EXAMINING WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR FIRE PROTECTION THROUGH TRANSPARENCY, EMBEDDEDNESS, AND REACH

A Research Paper submitted to the Department of Engineering and Society

Presented to the Faculty of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

University of Virginia • Charlottesville, Virginia

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree

Bachelor of Science, School of Engineering

Mariana Buenaventura

Spring 2025

On my honor as a University student, I have neither given nor received unauthorized aid on this assignment as defined by the Honor Guidelines for Thesis-Related Assignments.

Lindsay Ivey-Burden, Department of Civil Engineering

Rider W. Foley, Department of Engineering and Society

INTRODUCTION

Water infrastructure plays a critical role in community safety, especially during emergencies like fires. In Charlottesville, Virginia, access to reliable water systems can mean the difference between a controlled fire and a devastating loss. However, disparities between the urban core and surrounding rural areas create unequal risks for residents across the region (Hommes 2018).

Within the city, areas like the University of Virginia campus and the growing commercial corridors along 29 North and 29 South are supported by dense water networks and strategically placed hydrants (CFD 2021). In contrast, rural communities such as Earlysville, Stony Point, and other parts of Albemarle County often rely on limited water sources, scattered infrastructure, and aging systems - making fire response slower and putting residents at greater risk during fire emergencies (SPVFC 2020). These disparities highlight broader issues of infrastructure equity and the urgent need for solutions that serve all areas effectively.

This project focuses on designing robust, equitable water systems that not only ensure reliable access for fire protection but everyday needs across both urban and rural Charlottesville. It explores how innovative approaches, such as integrating decentralized water storage solutions, can strengthen infrastructure against fire risks. Through the integration of strategically placed cisterns, rural water tanks, and enhanced emergency supply points, the region can improve fire protection and ensure more equitable access to critical resources. Strengthening water systems through both innovative design and long-term asset management practices is essential to building a safer, more resilient future for all residents.

URBAN WATER SYSTEMS: CURRENT CHALLENGES

Urban water systems, including hydrants, supply lines, and connections to fire suppression infrastructure, play a critical role in protecting communities during fire emergencies. These systems provide essential water access for Fire Department Connections (FDCs), building sprinkler systems, and Post Indicator Valves (PIVs). However, many urban water networks face challenges related to aging infrastructure, limited capacity, and a lack of redundancy, which can compromise fire response effectiveness during high-demand events (National Fire Protection Association 2023).

In Charlottesville, areas like the University of Virginia campus and the commercial corridors along 29 North and 29 South benefit from relatively dense hydrant networks, but vulnerabilities remain. A major challenge during large fire incidents is that multiple fire engines may draw from the same water main simultaneously, leading to sudden drops in water pressure or even system depletion (Insurance Services Office 2020). Hydrant pressure variability, particularly in older sections of the system, can further weaken firefighting efforts when flow rates fall below required standards (American Water Works Association 2017). Seasonal risks also create complications; during winter months, hydrants are susceptible to freezing, rendering them inoperable when needed most (Federal Emergency Management Agency 2022). Without sufficient maintenance, flow testing, and winterization programs, even well-placed hydrants can become liabilities during emergencies.

The effectiveness of urban water systems depends on regular maintenance, flow testing, and upgrades to support both daily needs and emergency demands. Recent improvements along Route 29 show how important it is to plan for growth and fire protection together. Without continued modernization and better management, water systems will struggle to meet the demands of larger fires and ensure reliable service during critical emergencies.

RURAL WATER SYSTEMS: CURRENT CHALLENGES

Rural water systems are critical for protecting communities during fire emergencies, yet they face unique challenges compared to urban areas. In places like Earlysville, Stony Point, and other parts of Albemarle County, traditional municipal hydrant networks are often limited or completely absent. Instead, fire departments rely on alternative solutions such as dry hydrants, tanker shuttle operations, and drafting from natural water sources. Tanker shuttle operations involve transporting water from a remote source to the fire scene using a series of tanker trucks, which offload water into portable tanks or directly into engine apparatus. While these methods provide essential firefighting water, they can be less reliable and slower to deploy during emergencies (Virginia Department of Fire Programs 2021).

