Ethical Disparities in Infection Control: Analysis of Equity, Transparency and Resource Allocation in Infection Control

A Research Paper submitted to the Department of Engineering and Society

Presented to the Faculty of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

University of Virginia | Charlottesville, Virginia

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements of the Degree

Bachelor of Science, School of Engineering

Tiffany Liu

Spring 2025

On my honor as a University student, I have neither given nor received unauthorized aid on this assignment as defined by the Honor Guidelines for the Thesis-Related Assignments.

Advisors

Richard D. Jacques, STS Advisor, Department of Engineering and Society

Introduction

Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) pose a major public health challenge, leading to prolonged hospital stays, increased medical costs, and mortality. HAIs affect 2 million patients annually, with nearly 90,000 deaths in the U.S. alone (Stone, 2009). Furthermore, the financial burden of treating HAIs ranges from $28.4 billion to $45 billion annually (CDC, 2021). Among the different types of HAIs, central-line associated bloodstream infections (CLABSIs) are particularly concerning, accounting for thousands of preventable deaths annually (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC], 2021). Despite hospitals implementing infection control protocols such as sterile insertion techniques and antimicrobial dressings, infection rates remain high, underscoring hospital accountability and disparities in prevention resources (Haddadin et al., 2024). As CLABSIs persist, ethical concerns about transparency, resource allocation, and equitable access to infection prevention measures are raised.

Hospitals in low-income areas often lack funding to implement advanced infection prevention strategies, leading to higher CLABSI rates in underserved populations. A study on healthcare disparities in Los Angeles found that lower-income communities experienced higher disease incidence and lower vaccination rates, reflecting systemic inequities (CDC, 2023). Moreover, inconsistent infection rate reporting raises ethical concerns, as delayed transparency hinders timely interventions. The 2015 Mycobacterium chimaera outbreak, linked to contaminated heater-cooler devices, illustrates the consequences of delayed public health reporting, resulting in preventable infections and deaths (Sax et al., 2015). A critical ethical concern is the affordability of infection prevention technologies. Many hospitals in underfunded areas struggle to acquire essential medical devices, leading to unequal access to life-saving

technologies and worsening healthcare disparities. Patients in well-funded hospitals benefit from advanced medical devices, while those in lower-resource settings face higher infection rates due to economic barriers, raising concerns about justice in healthcare.

CLABSIs persist despite infection control measures, demanding a stronger ethical commitment to improving healthcare accountability and equitable implementation of prevention strategies. This research will analyze ethical dilemmas in infection control, examine case studies of hospitals with varying prevention success, and explore healthcare ethics frameworks that guide patient safety policies. By highlighting the need for ethical reforms, this study will offer insights into strengthening accountability and transparency in infection control, ensuring that all patients regardless of socioeconomic status receive ethical, high-quality care.

Methodology

This research adopts a qualitative approach to examine the ethical dimensions of CLABSI prevention and disparities in infection control across different healthcare settings. A qualitative methodology is appropriate for exploring ethical issues and social inequities, allowing for deeper engagement with the structural, institutional, and human factors involved.

Data Collection Methods

This study will use secondary data analysis of peer-reviewed academic literature, public health reports, and case studies. Sources include CDC reports, journal articles on infection control ethics, and published accounts of hospital practices in both high- and low-resource environments. These texts provide insight into how ethical issues manifest in real-world infection control scenarios, especially related to transparency, cost, and access. In addition, this

study will examine case studies from hospitals that have faced CLABSI outbreaks and implemented corrective measures. Comparing institutions with different funding levels and patient demographics will allow for a more nuanced understanding of systemic inequities and ethical failures in infection control.

Analytical Methods

The data will be analyzed using thematic analysis, with coding centered on recurring ethical themes such as justice, transparency, responsibility, and resource allocation. By identifying these themes across literature and case studies, the research will explore how ethics influence CLABSI outcomes and how institutional behavior reflects broader social inequalities.

A comparative case study approach will further highlight variations in ethical practice across institutions. For example, hospitals that disclose infection rates and invest in staff training and infection control resources will be compared to those that lack such measures. This comparative framework helps uncover not only differences in practices but also in ethical commitments and institutional values.

