Reframing Resistance and Engaging Community Perspectives in Urban Traffic Safety Planning

A Research Paper submitted to the Department of Engineering and Society

Presented to the Faculty of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

University of Virginia • Charlottesville, Virginia

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree

Bachelor of Science, School of Engineering

Arjun Trivedi

Summer, 2024

On my honor as a University Student, I have neither given nor received unauthorized aid on this assignment as defined by the Honor Guidelines for Thesis-Related Assignments

Advisor

Bryn E. Seabrook, Department of Engineering and Society

Introduction

Every 27 seconds, a life is lost to a traffic accident, accumulating to approximately 1.19 million fatalities globally each year (World Health Organization, 2021). In the United States, traffic accidents remain a persistent problem, with fatality rates increasing by 10% over the past decade, contrary to improvements seen in other developed countries. This alarming trend emphasizes the urgent need for innovative approaches to urban planning and traffic safety management. While technological solutions like advanced data analytics offer promise, their implementation often encounters resistance from local communities, creating a complex socio-technical challenge (Anthony Jr., 2023). As urban planners and local authorities aim to implement safety measures, they frequently face opposition from residents concerned about potential disruptions to their daily lives and privacy issues related to increased surveillance (Schwartz, 2012).

This paper discusses the potential for reframing community resistance as valuable input to enhance urban traffic safety planning. Utilizing Actor Network Theory (ANT) as a theoretical framework, it explores the complex interactions between stakeholders in urban planning processes (Lemke, 2021). The research addresses the question: How can community perspectives be effectively integrated into traffic safety initiatives to improve both their technical efficacy and social acceptance? By viewing resistance as a form of engaged participation, this study seeks to develop a nuanced understanding of community involvement in urban planning. It builds upon existing literature on participatory planning approaches (Innes & Booher, 2010; Cascetta et al., 2015), while focusing on traffic safety initiatives. Through analysis of stakeholder interactions and the application of ANT, this research aims to contribute to a framework that redefines community resistance as a valuable resource for enhancing traffic safety solutions. In doing so, it

seeks to bridge the gap between technical innovations in traffic accident prediction and the social dynamics that shape their acceptance in urban environments.

Methods

This study explores how community perspectives can be effectively integrated into urban traffic safety initiatives to improve both their technical efficacy and social acceptance. The research employs a qualitative documentary analysis approach, focusing on urban traffic safety initiatives and community engagement. Data sources include academic literature, urban planning reports, policy documents, and case studies of city-level traffic safety programs. Key search terms guiding the research include "urban traffic safety," "community engagement in planning," "Vision Zero policies," and "socio-technical transitions in cities." The analysis follows the Multi-Level Perspective (MLP) framework, examining regime-level practices, landscape pressures, and niche innovations in urban traffic safety planning. The paper is structured to first outline the current regime of urban traffic safety planning, followed by an analysis of landscape pressures and emerging niche innovations. It then explores the interactions between these levels and concludes with a discussion on reframing community resistance as constructive engagement.

Background

The implementation of traffic safety initiatives in urban planning involves a complex mix of technological advancements and social dynamics. Urban planning for traffic safety is defined as the strategic design and management of city spaces to optimize the safe movement of people and vehicles. Currently, local authorities and urban planners focus on adopting advanced machine learning algorithms for accident prediction, such as feedforward neural networks (FNNs), to improve traffic management and reduce accident rates (Cai et al., 2020). However,

these technological solutions often encounter resistance from community members, who express concerns about potential disruptions to local businesses, changes to neighborhood character, and privacy issues related to data collection (Anthony Jr., 2023). This breakdown of trust creates a challenging environment for effective urban planning, especially as traffic accidents are influenced by a wide array of factors, including human behavior, vehicle conditions, and infrastructure design (Ortega et al., 2021). The multifaceted nature of traffic safety issues calls for a comprehensive approach that extends beyond mere technological interventions. For instance, while predictive algorithms might identify high-risk areas, addressing the root causes of accidents often requires changes to road design, traffic flow patterns, and even local policies, all of which can have significant impacts on community life.