One major challenge in rural areas is ensuring consistent water availability and access. For tanker shuttle operations to be successful, a drafting engine must first establish a strong water source connection. This requires a suitable parking area near the water supply, adequate space for tanker trucks to turn around, and ideally a drafting site located close to the fire scene. When drafting sites are too far away, water shuttle times increase, slowing down fire attack efforts and reducing available fire flow at the scene (National Fire Protection Association 2023).

In addition to water supply issues, rural fire responses are often delayed because dispatch protocols require engines to travel long distances from scattered fire stations. Fires in rural areas may go unnoticed longer, and by the time the first engine arrives, the fire has often grown significantly, making suppression much more difficult (Federal Emergency Management Agency

2022). Sparse hydrant access, difficult terrain, and limited staffing compound these challenges, putting rural communities at greater risk during major fire events.

The effectiveness of rural water systems depends on regular inspection of dry hydrants, maintaining accessible drafting sites, establishing reliable shuttle routes, and coordinating rapid mutual aid responses. Recent efforts by local volunteer fire companies, such as those in Earlysville and Stony Point, show the importance of investing in rural water supply infrastructure. Without ongoing improvements and strategic planning, rural areas will continue to face heightened risks during fires and other emergencies.

INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS: DECENTRALIZED WATER STORAGE

Decentralized water storage offers a promising solution to strengthen firefighting capabilities in rural communities. Unlike traditional reliance on distant dry hydrants or tanker shuttle operations alone, decentralized storage involves installing cisterns, water tanks, and accessible draft points strategically throughout the community. These systems create reliable, immediate water sources near potential fire scenes, reducing shuttle distances, improving response times, and significantly increasing fire suppression effectiveness in areas with limited infrastructure (National Fire Protection Association 2023).

Cisterns are underground or partially buried tanks, typically made of concrete, fiberglass, or polyethylene, capable of storing thousands of gallons of water. In rural areas where ponds may dry up or streams freeze, cisterns provide a protected, year-round supply, ensuring that firefighters can access water even under harsh conditions or during droughts (Federal Emergency Management Agency 2021). Their presence near homes, farms, or key road intersections directly shortens setup time and improves first-attack capabilities.

Above-ground water tanks offer a flexible and visible solution, allowing rapid installation at critical points like fire stations, schools, or major rural crossroads. By giving tanker trucks a close and dependable refill source, these tanks reduce the turnaround time for each shuttle trip and sustain higher water flow rates during large rural fires (Rural Firefighting Practices HandbooK 2020). This directly strengthens rural departments' ability to maintain continuous operations.

Accessible draft points are specifically engineered locations where engines can efficiently draft water from a cistern, tank, or natural source. In rural firefighting, having hardened access roads, proper signage, and turnarounds at draft sites is essential for speed and safety. Well-designed draft points allow fire departments to establish a stable water supply within minutes of arriving, even when no hydrants are available nearby (National Volunteer Fire Council 2018).

By integrating decentralized water storage into rural planning, communities like Earlysville and Stony Point can dramatically improve fire readiness, minimize water supply delays, and ensure stronger, faster, and more resilient emergency response across their regions.

From an STS perspective, decentralized rural water systems exemplify how infrastructure is both a technical and socio-technical solution. Water systems are not only about delivering water to specific points like hydrants, cisterns, or draft sites - they are fundamentally about deciding who has access to protection and when. In urban areas like Charlottesville’s city core, robust water networks typically ensure consistent, rapid access for firefighting and emergency needs. In contrast, rural areas like Earlysville and Stony Point often depend on more fragile, decentralized solutions, where access can vary widely based on geography and available infrastructure.

This distinction highlights a broader truth: water systems are not just technical pipelines - they are social structures that shape safety, vulnerability, and resilience. Designing decentralized rural water storage through cisterns, tanks, and accessible draft points acknowledges that ensuring equitable access to water is a critical public responsibility, not just an engineering problem. Building resilient water systems reflects a shift in thinking - not just about how water is distributed, but about who is prioritized in moments of crisis. For rural communities, investing in decentralized systems is an opportunity to close the gap between infrastructure availability and community protection, creating a more just and resilient emergency response network.

