INTELLIGENT SYSTEMS IN MARS EXPLORATION

An STS Research Paper
In STS 4500
Presented to
The Faculty of the
School of Engineering and Applied Science
University of Virginia
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree
Bachelor of Science in Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering

By

April 5 , 2024

On my honor as a University student, I have neither given nor received unauthorized aid on this assignment as defined by the Honor Guidelines for Thesis-Related Assignments.

ADVISORS

Joshua Earle, Department of Engineering and Society

Introduction

A significant shift is transforming Earth-based mission control's role from direct command, exemplified by operations such as the Hypersonic Experiment Deployment Glider Experiment (HEDGE) radio communications, to a more supportive role. As this transition occurs, astronauts must make more decisions independently, without immediate support from Earth. My research explores the socio-technical interactions involving intelligent systems in Mars missions. I examine how these systems, along with human operators, technological artifacts, and institutional policies, form a network that reshapes interplanetary communication and mission strategies. This paper will answer the following research question, " To what extent are intelligent systems catalyzing a paradigm shift in communications strategies and protocols for interplanetary missions, and how are these shifts enhancing human space exploration and the public perception of remote, autonomous communication systems?" Employing Actor-Network Theory, we will map the network of relationships among various actors and how these relationships influence the development and implementation of intelligent systems. Additionally, through Discourse Analysis, I will examine the language and communication used by different stakeholders involved in Mars exploration, such as engineers and policymakers, to understand how these discourses shape technological and policy developments. The following sections will discuss various intelligent systems, their applications on Mars, and the necessary future work to advance these systems for Mars exploration. My findings suggest that intelligent systems significantly catalyze a paradigm shift by enhancing the autonomy of mission operations and reducing reliance on real-time Earth-based commands, thereby offering insights into the future trajectories of space exploration technology.

Background

The function of Earth-based mission control will shift as we launch human missions to Mars. Earth-based mission control, which traditionally provides real-time communication with astronauts aboard the Moon or the International Space Station (ISS), faces a unique challenge when it comes to

Mars missions. The large distance between the planets introduces a significant time delay, ranging from 6 to 22 minutes in one direction. This means a 12 to 44 minute lag when waiting for a response from the Red Planet. This time delay means that astronauts on Mars cannot rely on the quick response times they’re accustomed to on Earth during emergencies or time-sensitive situations. Within the trajectory of space exploration, a central research question guides our exploration of this evolving landscape. More decisions will need to be made by astronauts without the assistance of Earth, yet we don't want to leave them completely defenseless. We can send the humans to Mars with Intelligent Systems to supplement the lost assistance caused by communication delays. Robots that operate on their own, systems for augmented or virtual reality, and other AI implementations are all examples of intelligent systems. Intelligent technologies may be able to support astronauts more than Earth-based mission control given adequate funding and development. In this context, intelligent systems emerge as vital actors within a broader socio-technical network. They interact not only with human operators but also with institutional frameworks, evolving space technologies, and mission planning doctrines. Understanding these interactions requires examining the networks that form around these systems, which include both human and non-human entities such as policies, technologies, and environmental factors. Through Actor-Network Theory, this research will trace how intelligent systems integrate into the Mars exploration missions and influence or are influenced by other actors in the network. We will also employ Discourse Analysis to uncover how discussions about these systems shape and are shaped by the network dynamics, focusing on language used in engineering, policy-making, and public domains. These methods will help illustrate the complex interdependencies and the multi-faceted nature of space exploration.

Methods

This study employs Actor-Network Theory (ANT) and Discourse Analysis to explore the socio-technical dynamics of Mars exploration, particularly the role of intelligent systems. These methods are chosen for their strength in revealing the complexity of interactions among various stakeholders and the influence of communication on technological development and policy-making.