Urban planners have begun to reevaluate the traditional view of community resistance. Rather than seeing it as an obstacle to progress, researchers now recognize that this resistance often arises from a deep-rooted commitment to community well-being and a desire to ensure that changes reflect local values and needs (Rehman et al., 2021). This shift in perspective aligns with trends towards more collaborative approaches in urban planning. The progression of urban resilience initiatives, including traffic safety measures, resembles the "Hype Cycle" model of technological innovation. This model illustrates how new technologies typically move through stages of inflated expectations, disillusionment, and eventual productive adoption (Shamsuddin, 2020). Understanding this cycle in the context of urban planning highlights the importance of sustained community engagement throughout the planning process. It suggests that periods of skepticism and resistance can be valuable opportunities for refining and improving traffic safety initiatives, rather than simply obstacles to be overcome. By actively incorporating community

perspectives during these critical phases, planners can develop more robust, context-appropriate solutions that balance technical effectiveness with social acceptability.

ANT, developed by Bruno Latour, provides a valuable framework for analyzing the complex socio-technical systems involved in urban traffic safety planning (Lemke, 2021). ANT asserts that both human and non-human actors form networks of relationships that shape outcomes in urban environments. In the context of traffic safety, these networks might include urban planners, community members, traffic management technologies, road infrastructure, and local policies. By mapping these interconnections, ANT reveals how different elements influence each other and contribute to the overall system of traffic safety. For instance, the introduction of a new traffic prediction algorithm (a non-human actor) might alter the decision-making processes of urban planners (human actors), which in turn affects community behaviors and ultimately impacts accident rates. ANT also helps identify power dynamics and potential points of conflict or collaboration within these networks. This understanding can guide planners in developing more inclusive and effective traffic safety strategies. Practically, ANT can inform the design of community engagement processes, help anticipate potential resistance to new safety measures, and identify key stakeholders whose involvement is crucial for successful implementation. Through this framework, traffic safety becomes not just a technical problem to solve, but a complex socio-technical challenge requiring ongoing negotiation and adaptation among all network actors.

MLP and Actor Network Theory

This research utilizes the MLP to analyze sustainability transitions in urban traffic safety planning, with an emphasis on the integration of community perspectives. The MLP, developed

by Frank Geels and colleagues, has emerged as a prominent approach in STS for understanding socio-technical transitions (Geels, 2007). It conceptualizes transitions as complex processes occurring between three levels: niches (where innovations emerge), socio-technical regimes (established practices and rules), and landscape (broader contextual factors). In the context of traffic safety, the MLP illustrates how innovative community engagement practices (niches) interact with established urban planning methodologies (regimes) within the broader context of societal demands for safer, more inclusive cities (landscape).

While the MLP provides a valuable framework for understanding the multi-dimensional nature of transitions, it faces criticism for oversimplifying complex socio-technical dynamics (Bilali, 2019). To address these limitations, some scholars propose integrating the MLP with other approaches. For instance, Geels suggests combining the MLP with insights from political economy to better account for the complex social and political factors that influence transition processes. This integrated approach considers how different stakeholders' interests affect the trajectory of transitions. It is particularly relevant for urban traffic safety, where various actors such as city officials, community groups, and private sector entities often have different priorities and levels of influence in shaping safety policies and infrastructure changes.

Additionally, ANT offers a complementary perspective to the MLP. ANT focuses on how networks of human and non-human actors shape social and technological changes. In the context of urban traffic safety, ANT provides insights into how various elements - from infrastructure and technologies to policymakers and community activists - interact to create or impede safe urban environments. By combining insights from the MLP and ANT, this research develops a more comprehensive understanding of the complex dynamics involved in urban traffic safety transitions.