Evaluation and Justification

This method is particularly effective for highlighting the ethical gaps in CLABSI prevention. Secondary data provides access to a wide range of sources and allows the study to engage with existing ethical debates. The case study approach is valuable for identifying specific institutional patterns, while thematic coding helps structure these patterns into broader ethical categories. While qualitative analysis cannot measure causality or clinical efficacy, it offers a rich understanding of ethical issues and policy implications. The reliance on published data may

limit real-time insight into current practices, but it ensures that findings are grounded in verified, peer-reviewed, or official sources.

Contribution and Significance

By investigating the intersection of healthcare ethics and infection control, this research highlights the urgent need for ethical reforms in CLABSI prevention while addressing the broader issue of healthcare equity. The findings will provide insights into how hospitals can strengthen accountability and transparency in infection control, ensuring that all patients regardless of socioeconomic status receive ethical, high-quality care.

Results

Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) pose a major public health challenge, leading to prolonged hospital stays, increased medical costs, and potentially mortality. Among these, central-line associated bloodstream infections (CLABSIs) are particularly concerning, accounting for thousands of preventable deaths annually (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC], 2021). Despite rigorous infection control protocols, CLABSIs persist, raising ethical concerns about transparency, resource allocation, and equitable access to infection prevention measures.

Consistent and equitable efforts to prevent infections are required by healthcare ethics, which are based on the principles of beneficence, non-maleficence, justice, and autonomy. However, the persistence of CLABSIs, particularly in environments with limited resources, indicates an institutional inability to adhere to these fundamental principles. Hospitals that lack access to standardized infection prevention tools and protocols often serve low-income and

minority populations, worsen health disparities and distrust within institutions. These differences are caused by persistent social disadvantages that restrict some institutions' capacity to offer the best treatment possible, not just carelessness. Therefore, it is necessary to consider the moral dilemmas related to CLABSI prevention as a component of a larger moral obligation to attain health justice.

Research indicates that healthcare organizations' attempts to avoid infections vary. For example, hospitals with limited resources are much less likely to apply evidence-based CLABSI prevention packages, which leads to increased infection rates, according to a study by Furuya et al. (2011). This study highlights the disparities that exist through employment of a cross-sectional survey of infection control programs implemented across multiple hospitals. While this study provides a snapshot of the inequities that exist in healthcare institutions, it may have limitations due to self-reporting bias. Regardless, ethical questions concerning justice and equal treatment are brought up by this unequal distribution of care. In hospitals with limited resources, patients are essentially given second-class treatment, which goes against the healthcare equity principle. Furthermore, it might be challenging for these institutions to adhere to changing best practices since they frequently lack access to the newest infection control technologies, such as electronic monitoring systems and antimicrobial catheters. In addition to increasingly marginalizing their patient groups, hospitals that serve vulnerable populations continue to do poorly in infection control as a result of this technology disparity. High-resource hospitals have seen a decrease in CLABSI rates because of infection prevention technologies including electronic surveillance systems and chlorhexidine dressings. However, safety-net hospitals frequently cannot afford them due to their high cost. This technology disparity is a moral problem as well as a practical one. According to Klompas et al. (2022), healthcare systems

fall short of their moral duties to deliver equitable care when advances are only accessible to a small number of people. Patients suffer the most as a result of this moral failing, and hospitals that are structurally disadvantaged are unable to purchase these technologies. The World Health Organization has also underlined the significance of equity in infection prevention, pointing out that maintaining global health standards requires having access to infection control resources (WHO, 2016).

Another crucial ethical concern in the treatment of CLABSIs is transparency. Accurate and timely reporting of infection rates enables patients to make informed decisions and holds healthcare facilities accountable for lapses in care. However, as research from Stanford Graduate School of Business indicates, hospitals are significantly more likely to report infections when doing so leads to financial rewards rather than penalties (Bayati, 2018). The study found that underreporting is driven by flawed incentive structures: hospitals face stronger motivation to improve their scores when positive performance is rewarded, rather than when poor performance is punished. This economically driven opacity undermines patient autonomy and public trust. Furthermore, obstruction in reporting can result in prolonged exposure and avoidable injury, as the 2015 Mycobacterium chimaera infection outbreak connected to tainted heater-cooler units showed (Sax et al., 2015). In this situation, a lack of transparency is a violation of the moral duty to put patient safety ahead of institutional self-interest and goes beyond simple administrative monitoring.