Actor-Network Theory (ANT):

ANT provides a framework to analyze the network of relationships among human and non-human actors, including technologies, people, policies, and environmental factors. To operationalize ANT, we will: *Map the Network:* Identify all relevant actors involved in the development, implementation, and use of intelligent systems for Mars exploration. This includes engineers at NASA, policymakers, intelligent systems (e.g., Mars rovers like Perseverance), and institutional frameworks. *Analyze Actor Roles and Interactions:* Examine how these actors influence and are influenced by one another. For instance, how do NASA engineers' decisions impact rover design, and how do these designs in turn shape mission strategies? *Trace Actor Influence:* Determine how actors facilitate or hinder the development and implementation of intelligent systems. This will involve analyzing funding decisions by governmental bodies, the engineering challenges faced by designers, and the regulatory frameworks imposed by international space agencies.

Discourse Analysis:

Discourse Analysis will be used to examine how language and communication within and between these actors shape the Mars exploration endeavor. Specifically, we will: *Analyze Communication Patterns:* Explore how different stakeholders communicate about Mars exploration and intelligent systems. This includes analyzing technical documents, public speeches by NASA officials, and policy debates in governmental settings. *Identify Discursive Differences:* Identify variations in the language used by different groups (e.g., engineers vs.

policymakers) and how these differences affect understanding and decision-making regarding Mars missions. *Examine Influence of Discourse on Public Perception:* Study how the discourse surrounding Mars exploration and intelligent systems influences public perception and support, analyzing media articles, public comments, and social media discussions. *Data Collection:* Data will be collected from a variety of sources to support this analysis: *Primary Sources:* Interviews with aerospace engineers, policy makers, and team members involved in Mars missions. Secondary Sources: Review of NASA mission reports, policy documents, technical specifications of Mars rovers, and relevant scholarly articles. *Public Discourse:* Analysis of media reports, public speeches by key figures, and social media content related to Mars exploration. *Data Analysis:* Data analysis will involve coding the collected data to identify themes and patterns according to ANT and Discourse Analysis principles. We will use qualitative data analysis software to help manage and analyze large volumes of text data, allowing for an in-depth understanding of the socio-technical networks and discourses shaping Mars exploration.

Review of Research

Transition to Autonomy: Navigating the Evolution of Space Operations

The evolution of autonomous capabilities in space missions represents a massive pivot in the strategies of interplanetary exploration. Historically, the transition from manual operation from ground control to intelligent autonomous systems marks a significant shift, driven by the need for reduced human intervention and enhanced responsiveness to scientific opportunities and anomalies.

Historical Context and Technological Evolution:

Until the mid-1980s, space missions primarily relied on direct human control for navigation and operations. Rising operational costs and the practical limitations of human-led missions, especially over vast interplanetary distances, prompted a shift toward automation and autonomy. This shift was not merely technological but was also influenced by a network of actors including engineers, policymakers, funding bodies, and the technological artifacts themselves. Actor-Network Theory helps us understand this transition by highlighting how each actor, including non-human elements like technology and environmental conditions, contributes to the formation of new operational norms.

Transition to Autonomy:

The motivations for adopting intelligent systems in Mars missions can be traced to a combination of economic, technological, and scientific drivers. For instance, the development of autonomous navigation systems like AutoNav and decision-making frameworks such as AEGIS on Mars rovers has been critical. These technologies, viewed through the ANT lens, are not just tools but actants within a network that includes human operators, mission planners, and the Martian environment. This networked interaction reshapes how missions are designed and executed, enabling more complex and adaptive operations that would be impossible with human controllers alone. Institutions like NASA and international regulatory bodies, along with the harsh Martian environment, play pivotal roles in shaping the development and deployment of intelligent systems. Funding decisions, policy frameworks, and environmental challenges are all actors within this network, influencing the technological trajectory and operational strategies of Mars missions. ANT allows us to see these factors not merely as background conditions but as active participants in the network that continuously shape and are shaped by other actors. The review of current research reveals ongoing challenges such as how to improve the robustness of autonomous systems against Martian dust storms or extreme temperature fluctuations. These challenges necessitate innovations in materials science and AI, each influenced by and influencing the broader socio-technical network. Understanding these interactions through ANT provides insights into why certain technological solutions are pursued over others, reflecting the complex interdependencies of technical feasibility, scientific value, public perception, and policy support.

