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ABSTRACT

Spin-Torque-Transfer Magnetic Random Access Memory (STT-MRAM) has the potential to become a universal memory due to its superior properties compared with conventional memory technologies. However, the main challenge for implementing STT writing mode in high-density and high-speed memory is the substantial reduction of the intrinsic current density $J_{c0}$ required to switch the magnetization of the free layer (FL) while maintaining high thermal stability required for long-term data retention.

The intrinsic switching current reduction can be pursued by using materials with low saturation magnetization ($M_s$), low damping constant ($\alpha$), high spin polarization ($\eta$) and high magnetic anisotropy energy density ($K_u$) at low dimension. Previous experiments showed that CoFeB incorporated in MgO-based MTJ is one of the most efficient materials for this purpose. In this work chromium was substituted into CoFeB alloys to further reduce their magnetization attributed to the antiferromagnetism of Cr. Films in composition of Cr at 30% showed a reduced magnetization of 300 emu/cm$^3$ and an enhanced coercive field of 500 Oe, making this material suitable for device application.

Moreover, the switching current density $J_{c0}$ is predicted to be reduced significantly by using materials with perpendicular magnetic anisotropy (PMA), compared with the normal in-plane ones. Perpendicular Magnetic anisotropy was found in crystalline D0$_{22}$ Mn$_{2.5}$Ga films with optimal magnetic anisotropy energy of $0.5 \times 10^7$ erg/cm$^3$, which leads to high thermal stability. A low saturation moment ($M_s = 260$ emu/cc) was investigated, attributed to the strong antiferromagnetic coupling
between Mn atoms at different sites. With a reported high spin polarization of 88%, D0\textsubscript{22}–Mn\textsubscript{2.5}Ga film has the potential to be used in spintronic devices.

Amorphous ferrimagnetic Gd\textsubscript{x}Fe\textsubscript{93-x}Co\textsubscript{7} films were also found to exhibit low magnetization and perpendicular magnetic anisotropy, which is attributed to the competition between antiferromagnetic coupling of rare-earth (RE) with transition-metal (TM) ions and ferromagnetic interaction between the TM ions. Furthermore, low magnetization was achieved at room temperature in previously unreported high-Gd region of x=52-59, accompanied by a large PMA with coercivity reaching ~6.6 kOe. Our findings have broadened the composition range of transition metal-rare earth alloys for designing PMA films, making it attractive for tunable magnetic anisotropy in nanoscale devices.

In addition to $M_s$ and $\eta$, one can also reduce FL intrinsic damping constant for lowering $J_{c0}$, but practically PMA films often have higher damping constant $\alpha$ due to strong spin-orbit coupling. With reported low damping constant of 0.0025, CoFeGe film is promising spintronic material. Perpendicular magnetic anisotropy was achieved in the MgO/CoFeGe/MgO structure with an optimized magnetic anisotropy energy density of $2 \times 10^6$ erg/cm\textsuperscript{3}, which is contributed by the interfacial anisotropy between CoFeGe and MgO. The B\textsubscript{2}-ordered CoFeGe is not half metallic but has a perfectly spin-polarized $\Delta_1$ band along the [001] direction, thus the perfect spin transfer efficiency with MgO barrier is expected in CoFeGe-base MTJs.
1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Motivation

The ability to manipulate the intrinsic spin of conduction electron and its associated magnetic moment, in addition to the fundamental charge, inspired an exciting new technology of spintronics [1]. Spintronics, a joint word of spin and electronics, emerged with the discovery of the giant magnetoresistance (GMR) effect [2]. GMR effect is the change of resistance that depends on the relative orientation of the magnetization of two ferromagnetic (FM) layers in response to an external magnetic field. Nevertheless, a higher magnetoresistance response is still demanded to further expand the application of spintronics devices. This eventually led to another big step in spintronics, the discovery of magnetic tunnel junction (MTJ). MTJ exhibits the behavior of tunneling magnetoresistance (TMR) with a much higher MR ratio than the GMR structure.

The development of spintronics coincides with the progress of semiconductor memories. In this information era, the demand for information storage is increasing but the devices that hold the memories become even more compact. However, cost effectiveness permits only little change on the existing complementary metal oxide silicon (CMOS) processing [3]. Moreover, the most important factor that triggers the search for a new memory technology is the inherent scalability issue in the current memory technologies. Therefore, the reason for implementing MTJ as a magnetic element in a magnetic memory device, or better known as magnetic random access memory (MRAM), becomes obvious. MRAM is said to be capable of the speed of static random access memory (SRAM), the density of dynamic random access memory (DRAM) [4], the non-volatility benefits of flash memory, and the unlimited endurance
[5]. In other words, MRAM has the potential to be a universal memory.

However, after years of effort, the disadvantages of MRAM, such as large cell size and high write current, greatly forbids it to be widely commercialized [6]. More recently, a new phenomenon called spin transfer torque switching (STT) has been theoretically predicted and experimentally confirmed, which can be manipulated for producing a current-induced magnetization switching memory device. STT writing technology, by directly passing a current through MTJ, overcomes those hurdles with much lower switching current (in the order of μA), simpler cell architecture and reduced manufacturing cost, and more importantly, excellent scalability to future technology nodes.

The main challenge for implementing STT writing mode in high-density and high-speed memory is the substantial reduction of the intrinsic current density required to switch the magnetization of the free layer while maintaining high thermal stability required for long-term data retention. To achieve these two goals, materials possessing low magnetization, high spin polarization, low damping constant and high magnetic anisotropy energy density are highly desired, which were explored in this dissertation with the results and discussions presented hereafter. Recent experiments showed that CoFeB incorporated in MgO MTJs is one of the most efficient materials for the purpose above. In our work chromium was substituted into CoFeB alloys to further reduce their magnetization. Moreover, the switching current density $J_{c0}$ is predicted to be reduced significantly by using materials with perpendicular magnetic anisotropy (PMA), compared with the normal in-plane ones. Therefore, we have investigated three classes of material schemes with perpendicular magnetic anisotropy: (i) crystalline alloys
(MnGa), (ii) materials with interfacial perpendicular magnetic anisotropy
(CoFeGe/MgO), and (iii) amorphous rare earth-transition metal (RE-TM) alloys
(GdFeCo). These material systems have been extensively characterized both magnetically
and structurally. Their perpendicular magnetic anisotropy have been estimated, as well
as the damping parameter, with the goal to find a material scheme with low damping,
high magnetic anisotropy which will yield high performance MTJs for the application in
STT-MRAM.
2. BACKGROUND

2.1 Spin polarized current

As well as mass and charge, an electron has another intrinsic property, i.e. spin. In quantum mechanics, spin is a fundamental property of atomic nuclei and elementary particles, and is an important intrinsic degree of freedom. It had been shown by Dirac that electron spin arises naturally within relativistic quantum mechanics. The component of electron spin measured along any direction can only take on the values,

\[ \hbar s_z, \quad s_z = \pm 1/2 \]

Namely, in a classical picture, electron spin can only point to up or down along a magnetic field which can be vividly illustrated by a small magnet with its north pole pointing to up or down as shown in Fig. 2.1.

![Classical illustration of electron spin as a small magnet with north pole pointing to up or down.](adapted from Ref[6])

In normal materials, such as Cu, Ag, etc., spin-up and spin-down electrons are equally populated and randomly distributed in an equilibrium state. However, in some solids due to the quantum mechanical exchange interaction, electron spins are aligned spontaneously, resulting in unequal numbers of spin-up and spin-down
electrons, therefore ferromagnetic materials are formed, such as Co, Fe and many of their alloys. In these ferromagnetic materials, spin-resolved electronic structures show the band difference between the spin-up and spin-down electrons, as illustrated in Fig. 2.2, and the asymmetry in density of states (DOS) at Fermi energy gives rise to most of the spin related transport phenomena. When an unpolarized flow of electrons pass through a normal metal, because of the indemnity between the bands for spin-up and spin-down electrons, they experience the same scattering rate regardless of the spin, and the emergent current still remains unpolarized. However, when they flow through ferromagnetic material, spin-up electrons may encounter less scattering, or may have smaller effective mass, compared to spin-down electrons, so the conductivity due to the spin-up channel would be higher. Then a spin polarized current would emerge from the ferromagnetic material [6].

Fig 2.2 Diagram of the spin-resolved electronic structure for 4s and 3d bands in Co. Red (or blue) is for spin-down (or spin-up). Numbers denote how many electrons per Co atom are in the corresponding band. (Adapted from Spintronics lecture notes, Prof. Stu Wolf, UVA 2013)
Such a spin polarized current is of significant importance because it can be used to manipulate the magnetization orientations in magnetic multilayer nanostructures via spin, instead of electron charge only in conventional electronics [7]. Since the discovery of the giant magnetoresistance effect in 1980’s [8-10], spin-electronics (spintronics) using electron spin to control the current has stimulated great interest in both the academic and industrial fields. A few years later, an even more significant effect, called the tunneling magnetoresistance (TMR) effect, was observed at room temperature in magnetic tunneling junctions (MTJs) [11-14]. Because of their huge TMR (above ~200%), MTJs promise attractive applications in magnetic random access memory (MRAM) [7]. This new type of memory has all the key desirable attributes required by the ever growing demand for storing and processing the explosive amount of information, such as fast access times, non-volatility, lower power-consumption, and high density [7]. There are many ongoing efforts to realize MRAM in recent years.

2.2 Tunneling Magnetoresistance (TMR)

2.2.1 Magnetic tunnel junction (MTJ)

Magnetic tunnel junctions (MTJs) are sandwiched heterostructures, composed of two ferromagnetic electrodes and an ultrathin insulating barrier in between. One of the two ferromagnetic (FM) layers has its magnetization fixed (referred as the fixed or pinned layer; in real case, it is pinned by an antiferromagnetic layer via exchange bias), while the magnetization of the other FM layer is free to rotate (free layer). MTJ
structures present many interesting phenomena that are important for both the exploration of underlying physics and the applications in technology [15]. When electrons flow through a MTJ, they become spin-polarized by the first magnetic electrode. Thereafter, the interplay between the spin-polarized current and the second magnetic layer exhibits two effects, i.e. tunneling magnetoresistance effect and spin transfer torque effect. In the next section of this chapter, brief reviews will be given on these two interesting effects.

2.2.2 Tunneling Magnetoresistance (TMR)

The resistance of a MTJ depends on the relative alignment of the magnetic moments in two ferromagnetic electrodes. Usually, when the moments are parallel the tunneling resistance is low; while anti-parallel, the resistance is high, thus giving rise to a tunneling magnetoresistance (TMR) effect,

\[ TMR = \frac{R_{AP} - R_P}{R_P} \times 100\% , \]

where \( R_P \) and \( R_{AP} \) are the resistances for the parallel and anti-parallel configurations. By cleverly engineering the multilayered MTJ structure, it is possible to control how two magnetic electrodes respond to the external field and therefore to obtain distinct states of different resistance values [16].

The first successful observation of TMR in MTJs was made by Jullière about thirty years ago, where Co and Fe were used as electrodes and Ge as insulator and a TMR of \(~14\%\) at 4.2 K was reported [17]. After that many other tunnel barriers were explored (e.g. NiO and Gd \(_2\)O \(_3\)) [18,19], but only a small effect was observed even at a low temperature. It was not until 1995 when the first observation of reproducible large
TMR (~18%) at room temperature was achieved in MTJs with an amorphous $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ barrier [20,21]. Ever since then, MTJs have aroused considerable interest for potential applications in high-performance MRAM due to their large and adjustable resistances, which allow high-speed read operation in memory devices [15].

