congregations are – by their own findings – not a realistic starting point for transforming the Church in America today. If evangelicals’ emphasis on individual friendship as a solution to the problem of race is as ineffective as rearranging the deckchairs on the Titanic, then those sociologists proposing the solution of multiracial congregations are drawing up blueprints for a better liner as the ship sinks. Designing a better vessel will not help the passengers and crew in their current predicament. To change the metaphor, Emerson and his colleagues seem intent on trying to pour the new wine of multi-racial congregations into the old wine skins of America’s churches that, by their own findings, are very poor at containing such a brew. The problem, of course, is that when it comes to racial reconciliation in the Church, old wine skins – the existing collection of mono-racial congregations and denominations – is where the work has to start.

Mission Mississippi’s prayer breakfasts and other events are creating new multiracial-church networks without expending energy on trying directly to change the racial make-up of preexisting congregations. Mission Mississippi carefully avoids the suggestion that member congregations should integrate or become multi-racial. This avoidance draws criticism, but the strategy makes sense in light of the sociologists’
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the author, 12 October 2004, Jackson, MS; Phil Reed, interview by the author, 7 October 2004, Jackson, MS.

findings. Just considering the prayer breakfasts, we find networks of open friendship constituted by the Christian practice of narrating their life stories as part of the drama of salvation. Maintaining these delicate cross-racial, cross-cultural and cross-denominational networks is difficult enough without saddling the project with the insistence upon achieving this in the statistically near impossible environment of a single congregation.

Meeting the Other, and Bearing Burdens

Interracial intercessory prayer meetings establish favorable conditions for the development of double vision by establishing networks and creating the space for participants to hear and tell their stories with grace. From a theological perspective, this is a network of the friends of God challenging the idolatry of the Closed Society. The participants also contend there is more going on when people pray together than simply developing an understanding of the other’s point of view. In the interviews, participants struggled to express the deeply moving spiritual reality they experienced when praying
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73 John Perkins criticizes Mission Mississippi’s position that he characterizes as, “We ain’t asking you to change your church.” “What Mission Mississippi is trying to do,” Perkins believes, “is try and change people outside the church,” making Mission Mississippi, “a classic para-church organization.” This strategy has two fundamental flaws for Perkins. First: “Organizations [to be successful] have got to be anchored within the local church” and the “support base” that provides. Second: Perkins asks, “is there such a thing as a white church and a black church, biblically? No! . . . You can defend it on the basis of culture, but you can’t defend it on the basis of biblical truth.” Perkins, 12 Oct. 2004.

74 In her interview, Lisa Maisel described the change in her perception resulting from involvement in Mission Mississippi that now enabled her to acknowledge the validity of African Americans’ perception of systemic inequality:

I just thought about the way my friend has made a comment . . . or I’ve heard women that I know say something. Like a person on the base say “Well that is because of my race.” At first I’m going to go, “do you really believe that?” But then, what I do is I resist, I consciously suppress them. What does it matter? That is the perception so that is reality. It is something that pops up in me and I just consciously push it down and say that is perception and the perception is reality to those people or to anyone really.

with others – a sense of profound unity with the person praying for them. The discomfiting challenge of crossing racial lines in prayer intensified this spiritual experience and participants talked of this unity in terms of reconciliation. Some believed that this reconciliation made the prayers more effective.\textsuperscript{75}

Ralph Maisel, the white Episcopalian who grew to appreciate the African American prayer tradition, talked eloquently of the way he believed participation in the prayer meetings contributed to his experience of racial reconciliation. Maisel had never prayed with an African American before his involvement with Mission Mississippi. “Well for me it is foreign,” he explained. “Because I am 65, the generation [I] came up from, you didn’t ask black people for anything much less to pray for you. And we were accustomed to giving [African American sharecroppers] things like a little bag of food or some hand-me-down clothes. . . to take care of them.” Through the work of Mission Mississippi, Maisel now approached an African American for the first time saying, “I am

\textsuperscript{75} Not everyone who has participated in the prayer breakfasts has experienced the power for reconciliation that others described. One interviewee who attended prayer meetings annually when his church hosted the breakfast stated:

I felt that they were a bit phony in the sense that you walked in there and they said a few words and you kind of prayed together and you left. . . The negative part of it was you didn’t know, in many instances, the people you were praying with. . . I think group prayer is better if you share some commonality with the people before you pray together. I think prayer can help create community but I always thought it was something lacking.

George Evans and Carol Evans, interview by the author, 17 October 2005, Jackson, MS.

Another participant, committed to racial reconciliation initiatives found Mission Mississippi’s prayer breakfasts frustrating:

Well, it’s the same old same old. You have a devotional and then you divide up into groups and then you have some prayer for 15 minutes. The prayers are kind of surface in my opinion. I would like to see some permanent small groups building relationships.

Ben Beaird and Judy Beaird, interview by the author, 6 October 2004, Clinton, MS.

Both of these interviews, rather than dismissing Mission Mississippi’s efforts, express frustration at the unrealized potential in interracial intercessory prayer for reconciliation.
in need and I am asking you to come to my need and help me.” What happened next proved life changing:

I was with a couple of black men and maybe a white man. And we all shared something we would like the group to pray about. And the black man next to me, the way he prayed – it was about my daughter – was so powerful and so endearing. To have someone like that just pray like they really cared, just intensely. Like praying the wallpaper off the walls for someone they didn’t know, my daughter. For me it was just . . . you can’t help but when you have had someone pray for you like that, there is a bond.77

Asked to describe his understanding of this bond, Maisel struggled to articulate his thoughts:

[When] someone is on your behalf praying to the Lord, and you are praying to the Lord, there is a meet[ing] there – just the commonality right there and you are bonded in Christ. I don’t know how to describe that but that is where the reconciliation is. That moment you are one in Christ. You are of one mind, one heart, and one soul.78

James Baird, one of the founders of Mission Mississippi and former minister of First Presbyterian Church, described a similar bond forming between participants of different races: “I think God is joining us together through his Spirit and the prayer is evidence of it.” Baird finds that after praying together in small groups he “come[s] away from that with a sense of solidarity, not only with the Lord but with this fellow who is praying to your Lord, to your God.”79

Dietrich Bonhoeffer, the German theologian and martyr, offers a way of understanding this relationship between prayer and reconciliation. In his book Life

76 Maisel and Maisel, 5 Oct. 2004. Jackman and Crane note that whites attitudes change change most significantly when their black friends are of a higher socioeconomic status. They hypothesize this change in attitude is a result of a reversed power dynamic. Jackman and Crane, "Some of My Best Friends Are Black: Interracial Friendship and Whites’ Racial Attitudes," 480. In this example, the spiritual – not socioeconomic – power dynamic is reversed.