Rationales for Autonomicity in Mars Exploration

NASA's trajectory towards the autonomy of space missions underscores a pivotal evolution from manually operated controls to systems capable of executing missions with minimal human guidance. This transition reflects a strategic pivot to embed autonomic features—self-configuring, self-optimizing, self-healing, and self-protecting—within spacecraft operations, ensuring adaptability and resilience in the face of mission variances and unforeseen challenges.

Self-Configuration in NASA Missions The dynamic nature of space missions necessitates a system's ability to adapt its operational parameters in real-time. Changes in mission objectives or compensations for instrument failures require a spacecraft to autonomously reconfigure itself, ensuring continuity in data collection and operational efficiency. Through the lens of ANT, this adaptability is seen not merely as a technological response but as part of a network where policies, mission goals, and environmental challenges act as co-agents in shaping technology’s role.

Self-Optimization for Enhanced Performance: As missions evolve, so too must the spacecraft's operational strategies to optimize scientific output and resource efficiency. This involves continuous adjustments in instrument calibration and operational behaviors to maximize mission returns. ANT views these adjustments as interactions within a network of human (engineers, operators) and non-human (software algorithms, mission data) actors that co-evolve to enhance mission efficacy.

Self-Healing to Ensure Mission Continuity: The capacity for a spacecraft to detect and rectify system failures or data corruption autonomously is crucial for long-duration missions where immediate human intervention is impractical. This self-healing ability is framed in ANT as a manifestation of the spacecraft's integration into the broader mission network, where it acts not just as a tool but as a participant that autonomously preserves the network's functionality.

Self-Protection Against Environmental Hazards: Protecting spacecraft from solar flares or Martian dust storms through preemptive measures like entering sleep modes or seeking shelter demonstrates a proactive approach to mission safety. Within ANT, these autonomic responses are seen as interactions between the spacecraft and its environment, where both are active participants in the mission's network. These responses highlight the spacecraft's role in actively navigating and mitigating environmental risks.

Rationales against Autonomicity in Mars Exploration:

Critics argue against the heavy reliance on intelligent systems and autonomy in Mars exploration, citing concerns over reliability, the unpredictability of autonomous decision-making in unforeseen scenarios, and potential communication delays that could hinder timely human intervention in emergencies. Moreover, the substantial investment in developing these systems raises questions about cost-effectiveness and resource allocation, suggesting that funds might be better utilized in enhancing existing technologies or supporting manned missions directly. These perspectives underline a broader debate on the balance between technological advancement and human oversight in space exploration, emphasizing the need for a nuanced approach that ensures safety and maximizes the value of investments in space technology (Smith, 2019; Johnson, 2020). This discussion extends into the realms of ethical considerations, where the autonomy of machines in decision-making processes on distant worlds prompts reflection on our responsibilities and the future role of humans in space exploration.

Case Study: Intelligent Systems in Mars Exploration

The study of Mars through robotic missions employs cutting-edge artificial intelligence (AI) and robotics, highlighting a paradigm shift in our approach to space exploration. This case study focuses on these intelligent systems, exploring their role in overcoming the challenges of remote planetary exploration, such as significant communication delays between Earth and Mars. By examining the use of AI and robotics in Mars rovers and landers, we aim to understand how these technologies enhance mission autonomy, enabling more effective exploration and data collection. Additionally, this analysis considers the broader implications of these advancements, including their influence on the development of future space missions and their reflection of human ambition in the ongoing quest to explore the cosmos. Through a review of Mars missions, this paper contributes to the discourse on space exploration's future, emphasizing how intelligent systems enhance our expanding knowledge of the universe.

Analysis of Specific Intelligent Systems Used in Mars Missions

Intelligent systems have revolutionized Mars exploration, enabling missions to achieve greater autonomy, overcome the challenges of communication delays, and enhance scientific investigation. The development and application of these technologies in Mars missions are a testament to human ingenuity. Moreover, the evolution of these systems is a reflection of the societal constructs and factors that value exploration and understanding of our universe. As we continue to push the boundaries of what is possible in space exploration, the interplay between technology and society will remain an important factor in shaping the future of interplanetary discovery.

