

Ethical Considerations for Lunar and Martian Colonization

A Research Paper submitted to the Department of Engineering and Society

Presented to the Faculty of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

University of Virginia • Charlottesville, Virginia

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree

Bachelor of Science, School of Engineering

George Ardura

Spring 2023

On my honor as a University Student, I have neither given nor received unauthorized aid on this assignment as defined by the Honor Guidelines for Thesis-Related Assignments

Advisor

Bryn E. Seabrook, Department of Engineering and Society

STS Research Paper

Ethical Considerations for Lunar and Martian Colonization

History is dominated by colonization and the migration of humans. Whether this colonization and migration came with conflict, exploitation, or benefits to human culture and life depends on the situation, however the seeming inevitability of exploration and colonization is clear. Humanity is now embarking on the first manned missions outside of the Earth-Moon system and beginning the construction and development of colonies across the Solar System ushering in the conversion of Humanity from a terrestrial to an interplanetary species. Because of this, questions about the limitations of the idea of the “duty”, inevitability, or benefits of such development when it comes to the ethics and morals of how this may affect humans along the way and at the end goal have emerged.

Through which ethical lens should the key decision makers that lead humanity’s exploration of the Moon and Mars look use to make decisions that lead to the greatest benefit for humanity? In other words, what principles and primary and dominant moral purposes should and must guide Humanity’s space endeavor? Where do we draw the line when making decisions that negatively impact people immediately or in the short term but may lead to incredible benefits down the line? Thus far, many engineers and decision makers working in the field of space travel have made this decision based off of a sense of duty and destiny either purely for the sake of technological innovation, or for a duty to national pride. Therefore, the Deontology STS framework will be used to understand this sense of purpose felt by the like of Wehner Von Braun and others. In addition to the Deontology Framework, the Technological Momentum STS Framework will also be used to elaborate on how space travel and colonization technologies can

and will develop due to the present and potential future socioeconomic contexts of Human Civilization.

Research Question and Methods

What principles and moral purposes should guide Human space flight and how can these be used to create a rigorous decision-making framework to support ethical spaceflight and colonization? Additionally, where should stakeholders draw the line when making decisions that negatively impact people immediately or in the short term but may lead to incredible benefits down the line? To conduct a comprehensive analysis of the ethics and ethical considerations associated with human spaceflight and space colonization, I have employed a multifaceted research approach. First, I have gathered data through a thorough review of online resources that focuses on the history of space travel technological development and the sociopolitical contexts shaping this in the past and in the present. Particular attention was paid to objectives, missions, and priorities of national space agencies, such as NASA and the China National Space Administration, as well as private partners collaborating with these organizations, including SpaceX, Blue Origin, and others. The collected data was then organized and analyzed to identify historical trends in the field of space travel and colonization. This analysis allowed for the creation of a framework for understanding how the future of space exploration may unfold, both technologically and socio-politically. Building upon the trend analysis, I further examined the ethical implications of the anticipated future developments in human spaceflight and space colonization. I investigated various ethical frameworks informed by human history, colonization, and exploration to create a comprehensive understanding of potential ethical challenges and considerations. Based on the research, I propose a robust decision-making process for relevant stakeholders in the space exploration and colonization domain, which considers the ethical

frameworks and considerations identified in the study. Finally, a conclusion was written to summarize the key results and implications for future space flight and colonization along with providing avenues for further research.

The History of Ethics in Spaceflight

The development of liquid rocket technology, the basis of modern rocketry and space travel, and the beginnings of space travel began as amateur endeavors to explore a novel technology and how it may be used to launch human higher than ever before. Robert Goddard found liquid rocketry to be a promising tool that had the potential to launch humans beyond the reach of Earth when, as a school boy, he asked his teacher, “Could a rocket make it past the strong pull of Earth’s gravity?” along with many other questions (Streissguth, 1995). This fascination with this technology and his idea that he had a duty in life to achieve interplanetary travel via a rocket led him to move mountains in the technological field of rocketry.