77 Ibid.
78 Ibid.
79 James Baird, interview by the author, 9 February 2004, Flowood, MS.
Together, Bonhoeffer concluded, “a Christian fellowship lives and exists by the intercession of its members for one another, or it collapses,” and recommended “a regular hour for it.”\(^{80}\) In this short work, Bonhoeffer set out a rule of life for a Christian community; he drew on his experiences at Finkenwalde, an underground seminary of the German Confessing Church. In engaging in the discipline of intercessory prayer for others, Bonhoeffer made a “happy discovery,” similar to that claimed by participants in Mission Mississippi. “I can no longer condemn or hate a brother for whom I pray,” wrote Bonhoeffer, “no matter how much trouble he causes me. His face, that hitherto may have been strange and intolerable to me, is transformed in intercession into the countenance of a brother for whom Christ died, the face of a forgiven sinner.”\(^{81}\)

In intercessory prayer, Bonhoeffer also experienced something akin to Ralph Maisel’s “bond” in Christ. Bonhoeffer believed humans are essentially locked by human sin in isolation, disunited from God and from their neighbor.\(^{82}\) Others are equally disunited, locked into isolation. The only possibility of escape from the boundaries of the “I” comes from the one who is outside the “I”: Christ, “the absolute outside of my


\(^{81}\) Ibid., 65.

existence.” Thus, Bonhoeffer argues, meeting with another is only possible through the mediation of Christ, and “only through Christ does my neighbour meet me as one who claims me in an absolute way from a position outside my existence.”

According to Bonhoeffer’s theology, when Maisel says in the prayer meetings he feels “bonded in Christ” with his African American neighbor, he is in fact meeting his neighbor in the only way he truly can.

In *Life Together*, Bonhoeffer insists that interceding for others is a duty of service for Christians. Based on the Pauline injunction “*Bear one another's burdens, and in this way fulfill the law of Christ*” (Galatians 6:2), Bonhoeffer calls this service of intercession, “the Ministry of Bearing.” In Mission Mississippi, Scripture informs and shapes the common language; participants, like Bonhoeffer, often talk of prayer using the New Testament metaphor of bearing one another’s burdens. For example, Sheree Tynes, now the chair of the prayer committee, attended the prayer meetings while caring for her invalid mother. Tynes recalled:

> Before my mom died, it mattered that I could be prayed for. . . at these prayer breakfasts. It sustained me . . . It was nice having someone who didn’t know me at all take on that burden and share in a very deep and meaningful way.

At a meeting of the prayer committee, Joel Weathersby offered up a heartfelt prayer that we would all bear each other’s burdens. Asked what he meant by this, he offered a detailed response: “Years ago I didn’t quite understand [but] I understand it

---

83 Bonhoeffer, *Act and Being*, 128.
better now when it says bear one another’s burden,” he explained. “I was thinking of just a physical load, [but] there is a spiritual load that they have in him or her that they are carrying.” These burdens could be “financial, spiritual or social . . . it could be a burden with families that [they] have on their hearts and on their minds that they can’t shake off.” For Weathersby, the telling of how you overcame similar obstacles helps relieve these burdens of the other: “Sometimes it just takes a word of encouragement to lighten that load within that person’s mind or heart. . . . telling them I have been where you are and I know where you are coming from and I overcame that through the power of God.” This burden bearing is a process marked by reciprocity. After sharing words of encouragement Weathersby explains:

Then I can share with [them] what burden I have and they relieve [me] of what [I]’ve got. That’s what I am getting to when I say bear one another’s burdens because my burden is your burden. That don’t mean I am not to carry my own burdens, but I will help you carry [your burden].

The primary understanding of burden sharing among Mission Mississippi participants is more than simply sharing stories of common obstacles surmounted or shared trials endured. The burdens of others are born and made lighter through interceding on the other’s behalf to God.

Jackie Pate, the woman prayed for with such fervor at the Hyde Park prayer meeting, considers the prayers at the meetings particularly powerful because of the demands they make of the participants. “[Other participants] are humbling themselves as you are humbling yourself,” she explained. Just as Maisel never dreamed of sharing his story and his need with an African American, before her involvement with Mission

---

Mississippi, Pate had never deliberately made herself vulnerable to a white person. “It is amazing that in a group of . . . people you don’t even know and you are trying to build a relationship [with],” observed Pate, “they can come to you and you can go to them and tell them what is something that is hurting you so bad, what’s going on in your home.”

This discipline does not come naturally to Pate. “You don’t want to open those doors because we really want to keep those doors shut,” she confessed. “But if you want some change you had better try to get it prayed out, and that person you might not know might be the one who prays that stronghold down.”

Pate expressed both the power and the reciprocal nature of these prayers: “I am praying that I can tear a wall down for someone when I am in those circles of prayer: Lord just use me and anoint me that I can pray some down for them as well as myself.”

Bonhoeffer described this intense desire to take on the burdens of the other in intercessory prayer in spatial terms. Released from the isolation of the self, the intercessor is able to take the place of the other in prayer before God. In one of his doctoral theses, Bonhoeffer wrote, “in intercession I step into the other’s place and my prayer, even though it remains my own, is nonetheless prayed out of the other’s affliction and need.”

When participants in Mission Mississippi’s prayer meetings talk about bearing the burdens of others, they usually refer to the adversities and worries placed on an individual by circumstance. They also use the term when talking of more general

---

89 Ibid.
90 Ibid.
concerns for society: “I have a burden for the young people of Jackson,” for example. In the context of the prayer meetings, participants rarely mention the burden that Bonhoeffer considered the primary responsibility for Christians to bear for one another: the burden of sin. Bonhoeffer believed it is human sin that locks the “I” within itself, and it is the in-breaking of gracious forgiveness in the person of Christ that opens up the possibility of encounter with God and neighbor. The Church as the body of Christ extends to the disciple the possibility of forgiveness for, wrote Bonhoeffer, “the community of faith bears my sin and death with me, and I no longer see sin and death in the community of faith. . . in Christ, but only forgiveness and life.”

In *Life Together*, the protestant Bonhoeffer advocates a rediscovery of the practice of confession. Drawing on the verse in James’ letter, *Therefore confess your sins to one another, and pray for one another, so that you may be healed* (5:16a), Bonhoeffer believed confession one-to-another marked the “final breakthrough to fellowship”: the fellowship not of “devout believers” but of forgiven sinners. Bonhoeffer outlines a practice of confession of sin to a “Christian brother,” who himself practices confession. The confessor represents the whole Church to the one confessing. As such, the confessor

---

92 Bonhoeffer, *Act and Being*, 123.
93 Though private confession had all but disappeared in the German Evangelical Church (EKD), at the time of the Reformation, Martin Luther had insisted on maintaining the practice of hearing private confession and absolution. In 1520, Luther wrote, “Of private confession, which is now observed, I am heartily in favor, even though it cannot be proved from the Scriptures; it is useful and necessary, nor would I have it abolished; nay, I rejoice that it exists in the Church of Christ, for it is a cure without equal for distressed consciences.” From *Prelude on the Babylonian Captivity of the Church*, 4.13 in Martin Luther and Charles Michael Jacobs, *Three Treatises*, (Philadelphia: Muhlenberg Press, 1947).
94 Bonhoeffer, *Life Together*, 86.
has the authority not only to hear the confession, but also to forgive sin in the name of Jesus.\textsuperscript{95}

Bonhoeffer’s insight that “the community of faith bears my sin and death with me” offers Mission Mississippi a way to understand and develop its practices of prayer for reconciliation.\textsuperscript{96} Implementing Bonhoeffer’s particular discipline of confession and absolution would be foreign to all but the Roman Catholic participants in the prayer breakfasts. The evangelical culture in which Mission Mississippi operates sees confession as a personal act between the repentant sinner and God. For evangelicals, the moment of repentance may be public – walking the aisle following the gospel appeal – but the content of the confession remains a private affair.\textsuperscript{97} While not offering a blueprint for how Mission Mississippi could develop the prayer meetings, the understanding of intercessory prayer as bearing the other’s burden of sin takes on a powerful dimension in the context of churches weighed down by the legacies of the Closed Society and racism.