HUMAN, SOCIAL, TECHNICAL

Water infrastructure is deeply connected to human and social systems, reflecting societal priorities and shaping how communities experience protection, risk, and resilience. In Charlottesville, urban areas benefit from dense, well-maintained water networks that provide consistent access for firefighting and emergency needs. In contrast, rural communities like Earlysville and Stony Point often rely on decentralized and sometimes unreliable water sources such as ponds, cisterns, or dry hydrants, creating disparities in fire protection and emergency response.

Star's concept of infrastructure as a socio-technical system provides a valuable lens for understanding these challenges. First, infrastructure is often invisible when it functions properly but becomes critically visible during emergencies, when gaps in rural water access can severely delay firefighting efforts. Second, infrastructure reflects systemic inequities, as rural residents may face greater risks due to underinvestment in resilient water supply systems. Third, infrastructure serves as a boundary-spanning system: effective rural water networks must address technical challenges, meet social needs for equitable protection, and adapt to environmental realities like drought or freezing conditions. Recognizing water systems as socio-technical systems helps reveal the hidden vulnerabilities in rural regions and highlights the importance of designing infrastructure that serves all communities equitably.

Langdon Winner's idea of techno-politics highlights how technical decisions, such as the design and placement of water infrastructure, carry deep social and political consequences. Choices about where hydrants, cisterns, and water tanks are installed and where they are missing directly shape which communities are best protected during emergencies. In Charlottesville, investments in urban water infrastructure often align with areas of higher economic growth and concentrated development, such as the University of Virginia and the Route 29 corridor. In contrast, rural communities like Earlysville and Stony Point - which are geographically more spread out and often politically distinct - face different realities, depending on decentralized systems that receive less consistent investment and maintenance. These patterns are not simply technical oversights; they reflect broader political and economic decisions about where resources are prioritized and who is seen as most "worth" protecting. Infrastructure becomes a map of societal values, revealing how geography, politics, and funding interact to create uneven vulnerabilities.

Star's concept of "Scope and Reach" deepens this perspective, showing that the effectiveness of infrastructure depends on how far its protections extend across diverse populations. A water system that functions well for city neighborhoods but leaves rural areas exposed fails both technically and socially. Addressing these inequities demands seeing rural water access not just as an engineering issue, but as a political and moral responsibility. By applying socio-technical insights from Winner and Star, communities can design water systems that distribute protection fairly, ensuring that geography and political identity do not determine who receives reliable emergency support.

RESEARCH QUESTION AND METHODS

This research asks: How can urban and rural water systems be designed and managed to improve fire protection, ensure equitable access, and build long-term resilience across diverse communities? Answering this question required analyzing not only how infrastructure is planned and designed, but also how it functions in real emergency situations. A mixed-methods approach was used, combining technical case study analysis, operational field training, and expert interviews to understand both system design and field-level implementation.

Charlottesville's urban water infrastructure served as the primary case study. Areas such as the University of Virginia campus and the Route 29 corridor were examined for their dense hydrant networks, underground utility planning, and built-in system redundancies that support reliable emergency water access. These urban systems were contrasted with rural communities like Earlysville and Stony Point, where decentralized methods such as dry hydrants, portable dump tanks, and tanker shuttle operations form the backbone of rural fire response.

Technical training played a central role in understanding these systems. Coursework through the University of Virginia's 2024 E3 Summer Program provided foundational knowledge in urban drainage, stormwater infrastructure, and hydrant and utility placement. It emphasized sustainable, equitable design principles for emergency water access. Simultaneously, hands-on fire service training in Albemarle County offered real-world exposure to fireground water logistics. Classes included hazardous materials management (which examined how contaminants enter or disrupt water systems during and after fires), basic pump operations (covering hydrant drafting, pressure regulation, and engine-based pumping), and rural water supply logistics (such as the setup of dump tanks and drafting from static sources). These field-based lessons revealed the complex coordination required in non-hydranted areas, from access challenges to flow rate management during high-demand events.

During these classes, opportunities emerged to engage directly with career firefighters, lieutenants, captains, and a battalion chief specializing in pumping operations. Although expert interviews were not part of the original research design, these conversations offered invaluable firsthand insights into the operational strengths and challenges of decentralized water systems and were formally integrated into the research process. Additionally, data collection included a review of academic literature, firefighting manuals, NFPA guidelines, and reports from government agencies such as the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT). These materials supported the technical assessment of best practices and helped contextualize infrastructure gaps across geographies.