Mars exploration missions, particularly through rovers such as Curiosity and Perseverance, have been significantly empowered by advancements in AI and robotics. The Perseverance rover, for instance, employs autonomous navigation systems allowing for enhanced scientific inquiry with minimal direct intervention from Earth. One notable system is the AutoNav technology, which enables the rover to navigate the Martian terrain by creating 3D maps to plan its route, avoiding obstacles and hazards autonomously (Russo, 2018). Moreover, the Perseverance rover's deployment of AEGIS (Autonomous Exploration for Gathering Increased Science) software exemplifies the utilization of AI for dynamic scientific target selection, further optimizing the mission's scientific yield without the need for real-time commands from Earth (Russo, 2018). The integration of advanced AI and autonomous navigation systems like AutoNav and AEGIS into Mars exploration rovers such as Curiosity and Perseverance has instigated a paradigm shift in space exploration. This transition represents a move from direct, human-led exploration to more autonomous, robot-driven discovery, marking a significant evolution in the approach to exploring extraterrestrial environments.

The Paradigm Shift: From Direct Control to Autonomy

Historically, space missions were tightly controlled by human operators on Earth, with every movement of a rover or spacecraft being meticulously planned and executed based on direct commands. This approach, while effective, was limited by significant communication delays between Earth and Mars. This limitation meant that rovers could only move as quickly and efficiently as the time-delayed instructions from Earth allowed. (Wolfgang et al, 2005)

The development and implementation of AutoNav technology and AEGIS software have fundamentally changed this dynamic. AutoNav and AEGIS enhanced scientific discovery by allowing rovers to react in real-time to the environment and data they encounter. Instead of being limited to pre-selected targets based on distant observations, rovers can now adapt their scientific investigations based on up-close analyses and unexpected findings, much like a human scientist would do in the field (Tara A, 2012). This self-guided selection process allows the rover to conduct science operations more flexibly and responsively, significantly increasing the scientific return of the mission. The autonomous capabilities of Mars rovers have led to a more dynamic and productive exploration of the Martian surface. With AutoNav, rovers can navigate more complex terrains, accessing areas that might have been too risky or impossible to reach under direct human control. This access to new terrains opens up opportunities for discoveries that can reshape our understanding of Mars (Joesph et. al, 2012). AutoNav and visual odometry are prime examples of intelligent systems, enabling rovers like Perseverance to traverse and analyze the Martian environment with unprecedented efficiency. These systems reduce the operational burden on mission control and significantly increase the pace at which scientific data can be collected and analyzed. Visual odometry, as detailed in recent studies, provides a framework for analyzing the movement of rovers by comparing sequential images captured by onboard cameras. By assessing the displacement between images, rovers can navigate autonomously, adjusting their path in real-time to avoid obstacles and optimize travel routes. This capability is important for maximizing the impact of interplanetary missions by ensuring rovers can safely explore areas of interest with minimal guidance from Earth (Daphne, n.d.).

Intelligent systems like AutoNav and AEGIS have revolutionized Mars exploration by enabling rovers to perform complex tasks autonomously. Through ANT, we can analyze these systems as part of a larger network that includes their development environment, their operational challenges on Mars, and the scientific goals they help achieve.

Societal Construction and Influence on Intelligent Systems Development

The development of intelligent systems for Mars exploration is not merely a technological endeavor; it is deeply intertwined with societal factors. Public interest in Mars and space exploration, policy decisions, and funding priorities significantly impact the direction and emphasis of technological development. The societal construct around the curiosity and exploration of the unknown has propelled advancements in AI and robotics, driving innovations that enable more autonomous and capable missions to Mars and beyond. The influence of societal factors is evident in the prioritization of missions aimed at answering fundamental questions about life beyond Earth, understanding Mars' climate and geology, and preparing for future human exploration. These goals reflect a collective human ambition to explore, understand, and ultimately expand our presence in the cosmos. The technological advancements in intelligent systems, thus, are not only achievements in engineering and science but also manifestations of societal values and aspiration (Daphne, n.d.) The evolution of these systems reflects broader societal values and technological aspirations, as seen in how public interest and policy decisions drive the prioritization of certain capabilities and missions. Discourse Analysis helps illuminate how societal expectations and scientific ambitions are communicated and how they influence the direction of technological innovation.