Later on in the history of space travel, Wernher Von Braun, took up the work of Goddard and developed it into the V1 and V2 rockets which were breakthrough technologies that would serve as foundations for the American and Soviet space programs, of which Von Braun would become an instrumental contributor to the Apollo program. Wernher Von Braun, who is widely considered the father of modern rocketry and the Apollo Program, made technical strides in rocket technology in Nazi Germany before and during WW2. In fact, he built many of his rockets using slave laborers from the Dora Mittelbau concentration camp with over 20,000 laborers dying in the process with the produced rockets going on to kill civilians (Tzvi, 2021). Von Braun justified this by saying “My refusal to join the party would have meant that I would have to abandon the work of my life. Therefore, I decided to join. My membership in the party did not involve any political activities...” (Eramian, 2020). It is important to note that Von Braun

had a strong incentive to paint his work under the Nazi regime as being one purely built on his love for rocketry, and therefore, his words on the matter may be untruthful or misleading about the truth of the matter. Assuming he was being entirely honest, Von Braun's alleged dedication and sense of duty towards advancing space travel technology over any other concern led him to justify the support of the Nazi war machine and the horrendous use of concentration camp prisoners as worker as a means to a greater end.

Goddard and Von Braun are not alone in their work in the field of rocketry and space travel being motivated by larger than life missions to advance humanity. In Von Braun's case, the line where the ends justify the means passed way beyond the line that ethicists of that day and today would draw the line as he sacrificed countless lives for the pursuit of rocket advancements. However, this historical fact once again poses the question of where must this line be drawn, especially since these advancements in rocketry and space travel have allowed for an unbelievable leap forward in communication, general technology, and the creations of weather satellites and agricultural satellites which have demonstrably saved many thousands if not millions of lives (Arroyo, 2012). Furthermore, the experience of Von Braun and his rockets not only being used to target civilians, but also being built through slave labor under inhuman conditions in concentration camps emphasizes the fact that a pure focus on technological progress can come at the cost of human lives and can be support unethical and inhuman goals as Von Braun's work under the Nazi regime did.

The Importance of Technological Momentum & Deontology

The STS frameworks of Technological Momentum (Hughes, 1987) and Deontology (Kant, 1785/1993) provide valuable lenses through which the ethical principles and moral purposes guiding human spaceflight and colonization can be examined. By integrating these frameworks

into the existing discussion, we can gain a deeper understanding of the complex interplay between technology, ethics, and society in the context of space exploration.

Technological Momentum is a Science, Technology, and Society (STS) framework that asserts that as technology becomes more entrenched in society, it gains inertia that leads to a interdependent development of both technology and society. This is a time dependent idea where, as Hughes has defined it, technology is easily influenced by society as it begins development and as time progresses, it gains inertia that makes societal influence upon the technology to decrease while increasing the ability of the technology to influence society eventually shaping the environment and society around it (Hughes, 1987).

Integrating the concept of technological momentum into the discussion helps highlight the need for proactive ethical analysis and decision-making in the early stages of technology development. By considering ethical implications upfront, stakeholders can avoid becoming locked into potentially harmful technological trajectories. The five-point decision-making framework proposed in the paper, if applied early and continuously, can help ensure that the technological momentum of space exploration and colonization is directed in a more ethically responsible and sustainable manner.

Deontology is an ethical framework rooted in the work of Immanuel Kant. It is a duty-based set of ethics in which actors in a system act along a set of principles or duties. Furthermore, this framework emphasizes the importance of moral duties and principles in guiding action asserting that the rightness or wrongness of an act is determined by its inherent nature rather than its consequences (Kant, 1785/1993).

In the context of space exploration and colonization, deontological ethics can be applied to the principles of beneficence, non-maleficence, autonomy, and justice discussed in the paper.

These principles reflect duties and obligations that stakeholders must uphold, such as promoting the well-being of all involved parties, avoiding harm, respecting individual autonomy, and ensuring equitable distribution of resources and benefits. Additionally, Deontology can be used to understand the decision-making processes of stakeholders, especially ones who are guided by values or feelings of duty related to the idea of destiny when it comes to expansion across the solar system. Understanding this allows for other ethics to be intentionally brought into the decision-making process to create a more multidimensional ethical approach.

Integrating deontological ethics into the discussion highlights the importance of considering moral duties and obligations when making decisions related to space exploration and colonization, even if the outcomes of those decisions may be uncertain or distant. This approach complements the other ethical frameworks mentioned in the paper, such as consequentialism (focusing on the balance between short-term negative impacts and long-term benefits) and virtue ethics (emphasizing the development of moral character in decision-makers).