Reading the following passage from a lecture delivered by Bonhoeffer in 1932, in to the context of contemporary Mississippi, demonstrates the power and potential of Bonhoeffer’s theology of confession and the Ministry of Bearing for Mission Mississippi’s struggle for church unity across racial and denominational lines:

\textsuperscript{95} Bonhoeffer outlines the practice of confession. Ibid., 86-96. He roots this authority to forgive sins in Jesus words, “If you forgive the sins of any, they are forgiven them; if you retain the sins of any, they are retained.” (John 20:23)

\textsuperscript{96} Bonhoeffer, \textit{Act and Being}, 123.

\textsuperscript{97} The confession does not necessarily remain secret. The details of sins forgiven form an essential part of the evangelical’s testimony. However, the function of a testimony is not confession: rather to encourage other believers, bring glory to God, and to evangelize the unconverted. These, often lurid tales of sinful lives forever abandoned as a result of God’s grace, can have the unfortunate result of confining sin, repentance and forgiveness firmly in the pre-conversion past. This creates what Bonhoeffer describes as a fellowship of “devout people” in which “everybody must conceal his sin from himself and from the fellowship.” Ibid., 86.
The kingdom of God assumes form in the church insofar as here the loneliness of man is overcome through the miracle of confession and forgiveness. This is because in the church, which is the communion of saints created by the resurrection, one person can and should bear the guilt of another, and for this reason the last shackles of loneliness, hatred of others, is removed, and community is established and created anew. It is through the miracle of confession, which is beyond all our understanding, that all hitherto existing community is shown to have been an illusion and is abolished, destroyed, and broken asunder, and that here and now the new congregation of the resurrection world is created.98

In Mississippi, closed friendships that perpetuate “loneliness” and “hatred of others” distinguish the “hitherto existing community” of the Closed Society. Mission Mississippi proclaims these barriers of race and denomination running through the Church are illusory in light of the resurrection, and, as we have seen, the act of meeting together for interracial intercessory prayer challenges the idolatry of the Closed Society. For Mission Mississippi to move people into a more profound reconciliation and unity, they would do well to explore this Ministry of Bearing. What would it mean for a white person not only to confess their sins of racism to an African American brother or sister in Christ but for the African American to share that burden of guilt and intercede for forgiveness? Conversely, what would it mean for a white Christian to hear the confession of anger and hatred harbored by an African American against fellow white Christians and then to take them upon himself and pray for forgiveness?

**Intercessory Prayer: The Ground for Social Action**

Mission Mississippi’s prayer meetings place the participants in networks of people of different races. In these networks, participants engage their theological imaginations in the telling and hearing of life narratives. Through a particular Christian

---

understanding of intercessory prayer, participants meet the other in the profoundest way: as a fellow sinner forgiven in Christ. John DeGruchy wrote, “Reconciliation begins to become a reality when, without surrendering our identity... but opening ourselves up to the ‘other’, we enter into the space between, exchanging places with the other in a conversation that takes us beyond ourselves.” It is hard to imagine any process looking more like this than the discipline of regular, intentional interracial intercessory prayer found at Mission Mississippi’s prayer meetings. While this offers grounds to endorse the significance of the prayer meetings for Mission Mississippi’s project, do these prayer meetings lead participants to address systemic issues of injustice in the society outside the community of the Church?

There is an intrinsic logic to intercessory prayer that subverts attempts to limit the Church’s sphere of concern. To pray for the needs of the world is to acknowledge God’s sovereignty over the whole of creation; and interceding for the other in the world, is to bring them into the Church. We can see this logic at work within Mission Mississippi. The official position of Mission Mississippi’s board is that questions of justice and systemic inequality are political issues and not the business of the church. Mission Mississippi, which for theological and strategic reasons only works with churches, avoids any statements or positions that might be construed as political. The prayer meetings,

---

100 See Chapter 3 for the influence of the Presbyterian doctrine of the spirituality of the church on Mission Mississippi.
101 In 1994, when Edgar Ray Killen, an accomplice in the murder of 3 civil rights workers in 1964, threatened to make an appearance at a stall in the annual State Fair in Jackson, Dolphus Weary found himself torn between African American members of the board who wanted Mission Mississippi to call a boycott of the fair, and whites who believed such an action would simply give him the publicity he desired. Mission Mississippi took no public position on the issue. At the height of the controversy, Dolphus Weary explained to a group of students, “I want Mission Mississippi to always be in the middle. To always be able
however, do not observe this logic of separation between church and politics, praying in schools, hospitals, businesses, as well as the steps of the state capital and Governor’s Mansion. Sheree Tynes explained how the prayer committee approached potential host organizations:

As we contact churches and organizations, fire departments, police departments [we say]: We are coming to pray for you. If you fix us breakfast, that’s fine. But the main reason we are coming is to develop a relationship with you and to pray for whatever needs you have: to bless your place of business; to bless your church.\(^{102}\)

Here Tynes exhibits exactly the way that, according to Bonhoeffer, intercessory prayer reorients the church toward the world.

Just as it is in intercessory prayer that Bonhoeffer finds the highest expression of the community of Christ’s body, it is also in intercessory prayer that he glimpses the possibility of “summoning... the world into the fellowship of this body of Christ.”\(^{103}\)

For Bonhoeffer, intercessory prayer abolished the possibility of there being two separate spheres of church and politics by simultaneously drawing the disciples out of themselves and in a vicarious way drawing the object of the prayer into the church.\(^{104}\)

Put simply, as already outlined, intercessory prayer is a ministry of bearing, and if we follow Christ’s

to send out the same message ... even if we disagree we love each other in the body of Jesus Christ.”


\(^{103}\) Bonhoeffer and Bethge, Ethics, 178.

\(^{104}\) Bonhoeffer demolishes the idea of separate spheres – regnum gratiae and regnum naturae – in the section, Thinking in Terms of Two Spheres, in Ibid., 168-78. Bonhoeffer is correcting Luther’s doctrine of two spheres (throne and altar) when he writes, “Ethical thinking in terms of spheres, then, is invalidated by faith in the revelation of the ultimate reality in Jesus Christ.” Bonhoeffer and Bethge, Ethics, 172. One can comfortably extend his argument to include a critique of the Southern Presbyterian doctrine of the spirituality of the church and the “sphere sovereignty” of Dutch Calvinist Abraham Kuyper.
injunction to pray for our enemies, then it will be impossible to see them as wholly other. Bonhoeffer explained, “if we pray for [our enemies], we are taking their distress and poverty, their guilt and perdition upon ourselves, and pleading to God for them.”^{105} “To cherish no contempt for the sinner but rather prize the privilege of bearing him means,” expounded Bonhoeffer, “not to have to give him up as lost, to be able to accept him, to preserve the fellowship with him through forgiveness.”^{106} Jürgen Moltmann similarly understands prayer as preserving fellowship with the world. Taking the other’s distress, poverty, guilt and perdition upon oneself in prayer is an act of open friendship for the isolated and estranged world. “By bringing the sighs and groans of the world’s misery to God,” Moltmann wrote, “[the one who prays] claims God’s friendship for those who sigh and groan.”^{107}