Although intensive efforts have been made in MTJs with an $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ tunnel barrier [22-24], the maximum TMR only reached about 70% [25,26]. Meanwhile, extensive theoretical and experimental work has been carried out to increase the TMR by incorporating exotic oxide or half-metal layers into MTJs [27-30], and studying crystalline tunneling barriers [31,32]. Butler et al. carried out first-principles calculations of tunneling conductance and magnetoresistance in epitaxial Fe/MgO/Fe sandwiches [31]. They revealed that tunneling conductance depends strongly on the symmetry of the Bloch states in the electrodes and of the evanescent states in the barrier, thus Bloch states of different symmetry decay at different rates within the barrier. The $\Delta_1$ state, due to its “s-character”, decays slowest among all the states and can make a significant contribution to the tunneling conductance. Because the state only occurs at the Fermi level for the majority band, it behaves much like a “half-metal”. Giant TMR was expected in $\text{bcc Fe/MgO/Fe, CoFe/MgO/CoFe, and Co/MgO/Co}$ tunneling junctions. Experimental results of TMR above ~200% were first reported by Parkin et al. and Yuasa et al. respectively [33,34]. Room temperature TMR results of about 600% in MgO based tunnel junctions have also been obtained recently [35].

For high-quality $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ tunnel junctions, the magnitude of TMR at low bias can be well understood within the framework of Jullière’s model, $\text{TMR} = \frac{2P_1P_2}{1-P_1P_2}$, where $P_1$, $P_2$ are the spin polarization of the two electrode materials, which were well derived from the
ferromagnet/insulator/conducting tunnel junctions first introduced by Meservy and Tedrow [36]. In this model, it is assumed that during the tunneling process, spin is conserved, namely no spin-flipping occurs. The conductance in each channel is thus proportional to the tunneling probability, which is determined by Fermi’s golden rule (Fig. 2.3). However, such a quantitative comparison is not always straightforward. For example, TMR is extremely sensitive to the interface between the electrode and barrier in realistic systems [37,38]. In MgO-based MTJs, due to coherent tunneling effect, the physics of the spin dependent tunneling effect is far beyond this simplified model. The observed giant TMR in MgO-based MTJs originates from the fact that the electrons in highly spin-polarized $\Delta_1$ band in (001) direction of bcc ferromagnetic electrodes can tunnel through the MgO (001) barrier more easily than the electrons in other bands ($\Delta_2$ and $\Delta_5$). For the coherent tunneling through a crystalline system many theoretical studies emerged together with the experimental achievements in MgO based tunnel junctions [31-34].
2.3. Current-induced Magnetization switching

2.3.1 Spin Transfer Torque (STT)

Spin transfer torque (STT) effect was first theoretically studied by Slonczewski and Berger in 1996 [39,40]. Consider a basic MTJ structure with two ferromagnetic electrodes separated by a barrier layer [41]. The electrode of smaller thickness, whose magnetization can be switched, is called the free layer (FL); in contrast, the other electrode, which is usually thicker and exchange biased, is called pinned/fixed layer (PL). Moreover, there is an angle $\theta$ between the magnetization of two FM layers for current switching purpose. When the incoming spin orientation is collinear with the magnetization of the FM layer ($\theta=0$ or $\pi$), there will be no torque exerted [42]. Since
spin transfer is crucial in switching the magnetization of the free layer, therefore non-collinearity between fixed and free layer is necessary.

The spin transfer phenomena occur for electron current flowing through a MTJ structure. The current becomes spin polarized by transmission through or reflection from the first ferromagnetic layer (pinned layer) and mostly maintains this polarization as it passes through the barrier spacer, then enters and interacts with the second ferromagnetic layer (free layer). The spin of electrons will try to align with this local magnetization by precessing around it when the electrons move through the free layer. Since the precession is averaged over all electrons, transverse components of spin angular momentum become zero because the electrons are out of phase. Due to the conservation of spin angular momentum, those transverse components of electron spins will be absorbed and transferred to the conduction electrons of free layer. Therefore, the same interaction exerts a torque on the magnetization of free layer, making the magnetization tend to align towards the magnetization of the fixed layer. This torque effect is commonly known as spin transfer torque. Although the spin-down electrons, with respect to the free layer, will be reflected back to the fixed layer, the magnetization of the fixed layer will not change because this torque is not strong enough. The strength of the torque is normally expressed as the magnitude of incoming current density. If the current density is high enough, that is more than critical switching current (usually around $10^7$ A/cm$^2$), the torque applied by the spin of electrons can even reverse the magnetization of the free layer [42].

2.3.2 Precession of spin around local magnetization
Depending on the direction of electron flow and the magnetic configuration, this spin-polarized current favors either the parallel (P) state or antiparallel (AP) state (Fig. 2.4). Such a STT-induced magnetization reversal is a relatively new phenomenon, and it is observable only in magnetic structures smaller than 100-200 nm [43-45]. A macro-spin model treats a nanomagnet with the assumption that its internal magnetic degrees of freedom are frozen, so that the dynamics of macro-spin can be phenomenologically described by the following Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert (LLG) equation with an extra STT term [46,47]:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{m}}{\partial t} = -\gamma (\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}}) + \alpha (\mathbf{m} \times \nabla \mathbf{m}) - \beta J (\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{M})$$

where $\gamma$ is the gyromagnetic ratio, $\alpha$ is the damping coefficient, $\beta$ is the coefficient for the STT which depends on both the spin polarization and the geometric configuration between the incoming spin and the local moments in the FL, and $J$ is the current density.

Fig. 2.4 The thick electrode is pinned layer (PL), and the thin one is free layer (FL). When electrons flow from PL to FL, due to spin transfer torque effect, it favors parallel state (left); on the other hand, when electrons flow from FL to PL, it favors antiparallel state. [Adapted from Reference [43]]

The competition between the damping term and the spin torque term is illustrated in Fig. 2.5. When $J$ is small and the spin torque term is smaller than the damping term, the dynamics damp out into an equilibrium state. When the spin torque is large enough
that it overcomes the intrinsic damping, effective damping coefficient becomes negative, the deviation from the equilibrium state is amplified and the magnetic moments are switched, which can be detected by a resistance change in the MTJs. When J and H satisfy certain conditions, persistent precession of the magnetization can be obtained at a frequency of several GHz.

![Diagram of magnetic moments and spin torque](image)

Fig. 2.5 (a) Geometric illustration of Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert (LLG) equation with a spin transfer torque term; (b) Dynamics of the magnetic moments in the free layer (FL) when the damping term is larger, comparable, or smaller than the spin-torque term with various magnitudes of spin polarized current. [Adapted from the NRI e-workshop: “Spin Torque Transfer” 08/2009]

With these new phenomena in physics and potential applications in technology, the STT effect has stimulated great interest in both academics and industry since the beginning of its discovery. This is useful in the very small scale solid-state circuitry, and successful switching of the magnetization in MgO based MTJs has been observed. Besides, due to their much higher magnetoresistance effect, they are more desirable as memory storage cells which can tolerate greater error margins. The STT-MRAM has excellent write selectivity since the current passing through a storage cell can directly change the state of that cell, i.e. write “0” or “1” there. The minimum current density
needed to write one bit scales down with the device size, which means that STT-MRAM has much higher scalability. Besides, STT-MRAM also promises lower power consumption and much simpler architecture.

2.4 Main challenges in STT-MRAM technology

The main challenges for implementing STT writing mode in high-density and high-speed memory is the substantial reduction of the intrinsic current density $J_{c0}$ required to switch the magnetization of the free layer while maintaining high thermal stability required for long-term data retention. Minimal switching (write) current is required mainly for reducing the size of the selection transistor in series with MTJ in one transistor and one MTJ design (1T1J) to achieve the highest possible memory density, because the channel width of the transistor is proportional to the write current for a given transistor current drivability ($\mu A/\mu m$). Second, smaller voltage across MTJ decreases the probability of tunneling barrier degradation and breakdown, ensuring write endurance of the device.

The critical current density required to cause magnetization reversal in a MTJ at zero temperature [48,49,50], using macrospin approximation is given as:

$$J_{c0} = \left(\frac{2e}{\hbar}\right) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\eta}\right) (M_s t_F) (H_{ext} + H_k + 2\pi M_s)$$

(1)

where $H_{ext}$ is the field applied along the easy axis, $M_s$ and $t_F$ are the magnetization and thickness of free layer respectively, $\alpha$ is the damping constant, and $H_k$ is the effective anisotropy field including magnetocrystalline anisotropy and shape anisotropy. The spin transfer efficiency $\eta$, is a function of the current polarity, polarization, and the relative angle between the free and pinned layer. Eq. (1) gives a current density threshold.
The thermal stability factor of the free layer is defined as:

$$\Delta = \frac{K_u}{k_BT} = \frac{HkM_sV}{2k_BT}$$

Where $K_uV$ is the anisotropy energy.

The major objectives in this dissertation were to investigate and optimize various magnetic materials to be used as free layer in MgO-based MTJs for ultimate applications in STT-MRAM devices. Referring to Eq. (1) and (2), the reduction of the intrinsic switching $J_{c0}$ while maintaining a high thermal stability can be pursued through materials engineering from the following two aspects:

(1) Using materials with low magnetization $M_s$, low damping constant, a high spin transfer efficiency.

Recent experiments showed that CoFeB incorporated in MgO MTJs is one of the most efficient materials for this purpose. In this work chromium was substituted into CoFeB alloys to further reduce their magnetization.

(2) The most efficient means of reducing $J_{c0}$ would be to use a free layer with perpendicular anisotropy with $H_{k\perp} > 4\pi M_s$. In this case, the magnetization is out of the film plane, which would eliminate the $2\pi M_s$ term in the Eq. (1), where the $H_k$ term becomes the effective perpendicular anisotropy $H_{k\perp}^\perp = H_{k\perp} - 4\pi M_s$. In addition, large magnetic anisotropy energy usually found in perpendicular FL provide significantly large thermal stability $\Delta$, thus sustainable at very small technology nodes.

To this end, we have investigated three classes of material schemes with perpendicular magnetic anisotropy: (i) crystalline alloys (MnGa), (ii) materials with interfacial perpendicular magnetic anisotropy (CoFeGe/MgO), and (iii) amorphous rare earth-transition metal (RE-TM) alloys (GdFeCo). These material systems have been
extensively characterized both magnetically and structurally, and their damping parameters have been estimated, with the goal to find a low damping, high anisotropy material scheme which will yield high performance MTJs for the application in STT-MRAM.
III. Experimental Techniques

3.1 Introduction

A brief description of experimental techniques used during the development and characterization of film stacks is given in this chapter. All the films were deposited using magnetron sputtering system. The features of this tool, as described below, result in rapid and repeatable generation of complex depositions. The compositions of films were determined using inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) after chemically dissolving the films, and confirmed by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) using elemental standards. Structural characterization of films were performed by x-ray diffraction (XRD) with Cu Kα (\(\lambda = 1.541 \text{ Å}\)) radiation (Smart-lab®, Rigaku Inc.) and high resolution transmission electron microscopy (FEI, HRTEM). The thickness of the films was measured by X-Ray reflectivity. The film magnetic behaviors were characterized using vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) and Magneto Optical Kerr effect (MOKE). The damping constants of films were characterized using an automated broadband ferromagnetic resonance (FMR) set-up custom built by Professor Tim Mewes from University of Alabama. Atomic Force Microscopy was used to characterize the film surface morphology.