Incorporating STS frameworks like technological momentum and deontology into the ethical analysis of human spaceflight and colonization is crucial as it enables a more comprehensive understanding of the complex interrelationships between technology, ethics, and society. By taking these frameworks into account, stakeholders can make more informed and ethically sound decisions as they navigate the challenges of space exploration and colonization.

In *Access to Space*, one scholar articulates space travel as an idea built upon a process of “agenda setting, formulation, implementation, and change” which usually comes from state actors but can also come from private entities. This approach looks at how space travel development comes about as it connects as an idea to other more powerful ideas such as national security or national prestige rather than an approach more similar to the one discussed in this

paper, namely, how it manifests as a result of the dreams and senses of duty of those doing the administrative and technical work to make the technological advancements. However, in another sense this paper is highly useful in relation to how this paper uses the technological momentum framework to understand how space travel technologies have developed alongside sociopolitical developments like the ones discussed in the cited article. The combination of sociopolitical motivations and technical needs and direction have shaped how this technology has developed and thus, is a necessary component of understanding the development of this technology (Sadeh, 2005)

In Space Travel: Risk, Ethics, and Governance in Commercial Human Spaceflight, Langston approaches the problem of ethical limitations and regulations that the US government has placed on space travel to analyze the limitations of the current set of ethical and moral standards. Approaching this problem in his paper provides critical information for this paper on what the current state of sociopolitical intervention on space exploration efforts is and how these have evolved in the past, thus providing ideas on how they may change in the future.

In The Risks of Nuclear Powered Space Probes, Graves looks at the risks of nuclear powered space probes using the STS framework of Deontology which is very similar to the approach and topic of this paper. In this article, Graves particularly looks at the ethics of putting some small subset of humans at risk of danger for the sake of allowing the use of a potentially breakthrough technology like Nuclear powered space probes in allowing for further and more cost-effective interplanetary exploration and eventually, perhaps, human exploration and colonization. He concludes that though there are semblances of duty to a greater common good, the risk from such actions on people who do not provide consent, such as those that might suffer from a crash on Earth, is not worthwhile or ethical.

A Five-Point Decision Making Framework

The research question posed in this paper investigates the principles and moral purposes that should guide human space flight and colonization, and how these can be used to create a rigorous decision-making framework that supports ethical space exploration and colonization endeavors. The analysis revealed that the ethical principles that ought to underpin human space flight and colonization include intergenerational justice, sustainability, equitable distribution, beneficence, non-maleficence, autonomy, and justice. By integrating ethical considerations from various domains, such as political ethics, historical lessons from human colonization, and humanity's moral obligation to support space exploration, a comprehensive, five-point decision-making framework has been proposed. The five-point decision-making framework consists of a multidimensional ethical approach, international and inter-firm cooperation, long-term social and economic impact considerations, considering long term environmental impacts, and the regulation of commercial interests. By incorporating this ethical framework into their decision-making processes, organizations leading space exploration and colonization efforts can work collaboratively to ensure responsible and equitable pursuit of the benefits of space exploration and colonization. In addition, Spaceflight stakeholders should carefully consider the balance between short-term negative impacts and long-term benefits, drawing on principles of dissent and independence (Szocik et. al, 2020) and traditional bioethical principles (Mautner, 2009) to make responsible decisions. Organizations which are at the forefront of space exploration and who have high potential to engage in colonization missions, such as NASA, CNSA, SpaceX, and Blue Origin, have varying missions and priorities, but they all share a common goal of advancing human understanding of space and expanding our presence beyond Earth. By incorporating this ethical decision-making framework into their decision-making processes and promoting

international cooperation, these organizations can work together to ensure that the benefits of space exploration and colonization are pursued responsibly and equitably, with due consideration for both short-term negative impacts and long-term benefits.

Current Sociopolitical Context

NASA, CNSA, SpaceX, and Blue Origin are four leading institutions and organizations on the forefront of space flight and space colonization and therefore are likely to continue being influential stakeholders as human spaceflight increases and space colonization begins. By understanding their priorities and objectives, insight can be gained about the state of ethics in the realm of space flight and colonization.