Throughout the project, theoretical frameworks from Science, Technology, and Society (STS) studies guided analysis. Star's theory of infrastructure as a socio-technical system (through transparency, embeddedness, and reach) helped highlight which communities are reliably protected and which are not. Winner's concept of techno-politics helped frame how technical design decisions such as the placement of hydrants, cisterns, and drafting points - have political and social consequences, influencing who receives faster, more reliable fire protection. Together, these methods provided a lens not just to measure technical effectiveness, but to critically examine whose safety infrastructure really serves, and where gaps in resilience and equity persist.

RESULTS

This research shows that decentralized water storage systems including cisterns, portable water tanks, and accessible draft points are a critical and effective solution for improving fire protection, especially in rural and semi-urban areas where traditional hydrant coverage is limited. Although this research initially focused on rural systems, hands-on operational experience revealed that these solutions are also necessary for semi-urban zones within urban first-due areas where hydrant coverage is inconsistent, such as unhydranted neighborhoods or regions where water mains end abruptly. In these rural and transitional areas, decentralized storage strategies help bridge coverage gaps that otherwise leave properties vulnerable.

In urban areas like Charlottesville's core, dense hydrant networks along corridors such as the University of Virginia and Route 29 North/South provide strong embeddedness for fire protection. Through my experience volunteering at Seminole Trail Fire Department, a fire station along Route 29, I used the First Due app during emergency responses to quickly locate water sources. This app identifies hydrants, dry hydrants, commercial and residential hydrants, Fire Department Connections (FDCs), Post Indicator Valves (PIVs), and other critical utilities. However, First Due also presents challenges: not all building plans are updated or accurate, and some commercial structures may have incomplete or outdated utility records, complicating firefighting efforts during large incidents. These limitations highlight the importance of integrating decentralized water storage options into pre-incident planning, where updated mapping, site inspections, and coordination with utility information play essential roles (NFPA 1620). While First Due offers significant mapping advantages in urban areas, the absence of equivalent tools and data integration in many rural departments underscores how infrastructure visibility and information access often reflect broader political and economic priorities, not just geographic constraints.

In contrast, rural areas like Earlysville and Stony Point rely almost entirely on static water sources for firefighting operations. Through hands-on basic pump operations and rural water supply classes, I learned how to establish draft sites, set up portable dump tanks, and maintain effective tanker shuttle relays under realistic emergency conditions. In a standard rural drafting operation, hard suction sleeves (rigid hoses) are used to create the vacuum needed for drafting water, while barrel strainers prevent debris from entering the pump system and low-level strainers allow for drafting from shallow ponds or streams. These operations, while critical for rural firefighting, come with vulnerabilities such as limited water availability during droughts, difficult site access for engines and tankers, and the risk of contamination if water sources have been compromised.

Hazardous materials training emphasized that chemicals such as gasoline, oils, pesticides, and other contaminants can seep into ponds, streams, and other static water sources not only after structure fires but also following vehicle accidents, industrial emergencies, or through intentional or accidental dumping into the environment. Drafting from contaminated water not only endangers operational safety but can also spread pollutants beyond the incident scene, creating long-term public health and environmental risks. These dangers reinforce the importance of engineered, controlled water storage solutions such as cisterns and clean-water tanks that provide sealed, reliable water supplies to eliminate contamination risks and safeguard both responders and surrounding communities (United States Fire Administration, 2021). Because these systems can be implemented incrementally, they offer a flexible, scalable approach to infrastructure development. As Star (1999) notes, infrastructure is often “built in increments,” and this quality makes decentralized storage especially valuable in rural areas, where systems can evolve over time based on operational needs and resource availability. In this way, decentralized storage not only reduces environmental and public health risks but also contributes to long-term resilience by embedding access and protection where it is most needed.

Conversations with subject matter experts further enriched this analysis by offering firsthand operational perspectives that deepened and expanded the initial research findings. Although expert interviews were not part of the original research design, discussions during and after fire service classes provided invaluable insights into the strengths and challenges of decentralized water systems. Engaging with career firefighters including lieutenants, captains, and a battalion chief specializing in pump operations offered a detailed, grounded understanding of how water infrastructure functions during actual emergency response. As Star (1999) emphasizes, infrastructure is often best understood as it is learned through practice, and these exchanges provided critical insights that extended beyond formal training into lived operational knowledge.