By applying this integrated framework to urban traffic safety planning, this study extends the application of these STS approaches beyond normal sustainability studies. The research examines how community-driven innovations in safety planning potentially redesign existing urban planning regimes, leading to more inclusive and effective traffic safety measures. This approach allows for an examination of the barriers and opportunities for integrating community perspectives into technical urban planning processes, while also considering the broader network of actors and factors that influence these transitions.

Results and Discussion

Overview of Results

The integration of community perspectives into urban traffic safety planning represents a significant shift in approach, moving from purely technical solutions to more holistic, participatory methods. This research finds that effective integration occurs through a complex interplay of regime-level changes, landscape pressures, and niche innovations. Cities that have successfully incorporated community engagement in their traffic safety initiatives, such as those adopting Vision Zero policies, demonstrate improved outcomes in terms of both safety metrics and community satisfaction. The study reveals that reframing community resistance as valuable input rather than an obstacle is crucial for developing more effective and socially acceptable traffic safety measures. This shift aligns with the MLP framework, illustrating how innovations at the niche level, supported by broader societal trends, can influence and transform established planning regimes.

Regime Level Analysis

The current urban traffic safety planning regime has long been characterized by technocratic approaches, prioritizing vehicular flow and relying heavily on engineering solutions. However, evidence suggests a gradual shift towards more holistic, community-oriented practices. A study by Muhlradet al. (2016) analyzed road safety management approaches in European countries, highlighting the historical emphasis on engineering-based solutions while noting a shift towards more comprehensive strategies. This illustrates the dominance and evolution of the traditional regime approach. In recent years, a significant shift in focus has emerged. The National Association of City Transportation Officials (NACTO) Urban Street Design Guide marks a pivotal change, emphasizing "streets as public spaces" and advocating for designs that prioritize pedestrians, cyclists, and transit users alongside vehicles. This shift aligns with the Multi-Level Perspective framework, demonstrating how landscape pressures (such as increased focus on sustainability and livability) and niche innovations (like participatory planning methods) are influencing the established regime. The NACTO guide's widespread adoption by cities across the United States indicates a regime-level change in how urban traffic safety is conceptualized and implemented, moving towards more inclusive and community-oriented approaches.

Landscape Pressuress

Broader societal trends, including increased environmental awareness, demands for social equity, and technological advancements, create significant pressure for change in urban planning practices, particularly in traffic safety. A comprehensive study by Nieuwenhuijsen (2016) highlights the growing public concern over urban air pollution and its health impacts, finding

that traffic-related air pollution is responsible for millions of premature deaths annually worldwide. This environmental awareness has led to increased demand for sustainable urban mobility solutions. Simultaneously, the issue of social equity in transportation has gained prominence. Rowangould et al. (2016), revealed that low-income and minority communities in the US are disproportionately affected by traffic-related injuries and fatalities, emphasizing the need for more equitable urban planning approaches. These landscape-level pressures align with the MLP framework, demonstrating how broader societal shifts can influence regime-level changes in urban traffic safety planning, advocating for more equitable and community-oriented solutions.

Niche Innovations

Emerging practices such as participatory budgeting for safety projects, citizen-led data collection, and co-design workshops represent niche innovations challenging the status quo regime in urban traffic safety planning. The U.S. Department of Transportation (2018) reports that over 30 U.S. cities have adopted Vision Zero policies, many incorporating community engagement in their traffic safety initiatives. These policies often include strategies for gathering public input on traffic safety concerns and proposed solutions. For example, some cities use interactive workshops and online mapping tools to allow residents to identify dangerous intersections and suggest improvements. This approach represents a shift towards more participatory methods in urban traffic safety planning, aligning with Vision Zero's goal of eliminating traffic fatalities and severe injuries through collaborative, data-driven strategies. Similarly, Berg (2017) describes a study of how digital platforms like Carticipe in France enable citizens to collaboratively map and vote on urban planning proposals, including traffic safety measures. In Grenoble, this platform engaged over 15,000 residents in local planning decisions.