Bonhoeffer goes further than simply saying that interceding on another’s behalf makes it difficult for us to see them as wholly other: to realize that God’s open friendship extends to them as much as to oneself. Bonhoeffer claims that intercessory prayer is a theological reality which creates its own peculiar conditions. In the act of praying for another, “a third person is drawn into my solitary relation with God.”^{108} As the intercessor is a member of the community of Christ who bear each other in prayer and forgiveness, this third person is not simply brought into relation with the individual but also with the Church. Bonhoeffer draws the bold conclusion that “each intercession

---

potentially draws the one for whom it is offered into the church-community.”\(^{109}\) Thus, when Mission Mississippi’s prayer committee says to a school principle, a state governor, or an employee at the hospital, “We are coming to develop a relationship with you and to pray for whatever needs you have,” these two possibilities for intercessory prayer – claiming the open friendship of God for the other and drawing the other into the church – are present. Narrating the practice of intercessory prayer through Bonhoeffer’s theology in this way, Mission Mississippi’s emphasis on relationships established through prayer contains the theological resources to break down the rigid doctrinal barriers separating the church from the world. This offer, “to develop a relationship with you and to pray for whatever needs you have,” dares to draw the Closed Society into the fellowship of open friendship.

The testimony of those who regularly attend the prayer breakfasts points towards the cosmic power of prayer and the hope in friendship for reconciliation, at the same time as dealing with the mundane details of shared human friendship. “[Prayer] is relationship building,” explained Sheree Tynes:

> When we pray together everything else falls into place. It is foundational. It is our life. Prayer is our life and [we] begin the day in praying for one another and knowing that James Turner has stuff to do at the neighborhood Christian center and he’s got a tough day.\(^{110}\)

Four months after Tynes gave this interview, tragedy tested the strength of this small community of African American and white friends in Christ and their resolve and ability to bear one another’s burdens.

\(^{109}\) Ibid.  
Holy Family Church (RC), Thursday, June 24, 2004

Holy Family Church in North Jackson, the host of Mission Mississippi’s prayer breakfast on June 24, 2004, has transitioned like the neighborhood in which it sits from a white to an African American congregation. Rain had been falling heavily all night; when Virginia Chase arrived that stormy morning, she found only a couple of people had arrived before her.111 As the priest opened up the hall for the prayer breakfast, Chase made a call on her cell phone to Dolphus Weary to remind him of a 7:30 appointment and not to come to the meeting. Chase remembered: “I called him and said, ‘Dolphus where are you?’ And he said, ‘No, where are you?’ And I said, ‘Well I am at the church.’ . . . And he said ‘who is there with you? I just need you to . . . stand with someone.’”112

Chase walked into the hall and sat down on a folding metal chair. “I don’t see anyone Dolphus, you just need to tell me.”

Then Weary told her, “Reggie’s Dead.”

Twenty-seven year old Reginald Demond Weary, Dolphus’s son, had died the previous night when his car smashed through a fence and into a tree on Northside Drive, just two miles from Holy Family Church. Doctors pronounced Reggie dead at the University Medical Center, the place he came into the world and where, as a seven year-old, he had recovered from chemotherapy and radiation treatment for non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. The crash made the nighttime television news. Virginia Chase had seen the report of a high-speed police pursuit that ended with the death of the fleeing driver, but she had not recognized the television pictures of Reggie’s wrecked Chevrolet Lumina.

Weary had been drinking that Wednesday evening. With his blood alcohol level over the legal limit, he was speeding on Highway 49 in Pearl when a police car flipped on its lights. Rather than pull over, Reggie made the fateful decision to run. At times swerving onto the shoulder to avoid other motorists, he took Interstate 55 North before exiting onto Northside Drive. There he stopped at an intersection. The pursuing officer left his cruiser and ran up to the car, but Weary wagged his finger at him and sped off. Moments later Reggie crashed, sustaining his fatal head injuries.

The tragic death of his son threatened to polarize the people Dolphus Weary had dedicated his life to reconciling. The white and African American communities in Jackson held very different preconceptions of both the police and young black men. In the days that followed Reggie’s death, before the toxicology lab’s report revealed he had been drinking, fingers in the two communities pointed in very different directions. Reggie Weary’s death was racially and politically charged. State Governor Haley Barbour had, only the month before, signed a bill requiring law enforcement agencies to develop pursuit policies and training procedures for high speed chases and instructing judges to hand out harsher penalties to those who fled. An Op-Ed piece in the Clarion-Ledger by African American journalist and Mission Mississippi board member Eric Stringfellow asked if the Pearl police officer had followed pursuit guidelines. Under the provocative title, “Breaking Speed Limit Should Not Merit Death,” Stringfellow acknowledged Weary’s “poor judgment,” while voicing his concern that the police department had not

---

suspended the pursuing police officer pending the results of the enquiry. He concluded, “The law also should make officers accountable. The idea is not to punish hardworking police officers but rather to help make sure no one receives a death sentence for breaking the speed limit.”

Stringfellow’s piece unleashed a flurry of outraged letters to the editor defending the actions of the police. “We have charged our sworn police officers to protect us from this type of behavior,” stated one letter writer. White supremacist web sites also picked up the story, weighing in on the side of the police. On the other side, the liberal Jackson Free Press asked, “Why is it that almost every young African American we know... say[s] that they cannot afford to get stopped by police in Rankin County?” The paper called for an enquiry because “we can’t afford to lose more scared young people like Reggie Weary without figuring out why they’re running from Rankin County.”

That morning, all Dolphus Weary told Virginia Chase on her cell phone was “Reggie’s dead.”

While Chase was on the phone, Lee Paris walked up from the parking lot. As the chair of Mission Mississippi’s board, for years Paris had faithfully attended the breakfasts, though recently his work and family commitments meant he now only came occasionally. This morning, when he saw the church had made little preparation to host Mission Mississippi, he was wondering why he had dragged himself out of bed in this

---

wet weather. “When I saw Lee,” Chase recalled, “I just lost it, I truly lost it.”\textsuperscript{118} By the time he reached her, she was hysterical and unable to tell him what was wrong and unable to speak with Dolphus on the phone.

Paris sat with Chase and tried to calm her down. She remembers him saying, “Virginia, if I could take it away I would, whatever it is.”\textsuperscript{119} While Paris tried to piece together what was troubling Chase, the regular prayer breakfast participants straggled in out of the rain and started to pray for her. Paris was able to tell the group that Reggie Weary had died and the priest said, “In light of what has happened we will just go straight to prayer.”\textsuperscript{120} Soon after the group started to pray, Neddie Winters came and told everyone how Reggie Weary had died. Then the group went back to praying. By the accounts of those who were there, something remarkable happened that morning. Brenda Donnell described feeling in a powerful way what she believed was the presence of God:

There was a silence and it was like the Spirit was there because everybody was just praying and asking God for his help for that day because that was devastating. And that was one time that just seemed like you could just feel the presence of the Lord. And you could feel how compassionate all the people that were there felt.\textsuperscript{121}

Virginia Chase recalled, “It was powerful. It was really powerful. . . it rained harder and people kept coming, and I just remember them praying and praying and praying and just holding on to each other.”\textsuperscript{122}

Speaking to newspaper reporters later that day, Paris talked about his sense of the solidarity the group had experienced while praying together. “[This] morning’s Mission
Mississippi prayer time was one of the strongest I have experienced in 11 years,” Paris told the reporter. “We suffered there together, black and white, backgrounds made very little difference as we came together and prayed together.”