3.2 Magnetron Sputtering System

Magnetron Sputtering is one of the most commonly used Physical Vapor deposition (PVD) approaches for thin film fabrication. It is similar to other simple DC sputtering systems, which initiate sputtering by applying an electric field between the target (cathode metal) and the substrate (anode). Specifically, stray electrons near the
cathode are accelerated toward the anode under the electric field. Process gas atoms are ionized while colliding with the electrons. Electrons are accumulated during gas atom ionizations. Meanwhile, as the ions collide with the cathode, the secondary electrons are ejected contributing to the charge multiplication. A discharge finally occurs with a large avalanche current. Stable sputtering is initiated with enough ions starting bombarding the target.

Unlike DC sputtering systems, a magnetic field in close proximity of the target surface is employed to achieve a better secondary electron confinement in magnetron sputtering [48,49]. The magnetic field is roughly parallel with the target surface. The ionization of the gas atoms is greatly improved due to the hopping motion of the trapped electrons in the electric and magnetic fields during sputtering.

Compared with sputtering techniques such as simple DC sputtering, magnetron sputtering operates at a lower process pressure thus avoiding intense gas collisions and scatterings. Therefore a stable plasma and certain growth rate can be maintained with a relatively low target voltage (~500V) [50].

In our magnetron sputtering system as shown in Figure 3.1, the main process chamber is connected to a cryppump to maintain a base pressure below $1 \times 10^{-6}$ Torr. The main chamber accommodates four targets, three of which are operated with a RF bias while the fourth one can be operated with both DC and RF bias. Argon is used as the process gas and the process pressure is in the range of a few millitorr. The power of each target can be adjusted to vary the growth rate of the corresponding material or to modify the film composition in a co-sputtering process. The load lock is connected to a turbo
pump. During sample loading the load lock is isolated from the main chamber by a gate valve.

Substrates are mounted on the sample holder using silver paint or heat resistant tape. The sample holder is later fitted into a fork assembly in the load lock. After sealing and pumping down the load lock chamber, the sample holder can be transferred into the main chamber and attached to the carousel, which allows the substrates to rotate facing down toward the target. The folk assembly also retrieves the sample holder back to the load lock after deposition.

The deposition rates for various materials at different sputtering conditions were determined by depositing fairly thick films on the substrates for thickness measurement. X-ray reflectivity was used to measure the thickness of the films. Once sputtering rates
were calculated from these thicknesses, the deposition times for various films deposited under the same power and pressure conditions could be calculated.

3.3 Melt Spinning

Melt-spinning is a technique for rapidly cooling of liquids in a single stage, as shown schematically in Fig. 3.2. A wheel is cooled internally by water, and rotated. A thin stream of liquid metal (Iron-based alloys in our study) is then dripped onto the wheel and cooled in a high purity argon atmosphere, causing rapid solidification. Ribbons are eventually spun from the wheel, generally having the thickness of ~ 25μm.

![Diagram of the melt spinning apparatus.](image)

*Melt spinning system has been used in our study, primarily driven by the interest in producing amorphous as-spun ribbons via the high cooling rates obtained from this process.*

3.4 Sample Preparation
3.4.1 Substrate cleaning

In any case, the substrate cleaning protocol as described in the following procedure was strictly followed for all the experiments. First step is the ultrasonic cleaning of substrates with an acetone solution for several minutes. One clean aluminum dish, which is used to hold the substrates and acetone solution during the first step of cleaning, is rinsed by acetone to make sure the tiny aluminum particles on the surface of the dish will be washed away and won’t be mixed in the acetone during the ultrasonic process to avoid contaminating the substrate surfaces. After the ultrasonic cleaning with acetone, the substrates are picked out by a pair of clean nonmagnetic tweezers, rinsed in isopropanol to wash away the acetone residual and put into the second clean aluminum dish that is rinsed by isopropanol. The substrates are then immersed in isopropanol for another several-minutes ultrasonic cleaning process. After two ultrasonic cleaning steps, the substrates are then picked out and blown dry by pure nitrogen gas and put into another clean aluminum dish for the final baking process. The substrates are baked at 120°C on a hot plate to get rid of the surface moisture before putting into the vacuum chamber.

3.4.2. Post-deposition annealing

The post-deposition annealing treatment is essential for forming good crystalline phases of thin films. In order to anneal our samples with an external magnetic field capability, there is one vacuum furnace, which can provide annealing up to 500°C with very precise digital control of the temperature. The vacuum base pressure is in the low 10^{-6} Torr range and a magnetic field up to 1400 Oe is provided by two surrounded permanent magnets. In terms of temperature control, this vacuum furnace
has the ramp-up rate of 5°C/min, and slow natural cooling-down rate less than 2°C/min.

Another method of heat treatment is the rapid thermal annealing (RTA), in which the temperature ramp-up and cooling rate is much faster compared with the traditional vacuum furnace. The RTA instrument (As-One 100) was equipped with an infrared lamp as the radiation heating source, and the temperature was monitored by a pyrometer underneath the sample stage. Both forming gas (95% N₂, 5% H₂) and vacuum (base pressure ~10⁻⁶ Torr) modes can be used during the heating to protect films from oxidation. The RTA temperature ramp-up rate can easily reach 20 °C/s, and the temperature is maintained very stably with the digital power control system during the annealing process. The step of cooling down from high temperature like 500°C also takes only few minutes with the RTA method.

### 3.5 Films Characterization techniques

#### 3.5.1 Magnetic Characterization

The magnetic hysteresis loops were characterized using a Vibrating Sample Magnetometer (VSM). Additionally the magnetic anisotropy between two orthogonal directions can be estimated using the magnetization methods. In particular, the perpendicular magnetic anisotropy (PMA) in this work was estimated by characterizing the hysteresis loops in magnetic fields along directions in the film plane and perpendicular to the film plane. Based on the energy consumption to change the magnetization in an applied magnetic field, the total effective anisotropy energy $K_{eff}$ can be calculated by evaluating the area enclosed between the in-plane and perpendicular
hysteresis loops[52,53]. In the cases where magnetic domains are switched coherently with few pinning sites, $K_{\text{eff}}$ can be equivalently obtained by [43, 86]:

$$K_{\text{eff}} = \frac{H_K M_S}{2}$$

where the anisotropy field $H_K$ equals to the saturation field along with the hard axis. In other cases where a considerable hysteresis is shown, one has to first remove the hysteresis by averaging the hysteresis loop branches and then estimate the enclosed area. Besides, in the PMA case, the total effective magnetic anisotropy energy can be written as:

$$K_{\text{eff}} = K_u - 2\pi M_S^2$$

$K_u$ is the uniaxial magnetic anisotropy that possibly originates from perpendicular crystalline anisotropy or interfacial anisotropy. $2\pi M_S^2$ represents the in-plane shape anisotropy energy. Thus $K_u$ can be obtained by subtracting the contribution of shape anisotropy $2\pi M_S^2$ from $K_{\text{eff}}$.

The magnetic hysteresis loops are measured using the VSM option in Versalab (Quantum Design). This system is equipped with a superconducting magnet providing a maximum field up to 30000 Oe. The measurement temperature can be lowered to below 50 K. To avoid any magnetic contamination, the samples were cleaned with acetone and isopropanol, dried using UHP $N_2$ and baked on a hot plate at 100 degree for 2 minutes. The samples holders were also cleaned with acetone and isopropanol for the same reason. The temperature is kept constant with a slow field sweep rate to maintain isothermal conditions during the measurements.

3.5.2 Gilbert Damping constant characterization
One of the most commonly used methods to characterize the Gilbert damping constant is through Ferromagnetic Resonance (FMR). Ferromagnetic resonance originates from the precession of the magnetization of a ferromagnet that can be well-described in terms of Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert (LLG) equation [46,47]. The precession frequency held by the external field is given as \( \omega = \gamma |H_{ex}| \). But it can be better described by Kittel formula in the case of thin films where the impact of anisotropy fields and demagnetizing fields on the precession frequency is taken into account [53]:

\[
\omega = \gamma \sqrt{(H_{ex} + H_K)(H_{ex} + H_K + \mu_0 M_{eff})}
\]

\( H_k \) is the magnetic crystalline anisotropy field, and \( M_{eff} \) is the effective magnetization which is the saturation magnetization reduced by surface anisotropy. Parameters \( \gamma, H_k \) and \( M_{eff} \) can be obtained by fitting the dependence of \( \omega \) on \( H_{ex} \). The precession is eventually relaxed due to the damping torque. However, a forced ferromagnetic resonance happens when a RF magnetic field with a frequency same as magnetization precession frequency is applied to the system. With the RF field frequency fixed, an absorption line can be detected during a \( H_{ex} \) sweep, indicating a ferromagnetic resonance with which the energy from the RF field is absorbed by the system most effectively. The linewidth (\( \Delta H_{ex} \)) due to the magnetization relaxation consists of two terms,

\[
\Delta H_{ex} = \Delta H_0 + \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{3}\gamma'} w
\]

The first term is the \( \Delta H_0 \) relaxation that is complicated and not necessarily a constant. One of the examples is the two magnon scattering process. It is the lowest order magnon coupling process which relaxes the precession by redistributing energy within the magnetic system [35,37,54]. The second term arises from the intrinsic Gilbert damping
(α) that transforms energy to a thermal bath of phonons or conducting electrons. In the case of a constant $\Delta H_0$, the Gilbert damping parameter can be obtained from the slope of a linear fitting of $\omega$ verse $\Delta H_{ex}$.

The FMR measurements for this thesis work were performed by T. Mewes’ group at University of Alabama. The FMR spectra were obtained by a broadband coplanar waveguide field-swept FMR setup, with a frequency limit up to 50 GHz. The details of the setup can be found in Ref.[54].
3.5.3 X-ray diffraction/Surface Roughness

The crystal structures in this work are characterized using X-ray diffraction techniques. Using Bragg’s law, one can determine the crystal structure and lattice parameters according to the diffraction angles of the Bragg peaks, or predict the positions of the Bragg peaks with knowledge of the crystal structure.

A Rigaku Smart lab XRD system performed all the structure characterizations of this work. The X-ray source is operated at an accelerating voltage of 44 keV and 40 Amps. Cu $K\alpha$ radiation is used with a wavelength $\sim 1.541$ Å. A Ge(2×220) mirror monochromator was used for high resolution X-ray experiments.