These examples illustrate how niche innovations, as described in the Multi-Level Perspective framework, can introduce new practices that transform the existing urban planning regime, fostering more participatory and responsive approaches to traffic safety.

Interactions and Alignments

The study identifies several instances where niche innovations, supported by landscape pressures, have successfully influenced regime practices in urban traffic safety planning. These interactions demonstrate the multi-level dynamics of urban traffic safety transitions. For example, Seattle's Vision Zero program illustrates how community engagement strategies and data-driven approaches can bridge niche innovations with established practices. The program utilizes a combination of engineering solutions, education campaigns, and community-led initiatives. According to the Seattle Department of Transportation (2020), this integrated approach resulted in a 26% reduction in traffic fatalities between 2015 and 2019. This success demonstrates how aligning niche innovations (such as participatory planning) with landscape pressures (increasing demands for safety and livability) can lead to significant changes in regime practices. The program's effectiveness showcases how cities can adapt traditional traffic management approaches to incorporate more holistic, community-oriented strategies, representing a shift in urban traffic safety paradigms.

Reframing Resistance

Reframing community resistance as a form of constructive engagement rather than an obstacle emerges as a crucial strategy in urban traffic safety planning. This approach aligns with ANT, emphasizing the importance of understanding the complex network of human and non-human actors in socio-technical systems. In the context of traffic safety, resistance stems from

local knowledge and concerns that, when properly integrated, enhance the effectiveness of safety measures. A study by Castán Broto et al. (2018) examined 40 cases of urban infrastructure projects across Europe. It found that projects which actively incorporated initial community resistance into their planning processes were more likely to achieve their safety and efficiency goals. For instance, in Barcelona's superblock initiative, initial resistance from local businesses led to the co-creation of new loading zone designs, ultimately improving both traffic safety and local economic activity. This reframing of resistance as a valuable input exemplifies how ANT applies to understand and improve urban planning processes. By recognizing community members as key actors in the network of urban safety, planners create more locally adapted solutions, fostering a more inclusive approach to urban traffic safety transitions. ANT's focus on the relationships between diverse actors illuminates how community resistance functions as an active agent in shaping urban safety networks, rather than a passive obstacle to be overcome.

Limitations

This study's primary limitation is its reliance on documentary analysis, which may not capture the full complexity of on-the-ground implementations and community experiences. Future research could benefit from incorporating direct community surveys or interviews with urban planners and community leaders to provide more nuanced insights. Additionally, longitudinal studies tracking the long-term impacts of community-engaged traffic safety initiatives would be valuable. Researchers in urban studies and public policy could further explore the potential of digital platforms in facilitating community engagement in urban planning processes. There is also scope for interdisciplinary research combining traffic engineering with social science methodologies to develop more comprehensive models for integrating community perspectives in technical decision-making processes.

Conclusion

This research demonstrates that effective integration of community perspectives in urban traffic safety planning requires a multi-faceted approach that acknowledges the complex interactions between established practices, societal pressures, and innovative solutions. The study highlights the importance of viewing urban traffic safety as a socio-technical system, where technical solutions must be aligned with community needs and values to create meaningful change. By reframing community resistance as constructive engagement and leveraging participatory planning methods, cities can develop more effective, equitable, and socially acceptable traffic safety measures. The broader significance of this research lies in its potential to design more inclusive urban planning practices, not just in traffic safety but across various aspects of urban development. As cities continue to grow and evolve, the lessons learned from this study can contribute to creating more livable, safe, and community-oriented urban environments.