In an interview over three months later, Paris showed how he made sense of what happened after the group learned that Reggie Weary was dead:

Immediately there was a spirit of unity as if everybody felt it was their own son that had gotten killed. It wasn’t some distant name that we might have read about just as a matter of fact in the newspaper. It was a personal loss to everyone of us and we all felt the pain simultaneously. And together [we] just started giving that pain to God. And [we experienced] an incredible spirit of oneness that we as fallen people don’t get to experience very often on this side of the Jordan River.

It was a taste of the fruit that we have been praying for ten years. Lord, make us one. And we felt it in that hour – that we were one. In the midst of adversity, in the midst of incredible pain and loss, we knew in that room that we were one and that we were all about one heart and one mind and one subject doing one thing at that moment; and that is rare in life.

Paris had interpreted the story as part of the drama of God’s reconciliation and a testimony of God’s involvement in Mission Mississippi. Dolphus Weary also came to intertwine the narrative of his son’s death with the work of Mission Mississippi. “There was a sense this is a Mission Mississippi moment,” Weary said, reflecting on the outpouring of support for his family from African Americans and whites. “It’s a sad moment, but it’s a moment that God is at work. It’s another opportunity to live out that which we are talking about.”

123 Hudson and Gordon, “Fatal Car Chase Leaves in Its Wake Shock, Questions.”
Conclusions

Mission Mississippi’s morning prayer breakfasts are a practice of open friendship. This practice is rich in theological significance: prayer is the language of friendship; participants narrate each other’s lives as part of the unfolding drama of God’s salvation, an activity Stephen Fowl considers the foundational practice of Christian friendship; and intercessory prayer opens the possibility of truly encountering the other in Christ and drawing the world into God’s fellowship of open friendship.

Particular elements of the prayer breakfasts embody these theological truths and point beyond themselves to the road Mission Mississippi must travel if it is to lead its participants deeper into reconciliation and truly to have a hope of changing Mississippi one relationship at a time. First, just as participants in the prayer breakfasts pray for each other’s physical and emotional needs, Mission Mississippi must encourage them to move beyond civility towards bearing one another’s burdens of sin through hearing confessions of racism, hatred, prejudice, and injustice. Second, Mission Mississippi as an organization must relax its dogmatic insistence on the separation between spiritual and social spheres. The executive board should embrace the logic inherent in the prayer breakfast’s practice of meeting in the secular space of schools, government offices, newspapers and hospitals. The location of these prayer breakfasts declares that the open friendship of Jesus embraces not only Christians of different races and denominations but also the whole community: in intercession, the church takes on and bears the sins of the sacred and secular world.
The lived theology of reconciliation, present in the practice of the prayer breakfasts, points to the cost that such a discipline demands of the participants. The “taste of the fruit” of unity experienced in the prayer meeting at Holy Family Church following Reggie Weary’s death came at a terrible price. That morning, the open friendship of Jesus meant that those present experienced the power of God in their willingness to mourn with those who mourn (Rom 12:15). The reconciliation glimpsed at these prayer breakfasts is not some cheap reconciliation. Entering into this network of intentional interracial intercessory prayer, one is mindful of the proximity of hope and grief, of solidarity and sacrifice.

Conclusion

The problem and the promise found in Mission Mississippi lies neatly summarized in two different understandings of their slogan: “Changing Mississippi one relationship at a time.” The distinction between these two understandings is one of emphasis. The problematic interpretation is that the goal of Mission Mississippi is simply to establish individual relationships and, if successful in this, the task of changing Mississippi will take care of itself. As Michael O. Emerson and Christian Smith argued, such a “profoundly individualistic” approach typical of evangelical racial reconciliation initiatives fails to address, let alone change, the “major issues of racialization” faced by Mississippi and the United States.¹ The promise of Mission Mississippi lies in a second construal of the same slogan. If the goal moves from establishing “one relationship at a time” and shifts to “Changing Mississippi,” then building individual relationships across racial and denominational lines becomes the strategic, as well as theologically coherent, starting point for this transformation.

Both of these understandings currently coexist within Mission Mississippi. To risk a gross generalization, African Americans take little convincing that Mississippi needs to change and they stand to gain the most from such a change. Conversely, the status quo works well for many whites, and it is harder for them to focus on the need for systemic change. Emerson and Smith point out that the white evangelical’s cultural

toolkit comes with a self-serving emphasis on freewill individualism. As Mission Mississippi found in the 1990s, it is relatively easy to convince white churchgoers that they need to improve relationships with individual African American Christians; however, it is much harder to move them to address some of the massive disparities that exist between the African American and white communities.

There is active resistance to focusing on the goal of “Changing Mississippi” from within the leadership of Mission Mississippi for two interrelated reasons. First, concerned to keep as many people at the table as possible, some justifiably fear that talking about these hard issues will cause churches to withdraw their support for Mission Mississippi. Second, Southern Presbyterians cleverly codified their opposition to imposed change of the racist white hegemony in the doctrine of the spirituality of the church. Conservative Presbyterians, who form an influential part of the leadership of Mission Mississippi, adhere to this doctrine and strongly resist any proposal that they deem moves Mission Mississippi from the spiritual purview of the church into the realm of politics and the unconscionable social gospel.

Mission Mississippi’s commitment to reach all churches causes this apparent impasse. How challenging can Mission Mississippi make the demands of racial reconciliation while maintaining the participation of a large number of conservative white churches? Multi-racial congregations like Voice of Calvary, while inspirational, fail to move significant numbers of people to leave or change their large mono-racial churches. Perhaps those who seek the new wine of racial reconciliation and justice should abandon hope in reforming the old ecclesiastical wine skins, but this is not the position taken by

---

2 Ibid., 109.
those in Mission Mississippi. Their commitment to move the mainstream church culture
towards “unity,” and the care with which they proceed, opens them to the charge of
accommodating themselves to the very attitudes they are trying to reform.

Those within Mission Mississippi striving to change the state for the better
believe that intentional interracial relationships constituted by participants’ unity in Christ
is the fulcrum about which they can leverage this change in the mainstream of
Mississippi’s church life. This is the logic behind Dolphus Weary calling Mission
Mississippi, “Reconciliation 101.” It is insufficient to call this a strategy; it is a hope
placed in a theology of Christian friendship.