NASA's states that its primary mission is to advance human understanding of space, Earth, and the cosmos by conducting scientific research, developing cutting-edge technology, and fostering international cooperation (National Aeronautics and Space Administration, n.d.). NASA's priorities include deep space exploration, including human missions to the Moon and Mars, Earth science, astrophysics, and heliophysics, as well as aeronautics research and technology development (Loff, 2015). These stated goals are driven by the desire for technological development, national pride, and the potential to support a commercial space industry for economic growth. CNSA's primary mission is to advance China's space technology and applications for the benefit of the Chinese nation and its people (China National Space Administration, n.d.). Its priorities include lunar and Mars exploration, the construction and operation of the Chinese space station, satellite applications, and international cooperation (Wall, 2022). The stated goals of NASA and CNSA are similarly driven by the desire for technological development, national pride, and the potential to support a commercial space industry for economic growth. These motivations are guided by political pressure that can lead to

organizational cost-benefit analyses driven more by values, the acquisition of science, or international prestige-based competition rather than economic opportunity and competition rather.

SpaceX's primary mission is to revolutionize space technology and make life multiplanetary, with the ultimate goal of enabling human colonization of Mars (SpaceX, n.d.). Its priorities include developing the Starship vehicle for deep space exploration, advancing reusable rocket technology, providing satellite-based internet services through the Starlink constellation, and launching crewed and uncrewed missions to the International Space Station. Blue Origin's primary mission is to enable a future where millions of people can live and work in space, with the ultimate goal of creating a sustainable human presence beyond Earth (Blue Origin, n.d.). Its priorities include developing reusable launch vehicles like New Shepard and New Glenn, advancing lunar exploration through the development of the Blue Moon lunar lander, and promoting space tourism through suborbital flights. As commercial spaceflight companies, though SpaceX and Blue Origin both state that their missions are to make life interplanetary, they are also highly driven and constrained by the market and the need to produce a profit which is dissimilar to organizations like NASA or the CNSA and can produce a different set of decision-making processes and cost-benefit analyses driven more from economic opportunity and competition rather than a purely scientific or value driven one.

Though the two national space agencies and the two commercial spaceflight companies discussed are driven to expand human presence in space and the eventual colonization of a celestial body, it is an important point that these two organizational forms are pressured by different forces, politics for the national space agencies, and profit for the commercial spaceflight companies.

The History of Exploration and Colonization

Human history has been marked by numerous exploration and colonization endeavors that have shaped our understanding of the world and our place in it. These historical events offer valuable lessons on the potential limitations and negative consequences associated with particular actions or objectives. For example, the European colonization of the Americas led to the displacement and decimation of indigenous populations, as well as the exploitation of natural resources (Todorov, 1999). Similarly, the British colonization of Australia resulted in significant negative impacts on the Aboriginal people and their culture (Reynolds, 2006). By examining these historical events, stakeholders can learn from past mistakes and seek to minimize the negative consequences associated with human spaceflight and colonization of other planets.

In the context of space exploration and colonization, drawing on historical lessons is crucial to ensuring that ethical principles are upheld and potential negative consequences are minimized. For instance, the United Nations' Outer Space Treaty (1967), which emphasizes the use of outer space for peaceful purposes and the prohibition of territorial claims, was influenced by the lessons learned from past colonization efforts and the potential risks associated with unchecked territorial expansion (Jakhu & Pelton, 2017). Additionally, research done by Szocik et. al (2020) and others point towards the idea that understanding the consequences of historical colonization efforts can help stakeholders develop ethical guidelines for space colonization that promote international cooperation, environmental responsibility, and the equitable distribution of benefits in addition to more functional and efficient colonization. By incorporating these historical lessons into the decision-making processes for human spaceflight and colonization, stakeholders can work to minimize the negative consequences and ensure a more responsible and ethically grounded approach to exploring and inhabiting other planets.

Beyond creating policies built off of the lessons learned from unethical and inhumane exploration and colonization efforts of the past, lessons should also be learned from the interaction and contribution of scientists and engineers to unethical practice. For example, the fact that Wernher von Braun, widely considered the father of the US Apollo Program, was once a Nazi who contributed to the development of the V-2 bombs raises important ethical questions about the relationship between science, technology, and morality. One lesson we can learn from this history is the need to be vigilant about the ethical implications of scientific and technological advances. In particular, we must be aware of the potential for scientific and technological progress to be used for unethical purposes, such as the development of weapons of mass destruction or other forms of harm to human life and the environment.