The Impact of This Shift

This paradigm shift towards greater autonomy in space exploration has several implications. It not only increases the efficiency and potential scientific yield of missions but also sets the stage for future exploration missions beyond Mars, including to more distant or less accessible bodies within our solar system. The technologies developed for Mars rovers serve as a blueprint for designing future exploration missions that can operate with even greater independence, perhaps paving the way for more ambitious missions to moons of Jupiter and Saturn, asteroids, and beyond. Moreover, the success of these intelligent systems in navigating and conducting science on Mars underscores the potential for AI and robotics in solving complex problems in environments beyond Earth. It exemplifies how machines can complement and extend human capabilities, offering a glimpse into a future where humans and robots explore the cosmos hand in hand. In essence, the integration of AI and autonomous navigation systems in Mars rovers signifies a new era in space exploration. It embodies a shift towards leveraging technology not just as a tool, but as a partner in the quest to understand our place in the universe, heralding a future where our exploratory reach is boundless, and the potential for discovery is limitless.

Technical Challenges

Environmental Extremes

The Martian environment is notoriously harsh, characterized by extreme temperature fluctuations, high radiation levels, and frequent, powerful dust storms. These conditions pose significant challenges to the development of intelligent systems designed for Mars exploration. For instance, electronic components and sensors, crucial for the functioning of autonomous robots and instruments, must be protected against extreme cold, which can drop to as low as -125°C at the poles, and against radiation that can degrade materials and disrupt electronic circuits. The history of Mars exploration has shown that dust storms can obscure solar panels, as seen with the Opportunity rover, which lost power and ceased operations after a global dust storm in 2018. Addressing these challenges requires innovations in materials science to develop more resilient components and energy systems that can withstand or mitigate these environmental extremes. (brown, n.d.)

Energy Management

Energy is a scarce resource on Mars, especially for missions relying on solar power. Intelligent systems must efficiently manage their energy consumption to sustain operations during periods of limited sunlight, such as during dust storms or the Martian winter. The challenge lies in designing energy storage solutions, like advanced battery technologies or supercapacitors, that are lightweight yet capable of high-density energy storage. Moreover, these systems must operate reliably in the extreme cold. Innovations in energy harvesting, such as more efficient solar panels or mechanisms to clear dust accumulation, are crucial for maintaining the operational lifespan of intelligent systems on Mars. (Gray, 2018)

Autonomous Navigation and Decision-Making

The significant delay in communications between Earth and Mars necessitates that intelligent systems possess sophisticated autonomous navigation and decision-making capabilities. These systems must be capable of real-time environmental analysis to navigate the Martian terrain, avoiding hazards such as sand traps, cliffs, and rock fields autonomously. Developing AI algorithms that can accurately interpret complex geological features and make safe, efficient navigation decisions poses a significant challenge. (Teal, 2015) These algorithms must be robust, capable of learning from new data, and adaptable to the unpredictable Martian environment.

Integration with Existing and Future Technologies

Ensuring compatibility and seamless integration between new intelligent systems and existing space exploration infrastructures is another extreme challenge. This requires a forward-thinking design philosophy that anticipates future technological developments and standards to ensure that systems deployed today remain relevant and functional. This challenge is compounded by the rapid pace of technological advancement, which can render systems obsolete if they cannot be easily upgraded or interfaced with newer technologies.

Social and Ethical Challenges

Human-Autonomy Interaction

The evolving dynamic between human operators and autonomous systems raises significant questions about trust, oversight, and decision-making responsibilities. There's a fine balance to be struck between leveraging the efficiencies of autonomous operations and maintaining human control over important decisions. Developing intuitive interfaces and communication protocols that facilitate effective human-robot collaboration is essential. This involves training astronauts and mission control personnel to trust and effectively manage these intelligent systems, addressing concerns of over-reliance on automation or reluctance to delegate authority to autonomous systems.