Both workforce and institutional culture have a significant impact on infection control results. Healthcare professionals that are overworked and undertrained may find it difficult to follow CLABSI prevention guidelines. Cimiotti et al. (2012) showed how labor conditions affect patient outcomes by establishing a clear correlation between infection rates and nurse staffing

ratios. Hospitals must support their employees with proper training and reasonable workloads in addition to providing them with the tools they need to practice ethical care. In addition to lowering the quality of service, hospitals that underinvest in their employees are also breaking ethical rules pertaining to institutional and professional accountability.

Policy-level changes including required public reporting and reimbursement incentives via the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) have been implemented in an attempt to solve these problems. Even though these policies encourage greater accountability, they need to be supported by financial sources that allow all hospitals, not just those with extra money, to comply with infection control regulations. To close disparities in infection prevention capability and standardize care across the board, some experts have suggested national equity benchmarks (Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, 2023). These suggestions draw attention to the necessity of systemic remedies that address the structural determinants of health and go beyond institutional decision-making or individual behavior. The opinions of the patients and communities most impacted by CLABSIs must also be central to any ethically appropriate response. Systemic discrimination, communication hurdles, and a lack of trust in medical institutions are common experiences for marginalized groups. Initiatives for culturally competent education and participation are crucial parts of moral infection control. Healthcare systems may foster shared accountability and trust by bringing patients into discussions about safety and care procedures. According to Zhang et al. (2022), it is critical to customize health interventions to the unique requirements of underprivileged and elderly populations, many of whom are disproportionately impacted by infections linked to hospitals.

To address these inequities, a multi pronged approach is a necessity. Investment in scalable, lost cost infection prevention technologies that have a long term usage could help level

the playing field for under resourced hospitals. Additionally, to further mitigate the issue federal funding programs aimed at supporting infrastructure in safety net hospitals would allow for the integration of evidence based practices to prevent infections. The moral dilemmas raised by CLABSI prevention point to more widespread structural problems with the way healthcare is set up and provided. They demand a reexamination of the principles that guide medical care, not just procedural improvements. From national policy frameworks to bedside practices, all facets of infection prevention must incorporate equity, openness, and justice. No patient should be at higher risk of infection due to the hospital they are admitted to or the resources their community lacks in a fully just healthcare system. Furthermore, multidisciplinary cooperation amongst disciplines like bioethics, public health policy, and biomedical engineering is necessary to achieve ethical infection control. We can only aspire to establish healthcare settings that are safe, inclusive, and just for patients by adopting a comprehensive and morally based approach.

Conclusion

This study found that while central-line associated bloodstream infections (CLABSIs) are largely preventable through evidence-based protocols and technological interventions, under-resourced hospitals face significant barriers in adopting and maintaining these practices. This study explored the ethical concerns surrounding CLABSIs, emphasizing the persistent disparities in infection prevention and healthcare access across different hospital settings. These disparities are not just clinical or logistical in nature but are deeply rooted in ethical failures, particularly the systemic neglect of healthcare equity, transparency, and justice. As a result, patients in marginalized communities face disproportionate risks of harm, violating the fundamental principles of beneficence, non-maleficence, and justice in medical ethics.

By analyzing the availability of infection prevention resources, transparency in infection reporting, and the influence of institutional culture and staffing, the study answered critical questions

about the ethical obligations of hospitals, policymakers, and healthcare leaders. It highlighted that the continued prevalence of CLABSIs in disadvantaged hospitals is not just a clinical issue, it is an ethical indictment of the healthcare system's unequal distribution of care. Addressing this issue, therefore, is not only a matter of implementing better protocols, but of reassessing the values that guide public health and hospital administration.

The implications of these findings are substantial for healthcare policy and practice. First, they emphasize the urgent need for national equity benchmarks in infection prevention and quality improvement standards that ensure all hospitals, regardless of location or funding, adhere to the same infection control expectations. As noted by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (2023) and others, incorporating health equity as a core measure of performance can redirect funding, training, and innovation toward the institutions that need it most. Additionally, ethical reforms in reporting and accountability, such as making CLABSI data publicly available and ensuring it is not penalized unfairly, can foster greater transparency and systemic trust. Technological advances must also be made accessible through subsidies and public-private partnerships, preventing a two-tiered system where only wealthier institutions can afford the best care.