Another lesson we can learn is the importance of personal responsibility for scientists and other professionals who work in fields with ethical implications. While von Braun was not personally responsible for the atrocities committed by the Nazi regime, he did contribute to the development of technology that was used for military purposes and turned a blind eye to injustices and genocidal practices being committed in factories that were used to manufacture his designs. This raises questions about the ethical responsibilities of scientists and engineers who work in fields with potential military or other harmful applications. It also emphasizes the importance of individual moral judgment and the need to consider the broader ethical implications of one's work.

Broadly, advancements in ethical standards have been made based off of the ethical failures of the history of exploration, colonization, and rocket science and engineering. However, ethical standards must also be preemptively created to address plausible moral and ethical challenges of the near future of space exploration and colonization.

Multidimensional Ethical Approach

To create a comprehensive and rigorous decision-making framework for ethical spaceflight and colonization, it is vital to integrate the ethical principles of intergenerational justice, sustainability, equitable distribution, beneficence, non-maleficence, autonomy, and justice (Havercroft & Duvall, 2009). This multidimensional ethical approach requires stakeholders to consider various domains, such as astrobiology (Capova et. al, 2018), political ethics (Milligan, 2015), historical lessons from human colonization (Todorov, 1999; Crosby, 2004), and humanity's moral obligation to support space exploration (Schwartz, 2011). Due to the complexity and breadth of the challenge of spaceflight and colonization, a wide variety of ethics must be considered. For instance, Havercroft and Duvall argues that resource extraction and the exploitation of resources in space is a significant ethical issue (2009). The environmental concerns of unthoughtful resource extraction could potentially lead to pollution of celestial bodies as well as the mistreatment of miners and other workers who may not be protected by governmental laws due to the international nature of space. Furthermore, questions about the distribution of these resources are pain points that could easily lead to interstate conflict. Considering distribution and ownership of parcels of space is not only a human rights issue that must be considered, but also an issue important in promoting international peace. In another grain, Langston have taken a specific look at the negative side effects of long-term human space travel especially in zero-gravity and high radiation conditions through the lens of non-maleficence (Langston, 2018). This adds to this research by arguing that ethic decision making frameworks consider the health effects of space travel to not only be significant, but something to be minimized. This ethic principle, which is typically used in the medical industry, argues that every medical action must be weighed against all benefits and risks, and Langston extends this

principle into the fundamentally dangerous proposition of spaceflight. By considering this, an additional ethical consideration based on minimization of negative human health effects is considered.

Emphasize International and Inter-Firm Cooperation and Collaboration

In the pursuit of ethical spaceflight and colonization, it is crucial to emphasize international and inter-firm cooperation and collaboration. By fostering a collaborative approach among national space agencies, private companies, and international institutions, stakeholders can work together to address the complex ethical challenges associated with space exploration and colonization (Szocik et. al, 2020; Jakhu & Pelton, 2017). For instance, the United Nations' Outer Space Treaty of 1967 and other international agreements, such as the Moon Agreement of 1979 and the International Space Station Intergovernmental Agreement of 1998, have facilitated cooperation and established principles for the peaceful use of outer space, while also addressing potential conflicts and disputes that may arise due to competition for resources and territory (Jakhu & Pelton, 2017).

In addition to international cooperation, inter-firm collaboration is equally important for ethical spaceflight and colonization. Companies like SpaceX and Blue Origin should actively engage with national space agencies like NASA and CNSA to share knowledge, technology, and resources for the benefit of humanity as a whole. By working together, these organizations can ensure that the benefits of space exploration and colonization are pursued responsibly and equitably, while minimizing negative consequences on the environment, human health, and society (Szocik et. al, 2020; Langston, 2018). Moreover, collaborative efforts can lead to the development of standardized ethical guidelines and best practices, which can be adopted by other stakeholders in the space exploration domain and serve as a standard upon which decisions are

made harmoniously by international actors (Milligan, 2015; Capova et. al, 2018). Ultimately, fostering international and inter-firm cooperation and collaboration is essential for creating a robust decision-making framework that supports ethical spaceflight and colonization, while balancing short-term negative impacts with long-term benefits for humanity.