Moreover, discussions stressed the importance of integrating decentralized water storage into pre-incident planning. Subject matter experts explained that rural departments, in coordination with Emergency Communications Centers (ECCs), must maintain updated maps identifying hydranted and unhydranted areas, known water sources, and decentralized tanks or cisterns available for drafting. ECCs not only provide real-time dispatching but also collect and analyze long-term data trends, helping departments recognize hotspots where fire calls are frequent and municipal water supply is limited. Cross-referencing ECC dispatch data with spatial mapping tools like the First Due app allows firefighters to visualize water access points: hydrants, dry hydrants, drafting sites, and storage tanks both in the fire station Computer Aided

Dispatch (CAD) system and directly from mobile devices while en route to an incident. This integration strengthens the operational ability to recognize weak spots and informs strategic pre-incident planning for new construction, retrofitting, and ongoing firefighter training updates. The ability to plan and adapt using these tools is not just a question of technology availability, it reflects decisions about where institutional attention and investment are directed, and whose emergencies are expected and planned for.

Through the combined ECC and First Due system, fire departments can proactively identify areas that lack reliable water access and prioritize the construction of engineered draft sites or cisterns based on real operational need. Pre-incident plans can then be refined continuously as community development evolves. Regular updates to mapping databases and ECC CAD systems, coupled with training evolutions that expose firefighters to new decentralized draft points, ensure that decentralized water storage is not only built effectively but is fully incorporated into live fireground strategy (NFPA 1620).

Fieldwork and operational experience further reinforced the necessity of designing drafting sites at engineered cisterns and tanks to NFPA-like standards. Draft points must be easily accessible for full-size fire apparatus, provide reliable flow rates, and include standardized connections compatible with pumping equipment. Ideally, these draft points should be outfitted with piping, valves, and fittings that allow fire engines or tankers to hook up using standard hard suction hoses, mirroring the setup of a municipal hydrant or properly installed dry hydrant (NFPA 1142, 2022). To comply with NFPA guidelines, cistern draft points must be capable of supporting at least 1,000 gallons per minute (GPM) for a sustained operation, feature an accessible turn-around for apparatus, and have clear markings and signage for night or low-visibility conditions (National Fire Academy, 2015). These technical elements are not designed in isolation, they are “built on an installed base” and “learned as membership” within the fire service, as Star (1999) explains. Firefighters internalize these standards through practical training, repetition, and shared operational knowledge which reinforces the role of infrastructure as a social system shaped by its users over time.

Sizing of decentralized water storage is also a critical factor in rural fire operations. Experts emphasized that cisterns should ideally be able to sustain drafting for at least two full tanker shuttle loads - typically requiring a minimum storage volume of 20,000 to 30,000 gallons depending on local tanker capacities and operational needs (United States Fire Administration, 2021). Smaller cisterns, while not capable of sustaining an entire firefighting operation, can serve as vital intermediate fill sites, buying valuable time at the start of an incident. By providing quick first water to the scene, these decentralized storage points allow tankers to cycle between a temporary fill site and a larger, permanent water source, ensuring continuous fire suppression without dangerous gaps in water supply (IFSTA 2017).

Beyond just water capacity, the physical layout of decentralized storage sites is essential for operational success. Experts noted that engineered cisterns and tanks must be installed with enough surrounding space to allow engines and tankers to access, draft, and maneuver safely. A fully functional site should include a hard-surfaced access road, a clear drafting approach lane, and enough space to set up portable dump tanks or manage shuttle operations if necessary (National Fire Academy 2015). Fortunately, rural areas often provide the necessary land flexibility to design these sites without major construction conflicts, unlike dense urban environments. Subject matter experts stressed that both the water volume of cisterns and the NFPA-compliant fittings and site designs were crucial to ensuring decentralized water storage could function reliably and efficiently under real fireground conditions (NFPA 1142 2022).