The surface roughness is determined by Atomic force microscopy (AFM). As the silicon tip on a cantilever approaches the proximity of the sample surface, the force between the tip and the sample bends the cantilever. The surface morphology is thus determined by detecting the laser deflection reflected from the cantilever surface.
IV. Cr-doped CoFeB films

4.1 Introduction

As discussed in Chapter 2, magnetic tunnel junctions (MTJs) utilizing MgO tunnel barrier are the subject of intense research due to the large tunneling magnetoresistance (TMR) effect [51,52], which has potential application as memory elements in spin-transfer-torque magnetic random access memory (STT-MRAM) [53,54,55]. It is now generally accepted that TMR is very sensitive to the electronic structures of the electrodes and the tunnel barrier, and to the interfacial bonding between them. Recently, ferromagnetic CoFe alloys made amorphous by the addition of boron have become of special interest because MTJs incorporating them show the highest TMR values at room temperature compared with other magnetic electrodes. This was reported for sputtered CoFeB/MgO/CoFeB MTJ with TMR ratios of up to 500% at room temperature (RT) and 1010% at 5 K, a low switching current of 49 μA [56]. An as-deposited CoFeB layer exhibits an amorphous structure, and MgO barrier layer grown on the amorphous CoFeB is a (001) textured polycrystalline film. By thermal annealing, the (001) oriented body-centered cubic (BCC)-FeCo is crystallized while maintaining perfect coherency with the MgO barrier, which is required for coherent tunneling across the MgO barrier and proven to be the best way to obtain large TMR [57,58]. However, a large damping constant of 0.01 and a high magnetization of 1200 emu/cc of CoFeB free layer were reported [59], resulting in the difficulty of the reduction in the intrinsic critical current density ($J_c$) of current-induced magnetization switching.

Ferromagnetic films with low magnetization and high spin polarization are promising spintronic materials for the further reduction in $J_c$ [59,60]. Due to the amorphous nature, CoFeB alloys are highly insensitive to the miscibility of their constituents, allowing their
magnetization to be controlled by adjusting the transition-metal concentration or substituting iron/cobalt with chromium/vanadium in the alloy. Cr metal exhibits a BCC structure, which would not affect the crystal structure of the free layer after annealing. Incorporating Cr into CoFeB was found effectively to reduce the switching current [60]. The reduction in $J_c$ by a factor of four to $4.9 \times 10^5$ A/cm$^2$ was achieved by using a Co$_{40}$Fe$_{32.7}$Cr$_{7.3}$B$_{20}$ free layer while maintaining the magnetoresistance (MR) ratio of around 60 % [61]. However, upon the further increase in Cr, a significant reduction in MR was observed in MTJs with free layer of (Co$_{75}$Fe$_{25}$)$_{80-x}$Cr$_x$B$_{20}$ [62]. A high B content in the electrode is necessary to obtain a fully amorphous structure in the as-deposited state. However, by considering the limited solubility of B in the BCC phase, such high boron content (~ 20 at. %) in the CoFeCrB electrode raises a probability of a secondary boride phase existing after thermal annealing, which may be the cause for the degradation of TMR in high Cr compositions.

In this study, we fabricated amorphous CoFeCrB ribbons for a wide range of Cr contents and explored the influence of Boron content to the crystallization process with increasing Cr substitution. The specific compositions were chosen in order to achieve a uniform crystalline structure with precipitation of only BCC phase, making the materials suitable for device application. The structural and magnetic properties of CoFeCrB thin films depending on the annealing treatment and Cr concentration were also investigated, the findings are presented herein.

### 4.2. EXPERIMENT

Ingots with compositions of Co$_{40}$Fe$_x$Cr$_y$B$_2$ ($x + y + z = 60$ and $y = 0 – 30$) were prepared by arc melting the mixture of high purity Fe, Co, Cr, and B metals in a high purity argon atmosphere, each ingot was re-melted four times to ensure the chemical homogeneity.
Amorphous ribbons, typically about 1.25 mm wide and 25 μm thick, were produced from the ingots melted spun on a water-cooled copper hearth in a high purity argon atmosphere. Chemical compositions of the ribbons were checked by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectroscopy and no detectable deviation from the nominal composition was observed. Crystallization behaviors were monitored by differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) at a constant heating rate of 5 °C/min under purified argon atmosphere in the temperature range from 50 °C to 600 °C. As-spun ribbons were isochronally annealed for 1 h in a tubular furnace by encapsulation in evacuated quartz tubes at various temperatures.

CoFeCrB films were prepared and characterized by our collaborators Y. Cui and J.W. Lu in University of Virginia. Films were deposited on thermally oxidized silicon substrates by rf magnetron sputtering at ambient temperature. Two targets with different stoichiometric compositions (Co43Fe29Cr10B18 and Co40Fe18Cr30B12) were sputtered concurrently with different power ratios so that specific compositions can be explored. All the film samples were in a structure of Si/SiO2/Ta (7nm)/CoFeCrB (30nm)/Ta (7nm). The Ta film was deposited as buffering layer to improve the surface conditions before CoFeCrB deposition and as capping layer to protect CoFeCrB layer from oxidation. Subsequently, the films were annealed at temperatures ($T_a$) ranging from 350 °C to 450 °C in vacuum with an in-plane magnetic field of 1400 Oe for one hour.

4.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.3.1 Ribbons

The amorphous structures of as-spun ribbons were confirmed by XRD and TEM observations. A typical example of as-spun Co40Fe32Cr10B18 ribbon is shown in Fig. 4.1. The broad band in x-ray spectrum ($\theta$-2$\theta$ profile) indicated a fully amorphous feature due to the
extremely high cooling speed, as performed in Fig. 4.1(a). The bright-field TEM image in Fig. 4.1(b) shows an amorphous matrix without crystallization nuclei, together with a halo ring as shown in the inset electron diffraction pattern. The TEM results were consistent with the XRD, indicating all the as-spun ribbons were amorphous in nature, without the formation of a long-range structural order.

![Figure 4.1. (a) XRD pattern and (b) Bright-field TEM image of as-spun Co\textsubscript{40}Fe\textsubscript{32}Cr\textsubscript{10}B\textsubscript{18} ribbon; The inset of Fig. (b) shows the selected area diffraction pattern.](image)

Figure 4.2(a) shows continuous DSC curves of as-spun ribbons for two alloys with \{x,y,z\}=\{32,10,18\} and \{30,10,20\}. For comparison, the curve of the as-spun Co\textsubscript{40}Fe\textsubscript{40}B\textsubscript{20} ribbon was also included in the same figure. The onset temperature of crystallization, \(T_x\), was determined from the intersection of straight lines tangent to the DSC curve at the beginning of the exothermic peak. For as-spun ribbon of Co\textsubscript{40}Fe\textsubscript{32}Cr\textsubscript{10}B\textsubscript{18}, two separate distinct exothermic peaks can be seen upon heating. The samples were heated at different temperatures to identify the crystallization sequence, which was clarified by XRD patterns of annealed Co\textsubscript{40}Fe\textsubscript{32}Cr\textsubscript{10}B\textsubscript{18} ribbon.
ribbons as shown in Fig. 4.2(b). When the ribbon was heated at 418 °C (temperature corresponds to $T_{x1}$) all diffraction peaks, at $2\theta$ values of 44.95°, 65.56° and 82.94°, can be indexed well to a single BCC phase. However, heating the sample to a higher temperature of 508 °C (temperature corresponds to $T_{x2}$) resulted in the appearance of a large number of peaks, which could be indexed to different boride-type phases such as (FeCo)$_3$B, (FeCo)$_2$B and (FeCo)$_{23}$B$_6$ [63]. These results indicated the existence of two-step crystallization reaction corresponding to two separate exothermic peaks in DSC curve of as-spun Co$_{40}$Fe$_{32}$Cr$_{10}$B$_{18}$ ribbon. The primary exothermic peak was attributed to $\alpha$-BCC crystals followed by a secondary peak with the precipitation of additional boride phases from the amorphous state. The as-spun Co$_{40}$Fe$_{40}$B$_{20}$ ribbon showed similar crystallization behavior.

![Figure 4.2](image)

Figure 4.2 (a) DSC curves of as-spun Co$_{40}$Fe$_x$Cr$_y$B$_z$ ribbons with $y = 0$, \{x, z\} = \{40, 20\} and $y = 10$, \{x, z\} = \{30, 20\}, \{32, 18\}. (b) XRD patterns of Co$_{40}$Fe$_{32}$Cr$_{10}$B$_{18}$ ribbons after annealing up to the onset of second crystallization stage.

According to DSC curves (Fig. 4.2(a)), the temperature difference between the two crystallization phases was ~ 62 °C for Co$_{40}$Fe$_{40}$B$_{20}$ ribbon. With Cr substitution of 10% the two
peaks initially superposed for the composition with 20% boron, the crystallization temperature of α-BCC was a little lower than that of boride in Co_{40}Fe_{30}Cr_{10}B_{20} ribbon and caused the overlapping of the two crystallization signals. However, this temperature difference increased gradually with reduced B content (Fig. 4.2(a)) to ~ 90 °C for B of 18%. These can be attributed to a stronger role of antiferromagnetic coupling at high Cr content, which also tended to increase the crystallization temperature of BCC phase, as shown in the Table I. Apparently in order to have two separated crystalline processes, there is a necessary to reduce B content accompanied with increasing Cr substitution.

In the study we chose four Co_{40}Fe_{x}Cr_{y}B_{z} compositions for thin film depositions, with combinations of \{x, y, z\} identified in Table I. Notably, the onset crystallization temperature (T_{x1}) of BCC phase increased from 418°C at Cr of 10% to 456°C at Cr of 30%, indicating that BCC phase formed at a higher temperature with increasing Cr substitution. The magnetization decreased precipitously with increasing Cr substitution and was finally below 100 emu/cc at Cr of 30%, within the expectation of the antiferromagnetism of Cr.

Table 4.1 Summary of the onset temperatures (T_x) of crystallization phases determined by DSC measurements, saturation magnetization (M_s) for as-spun Co_{40}Fe_{x}Cr_{y}B_{z} alloys.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>x (at. %)</th>
<th>y (at. %)</th>
<th>z (at. %)</th>
<th>T_{x1} (°C)</th>
<th>T_{x2} (°C)</th>
<th>M_s (emu/cc)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co_{40}Fe_{32}Cr_{10}B_{18}</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co_{40}Fe_{27}Cr_{16}B_{17}</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co_{40}Fe_{24}Cr_{22}B_{14}</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co_{40}Fe_{20}Cr_{30}B_{10}</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On the other hand, the crystallization temperature for the boride phases was decreased with an increasing B content. This indicated that with a high B content, the limited solubility of B in the BCC phase saturated at a relatively low temperature, and thus promoted the Boron Segregation. In general a reduced B content is required when increasing the Cr content, to avoid the overlapping of the onset crystallization temperatures for the BCC and boride phases.

4.3.2 Thin films (contributed by Y.Cui from University of Virginia)

According to the composition engineering in the ribbon materials, the Cr and B contents were selected carefully in the co-sputtered targets for thin film depositions to achieve BCC phase with Boride phase suppressed. A typical crystallization process similar to the ribbon materials was demonstrated in Fig. 4.3. 2θ scans were taken in Co_{40}Fe_{20}Cr_{30}B_{10} films annealed at temperatures ranging from 350°C to 450°C. The films remained amorphous /nano-crystallized as the annealing temperature was below 400°C. Peak (110) at around 44.94° appeared with an annealing temperature ~400°C, indicating the α-BCC structure in thin films highly textured in (110) orientation. As the annealing temperature rose up to 450°C, an extra peak corresponding to a boride-type phase was detected around 42.9°, consistent with the observation in ribbon materials. The (110)-BCC peak did not shift much with varied compositions. Using Bragg’s law, the lattice constant a ~ 2.86 Å can be determined, which is nearly equal to the reported value. The misfit (3.9%) between Co_{40}Fe_{20}Cr_{30}B_{10} and MgO barrier in this orientation is small, which is comparable to CoFeB/MgO. An epitaxial growth of CoFeCrB on MgO layer is thus expected resulting a high coherent tunneling when incorporated into a MTJ.
By varying the growth rate of each target, the Cr content in thin films was systematically tuned from 10% to 30%. Fig. 4.4 showed the impact from Cr content on the magnetizations and coercive fields for both as-deposited and annealed films with annealing treatments with temperature ranging from 350°C to 450°C. In the films with Cr of 10%, the magnetization was 651 emu/cc in as-deposited state and increased to ~850 emu/cc after annealing, which were much lower than the typical value obtained in CoFeB. The magnetization was further reduced with an increasing Cr content, consistent with previous reports. As the Cr content increased to 30%, the magnetization was close to 0 in as-deposited state and increased to ~300 emu/cc after annealing treatments. These were within expectation giving the antiferromagnetism of Cr. The rapid reduction of magnetization made CoFeCrB as a prospective candidate for the free layer in STT-MRAM.
It is also worth pointing out that both onset crystallization temperatures of BCC phase \( (T_{x1}) \) and saturation magnetizations were shifted to a lower level in thin film samples compared with the ribbon samples with the same composition.