The point at which the lever of Christian friendship has greatest purchase – the
fulcrum’s locus – is not found in Mission Mississippi’s church partnerships, its
impressive statewide distribution of participating congregations, nor in its sponsorship of
civic functions with their attendant dignitaries and politicians. The fruits of these efforts
seem paltry compared to the publicity surrounding them, and upon investigation, the
much-vaunted church partnerships have produced little in the way of sustained activity.
The fulcrum’s locus, as participants will tell you, is in the prayer breakfasts. Here a small
community gathers and creates a network of open friendship between people from
different racial, denominational, and socio-economic groups. Through intentional
interracial intercessory prayer, the participants display Miroslav Volf’s will to embrace.
They open themselves to hear the needs of the other and bear their burdens. Through
activities such as this, participants take the first step, and only the first step, on the road to
reconciliation.
Is there evidence that the lever of open friendship has enough mechanical advantage to move the closed churches of the Closed Society toward a desire to change Mississippi, and how is one to make such an assessment? Certainly any assessment of the success of Mission Mississippi must take into account the incredible resistance to change built into the very fabric of the powerful white churches and recognize the significance of even incremental change. The history of First Presbyterian Church, Jackson and its response to Mission Mississippi demonstrates this militant inertia.

Any assessment must also recognize the scale of the task facing those who want to transform Mississippi into a more equitable society. If there was any doubt as to the disparity of opportunity between African American and white communities in Mississippi, Hurricane Katrina lifted the veil from the region’s struggle to overcome the effects of racism and injustice. At the end of the summer in 2005, the world watched in shock as its greatest superpower seemed incapable or unwilling to rush to the aid of tens of thousands of their poor, mostly African American, citizens stranded in the wake of the storm.

Two weeks after Katrina hit the Louisiana and Mississippi Gulf coasts, president George W. Bush, in his speech from a devastated and deserted New Orleans, acknowledged the on-going systemic injustice of America’s racialized society. “As all of us saw on television, there's... some deep, persistent poverty in this region,” the President told the nation. “That poverty has roots in a history of racial discrimination,
which cut off generations from the opportunity of America. We have a duty to confront
this poverty with bold action.”

Before Katrina, one had to look closely to find any evidence that Mission
Mississippi’s reconciliation efforts contributed to a significant material change for the
victims of the “racial discrimination, which cut off generations from the opportunity of
America.” While Mission Mississippi pointed to a handful of black entrepreneurs
establishing white business contacts at prayer breakfasts and community development
projects in African American neighborhoods receiving support from white churches,
these examples seemed insignificant given the scale of the problem. Concerned not to
alienate their conservative white base, Mission Mississippi did not publicly challenge its
rich and powerful participant churches to “confront this poverty with bold action.”
Instead, the leaders hoped that in establishing networks of relationships that subverted the
boundary walls of the closed society, they were doing the preliminary work necessary for
a more lasting change. In some measure, the hurricane of 2005 vindicated their belief.

Following Katrina, as federal and state agencies floundered, non-governmental
organizations stepped into the gap. Mission Mississippi, with its unique links to African
American and white congregations in the affected region; its support network of churches
and Christian organizations across the country; and its connections with local community
development organizations, played a key role in coordinating faith-based aid to the

---
3 President Discusses Hurricane Relief in Address to the Nation, (Office of the Press Secretary, The White
House, 15 September 2005 [cited 16 September 2005]); available from
4 Nell Luter Floyd, "Churches Scramble to Meet Needs," Clarion-Ledger, 5 September 2005; Chris Joyner,
Mississippi Gulf Coast. Within a month of the disaster, the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) listed Mission Mississippi as a key organization in the coordination of relief. With the continued failure of the federal government to adequately address the needs of Mississippi’s devastated communities, there is little indication that Mission Mississippi will relinquish its newfound role in the near future.

Mission Mississippi’s response to Katrina has drawn attention and strengthened its links to organizations with an explicitly “social” emphasis. This results, in part, from the number of Mission Mississippi’s leading figures who are also leaders in organizations collaborating in the relief effort. These include: Ronnie Crudup’s Mississippi Faith-Based Coalition for Community Renewal; Dolphus Weary’s Rural Education and Leadership Christian Foundation (REAL); The Hope Credit Union with Neddie Winters on the board; and of course Voice of Calvary Ministries and Mendenhall Ministries. It is too early to see how the experience of Katrina will affect the prejudice found in some

---

5 Virginia Chase, interview by the author, 17 February 2006. See Appendix D for Mission Mississippi’s statement of its activities and commitments following Katrina. Also see Glenn Barth’s extensive on-line Blog covering the two months he worked with Mission Mississippi helping facilitate its work post-Katrina. Glenn Barth, Glenn’s Journal: Hurricane Relief (2005 [cited 27 December 2005]); available from http://www.tentmakersym.org/other/blogearly.html. Barth, Director of the Nehemiah Leadership Institute of Tentmakers Ministries (an evangelical leadership training organization whose aim is “to transform the world through Christ, one relationship at a time”), helped set up a weekly teleconference call between local churches, organizations and government and non-governmental relief agencies. In 2006, Mission Mississippi hired an extra staff person to work with a placement program for Hurricane Katrina evacuees. Charles L. Dunn, Director of Marketing, Mission Mississippi, e-mail to author, 2 March 2006.


7 The Clarion Ledger reported that the Bush administration’s proposed fiscal 2007 budget “doesn’t include much money to continue Hurricane Katrina reconstruction efforts.” The proposed budget also contains more bad news for a state whose economy is still reeling from Katrina: the proposed budget “would cut subsidies for rice and cotton growers, slow the growth of the Medicare program and eliminate funding for dozens of U.S. Army Corps of Engineers projects, including the ambitious Yazoo Pumps plan.” Ana Radelat, "Bush Budget Mixed for Miss.," Clarion-Ledger, 7 February 2006.
powerful quarters of Mission Mississippi against any form of social gospel and the accompanying resistance to naming systemic injustice.

White churches in Mississippi have a long history of patronage and philanthropy towards the African American community. The energy expended in these charitable endeavors has often reinforced the racialized perceptions and prejudices for all the parties involved: whites can grow more convinced of their superiority through shouldering their “burden” to assist, as they understand them, the less able African Americans, who in turn risk internalizing a sense of inferiority. The question is: will Mission Mississippi effectively harness the unprecedented attention and compassion in the state’s churches generated by Katrina to bring about lasting changes in their perceptions and practices? Will the networks of intentional interracial and interdenominational friendships that Mission Mississippi has spent over a decade building enable Katrina’s victims and volunteers to move beyond mere philanthropy and towards reconciliation and justice? This challenge will test the strength of the fulcrum of open friendship.

South African theologian John De Gruchy wrote, “a challenge facing the Christian Church as God’s agents of reconciliation is, the erecting of signposts of reconciliation in the world that signify the reality of God’s gift.” This dissertation suggests there are good practical and theological reasons to support Mission Mississippi’s insistence that reconciliation starts with relationships. Churches and theologians need to take notice of this story unfolding in Mississippi because these unlikely friendships

---
8 The term “burden” is used here with the negative connotations associated with Rudyard Kipling’s 1899 poem “The White Man’s Burden.”
between African American and white Christians are signposts of reconciliation signifying the reality of God’s gift.