In sum, lessons from field experience, operational classes, expert interviews, and technical coursework show that decentralized water storage systems when properly designed, mapped, and integrated into pre-incident planning are a vital, scalable strategy for improving fire protection equity. They enhance operational safety by ensuring reliable water access, reduce environmental hazards associated with contaminated natural sources, and extend fire protection to underserved rural and semi-urban areas. These findings gain further significance through the lens of Science, Technology, and Society (STS) frameworks. Star's concepts of transparency, embeddedness, and reach help explain how decentralized water infrastructure not only supports emergency operations but also reveals hidden vulnerabilities in under-resourced communities and embeds critical access into areas often overlooked. Similarly, Winner's theory of techno-politics reveals that decisions about where and how to invest in infrastructure such as prioritizing decentralized systems in rural areas carry meaningful social and political weight. These choices shape whose safety is protected and whose needs are overlooked. In this context, strategically placed cisterns with NFPA-compliant draft points are not just technical improvements; they embody a broader ethical commitment to equitable fire protection. This research shows that decentralized water storage is both a practical solution and a reflection of values, making it essential for creating resilient, just infrastructure across diverse regions.

DISCUSSION

This research demonstrates how decentralized water storage solutions not only address technical gaps in rural fire protection but also reveal broader socio-technical dynamics. Star's theories of infrastructure visibility, embeddedness, and reach show that fire protection systems only become visible to the public when they fail or when gaps impact marginalized communities.

Similarly, Winner's concept of techno-politics highlights that decisions about where to invest in water infrastructure are inherently political, shaping whose property, history, and lives are prioritized for protection. A striking example is Thomas Jefferson's Monticello, located in a rural area of Albemarle County but heavily hydranted despite its remote setting. Because Monticello is a nationally recognized historic site, its infrastructure reflects national priorities; a fire at Monticello would make national headlines, whereas fires in rural residential homes often go unnoticed beyond the local level. This contrast reinforces how fire protection infrastructure even in rural landscapes is shaped not purely by technical need but by the visibility, economic value, and societal importance of the area being protected.

Despite the depth of this project's findings, some important limitations remain. Most notably, I was unable to physically implement or test the construction of decentralized draft points, cisterns, or fill sites during the research period. While the operational methods, NFPA standards, and field observations strongly suggest that these decentralized systems are feasible and effective, the project remains a theoretical analysis based on training, expert interviews, and case study review. Future field validation such as piloting a cistern installation and conducting live drafting tests would be necessary to confirm all operational assumptions under real emergency conditions.

If I were to conduct this research differently, I would prioritize deeper collaboration with rural fire departments earlier in the process. Specifically, reaching out to department heads, training officers, or captains to review Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) related to rural water supply would have strengthened the fieldwork analysis. Driving through rural areas to scout potential intermediate drafting sites, combined with prior coordination with local emergency managers or ECC supervisors, could have provided valuable operational insights into how decentralized water storage sites are selected, maintained, and integrated into active response plans. While the expert interviews conducted during operational classes were invaluable, they arose opportunistically rather than being systematically planned. Building these professional relationships intentionally from the outset would allow for richer, ongoing collaboration and more targeted data collection in future phases of the research.

This research has already influenced my future engineering practice by reinforcing the importance of designing infrastructure that directly serves the needs of operational responders and vulnerable communities. In the future, I aim to use this research to support fire departments in planning, designing, and advocating for decentralized water access points in underserved rural and semi-urban areas. Longer term, I hope to move beyond theoretical analysis and assist with the hands-on design and engineering of decentralized water supply systems. The opportunistic expert interviews conducted during this project have built a strong foundation of professional contacts, which I plan to leverage in future work to integrate operational expertise directly into engineering design. Combining technical engineering knowledge with firsthand responder perspectives will be essential to creating infrastructure that is both practically effective and socially equitable.

CONCLUSION

This research highlights the critical need to rethink fire protection infrastructure, especially in rural and semi-urban areas where traditional hydrant systems are limited or absent. By integrating decentralized water storage solutions such as engineered cisterns and intermediate drafting sites communities can close dangerous water access gaps, enhance operational readiness, and promote greater equity in emergency response. Drawing from field experience, technical training, expert interviews, and socio-technical frameworks, this project shows that improving rural fire protection is not merely a technical challenge but a social one shaped by political priorities, community visibility, and systemic infrastructure decisions.