In addition, the saturation magnetization of CoFeCrB films increased with increasing annealing temperature, as performed in Fig. 4.4(a), which can be attributed to the boron diffusion [63-65]. During high temperature treatment, boron atoms might diffuse away from CoFeCrB at interfaces, resulting in the reduction of magnetic impurities (boron atoms) and therefore increase the magnetic moment. Since the size of the boron atom is much smaller than the other atoms (Co, Fe, Cr), boron diffusion must be easier and faster than other atoms’ diffusion, and therefore dominates the magnetization variation during annealing. To understand the boron behavior as a function of annealing temperature, further investigations with high-resolution transmission electron microscopy would be necessary.

Figure 4.4 The impact from Cr content on the (a) saturation magnetizations and (b) coercive fields for both as-deposited and annealed films with annealing treatments at various temperatures.

It was also demonstrated in Fig. 4.4(b) that the coercive field was enhanced with increasing Cr content. With Cr content ~ 30%, the coercive field was increased up to 500 Oe
after annealing to BCC phase. The coercivity enhancement has not been reported in Co-Fe-Cr-B system before. But it is worth noting that large coercive field was observed in Co-Fe-Cr system in previous reports [66-68]. The Kaneko alloy Co-Fe-Cr with Cr ranged from 20% to 40% has a spinodal decomposition from a \( \alpha \)-BCC solid solution into a two-phase structure in which the Fe rich \( \alpha_1 \)-phase clusters were magnetically isolated in Cr rich \( \alpha_2 \)-phase matrix. The high coercive field could be ascribed to the domain wall pinning effect or incoherent rotation process among \( \alpha_1 \)-phase clusters [66]. This mechanism could be similarly applied to Co-Fe-Cr-B system.

4.3.3 Damping parameter characterization

The Gilbert damping parameter was characterized for Co\(_{43}\)Fe\(_{29}\)Cr\(_{10}\)B\(_{18}\) films. In as-deposited samples the damping parameter was \( \sim 0.085 \), and it was reduced to 0.006 after annealing at 450 degree (no boride phase was detected at this temperature). The value was comparable with the value obtained in Co\(_{40}\)Fe\(_{20}\)B\(_{20}\) films [57]. Besides, it was much lower than previously reported values (0.02) for Co\(_{40}\)Fe\(_{40-x}\)Cr\(_{x}\)B\(_{20}\) films [59]. It was also indicated in reference [59] that the damping parameter remained as a constant regardless of the change of the Cr content \( x \) in the range of 0 to \( \sim 18\% \).

4.4. Summary

A two-stage crystallization was observed in CoFeCrB ribbons. A bcc phase was formed at a relatively low annealing temperature followed by boron segregation at a higher annealing temperature. The onset temperature for the bcc phase was raised with a higher Cr content. Besides, as the Boron content was increased, the limited solubility of B in the bcc phase saturated a lower annealing temperature, leading to a lower onset temperature for the boride phase. A careful control of the composition and annealing temperature is thus required to achieve a pure \( \alpha \)-bcc phase in CoFeCrB.
A similar crystallization process was observed in CoFeCrB thin films. The magnetic behaviors of CoFeCrB thin films were characterized as a function of the Cr content. The magnetization was significantly reduced as the Cr content increased in the films, also Cr substitution largely enhanced the coercive field of CoFeB. This could be ascribed to the spinodal decomposition of Fe-rich $\alpha_1$ phase clusters from the Cr-rich $\alpha_2$-phase matrix. The domain wall-$\alpha_1$ particle interaction results in a high coercive field. The Gilbert damping parameter was estimated around 0.006 in Co$_{43}$Fe$_{29}$Cr$_{18}$B$_{18}$ films, which is comparable with Co$_{40}$Fe$_{20}$B$_{20}$ films.
V. Interfacial perpendicular magnetic anisotropy

5.1 Introduction

Recently, strong interfacial perpendicular anisotropy between CoFeB and MgO, especially in Fe-rich composition [75], was shown with a high tunnel magnetoresistance ratio over 120%, high thermal stability at dimension as low as 40nm diameter and a low switching current of 49 μA [76]. However, large damping constant (α) of 0.01 was reported [79], resulting in the difficulty of the reduction in the intrinsic critical current density ($J_{c0}$) of low current-induced magnetization switching.

PMA alloy films with high spin polarization and low damping constant are promising spintronic materials for the further reduction in $J_{c0}$ [77]. Incorporating Ge into CoFe is found to increase the spin polarization in the bulk electron transport. The maximum polarization is achieved in the Ge composition range of 20 %–30 %, which is in concert with the damping minimum in $(\text{Co}_{50}\text{Fe}_{50})_{100-x}\text{Ge}_x$ films [78]. The low damping constant of $\alpha \sim 0.0025$ is significantly smaller than 0.01 of CoFeB [79]. In this letter, we fabricated Fe-rich Co$_{20}$Fe$_{50}$Ge$_{30}$ (CoFeGe) films faced to MgO layer and demonstrated the perpendicular magnetization of CoFeGe thin films. Another advantage of this composition is that Fe-rich composition has stronger interfacial anisotropy, which is consistent with theoretical calculations that BCC Fe(001)/MgO(001) interface anisotropy is greater than that of BCC Co(001)/MgO(001).

5.2 Experiments

CoFeGe films in this study were prepared on single crystalline MgO (001) substrates by RF magnetron sputtering system. The base pressure of the sputtering chamber was below $5 \times 10^{-7}$ Torr. Cubic MgO (001) substrates were selected to provide a seeding effect (the lattic mismatch between MgO and CoFeGe is $\sim 3.0\%$). Before the deposition, all the MgO substrates were
annealed at 150 °C for 30 min \textit{in situ} to remove the surface contamination such as H\textsubscript{2}O for a better seeding condition. Subsequently, the CoFeGe alloy films were deposited by means of co-sputtering with the elemental targets under a processing pressure around 4 × 10\textsuperscript{-3} Torr. The capping MgO layer was formed directly from a sintered MgO target to protect CoFeGe layer from oxidation. All the samples deposited at room temperature have typical structure consisting of MgO(001)/CoFeGe\textsubscript{t}/MgO(5 nm) ($t$ is the thickness of CoFeGe layer). Subsequently, the stacks were annealed at a temperature $T_a$ of 250 °C in a vacuum under a perpendicular magnetic field of 1400 Oe for two hours.

5.3 Results and Discussion

To investigate the structure of CoFeGe films, x-ray spectrum ($\theta$-$2\theta$ profile) is shown in Fig. 5.1 (a), which was obtained from the stack with CoFeGe(20 nm) after annealing. The strongest peak at about 42.9° is due to the diffraction of (002) MgO planes. Two small diffraction peaks were observed around 31.17° and 65.01° in the $2\theta$ scan, which correspond to (002) and (004) CoFeGe planes. This indicates that the fabricated CoFeGe film has a (001)-oriented $B_2$ order, characterized by total disorder between Fe and Ge, while Co atoms occupy regular site [78]. The in-plane epitaxial relationship between the CoFeGe film and MgO was determined by the $\Phi$ scan of the reflection from the (022) planes of CoFeGe and MgO. The results are displayed in Fig. 5.1(b). The four CoFeGe (022) peaks are due to the four-fold symmetry along the c-axis of CoFeGe, which also applied to the MgO (022) peaks. A separation of 45° from the positions of (022) peaks for the CoFeGe film to those of (022) peaks for the MgO substrate, confirms their epitaxial relationship to be CoFeGe(001)[100]/MgO(001)[110]. The $B_2$-ordered Co$_{20}$Fe$_{50}$Ge$_{30}$ is not half metallic but has a perfectly spin-polarized $\Delta_1$ band along the [001] direction; thus,
significant tunnel magneto-resistance (TMR) ratio enhancement attributed to the coherent tunneling effect is expected in CoFeGe-based MTJs. Using Bragg’s law, the lattice constant a ~ 5.736 Å can be determined, which is nearly equal to the reported value [80]. The misfit (–3.8%) between CoFeGe and MgO in this orientation enables the fabrication of a high-quality CoFeGe/MgO(001) epitaxial structure for low-resistance-area (RA) magnetic tunnel junctions (MTJs), which are essential to the observation of spin-transfer switching. To investigate the microstructure of CoFeGe film, cross-sectional HRTEM images were taken from the annealed stack with CoFeGe(2nm). To identify the phases, we measured the d spacing from the fast Fourier transformation (FFT) of the HRTEM image. We cannot unambiguously identify the (002) texture. However, CoFeGe (110) planes are evident, indicating a body centered cubic (bcc) symmetry in thin films.

![Figure 5.1](image)

**Figure 5.1** (a) XRD θ-2θ scan of Co$_{20}$Fe$_{50}$Ge$_{30}$ (20 nm) film on MgO (001). (b) Φ scan on the Co$_{20}$Fe$_{50}$Ge$_{30}$ (20 nm) sample. The red line represents data taken at 2θ of 44.66° (CoFeGe (022) peak) and the black one represents data taken at 62.45° (MgO (022) peak).

It has been reported that for conventional PMA material, a high annealing treatment of over 400 °C is required to obtain a highly ordered structure[81]. However, the average interface roughness increases sharply when the annealing temperature is increased to over 400 °C. This
might be a hindrance in achieving a large tunneling spin polarization. In contrast, our investigated CoFeGe films only require an annealing temperature of 250°C to form a highly spin-polarized chemically B2-ordered structure, which performs as a promising candidate for spintronics devices.