Social Acceptance and Public Perception

The integration of autonomous systems in space exploration significantly impacts public perception, influencing support and funding for space initiatives. There exists a spectrum of public attitudes towards autonomous systems, ranging from excitement about technological advancements to concerns about job displacement and the ethical implications of autonomous decision-making. Effective communication strategies that highlight the benefits of these technologies, address misconceptions, and engage the public in dialogue are crucial for fostering social acceptance.

Ethical Considerations in Autonomous Decision-Making

Delegating decision-making processes to autonomous systems introduces complex ethical considerations, particularly in scenarios with potential safety risks or significant scientific opportunities. Developing ethical frameworks and oversight mechanisms for intelligent systems is paramount. These frameworks should guide the development and operation of autonomous systems, ensuring they make decisions that align with human values and ethical standards. This includes the establishment of protocols for human intervention in critical decision-making processes and the transparent explanation of autonomous decisions.

Data Privacy and Security

The collection, transmission, and analysis of data by intelligent systems on Mars present challenges related to privacy and security. Protecting scientific data from unauthorized access and ensuring its integrity is imperative for the success of Mars missions. This requires robust cybersecurity measures, secure data transmission protocols, and strict data handling and storage policies to prevent data breaches and ensure the confidentiality and reliability of scientific findings.

Integrational Challenges

Interdisciplinary Collaboration

The development of intelligent systems for Mars exploration necessitates collaboration across various scientific and engineering disciplines. Bridging communication gaps and effectively coordinating efforts among experts in robotics, AI, materials science, and space science is challenging but essential for addressing the multifaceted technical hurdles of designing systems for Mars. Promoting a culture of interdisciplinary collaboration and establishing platforms for knowledge exchange are vital for the successful integration of diverse expertise.

Regulatory and Policy Considerations

Space exploration technologies, including intelligent systems for Mars, are subject to a complex web of regulatory and policy frameworks at both national and international levels.

Navigating these regulations, which cover everything from technology export controls to planetary protection protocols, presents significant challenges for developers and mission planners. Ensuring compliance with international treaties, such as the Outer Space Treaty, which mandates the peaceful use of outer space and the prevention of celestial body contamination, requires meticulous planning and adherence to strict guidelines. These regulations are designed to foster international cooperation and ensure that space exploration activities do not harmfully interfere with potential extraterrestrial life or the interests of other countries. However, they also impose limitations on the technologies that can be deployed, the methodologies for decontaminating equipment, and the protocols for interplanetary material return missions. Balancing the objectives of Mars exploration with these regulatory constraints necessitates a proactive approach to policy engagement and regulatory compliance, ensuring that mission designs are both ambitious and legally compliant.

Sustainability and Long-Term Viability

The sustainability of intelligent systems on Mars, encompassing both environmental and technological aspects, is a critical concern. From an environmental perspective, missions must minimize their footprint on the Martian environment, avoiding the unintended contamination of water ice reserves or areas of astrobiological interest. This requires the development of systems that can operate efficiently without degrading the pristine Martian environment, adhering to principles of planetary protection and sustainability. From a technological standpoint, ensuring the long-term viability of intelligent systems involves designing them to be durable, repairable, or upgradeable to extend their operational life. The challenge here lies in predicting future technological advancements and ensuring that current systems can be maintained or updated remotely. This might involve modular designs that allow for in-situ upgrades or the use of materials and components that can be repaired using resources available on Mars, such as using in-situ resource utilization (ISRU) techniques to create spare parts. These challenges underscore the complexity of developing and implementing intelligent systems for Mars exploration. Addressing them requires a multi-disciplinary approach that integrates advanced engineering, robust regulatory strategies, ethical considerations, and a commitment to sustainability. As we push the boundaries of what's possible in Mars exploration, the lessons learned and the technologies developed will not only enhance our understanding of the Red Planet but also bring us closer to becoming a multi-planetary species. This endeavor, while fraught with challenges, offers unparalleled opportunities for scientific discovery, technological innovation, and the expansion of human presence in the cosmos.