For others building on this work, the next steps include field validation of decentralized sites through live testing, greater collaboration with rural fire departments, and the development of standardized templates or toolkits for rural cistern and draft site design. Stronger coordination between fire departments, emergency communication centers (ECCs), and engineers can ensure decentralized systems are mapped, maintained, and integrated into dispatch operations effectively. Expanding partnerships with local, state, and national agencies could also support funding and policy initiatives to prioritize rural fire resilience as a public safety goal.

The takeaway message of this work is simple but urgent: equitable fire protection requires intentional infrastructure design. Rural and semi-urban communities deserve the same access to reliable water supply systems as densely populated cities. By combining operational knowledge, technical standards, and a socio-technical perspective, engineers and first responders can work together to build a safer, more resilient future for all communities regardless of their size, location, or political visibility.

References

Albemarle County. (n.d.). *About the ECC and emergency response coordination*. Emergency Communications Center (ECC). https://www.albemarle.org/government/public-safety/emergency-communications-center-ecc

American Water Works Association (AWWA). (2017). *M17 Manual: Installation, Field Testing, and Maintenance of Fire Hydrants*.

Boelens, Rutgerd, et al. (2016). *Rural–Urban Water Struggles: Urbanizing Hydrosocial Territories and Evolving Connections, Discourses and Identities*. *Water International*. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/02508060.2019.1583311

Charlottesville FD. (2023). *Charlottesville FD Enhances Fire Hydrant Inspections*. *CBS19 News*. https://www.cbs19news.com/story/49713738/charlottesville-fire-department-enhances-fire-hydrant-inspections

Emergency vehicle operations and rural drafting site planning. (2015). National Fire Academy. https://www.usfa.fema.gov/downloads/pdf/publications/evoc.pdf

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). (2022). *Developing a Comprehensive Rural Water Supply*. *U.S. Fire Administration*. https://apps.usfa.fema.gov/pdf/efop/efo42286.pdf

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). (2022). *Fire Hydrant Maintenance and Winterization Best Practices*.

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). (2005). *Safe Operation of Fire Tankers (FA-248)*. https://www.usfa.fema.gov/downloads/pdf/publications/fa-248.pdf

First Due and water access systems in operational response. (n.d.). Seminole Trail Volunteer Fire Department. https://www.stvfd.org/

Insurance Services Office (ISO). (2020). *Fire Suppression Rating Schedule*.

International Fire Service Training Association (IFSTA). (2017). Essentials of firefighting and fire department operations (6th ed.). https://www.ifsta.org/shop/essentials-fire-fighting-6th-edition/36793

Monticello. (n.d.). *Fire protection at Monticello*. Thomas Jefferson Foundation. https://www.monticello.org/house-gardens/house/fire-protection/

National Fire Protection Association. 2021. *NFPA 291: Recommended Practice for Fire Flow Testing and Marking of Hydrants*. *National Fire Protection Association*. https://www.nfpa.org/codes-and-standards/all-codes-and-standards/list-of-codes-and-standards/detail?code=291

National Fire Protection Association. (2023). *NFPA 24: Standard for the Installation of Private Fire Service Mains and Their Appurtenances*.

NFPA 1142 Standard on water supplies for suburban and rural fire fighting. (2022). NFPA. https://www.nfpa.org/codes-and-standards/all-codes-and-standards/list-of-codes-and-standards/detail?code=1142

NFPA 1620 Standard for pre-incident planning. (2020). NFPA. https://www.nfpa.org/codes-and-standards/all-codes-and-standards/list-of-codes-and-standards/detail?code=1620

Rural water supply operations: Best practices for fire departments. (2021). United States Fire Administration. https://www.usfa.fema.gov/downloads/pdf/publications/rural-water-supply-operations.pdf

Star, S. L. (1999). *The ethnography of infrastructure.* American Behavioral Scientist. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/00027649921955326

Stony Point Volunteer Fire Company. n.d. *Fire Prevention*. *Stony Point Volunteer Fire Company*. https://www.spvfc.org/page/fire-prevention

Winner, L. (1980). *Do artifacts have politics?* Daedalus. https://www.jstor.org/stable/20024652?seq=1