The magnetic behaviors of CoFeGe films were characterized along MgO [100] and [001] directions using the VSM option in Quantum Design VersaLab. The interfacial perpendicular anisotropy in thin CoFeGe films appeared after annealing, whereas magnetic easy axis was in-plane before annealing for all thicknesses, which may be due to the improvement of crystallization of the CoFeGe layer with optimized interface. Figure 5.2 shows the typical in-plane and out-of-plane hysteresis loops of the magnetization for the annealed stacks of MgO(001)/CoFeGe(t)/MgO(5 nm) with nominal thickness \( t = 1.2 \) nm and 2 nm, respectively. The sample with \( t_{\text{CoFeGe}} = 2 \) nm has an easy in-plane axis. A clear perpendicular anisotropy was realized with the in-plane saturation field \( H_k = 5500 \) Oe and out-of-plane coercivity \( H_c = 10 \) Oe for \( t = 1.2 \) nm. The saturation magnetization is 903 emu/cc, which is about half of that in conventional CoFeB system[79]. The large anisotropy field shown here allows the use of thinner magnetic layers and hence faster switching speeds, compared to in-plane devices, for the same activation energy. The total perpendicular anisotropy energy density \( K \) at this thickness, which determines the thermal stability, is \( 1.2 \times 10^6 \) erg/cm\(^3\), as calculated by evaluating the area enclosed between the in-plane and perpendicular M-H curves [81]. This method was acceptable for a semi-quantitative comparison given the isothermal measurement conditions, at which the sample was near the equilibrium state. The squareness of out-of-plane hysteresis loop, however, is not perfect.
It was also noticed that the magnetization $M_s$ of the film was reduced as the thickness was reduced (Fig. 5.3 circles). Such a trend implied the existence of a dead layer, i.e., the deteriorated crystalline structure or an intermixing between CoFeGe and the MgO layer. To determine the thickness of the dead layer and extract the saturation magnetization $M_s$ for CoFeGe in this series of samples, we linearly fitted the magnetization per unit area, $m_s = M_{sCoFeGe}$, as the film thickness ranged from 1.0 nm to 2.5 nm (Fig. 5.3 triangles). The x-intercept is the dead layer thickness, which was found to be 0.4 nm, while the slope gives the extracted $M_s$ of 1250 emu/cc. This value is consistent with the experimental bulk magnetization. It is not clear whether the presence of such a dead layer has any impact on the magnetic anisotropy. More film microstructure studies are undergoing to determine the origin of the “dead layer”.

Figure 5.2 In-plane (black) and out-of-plane (red) magnetic hysteresis loops for (a) 1.2 nm and (b) 2 nm annealed Co$_{20}$Fe$_{50}$Ge$_{30}$ films, respectively.
Figure 5.3 Saturation magnetization $M_s$ (emu/cc) (red) and magnetization per unit area $m_s$ (emu/cm²) (blue) vs the CoFeGe film thickness at 300 K.

To separate the bulk and interfacial contribution of the anisotropy, the overall magnetic anisotropy energy density $K$, obtained from magnetization measurements, can be expressed by the equation $K = K_b - 2\pi M_s^2 + K_i/t_{CoFeGe}$ [see solid line in Fig. 5.4], where $K_b$ and $K_i$ are the bulk and interfacial anisotropy energy densities, respectively, and $2\pi M_s^2$ is the demagnetization energy density. From the plot, it is clearly the anisotropy changes from out-of-plane to in-plane direction with the increase in CoFeGe film thickness. This transition occurs at thickness around 1.4 nm, indicating that the interface plays an important role for PMA. From the intercept to the vertical axis, $K_i$ is estimated to be 0.9 erg/cm², which is comparable to that of CoFeB/MgO [77]. $K_b$ is obtained to be $-3 \times 10^5$ erg/cm³ from the slope of the linear extrapolation, indicating the bulk anisotropy contributes to the in-plane anisotropy. Thus, the perpendicular anisotropy in this structure is entirely due to the interfacial perpendicular anisotropy between MgO and CoFeGe.
Figure 5.4 The dependence of total perpendicular anisotropy $K$ on film thickness, plotted as the product of $K$ and thickness vs. thickness.

The interfacial perpendicular anisotropy between oxide and ferromagnetic metal has been predicted by first-principles calculation and attributed to hybridization of Co or Fe 3$d$ and O 2$p$ orbitals [82] at the interface of MgO and CoFeGe. The hybridization of 3$dz^2$ and 2$pz$ orbitals reduces the binding energy of Co–O or Fe–O perpendicular to the interface between CoFeGe and MgO, which becomes lower than that of orbitals lying in the plane, resulting in strong PMA at the interface [82,83]. The PMA originates at the interface between the CoFeGe and MgO and is very sensitive to the thickness of each layer. Based on above, the effect of the thickness of CoFeGe ($t_{\text{CoFeGe}}$) is studied using samples with fixed thickness of MgO layer ($t_{\text{MgO}}$) (5.0 nm). The effects of $t_{\text{MgO}}$ on the magnetic properties of the annealed MgO(001)/CoFeGe/MgO multilayer films are, therefore, examined here. With the thickness of CoFeGe layer kept at an optimized 1.0 nm, In-plane and out-of-plane hysteresis loops were taken from MgO(001)/CoFeGe(1.0 nm)/MgO($t_{\text{MgO}}$)/Ta(5 nm). The Ta capping here was to protect films from oxidation. The samples with $t_{\text{MgO}} = 0$ nm exhibit in-plane anisotropy with a high anisotropic field of about 1 T (not shown). Notably, this sample has a considerable residual in-plane magnetic component,
which has not been observed in previous studies. PMA emerges with the insertion of 0.8-nm-thick MgO layer, revealing that the interface in CoFeGe/MgO structure is essential for PMA.

PMA increases with increasing $t_{\text{MgO}}$ and is greatest in the sample with $t_{\text{MgO}} = 2.0$ nm, as shown in Fig. 5.5. The PMA energy density $K$ at $t_{\text{MgO}} = 2.0$ nm is calculated to be $2 \times 10^6$ erg/cm$^3$, comparable to that of other PMA systems such as CoFeB/MgO [84] and Co/Pd perpendicular multilayers [85], and can satisfy the high thermal stability for devices with lower than 50 nm dimension.

Figure 5.5 In-plane (black) and out-of-plane (red) hysteresis loops for the annealed sample of MgO(001)/CoFeGe (1.0 nm)/MgO (2.0nm)/Ta(5nm).

In order to determine the Gilbert damping constant in the films ferromagnetic resonance (FMR) spectra were recorded using a broadband coplanar waveguide field-swept FMR setup, with an upper frequency limit of about 50 GHz. Those FMR measurements were one by T. Mewes’ team in University of Alabama. The resonance field dependence of the resonance frequency is well described by Kittel’s formula. The effective magnetization determined from this is in good agreement with the saturation magnetization determined by VSM. The gyromagnetic ratio $\gamma$ shows no significant variation with the reported value [78].
Figure 5.6 Frequency dependence of the linewidth for a 2.5 nm thick Co$_{20}$Fe$_{50}$Ge$_{30}$ alloy film (annealed for 2 h at 250 °C) with the field applied in the film plane and along the film normal (inset). Courtesy of T. Mewes’ work from University of Alabama.

For field-swept FMR experiments, the resonance frequencies dependence of the linewidth can be expressed as,

$$\Delta H = \Delta H_0 + \frac{2\alpha f}{\sqrt{3} \gamma'}$$

where $\gamma' = \gamma / 2\pi$ and $\alpha$ is the dimensionless Gilbert damping parameter, $\Delta H_0$ is referred as an inhomogenous residual linewidth present. A typical linewidth of the thin film stack MgO/CoFeGe(2.5 nm)/MgO(5 nm) annealed at $T_a$=250 °C is shown in Figure 5.6, with applied field parallel and perpendicular to the film plane. For the in-plane FMR measurement, $\Delta H$ becomes increasingly nonlinear with $f$ at higher frequencies. Such a nonlinear behavior is related to the inhomogeneous contribution to the linewidth caused for example by the anisotropy distribution of the different grains in the sample or the presence of two-magnon scattering in ultrathin films [86]. Consequently, in the perpendicular configuration, two-magnon scattering is suppressed. We present $\Delta H$ versus $f$ data measured with the applied field perpendicular to the
film (inset in Fig. 5.6). Clear linear dependence on frequency is observed. The Gilberting damping constant $\alpha$ was evaluated as $\sim 0.005$ directly from the linear fit in perpendicular configuration.

**5.4 Summary**

In conclusion, we have fabricated (001)-oriented Co$_{20}$Fe$_{50}$Ge$_{30}$ epitaxial films with the $B2$ crystal structure. We have demonstrated the perpendicular easy axis in Fe-rich CoFeGe thin film originating from the interfacial perpendicular magnetic anisotropy between CoFeGe and MgO. Its perpendicular magnetic anisotropy is found to depend strongly on the thickness of the CoFeGe and MgO layers. A perpendicular magnetic anisotropy energy density of $2 \times 10^6$ erg/cm$^3$ was achieved in the CoFeGe thin films, which suggests the feasibility of the application of CoFeGe to the perpendicular ferromagnetic electrodes of MTJs with high thermal stability and low magnetic damping at reduced dimension. There may be more factors responsible for large PMA in the present structures containing a thinner CoFeGe, which will be investigated in the future. However, it is important to mention that the present results are of significant practical importance toward the realization of high density spin transfer torque magneto-resistive random access memory (STT MRAM), because these structures can be combined to form an MgO-based perpendicular MTJ.
VI. Crystalline Alloys

6.1 Introduction

Remarkable interest has increased in the search for crystalline thin films with perpendicular magnetization, which are good candidates for pMTJs because they generally have large perpendicular magnetic anisotropy of the order of $10^7$ erg/cc. This high $K_u$ value is very important for obtaining good thermal stability for nanometer sized elements. $\text{Mn}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}$ ($x=70-75$) with DO$_{22}$ crystal structure has the potential to possess high PMA according to previous theoretical and experimental results. In this structure, an atomic layer of Mn and a layer containing both Mn and Ga atoms are arranged periodically along the $c$-axis [Figure 6.1]. Classic neutron scattering experiments showed that this material had a ferromagnetic structure and the magnetic moments of Mn atoms at sites I and II [Fig. 6.1] were $2.8 \pm 0.3 \mu_B$ and $1.6 \pm 0.2 \mu_B$, respectively. Consequently, a low $M_s$ can be observed at room temperature although the Curie temperature is higher than $770$ K [87]. The theoretically calculated spin polarization value as high as $88\%$ at the Fermi level originates from the strong difference in conductivity for minority and majority electrons [88].

![Figure 6.1. Lattice units of DO$_{22}$-Mn$_{2.5}$Ga, arrows stand for magnetic moment directions.](image-url)
6.2 Experiments

In this work, 50-nm-thick Mn$_{2.5}$Ga films were prepared by co-deposition of elemental targets on 40 nm-Cr-buffered MgO (001) substrates under base pressure less than 10$^{-6}$ Torr. The deposition temperature ($T_S$) of MnGa films varied from 250 to 400 °C. After deposition, each film was capped with a 5 nm-MgO layer to prevent films from oxidation.

6.3 Results and Discussion

Figure 6.2 XRD θ-2θ pattern of 50-nm Mn$_{2.5}$Ga film prepared at the substrate temperature of 400°C.