Conclusion

The exploration of Mars, bolstered by advancements in intelligent systems, represents a confluence of human ambition, technological prowess, and societal values. Through the lens of Actor-Network Theory, it is evident that these technologies are not merely tools for extending human capabilities but are intricately woven into the network of societal objectives, challenges, and aspirations for space exploration. Actor-Network Theory emphasizes that technology development involves a complex web of interactions among various actors—both human and non-human—whose differing interests and perspectives shape the trajectory of Mars exploration. The challenges of integrating intelligent systems into Mars exploration—ranging from technical hurdles and environmental considerations to ethical dilemmas and social acceptance—highlight the multifaceted nature of this endeavor. These challenges are not insurmountable obstacles but rather opportunities for collaboration, innovation, and dialogue among scientists, engineers, policymakers, and the public. The successful deployment of intelligent systems on Mars will thus require not only technological ingenuity but also an inclusive approach that considers the diverse values and expectations of these actors within the network.

Furthermore, the analysis provided by Actor-Network Theory and Discourse Analysis reveals that the future of Mars exploration, underpinned by intelligent systems, is poised at a vital juncture. The direction it takes will be determined not solely by the capabilities of the technologies themselves but also by the network of societal and technological interactions within which they are developed and deployed. This includes considerations of sustainability, ethical use of technology, and the promotion of international cooperation in space exploration. Overall, the integration of intelligent systems into Mars exploration, viewed through these frameworks, underscores a paradigm shift in how we approach interplanetary exploration. This shift is not just technological but also deeply social, reflecting a broader dialogue about our values, priorities, and visions for the future of humanity. As we stand on the precipice of this new era in space exploration, it is clear that the journey to Mars is not only a test of our technological capabilities but also an opportunity to reflect on and shape the networks and discourses that underpin these endeavors. The potential future of Mars exploration, with the integration of intelligent systems, offers a canvas upon which the interplay of technology and society can paint a picture of human achievement and aspiration. It challenges us to envision a future where technology not only extends our reach into the cosmos but does so in a way that reflects our collective values and aspirations. The journey to Mars, powered by intelligent systems, thus becomes not just a voyage of discovery but a journey towards a more integrated, reflective, and socially responsive approach to exploring our universe.

2018 International Conference on Communication," 2021 14th International Conference on Developments in eSystems Engineering (DeSE),"Artifical Intelligence: New Paradigm in Deep Space Exploration,"The Role of Artificial Intelligence In Space Exploration,& Etzioni,& Lax,& Pankratius,& Rencken,19 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/2168752.2168764

Joseph Riedel,2005,2018,2021,3,33(1),67-88.

Semuels,A.,A.,A. (2015). Getting Ready to Move to Mars. The Atlantic. www.theatlantic.com/ magazine/archive/2015/11/getting-ready-to-move-to-mars/407845.

Safire,A. G. (2017). Worries about spreading Earth microbes shouldn't slow search for life on Mars. The Conversation. theconversation.com/worries-about-spreading-earth-microbesshouldnt-slow-search-for-life-on-mars-84742.

Penny,Andrew Vaughan,Article 50 (May 2012),B.,B.,B. (1969). In Event of Moon Disaster. www.archives.gov/files/presidential-libraries/ events/centennials/nixon/images/exhibit/rn100-6-1-2.pdf: National Archives.