Consider Long Term Environmental Impacts in Space

When considering the ethical implications of space exploration and colonization, it is crucial to acknowledge the environmental impacts of such endeavors. As we expand our presence beyond Earth, we must ensure that our actions do not harm the environments of celestial bodies, such as the Moon or Mars, as well as Earth's natural ecosystems. Sustainable development and environmental responsibility must be central tenets of any decision-making framework related to space exploration and colonization (Miller, 2001). This includes responsible resource extraction and waste management to minimize pollution and contamination, as well as employing green technologies and practices to mitigate any adverse effects on the environment. By integrating these environmental considerations into the ethical decision-making framework, stakeholders can better assess the potential long-term consequences of their actions and make more informed, responsible choices. It is essential that organizations like NASA, CNSA, SpaceX, and Blue Origin prioritize environmental responsibility in their missions to ensure that the benefits of space exploration and colonization do not come at the expense of the fragile ecosystems on Earth and other celestial bodies (Langston, 2018).

Social and Economic Impacts of Decisions

As the prospects of space exploration and colonization advance, it is paramount that stakeholders take into account the social and economic implications of their decisions (Szocik et. al, 2020; Havercroft & Duvall, 2009). A primary ethical concern is the potential for exacerbating

existing global inequalities, as space exploration activities and their subsequent benefits may disproportionately favor wealthy nations and individuals (Milligan, 2015). Additionally, the allocation of resources to space endeavors should be carefully weighed against pressing terrestrial issues such as poverty, healthcare, and climate change. This necessitates a decision-making framework that is attuned to both the short-term consequences and the long-term transformative potential of space-related activities (Schwartz, 2011). By incorporating a broad range of ethical considerations, stakeholders can develop a more holistic approach to decision-making that acknowledges the multifaceted social, economic, and environmental impacts of space exploration and colonization. This will ultimately enable a more equitable and sustainable distribution of the benefits and burdens associated with humanity's expansion into space.

Regulate Commercial Interest

It is essential to consider the regulation of commercial interests as part of the ethical decision-making process in space exploration and colonization (Szocik et. al, 2020; Milligan, 2015). As the private space industry continues to grow and become increasingly influential in the future of spaceflight, the motives and priorities of commercial entities like SpaceX and Blue Origin may differ from those of national space agencies such as NASA and CNSA, which could lead to potential conflicts or ethical dilemmas. For example, the pursuit of profit by commercial space companies may result in actions that prioritize short-term gains over long-term sustainability, environmental responsibility, or equitable distribution of benefits (Havercroft & Duvall, 2009). To mitigate such issues, it is crucial that regulatory measures and ethical guidelines be developed and implemented to govern the actions of commercial space actors, ensuring that their activities align with the broader ethical principles guiding human spaceflight and colonization (Szocik et. al, 2020; Langston, 2018). By incorporating regulation of

commercial interests within the decision-making framework, stakeholders can help ensure that the expansion of human presence in space is carried out in a manner that upholds ethical considerations and promotes the responsible pursuit of long-term benefits for all of humanity.

Scope & Limitations

With these results discussed, it is important to recognize the limitations of this research and subsequent discussion. For one, this paper has limited scope due to the incredibly broad and ever evolving nature of space exploration and technological development. New ethical challenges not discussed here will likely arise requiring continual updates to the ethical framework discussed. Building off of this thought, this framework may be limited in its ability to adapt to the rapidly changing landscape of space travel and exploration as new technologies, stakeholders, and socio-political contexts emerge, so the framework will need to evolve with these changes. Additionally, there may be biases related to the largely Western perspective of exploration and colonization in addition to a general assumption that space exploration and colonization is a positive development in contrast to the perspectives of some critics who advocate for not investing into these industries. Finally, there are limitations in the ability to implement of this framework due to the diversity and wide set of perspectives of the stakeholders, current and future, in the space industry. Being too able to convince all stakeholders of the importance of this ethical framework poses challenges and requires further research and investigation into strategies to maximize implementation. Not only do these limitations currently necessitate further research, but as space exploration and colonization continues to rapidly advance, with it will the need to conduct further research into the ethics of the ever-evolving landscape of space continue to grow.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this paper has elucidated the crucial ethical principles and moral purposes that should underpin human spaceflight and colonization efforts. By synthesizing diverse domains such as astrobiology, political ethics, historical colonization lessons, and humanity's moral obligation, this paper has formulated a five-point decision-making framework for stakeholders in the space exploration and colonization sector. This framework emphasizes the importance of a multidimensional ethical approach, international and inter-firm collaboration, long-term environmental and socioeconomic impact assessments, and regulation of commercial interests. Additionally, the study underlines the necessity of striking a balance between short-term negative impacts and long-term benefits, drawing on principles of dissent and independence as well as traditional bioethical principles. As space exploration and colonization continue to advance, organizations like NASA, CNSA, SpaceX, and Blue Origin should integrate this ethical decision-making framework into their operations and foster international cooperation to ensure that humanity's expansion into space is conducted responsibly, sustainably, and equitably in order to benefit generations to come. Further research in this area is critically needed as the continuous development of space technologies and the evolving sociopolitical landscape necessitate ongoing analysis and adaptation of ethical frameworks and decision-making processes.