Figure 6.2 shows a typical XRD θ-2θ pattern of the Mn$_{2.5}$Ga film prepared at the substrate temperature of 400°C. In addition to peaks from MgO and Cr, only Mn$_{2.5}$Ga (002) and (004) peaks can be observed, indicating that Mn$_{2.5}$Ga films were grown with the tetragonal c axis along the normal direction. Since XRD patterns of the DO$_{22}$ and L1$_0$ crystal structure are quite similar [89,90], it is impossible to differentiate between them using only θ-2θ scans for our films. Hence, pole figure measurements (Φ-scan) were employed to confirm the crystal structure of Mn$_{2.5}$Ga films. The presence of fourfold (011) peaks in all Mn$_{2.5}$Ga films clearly indicates that the films have crystallized into the DO$_{22}$ structure because the
(011) peak is a forbidden diffraction peak in the $L1_0$ crystal structure. To determine the epitaxial relationships between the Mn$_{2.5}$Ga film and Cr/MgO substrate, we measured the Φ-scans of the MgO (022), Cr (022), and Mn$_{2.5}$Ga (024) peaks. The result for the film prepared at 400 °C is displayed in Figure 6.3. The epitaxial relationship can be determined as Mn$_{2.5}$Ga (001)[100]‖Cr (001)[110]‖MgO(001)[100], which also existed in films deposited at other temperatures. Lattice constants of $c$ and $a$ for epitaxial Mn$_{2.5}$Ga films were calculated. The value of $a = 3.909$ Å is approximately equal to the bulk value while the value of $c = 7.09$ Å was found to be almost constant in the temperature region from 250 to 400 °C.

![Figure 6.3 Φ-scan of the Mn$_{2.5}$Ga / Cr/MgO structure in which Mn$_{2.5}$Ga layer was prepared at 400°C.](image)

The in-plane and out-of-plane $M$-$H$ curves of the film prepared at 400 °C are given in Fig. 6.4. A well-squared loop is observed for the out-of-plane $M$-$H$ curve. However, the in-plane $M$-$H$ curve exhibits almost zero remnant magnetization and cannot be saturated even under a magnetic field of 15 kOe. This result indicates that the easy axis of the magnetization is perpendicular to film plane. Also, this feature holds for the films prepared at other temperatures.
Figure 6.4. In-plane and out-of-plane $M$-$H$ curves of the epitaxial $\text{Mn}_{2.5}\text{Ga}$ film prepared at 400 °C.

The dependence of saturation moments ($M_S$) on the deposition temperature ($T_s$) for epitaxial $\text{Mn}_{2.5}\text{Ga}$ films is shown in Figure 6.5, where the value of $M_S$ was obtained from the out-of-plane $M$-$H$ curve. From 250 to 400 ° C, an increase in $M_S$ is observed. Further increase in $T_s$ has a little effect on $M_S$, and $M_S$ stays at a nearly invariant value of 260 emu/cm$^3$. As mentioned above, obtaining a PMA material with low $M_s$ is crucial for applications in spintronic devices. In this respect, our films are superior to the $L1_0$-ordered PMA films, like FePt and CoPt [91], and can be comparable with the well-known ferrimagnetic PMA films, e.g., TbFeCo [92].
To evaluate the PMA properties quantitatively, the perpendicular magnetic anisotropy energy \( (K_u) \) was estimated using the relations \( K_u = M_s H_k/2 \). Here, the anisotropy field \( (H_k) \) was defined as the extrapolated intersection of the in-plane \( M-H \) curve with the saturation magnetization value of the out-of-plane \( M-H \) curve. \( K_u \) increased monotonically and reach maximum values at 400 °C and then decrease with further increase in \( T_s \). The highest \( K_u \) value \( (0.5 \times 10^7 \text{ erg/cm}^3) \) is comparable to that of other high PMA films, e.g., PtFe and CoPt [91,93]. Considering that the growth temperature of PMA films should be as low as possible for practical applications in spintronic devices, the epitaxial Mn\(_{2.5}\)Ga film is very promising because high PMA can be obtained at relative low growth temperature.

In summary, we investigated the effects of deposition temperature on structural and magnetic properties of epitaxial Mn\(_{2.5}\)Ga films. At optimum growth condition, the film exhibits giant PMA \( (K_u=0.5 \times 10^7 \text{ erg/cm}^3) \) and low saturation magnetization \( (M_s = 260 \text{ emu/cm}^3) \). Further experiments are being carried out to testify its high spin polarization.
VII. Tunable Perpendicular Magnetic Anisotropy in amorphous thin films

7.1 Introduction

For devices, it is preferable to use a single film layer with perpendicular magnetic anisotropy instead of multilayer in order to avoid complicated fabrication process and decrease the total thickness of the devices. One well-known candidate is amorphous rare-earth transition-metal (RE–TM) thin films with strong perpendicular magnetic anisotropy [94-97].

Amorphous GdFeCo films have been known to have a low saturation magnetization, preventing magnetization curling at the film edge. Furthermore, they possess Curie temperatures well above room temperature for a wide range of compositions [98]. Gd is a unique member of the lanthanide series in that its ground-state electronic configuration is $4f^7(5d6s)^3$ with the highest possible number of majority spin electrons and no minority spin electron in its $4f$ state according to Hund’s rule. In addition, because the $4f$ states of Gd are half filled, their orbital moment and spin-orbit coupling are zero. This $L=0$ state of Gd provides a favorable condition for low Gilbert damping, which is preferable in spin-torque-transfer devices. Amorphous GdFeCo alloys are ferrimagnets in which the Fe(Co) sublattices are antiferomagnetically coupled to the Gd sublattice in a collinear alignment, while the exchange coupling in the Fe(Co) sublattice is ferromagnetic [99]. These ferrimagnetic GdFeCo alloys tend to exhibit magnetic compensation behavior characterized by a vanishing magnetization below the Curie temperature [100]. Also, depending on the composition, amorphous GdFeCo films generally possess a uniaxial anisotropy with an anisotropy axis either perpendicular or parallel to the film plane.

In this study, we have obtained amorphous GdFeCo films for a wide range of Gd content via the combinatorial growth technique. We have investigated the compositional and temperature dependence of magnetization compensation in these amorphous ferrimagnetic Gd$_x$Fe$_{93-x}$Co$_7$
films and demonstrated the tunability of perpendicular magnetic anisotropy. Possible mechanisms for the observed perpendicular magnetic anisotropy are discussed.

7.2. Experimental procedure

The Gd$_x$Fe$_{93-x}$Co$_7$ (GdFeCo) films were prepared at ambient temperature on thermally oxidized Si substrates using rf magnetron sputtering. The base pressure of the sputtering chamber was $\sim 7 \times 10^{-7}$ Torr. GdFeCo alloy films were deposited by means of co-sputtering with the elemental targets under a processing Ar gas pressure around $5 \times 10^{-3}$ Torr. The capping MgO layer was formed directly from a sintered MgO target to protect GdFeCo layer from oxidation. All the samples deposited at room temperature had a typical structure consisting of Si(100)/SiO$_2$/Gd$_x$Fe$_{93-x}$Co$_7$$(15 \leq x \leq 59$ at. %)/MgO(6 nm) with a fixed thickness of GdFeCo layer $\sim 50$ nm.

7.3. Results and discussions

The amorphous structures of the as-deposited samples were confirmed by TEM and XRD observations. Fig. 7.1(a) shows a typical cross-sectional TEM image obtained from as-deposited Gd$_{22}$Fe$_{71}$Co$_7$ film on the Si/ SiO$_2$ substrate. The microstructure was dense with no visible cracks or holes, and all layers in the structure were well adhered to each other. The uniform thickness of Gd$_{22}$Fe$_{71}$Co$_7$ film was measured to be 52 nm in Fig. 7.1(a). The high resolution TEM image in Fig. 7.1(b) revealed the featureless nanoscale structure that indicated the lack of long-range order. The broad ring pattern in the fast Fourier-transform (FFT) image in the inset of Fig. 7.1(b) indicated the lack of crystallinity. In addition, XRD scans showed that there were no diffraction peaks other than those from the substrate for GdFeCo films with different compositions (not shown here). The XRD results were consistent with the TEM, indicating that all the as-deposited samples were amorphous in nature, without the formation of a long-range structural order.
Atomic force microscopy (AFM) measurements showed that the surfaces were free of pinholes and were flat with roughness less than 1 nm.

Figure 7.1 (a) Cross-sectional TEM image of as-deposited Gd$_{22}$Fe$_{71}$Co$_7$ film on SiO$_2$/Si substrate. 6 nm MgO layer was used to cap the film; (b) high-resolution TEM image of the Gd$_{22}$Fe$_{71}$Co$_7$ film. The inset is a FFT pattern of the image.

7.3.1. Low Gd-content films

The magnetization of GdFeCo films were characterized in the in-plane and out-of-plane directions using the VSM option in Quantum Design VersaLab. Fig. 7.2 shows the temperature dependence of saturation magnetization of as-deposited GdFeCo films for several compositions. Saturation magnetization ($M_s$) was extracted from the hysteresis loops measured as a function of temperature between 100 K and 400 K. Compensation temperature ($T_{comp}$) was defined as the temperature at which $M_s(T)$ reached its minimum. The saturation moments at the compensation temperatures were below 100 emu/cc. The observed small saturation moment was due to the ferrimagnetism of amorphous RE-TM alloys. The TM-TM ferromagnetic interaction aligns the magnetic moments among Fe and Co ions, which are coupled antiferromagnetically with the
magnetic moments of Gd. As a result, the net moment is the difference between the magnetic moments of Gd and Co(Fe). At the compensation temperature, the moments of the two magnetic sublattices were nearly equal, giving rise to a low saturation magnetization.

![Figure 7.2](image)

Figure 7.2 Temperature dependence of the saturation magnetization of as-deposited GdFeCo films with various Gd concentrations (x = 22, 27, 30 and 35). The inset in (b) shows the dependence of the magnetization compensation temperature $T_{\text{comp}}$ on the Gd concentration.

Due to the different temperature dependence of the sublattice magnetizations, compensation temperature can be varied, depending on the compositions. The variation of $M_s$ with temperature (Fig. 7.2) resembled the expected compensation behavior when approaching the compensation point. The GdFeCo films with $x = 22$, 27 and 30 at. % exhibited the compensation temperatures $T_{\text{comp}}$ at 300 K, 350 K and 378 K, respectively. However, for several other compositions, there was no compensation point within the investigated temperature interval from 100 to 400 K. For Gd$_{35}$Fe$_{58}$Co$_7$ film, the compensation point was not obtained due to the limitation of the measurement temperature range. For the sample Gd$_{15}$Fe$_{78}$Co$_7$, the dependence of saturation magnetization on $T$ (not shown) indicated that the magnetization of the Fe(Co)
sublattices exceeded the magnetization of the Gd sublattice in the whole temperature range. This was due to the fact that ferromagnetic exchange of the Fe(Co) sublattices dominates the magnetic behavior at low Gd content. As the Gd content increases and Fe(Co) content decreases, there is a corresponding increase in the antiferromagnetic coupling relative to the ferromagnetic exchange, and magnetic compensation emerges. Further increase in the Gd concentration resulted in the increase in $T_{\text{comp}}$, as shown in the inset to Fig. 7.2.

According to magnetization measurements, the perpendicular magnetic anisotropy in GdFeCo films appeared near their compensation temperatures, whereas otherwise the magnetic easy axis was in-plane. Fig. 7.3(a) shows the typical normalized out-of-plane hysteresis loops of the as-deposited Gd$_{27}$Fe$_{66}$Co$_7$ films at various temperatures. At 250K, far from $T_{\text{comp}}$~350K, magnetization was dominated by in-plane anisotropy. Near the compensation point, perpendicular magnetic anisotropy was dominant, and a square hysteresis loop was established in the out-of-plane direction with coercivity near 100 Oe.