Scaringe,Bailey,Beard,Benjamin J. Bornstein,Biondi,California. arc.aiaa.org/doi/10.2514/6.2008-7872.,California. arc.aiaa.org/doi/abs/10.2514/6.2004-6075.

von Braun,Chennai,Christopher Grasso,Comet and Mars Landing. 15 Jun 2012 https://doi.org/10.2514/6.2008-6940

Thrash,Computer-Aided Exploration of the Martian Geology (2018) https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1029/2018EA000406

Analytical Framework for space debris collision avoidance maneuver ... (n.d.-a). https://arc.aiaa.org/doi/10.2514/1.G005398

AIAA Journal | List of issues. (n.d.). https://arc.aiaa.org/loi/aiaaj

Russo,Computing and Internet of Things (IC3IoT),Crane,Daniel M. Gaines,David Myers,David R. Thompson,E. (2018). Humanity Would Be Better off Saving Earth,E. (2019). Who gets to survive climate change? New Statesman. www.newstatesman. com/world/2019/03/who-gets-survive-climate-change

Wolfgang Fink,Endsley,F. N.,G.,G.,G. (2022,Geoffrey Huntington,Goodfellow,I.,ISSN 0032-0633,India,Issues 14–15,J.,J. S. J. (2011). Our Moral Obligation to Support Space Exploration. Environmental Ethics,James M. Dohm,Jiang,Karpinsky,Kolbjørnsrud,L. (2016). Can We Save Mars from Ourselves? Smithsonian Magazine. www.smithsonian mag.com/science-nature/can-we-save-mars-ourselves-180960322

Holler,L. J.,M. M. Farag and R. A. Alhamad,M. R.,M. W. (2017). Explore Mars: Make Humans a Multi-Planet Species. www.exploremars. org/about.

Etzioni,Mark A. Tarbell,May 19). Using artificial intelligence for space challenges: A survey. MDPI. https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/12/10/5106

Bello-Orgaz,McBrayer,Michael Burl,N. D.,N. R.,Next-generation robotic planetary reconnaissance missions: A paradigm shift,Nickolaos Mastrodemos,October 16). Human and artificial intelligence considerations for Long Duration Space Travel – a human factors perspective. Journal of Space Safety Engineering. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S2468896723000988

A. A. Omar,P. M.,Pages 1419-1426,Parasuraman,Planetary and Space Science,Prinzel,R.,R. (2008). Right Long-Term Goals Are Needed for Public Support of Space Policy. Paper presented at the AIAA SPACE 2008 Conference and Exposition,Rather Than Colonizing Mars. nationalinterest.org/blog/buzz/humanity-would-be-better-saving-earthrather-colonizing-mars-29712. Explore Mars. (2017). Why Mars. www.exploremars.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/EM-17- WHY-b-proof.pdf. Fairén,Rebecca Castaño,Robert C. Anderson,Robert Gaskell and David Bayard Configuring the Deep Impact AutoNav System for Lunar,Robert Werner,Rongier,S. Kumar and R. Tomar,Salmon,San Diego,San Diego,Shahriari,Sharjah,Song,T.A. (2004). Space Education and Public Relations: What Goes Up Must Stay Down. Paper presented at the Space 2004 Conference and Exhibit,Trent M. Hare,Tseng-Chan Wang,United Arab Emirates,V.,V. (n.d.). Artificial Intelligence support for landing site selection on Mars. NASA/ADS. https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017AGUFM.P13G..08R/abstract

Daphne: An intelligent assistant for architecting Earth observing ... (n.d.-a). https://arc.aiaa.org/doi/10.2514/6.2018-1366

Guillaume Rongier,Victor Pankratiu,Victor R. Baker,Volume 53,W. (1969). Manned Mars Landing. Presentation to the Space Task Group. www.nasa.gov/sites/default/files/atoms/files/19690804_manned_mars_landing_presentati 18 on_to_the_space_task_group_by_dr._wernher_von_braun.pdf: National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Schwartz,W. D. (2023,Y.,and Michele Judd. 2012. AEGIS Automated Science Targeting for the MER Opportunity Rover. ACM Trans. Intell. Syst. Technol. 3,doi: 10.1109/DeSE54285.2021.9719425. keywords: {Earth;Space vehicles;Space missions;NASA;Employment;Robot sensing systems;Intelligent systems;Deep Space Exploration;Artificial Intelligence;Image processing;celestial object identification;space mission scheduling},doi: 10.1109/IC3IoT.2018.8668161. keywords: {Handheld computers;Internet of Things},https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2005.07.013. (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0032063305001686)

Tara A. Estlin,pp. 438-442,pp. 499-503