However, this study also has its limitations. It primarily draws on secondary data and qualitative analysis, which, while rich in ethical insight, lacks real-time clinical data from hospital settings. Additionally, because the study is based on existing literature and case analysis, it may not fully capture the nuances of emerging technologies or local efforts already underway to close the equity gap. These limitations suggest that future research should incorporate fieldwork, patient interviews, and longitudinal data to evaluate the impact of equity-driven policies on CLABSI outcomes in diverse healthcare environments.

In conclusion, this research underscores the necessity of reframing CLABSI prevention as not just a medical priority, but an ethical one. It reveals that patients' exposure to preventable infections often correlates with systemic neglect, institutional underfunding, and unequal access to healthcare technologies

and training. A just healthcare system must commit to equity as a guiding principle not just in rhetoric but in resource distribution, protocol enforcement, and community engagement. Moving forward, efforts to reduce CLABSIs must be paired with deeper institutional reflection and policy innovation that prioritizes the rights and dignity of all patients, regardless of their socioeconomic status. This approach paves the way for future research that continues to bridge the gap between ethics and clinical practice, ensuring that healthcare is both effective and equitable.

References

Bayati, M. (2018, November 20). *Why hospitals underreport the number of patients they infect*. Stanford Graduate School of Business. https://www.gsb.stanford.edu/insights/why-hospitals-underreport-number-patients-they-infect

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2017, August 17). *Mycobacterium chimaera contamination of heater-cooler devices used in cardiac surgery - united states*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/65/wr/mm6540a6.htm

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2021, June 21). *Health topics - hai - polaris*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. https://www.cdc.gov/policy/polaris/healthtopics/hai/index.html

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2023, June 29). *Disparities in covid-19 disease incidence by income and vaccination coverage - 81 communities, Los Angeles, California, July 2020–September 2021*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/72/wr/mm7226a5.htm

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (n.d.). *Clabsi basics*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. https://www.cdc.gov/clabsi/about/?CDC_AAref_Val=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.cdc.gov%2Fhai%2Fbsi%2Fclabsi-resources.html

Cimiotti, J. (2021). *Nurse staffing, Burnout, and health care-associated infection*. American journal of infection control. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22854376/

Furuya EY;Dick A;Perencevich EN;Pogorzelska M;Goldmann D;Stone PW; (n.d.). *Central line bundle implementation in US Intensive Care Units and impact on bloodstream infections*. PloS one. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21267440/

Haddadin, Y. (2022, November 26). *Central line–associated blood stream infections*. StatPearls [Internet]. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK430891/

Klompas M;Branson R;Cawcutt K;Crist M;Eichenwald EC;Greene LR;Lee G;Maragakis LL;Powell K;Priebe GP;Speck K;Yokoe DS;Berenholtz SM; (n.d.). *Strategies to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia, ventilator-associated events, and nonventilator hospital-acquired pneumonia in acute-care hospitals: 2022 update*. Infection control and hospital epidemiology. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35589091/

Sax, H., Bloemberg, G., Hasse, B., Sommerstein, R., Kohler, P., Achermann, Y., Rössle, M., Falk, V., Kuster, S. P., Böttger, E. C., & Weber, R. (2015, March 11). *Prolonged outbreak of mycobacterium chimaera infection after open-chest heart surgery*. OUP Academic. https://academic.oup.com/cid/article/61/1/67/340014?login=false

Stone, P. W. (2009, October). *Economic burden of healthcare-associated infections: An American perspective*. Expert review of pharmacoeconomics & outcomes research. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC2827870/#:~:text=Annually%2C%20approximately%202%20million%20patients,%2428%20billion%20to%2045%20billion.

Zhang, M., Zhang, X., Gao, L., Yue, J., & Jiang, X. (2022, March 29). *Incidence, predictors and health outcomes of delirium in very old hospitalized patients: A prospective cohort study - BMC geriatrics*. BioMed Central.

https://bmcgeriatr.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12877-022-02932-9