Reflecting on the practical application of Moltmann’s theology of open friendship, British theologian Liz Carmichael wrote, “Where walls of division have been put up, we should ask ourselves and the others: what do friends do together? And start doing these things at every level.”10 Neddie Winters, the executive director of Mission Mississippi, talks about the criticism he receives from skeptics who refuse to come to any Mission Mississippi events. When he asks them why, some respond, “Well, all y’all do is talk. Y’all ain’t doing [nothing] but talking.” Winters’ reply echoes Carmichael’s understanding of open friendship and demonstrates the singular logic at the heart of Mission Mississippi. “Well, when it really boils down to it,” Winters counters, “what do you and your friends do?”11 The friendship of Mission Mississippi, however, is different from “what . . . you and your friends do.” In obedience to Christ’s call to open friendship, Mission Mississippi calls people to develop friendships that cross the boundaries of race, class, and denomination. The challenge facing Mission Mississippi, if is to bring significant change to the state, is to do what friends do at every level of the Closed Society, not just in the private spheres of family and church, but also at every level of education, business, and politics in Mississippi.

---

11 Neddie Winters, interview by the author, 18 October 2004, Jackson, MS.
Appendix A

The anonymous letter dated 28 March 1994, written to the congregation of First Presbyterian Church including the 29 December 1993 letter mailed to the ministers and members of the session.

March 28, 1994

To the Congregation
First Presbyterian Church

Concerning MISSION MISSISSIPPI

Attached is a letter I wrote in December, 1993, which was mailed only to our Ministers and certain Session members. My unfulfilled hope was that the Session voluntarily would quit Mission Mississippi without the congregation being bothered. While there was some opposition to Mission Mississippi at the past Session meeting, as a sop to Dr. Baird who'd spearheaded the program from the first, and to satisfy other involved Elders, Bob Cannada introduced and the Session passed the resolution published in the First Epistle Read it carefully; it's different:

RESOLUTION...

The Session took the following action on March 14, 1994, regarding Mission Mississippi:

Be it resolved as follows:

1. Insofar as the movement known as Mission Mississippi is concerned, this Session feels that the movement should be supported to the extent that Mission Mississippi encourages the establishment of relationships, cooperation and fellowship among Christians of various denominations and of various races the Christian should be encouraged to not permit racial lines or denominational lines prevent Christian fellowship and cooperation with other Christians and other Christian groups. It is to this extent that First Presbyterian Church actively supports the work and mission of Mission Mississippi.

2. In view of the fact that the purposes of Mission Mississippi may include more than that set forth above and that some members of this congregation have objected to using budgeted funds to support Mission Mississippi, it is the decision of this Session that no part of the 1994 budgeted funds be used to support Mission Mississippi but that a separate fund be created into which fund interested members of this congregation or others may make contributions with the understanding that this will be over and above what the individual gives directly to Mission Mississippi. Such fund shall not exceed $12,000.00, with any contribution to this fund in excess of $12,000.00 being returned to the sender, and is to be paid over to Mission Mississippi for the year 1994. Appropriate announcements of the establishment of this fund are to be made to the congregation. Under this arrangement for the year 1994 only funds from those individuals who desire to make contributions to Mission Mississippi will be delivered to Mission Mississippi by this Church.

3. Mission Mississippi may continue to show during the year 1994 that First Presbyterian Church of Jackson, Mississippi is a supporting church.

The Session took this step without first determining the actual Policy of Mission Mississippi, and without finding out how many other towns the promoters Skinner & Morley previously had sold their peace-movement to, nor whether any positive results were had in any town. First Pres's involvement with Skinner & Morley is not only unbiblical, it's astoundingly naive. We've been snuckered-in by two Christ-naming brotherly-lovers who each received "honorariums" or payments of at least $13,500 plus unnamed expenses for preaching a sermon or two on reconciliation...
of blacks & whites. An astoundingly good business Skinner & Morley have, a black man and a white man peddling to white preachers and laymen, scared by constant Black violence, the promise of peace between the races by man's reconciliation, not God's.

While obstruse [sic] and ungrammatical, Cannada's resolution adopts Mission Mississippi as one of First Pres's programs for 1994. It stated, however, that the Session will NOT use members general pledges this year, as was done in 1993, but only monies specifically ear-marked for Mission Mississippi. This will allow Mission Mississippi contributors to get a tax break from I.R.S. through the tax status of 1st Pres, and perhaps might placate angry 1st Pres members.

Some members still object to First Presbyterian Church deliberately abandoning its primary mission of preaching only the pure gospel of Jesus, to suggest that men by their own wills and actions change their ways. That's "Social Gospel" which 1st Pres previously has shunned as non-biblical. True change in hearts of men comes ONLY by God making such changes, whether in race relations or in any other sphere.

I urge disillusioned members of our Church not to leave First Pres just yet, but that we take action to show the ministers and Session our strong opposition to their non-biblical ways.

For a year or until Dr. Baird's ministry soon ends from his age, pay God's tithe in other ways than to 1st Pres. Stop making pledges to 1st Pres, or cut them drastically. For the current year, since 1994 pledges already have been made, withhold making payments on those pledges until December, 1994. Money talks, and an immediate cutting back of available funds will send a clear message from the Congregation to our ministers and Session.
To the Congregation
First Presbyterian Church

It is a sad day for this letter to need writing, and that it must be done anonymously.

Some of you are concerned over First Presbyterian Church's support, and its Senior Minister's and Session's endorsement, of Mission Mississippi, and rightly so. The sponsors of that program have good intentions and mean well: Jim Baird, Lee Paris, Victor Smith, and the ruling clique of the Session: Bob Cannada, Gene McRoberts, John Crawford, Steve Rosenblatt and Jim Moore, but they fell for the social restructuring ruses now in wide vogue and use.

Blacks in this country claim they are furious because of the wrongs historically done their forefathers: lynchings and other murders, and therefore WE now are required to placate this fury by groveling to the blacks and acceding to their every present demand. No matter that neither we nor our forefathers in this church ever did any of the injustices complained of. Should we now refuse to somehow placate these Black resentments, we are labeled as being Prejudiced and Non-christian.

The labeling of "Prejudiced" is meant to sending us running for cover, to disavow that certainly WE are not prejudiced. Of course we are prejudiced, as is every thinking man and woman. We are prejudiced against murder, rape, robbery and drugs, and we also know that the vast percentage of those crimes now are committed by the Blacks, despite the refusal of our press to name the race of the perpetrators.

As to the "Non-christian" bit, aren't red and yellow, black and white equal in His sight? Of course we all are equal in God's sight as to being redeemed and saved, but this teaching does not require us to emulate the Blacks' forms of worship, or to take a negro home for dinner in order to prove ourselves christians. We can do justice to all men and women, and still select our intimates for whatever reasons strike our fancy.

Mission Mississippi obviously is a means of forcing social interegation [sic] on the congregation of First Presbyterian Church. It is beginning to divide this congregation, and is causing stresses and a lack of harmony which until now this Church has been mercifully spared. Because of this, our Christian witness and our spreading of the Gospel to sinners, in and
outside our Church walls, will be blunted. The fact that proponents of Mission Mississippi disavow social integration as being the purpose of the program does not gainsay the fact of.

If you are concerned about Mission Mississippi, consider cutting your church pledge and your payment of monies to 1st Pres. Such withholdings will make a forceful statement.
Appendix B


1. The Session does not feel that the Presbyterian Church in the United States should take any action with reference to current social, political and economic problems, but that the Church should confine itself to its mission, as stated in a brief statement of beliefs drawn from the standards of the Church, as same appears in the bound volume of the Confession of Faith under Section XII, entitled "The Church," which mission is set forth therein as follows:

"The church exists to bring to Christ those whom the Father has given Him and to establish the Redeemer's kingdom. The mission of the church is spiritual, and its work is to witness to the truth of God to the ends of the earth and to preach the gospel to all men in every generation. We believe in the separation of church and state . . ."