References

Anthony Jr., B. (2023). The role of community engagement in urban innovation towards the co-creation of Smart Sustainable Cities. Journal of the Knowledge Economy. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-023-01176-1

Berg, J. C. (2017). Sharing cities: A case for truly smart and Sustainable Cities. *New Political Science*, *39*(3), 417–419. https://doi.org/10.1080/07393148.2017.1339416

Cai, M., Hu, Q., Alamdar Yazdi, M. A., Mohabbati-Kalejahi, N., Vinel, A., Rigdon, S. E., Davis, K. C., & Megahed, F. M. (2020, February 18). A review of data analytic applications in road traffic safety. part 1: Descriptive and predictive modeling. MDPI. https://doi.org/10.3390%2Fs20041107

Cascetta, E., Carteni, A., Pagliara, F., & Montanino, M. (2015). A new look at planning and designing transportation systems: A decision-making model based on cognitive rationality, stakeholder engagement and quantitative methods. Transport Policy, 38, 27-39. [review article]

Castán Broto, V., Trencher, G., Iwaszuk, E., & Westman, L. (2018). Transformative capacity and local action for Urban Sustainability. *Ambio*, *48*(5), 449–462. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-018-1086-z

El Bilali, H. (2019). The multi-level perspective in research on sustainability transitions in agriculture and Food Systems: A systematic review. *Agriculture*, *9*(4), 74. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture9040074

Geels, F. W. (2007). Transformations of large technical systems. *Science, Technology, & Human Values*, *32*(2), 123–149. https://doi.org/10.1177/0162243906293883

Ink, S. (2027). *Urban Street Design Guide*. National Association of City Transportation Officials. https://nacto.org/publication/urban-street-design-guide/

Innes, J. E., & Booher, D. E. (2010). Planning with complexity: An introduction to collaborative rationality for public policy. Routledge. [review article]

Latour, B. (2005). Reassembling the social: An introduction to actor-network theory. Oxford University Press.

Lemke, A. A., & Harris-Wai, J. N. (2015). Stakeholder engagement in policy development: Challenges and opportunities for human genomics. *Genetics in Medicine*, *17*(12), 949–957. https://doi.org/10.1038/gim.2015.8

Lopolito, A., Morone, P., & Sisto, R. (2011). Innovation niches and socio-technical transition: A case study of bio-refinery production. *Futures*, *43*(1), 27–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2010.03.002

Muhlrad, N., Vallet, G., Butler, I., Gitelman, V., Doveh, E., Dupont, E., Martensen, H., Thomas, P., Talbot, R., Papadimitriou, E., Yannis, G., Persia, L., Giustiniani, G., Machata, K., & Bax, C. (2016). Analysis of Road Safety Management Systems in Europe. *Traffic Safety*, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119307853.ch1

Nieuwenhuijsen, M. J., & Khreis, H. (2016). Car free cities: Pathway to healthy urban living. *Environment International*, *94*, 251–262. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2016.05.032

Ortega, C. A., Mariscal, M. A., Boulagouas, W., Herrera, S., Espinosa, J. M., & García-Herrero, S. (2021). Effects of mobile phone use on driving performance: An experimental study of workload and traffic violations. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *18*(13), 7101. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18137101

Rehman, N., Mahmood, A., Ibtasam, M., Murtaza, S. A., Iqbal, N., & Molnár, E. (2021). The psychology of resistance to change: The antidotal effect of organizational justice, support and leader-member exchange. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *12*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.678952

Rowangould, D., Karner, A., & London, J. (2016). Identifying Environmental Justice Communities for transportation analysis. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, *88*, 151–162. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2016.04.002

Schwartz, A. (2012). *Chicago's video surveillance cameras: A pervasive and poorly regulated threat to our privacy*. Northwestern Pritzker School of Law Scholarly Commons. https://scholarlycommons.law.northwestern.edu/njtip/vol11/iss2/4/

Seattle Department of Transportation. (2020). *Vision zero*. Vision Zero - Transportation. https://www.seattle.gov/transportation/projects-and-programs/safety-first/vision-zero

Shamsuddin, S. (2020). Resilience resistance: The challenges and implications of urban resilience implementation. *Cities*, *103*, 102763. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2020.102763

U.S Department of Transportation. (2018). *Vision Zero Year Four*. Vision Zero in New York City. https://www.nyc.gov:443/assets/visionzero/downloads/pdf/vision-zero-year-4-report.pdf