![Figure 7.3](image)

**Figure 7.3** (a) Normalized out-of-plane hysteresis loops of as-deposited Gd$_{27}$Fe$_{66}$Co$_7$ film measured at 250, 300, and 350 K. (b) In-plane (black square) and out-of-plane (red circle) hysteresis loops of 50 nm as-deposited Gd$_{27}$Fe$_{71}$Co$_7$ film.
From the magnetization variation curve (Fig. 7.2), the Gd$_{22}$Fe$_{71}$Co$_{7}$ film had magnetic compensation temperature at room temperature, which has particular technological importance [101]. Fig. 7.3(b) shows the room-temperature in-plane and out-of-plane hysteresis (M-H) loops of the 50 nm Gd$_{22}$Fe$_{71}$Co$_{7}$ film, indicating that this composition has PMA with out-of-plane coercivity of about 360 Oe. The total perpendicular anisotropy energy density ($K_u$), which determines the thermal stability, was $3.8 \times 10^5$ erg/cm$^3$, as calculated by evaluating the area enclosed between the in-plane and perpendicular M-H curves [102]. The out-of-plane loop showed sharp, square switching characteristics with a squareness of one (1). For Gd$_{22}$Fe$_{71}$Co$_{7}$ film, a relatively small concentration of the high-moment RE magnetically compensated the lower moment TM at room temperature. Since the tunneling current in spintronics devices is dominated by that from the highly polarized TM atoms, this material would be very useful for device applications because it would eliminate magnetic dipole fields that can give rise to significant magnetic coupling within and between devices.

The above results underlined the fact that in GdFeCo system, the magnetization anisotropy may be easily tuned by adjusting the composition and/or the temperature. In the low-Gd region of $x$ between 20 and 34, the Gd sublattice dominated the overall magnetization of the system below the compensation temperature. However, Above $T_{\text{comp}}$ the magnetization of the Fe(Co) sublattice prevailed and the total magnetization of the system continuously increased on further warming. Since the magnetic anisotropy can vary around this temperature, $T_{\text{comp}}$ is an important parameter that ensures the stability of the stored information.

7.3.2. High Gd-content films

At room temperature, amorphous GdFeCo films with Gd concentrations varying between 52% and 59% were found to exhibit low magnetization. Fig. 7.4 shows the temperature
dependence of saturation magnetization of as-deposited GdFeCo films for Gd concentrations at 54% and 57%. The magnetization decreased precipitously with increasing temperature and finally decreased to near zero between 370 and 400 K. Magnetic compensation temperature was not observed in this composition region, which was consistent with some recently reported computational results on GdFeCo films [103]. The film compositions with 54 and 57 at. % Gd exhibited Curie temperatures at 375 K and 400 K, respectively, much lower than 500 K of the composition with 22 at. % Gd [104]. These can be attributed to a stronger role of antiferromagnetic coupling at high Gd content, which also tended to reduce the Curie temperature.
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**Figure 7.4.** Temperature dependence of the saturation magnetization of GdFeCo films with various Gd concentrations (x = 54 and 57).

In view of the low magnetization, perpendicular magnetic anisotropy was investigated at room temperature for Gd content between 52% to 59%. Fig. 7.5(a) shows the compositional dependence of out-of-plane coercivity ($H_c$) and saturation magnetization ($M_s$) of 50 nm as-deposited perpendicularly magnetized GdFeCo films for x between 52 and 59. With the
increasing content of Gd, $M_s$ decreased from 100 to 55 emu/cc at x=57, then increased to 84 emu/cc at x=59. However, the out-of-plane coercivity showed an opposite trend, which increased with increasing Gd concentration and was greatest in the sample with 57 at. % Gd. Fig. 7.5(b) shows the typical hysteresis loops of as-deposited Gd$_{57}$Fe$_{36}$Co$_7$ film with thickness of 50 nm. A clear perpendicular anisotropy was realized with out-of-plane coercivity $H_c = 6.6$ kOe. The total perpendicular anisotropy energy density $K_u$, which determined the thermal stability, was $2.6 \times 10^5$ erg/cc. Generally, the RE ions exhibit large local magnetic anisotropy due to its spin-orbit coupling. Gd is in the L=0 state, spin-orbit coupling is supposed to be small; however, the 5d electrons have finite spin-orbit coupling which can be partially responsible for this anisotropy. Because of their large out-of-plane coercivity, ferrimagnetic GdFeCo films in the composition range $52 \leq x \leq 59$ can be of technological importance in the area of the thermomagnetic recording devices.

Figure 7.5 (a) Gd-content dependence of $M_s$ (black triangle) and $H_c$ (blue circle) for perpendicularly magnetized GdFeCo films between 52 and 59 at. % Gd. (b) In-plane and out-of-plane hysteresis loops of Gd$_{57}$Fe$_{36}$Co$_7$ film with thickness at 50 nm.
7.3.3. Discussion

Perpendicular magnetic anisotropy at room temperature was found in the compositions near 23 and 57 at. % Gd. Since amorphous alloys lack structural long-range order, one might expect that atomic-scale structure plays an important role in determining the properties of these alloys. Studies have attempted to correlate the magnetic anisotropy of amorphous RE-TM films with various structural characteristics ranging from columnar textures [105] to microcrystallinity [106] to local magnetic anisotropy or/and atomic-scale anisotropy [107-109]. Cross-sectional TEM study (Fig. 7.1) failed to detect nanoscale columnar growth and microcrystallinity in the amorphous GdFeCo films. As discussed above, local magnetic anisotropy of rare earth atoms does not play a role in Gd-Fe-Co, unlike other rare earth elements such as Tb and Dy; and yet amorphous Gd-Fe-Co films show robust PMA behavior with a large $H_c$ comparable to that of amorphous Tb-Fe-Co films [110]. These findings suggest that the observed perpendicular magnetic anisotropy in amorphous GdFeCo films probably has a structural origin involving atomic-scale anisotropy. This atomic-scale order can be anisotropic in as-grown films, which influences the short-range exchange interaction, leading to anisotropic properties. The perpendicular anisotropy may arise from the change in nearest neighbor distance and the coordination numbers for RE and TM sublattices which can affect the short range order.

7.4. Conclusion

In summary, it was shown that the magnetic anisotropy of amorphous ferrimagnetic Gd$_x$Fe$_{93-x}$Co$_7$ films can be controlled by varying the composition as well as temperature. The low-Gd region of x between 20 and 34 were found to exhibit compensation phenomena characterized by a low saturation magnetization and perpendicular magnetic anisotropy near the
compensation temperature. Furthermore, low magnetizations and perpendicular magnetic anisotropy with large coercivity of 6.6 kOe were observed at room temperature in previously unreported composition range of 52-59 at. % Gd. No compensation temperature was measured in this range, which was consistent with recent model calculations. The observed perpendicular magnetic anisotropy in amorphous GdFeCo films probably has a structural origin consistent with certain aspects of the atomic-scale anisotropy. Our results have provided a way to fabricate GdFeCo films with tunable magnetic anisotropy by varying the composition or/and temperature, making these amorphous films attractive for future nanomagnetic devices.
VIII. Conclusions

In this dissertation, we have investigated a wide range of materials with magnetic anisotropy varying from in-plane to fully perpendicular: from multilayer stacks and Co/Fe-based perpendicular crystalline alloys to amorphous GdFeCo. These materials hold a new generation of spintronics devices that can be integrated into conventional complementary metal-oxide semiconductor technology. These devices, when fully optimized, can be used in magnetic random access memory (MRAM), as well as spin transfer torque random access memory (STT-RAM) devices and also have potential applications in future spin-based quantum computer systems.

In chapter four, we have investigated the structural and magnetic properties of Cr-doped CoFeB films. Structure characterization revealed the crystallization sequence with a primary crystallization of BCC phase from an amorphous precursor followed by the precipitation of boride phases at a higher annealing temperature. In order to form BCC phase with Boride phase suppressed, specific film compositions were chosen with reduced B content accompanied by increasing Cr substitution. Film Compositions high in chromium showed a significant improvement in coercivity (500 Oe) and reduction in magnetization (300 emu/cc) after annealing, making it promising spintronic materials for achieving low switching current.

In chapter five and seven of the dissertation we systematically studied various perpendicular magnetic anisotropy (PMA) magnetic multilayered systems. The multilayered system we initially investigated is the epitaxial growth of CoFeGe/MgO structure. Structure characterization revealed (001)-oriented B2 order of Co_{20}Fe_{50}Ge_{30} well lattice matched with the MgO barrier. Perpendicular magnetic anisotropy was achieved in the MgO/Co_{20}Fe_{50}Ge_{30}/MgO structure with an optimized magnetic anisotropy energy density of $2 \times 10^6$ erg/cm$^3$. The
magnetic anisotropy is found to depend strongly on the thickness of the MgO and Co$_{20}$Fe$_{50}$Ge$_{30}$ layers, indicating that the perpendicular magnetic anisotropy of Co$_{20}$Fe$_{50}$Ge$_{30}$ is contributed by the interfacial anisotropy between Co$_{20}$Fe$_{50}$Ge$_{30}$ and MgO. With reported low damping constant, Co$_{20}$Fe$_{50}$Ge$_{30}$ films are promising spintronic materials for achieving low switching current.

In chapter six of the dissertation, we have investigated a different type of perpendicular magnetic anisotropy (PMA) materials, namely, D0$_{22}$ crystalline alloy. D0$_{22}$ alloy was initially investigated for advanced media applications; however, due to their high thermal stability coupled with perpendicular magnetic anisotropy, these alloys were subsequently investigated for perpendicular magnetic tunnel junctions. We report on epitaxial growth and magnetic properties of Mn$_{2.5}$Ga thin films, which were deposited on Cr/MgO single crystal substrates by magnetron sputtering. X-ray diffraction results revealed the epitaxial relationships as Mn$_{2.5}$Ga(001)[100]∥Cr(001)[110]∥MgO(001)[100]. The presence of (002) and (011) superlattice peaks indicates that the films were crystallized into DO$_{22}$ ordered structures. A large $K_u=0.5 \times 10^7$ erg/cc and low magnetization of 260 emu/cc were obtained.

Finally, we have also investigated perpendicular magnetic anisotropy (PMA) of rare earth transition metal (RE-TM) alloys which can be used for free and pinned layers in pMTJs because of their tunable magnetic properties such as saturation magnetization and coercivity. The compositional and temperature dependence of magnetic compensation in amorphous ferrimagnetic Gd$_x$Fe$_{93-x}$Co$_7$ alloy films were explored. Magnetic compensation is attributed to the competition between antiferromagnetic coupling of rare-earth (RE) with transition-metal (TM) ions and ferromagnetic interaction between the TM ions. The low-Gd region of $x$ between 20 and 34 was found to exhibit compensation phenomena characterized by a
low saturation magnetization and perpendicular magnetic anisotropy (PMA) near the compensation temperature. Compensation temperature was not observed in previously unreported high-Gd region of x=52-59, in qualitative agreement with results from recent model calculations. However, low magnetization was achieved at room temperature, accompanied by a large PMA with coercivity reaching ~6.6 kOe. The observed perpendicular magnetic anisotropy of amorphous GdFeCo films probably has a structural origin consistent with certain aspects of the atomic-scale anisotropy. Our findings have broadened the composition range of transition metal-rare earth alloys for designing PMA films, making it attractive for tunable magnetic anisotropy in nanoscale devices.

Another importance characterization parameters are chemical ordering type and the degree of certain chemical ordering based on the diffraction patterns, which is important for ordered alloys such as MnGa and CoFeGe and will be explored in future work.
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