This is more specifically set forth in the Confession of Faith itself in Ch. XXXIII, Sec. IV, as follows:

"Synods and Councils are to handle and conclude nothing, but that which is ecclesiastical, and are not to meddle with civil affairs which concern the commonwealth, unless by way of humble petition in cases extraordinary; or by way of advice for satisfaction of conscience, if they are thereunto requested by the civil magistrate."

The Session feels, therefore, that the Report of Council on Christian Relations covers subjects completely outside of the mission of the Church and that the time and cost incident to the preparation of the Report has not only been wasted, but, in addition, the Report has unnecessarily caused resentment among the individual members of the Church so as to adversely affect the real and only mission of the Church as above set forth. In lending its official approval to this Report, the assembly has virtually denied the right of private judgment to its constituents, and thus under the pretext of defending and promoting freedom, with ironic inconsistency, is undertaking to bind the consciences of the members of the church with these man-made opinions and judgments.

(a) The attempt in the Report to answer the admitted proposition that Jesus Himself made no social pronouncements is evasive and does not answer the proposition. The fact remains that the very Son of God did not during his earthly ministry make any attempt to change the form of government, to abolish the social customs and patterns of the day, or take any action outside the realm of the spiritual, though the Pharisees tempted him and tried to get him to do so.
Perhaps the most far-fetched so-called "freedom" discussed in the Report is the "freedom of fellowship." It is elementary that in this country people have the right to choose their own friends and associates. The word "fellowship" as defined by Webster involves "community of interested"; it does not mean an unnatural association of people.

5. This Session sincerely believes that the mission of the Church, as stated above, has not changed, and that it will remain unchanged, and that the only correct solution, within the bounds of real Christian freedom, to the various complex social, economic and political problems is to be found not by church boards, councils or courts, nor by a government which attempts to regulate the details of the lives of its citizens, but only by the work of individual Christians, who know the truth and freedom which is found only in Christ Jesus as Lord and Saviour, and who will share Him with others in their social and business experiences by their daily lives and witnessing. This Session encourages participation by its members in politics and governmental service. In conformity with the Confession of Faith, this Session believes, however, that an organized church should exist only for the purpose of stimulating and strengthening its members and for coordinating and implementing their activities in bringing others to know Him and serve Him. This Session believes that Christian citizens, as individuals, should and will continually seek to correct injustices and defects in our social, political and economic order, and that only in that way will solutions be found, within the bounds of real Christian freedom, which will be equitable and lasting.

7. Therefore, exercising that freedom which we believe to be God-given and truly Christian, we reaffirm the right of private judgment in all secular matters; we respectfully but firmly challenge the authority of the General Assembly to handle, or make deliverances concerning social political and economic questions; and we call upon all likeminded lovers of freedom and of truth in the church to join us in opposing and resisting by all reasonable and proper means the attempt to use the courts of the church as agencies for partisan propaganda, and thus to divert the church from its spiritual mission. Furthermore, in order to implement our convictions that the Council on Christian Relations, as presently organized and operating, is an unnecessary and disturbing agency of the church, we direct the Treasurer of this church to withhold that portion of the Assembly benevolences allotted to the Board of Church Extension (which Board provides the financial support of the Council on Christian Relations) until such time as the Assembly may see fit to abolish the Council on Christian Relations, and that in the meantime such funds be sent to the Treasurer of Church Extension in the Presbytery. Anticipating that some who disagree with our views on this subject may claim that our local Church alone has this attitude, we would welcome a submission to the Presbyteries of the question of whether or not the Council on Christian Relations should be continued.
Mission Mississippi
Prayer Breakfast
Tuesday, October 5, 2004

Changing Mississippi . . .
One Relationship at a Time

www.missionmississippi.org
**MM Specific Statewide Prayer Requests:**

**PRAY:**
- For the leadership of all the chapters and affiliates throughout the state.
- For new communities to open their hearts to receive the message of reconciliation for more chapter and affiliate growth.
- For the weekly meetings in Okolona and Clarksdale that Christians from the black and white churches would see the need to come together and pray. Pray that they would not get discouraged.
- For the Hattiesburg Mini Conference weekend on October 29-31, 2004

---

**Jackson Academy**

Headmaster: Peter Jernberg

**Jackson Academy Prayer Requests:**

**PRAY:**
- For Headmaster Peter Jernberg in his leadership role that God continues to lead, guide and bless him.
- For students in the Jackson metro area.
- For wisdom and direction for the teachers and coaches so that they will do their jobs to the utmost of their abilities and in accordance with God's will.

---

**MM Specific Local Prayer Requests:**

**PRAY:**
- For the Weary Family for God's light of comfort to completely shower them throughout this season.
- For continued wisdom and protection for those God has called to lead the ministry of Mission Mississippi (board and staff) and that He will continually supply the resources needed to carry the ministry locally and throughout the state.
- For God to touch the hearts of new people to be added to the Mission Mississippi family.
- That the Church in this state will rise above all the devices that would hinder them from sharing the gospel of good news.
- For lots of sales opportunities of the “Chit’lins To Caviar” Cookbook fundraiser.
- For all upcoming events.
- Sister Mary Stella requests that we pray for the Kairos Women’s Retreat from October 6th through the 9th at The Women’s Correctional Facility. Pray also for Sister Mary Stella who is helping with the retreat.
Appendix D

Mission Mississippi’s statement outlining its activities and commitments following Hurricane Katrina.

Mission Mississippi Responds to Hurricane Katrina

In furtherance of our purpose and mission, Mission Mississippi has committed itself to be a convener, connector, coordinator and information clearinghouse for denominations and individual congregations across the state as they respond to the devastation caused by Hurricane Katrina. In addition, we will utilize our connections with local, state, and national relief organizations and/or ministries to identify resources that may be available to help achieve the partnership initiatives. To that end, ongoing ministry partnerships have been strengthened with the MS Faith-based Coalition for Community Renewal, Amos Network, Foundation for the Mid-South, ECD/HOPE Credit Union, Voice of Calvary, Mendenhall Ministries, The John M. Perkins Foundation for Reconciliation and Development, and World Servants to provide resources to pastors and congregations adversely affected by Katrina.

As a major component of our effort, Mission Mississippi has partnered with World Vision to provide logistical and advisory services to World Vision’s Katrina Relief operations. World Vision personnel are actively working with Mission Mississippi staff to assist in the coordination of long-term relief to the Mississippi coastal area. To date, World Vision has provided almost $1,250,000 in grants and direct aid payments to Mississippi churches and non-profit organizations for Katrina relief. These funds have served as essential capacity-building resources to enable long-term involvement in the rebuilding process, as well as provided direct aid to over 750 families.

An additional ministry resource has been added with the assistance of Glenn Barth, Director of The Nehemiah Leadership Institute of Tentmakers Ministries. Glenn, a resident of Minnesota, worked out of the Mission Mississippi office for two months in order to enhance our ability to establish cooperative community rebuilding initiatives.
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