Works Cited:

About blue. Blue Origin. (n.d.). Retrieved March 24, 2023, from

<https://www.blueorigin.com/about-blue/>

Arroyo, I. H. (2012). Do space travel benefits for humanity outweigh the investments made.

Analysis of the scientific, historical and moral reasons used to justify investments in space travel.

Capova, K. A., Persson, E., Milligan, T., & Dunér, D. (2018). Astrobiology and society in Europe Today.

Chinese National Space Administration. (n.d.). China National Space Administration. Retrieved

March 24, 2023, from <http://www.cnsa.gov.cn/english/n6465645/n6465648/index.html>

Crosby, A. W. (2004). *Ecological imperialism: The biological expansion of Europe, 900-1900* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Eramian, P. (2020, July 15). Apollo 11 anniversary: Wernher von Braun and the ethics of techno-capitalism. *The Wire Science*. Retrieved September 13, 2022, from

<https://science.thewire.in/society/apollo-11-liftoff-wernher-von-braun-techno-capitalism-ethics>

Graves, P. R. (2016). The risks of nuclear powered space probes. *The Ethics of Space Exploration*, 239-249.

Havercroft, J., & Duvall, R. (2009). Critical astropolitics: The geopolitics of space control and the transformation of state sovereignty. *Securing outer space*, 42-58.

Hughes, T. P. (1987). The evolution of large technological systems. In W. E. Bijker, T. P.

Kant, I. (1993). *Grounding for the metaphysics of morals*. (J. W. Ellington, Trans.). Hackett Publishing Company. (Original work published 1785)

- Klein, H. P. (1979). The Viking mission and the search for life on Mars. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 17(7), 1655-1662.
- Langston, S. (2018). Reimagining Icarus: ethics, law and policy considerations for commercial human spaceflight. *Into Space-A Journey of How Humans Adapt and Live in Microgravity*.
- Langston, S. M. (2016). Space travel: risk, ethics, and governance in commercial human spaceflight. *New Space*, 4(2), 83-97.
- Loff, S. (2015, January 28). *About NASA*. NASA. Retrieved March 24, 2023, from <https://www.nasa.gov/about/index.html>
- Mautner, M. N. (2009). Life-centered ethics, and the human future in space. *Bioethics*, 23(8), 433-440.
- Miller, R. W. (2001). Astroenvironmentalism: The case for space exploration as an environmental issue. *Electronic Green Journal*, 1(15).
<https://doi.org/10.5070/g311510439>
- Milligan, T. (2015). Nobody owns the moon: The ethics of space exploitation. McFarland.
- Sadeh, E. (2005). Access to Space: The Evolution of an Idea and Technology. In *Space 2005* (p. 6658).
- Schwartz, J. S. J. (2011). Our moral obligation to support space exploration. *Environmental Ethics*, 33(1), 67-88.
- SpaceX. (n.d.). About SpaceX. Retrieved from <https://www.spacex.com/about>
- Streissguth, T. (1995). *Rocket man: The story of Robert Goddard*. Carolrhoda Books.
- Szocik, K., Wójtowicz, T., & Braddock, M. (2020). The martian: Possible scenarios for a future human society on mars. *Space Policy*, 54, 101388.

Todorov, T. (1999). *The conquest of America: The question of the other*. University of Oklahoma Press.

Tzvi. (2021, December 19). Sabotaging the German V-2 rocket. aish.com. Retrieved November 4, 2022, from <https://aish.com/sabotaging-the-german-v-2-rocket/>

Wall, M. (2022, January 29). *China lays out ambitious space plans for next 5 Years*. Space.com. Retrieved March 24, 2023, from <https://www.space.com/china-five-year-plan-space-exploration-2022>