Ethical Concerns Surrounding the Widespread Deployment of Thermal Imaging Technology in Surveillance

A Research Paper submitted to the Department of Engineering and Society

Presented to the Faculty of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

University of Virginia • Charlottesville, Virginia

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree

Bachelor of Science, School of Engineering

Minsol Kim

Spring 2024

On my honor as a University Student, I have neither given nor received unauthorized aid on this assignment as defined by the Honor Guidelines for Thesis-Related Assignments

Advisor

Pedro A. P. Francisco, Department of Engineering and Society

Introduction

In an era where security is of paramount importance for individuals and institutions alike, the development of advanced security systems leveraging cutting-edge technologies has become increasingly vital (Yuen & Richardson, 2010). This necessity spans a broad spectrum, ranging from protecting livestock from wildlife to securing sensitive government facilities against espionage or terrorist threats. The technical challenge here lies in developing a security system that is robust and versatile enough to function effectively under various environmental conditions such as extreme weather, varying light conditions, and against attempts of camouflage (Coffer, 2020).

Modern security systems largely rely on cameras that capture images using visible light, frequently supplemented with motion-activated lights for low-light operation, or employ short-wavelength infrared cameras, to record footage (Coffey, 2012). However, even with these advancements, reliably detecting intruders, especially in unfavorable weather conditions, can be difficult.

Thermal cameras, or long-wavelength infrared cameras, solve a majority of these issues by detecting the heat radiating off of living organisms rather than trying to capture light reflecting off of objects (Demars et al., 2015). This approach offers a much more detailed and comprehensive perspective compared to traditional imaging methods, often revealing information normally invisible to the naked eye (Lin et al., 2023). While thermal cameras predominantly focus on detecting heat signatures rather than capturing distinct, uniquely identifying characteristics, their integration into surveillance systems represents a step towards more potentially invasive technologies that may collect and use biometric data.

As surveillance technology continues to innovate and advance, the sociotechnical concerns regarding the ethical use of biometric data within these systems grow as well (Ritchie et al., 2021). On one hand, these systems represent a significant leap in the technical capabilities of surveillance and security, offering enhanced detection and analysis that transcend the limitations of traditional methods. On the other hand, however, the integration of biometric data into security systems, a rising trend in the field, presents complex sociotechnical concerns, particularly in terms of personal privacy infringement and the potential for the misuse of sensitive data.

This intersection highlights a critical aspect of technological advancement: the necessity to consider not only the efficiency and capabilities of new systems but also their societal and ethical implications. Especially in sensitive areas like security, technological innovation must be accompanied by a responsible and ethical approach to data management and privacy concerns.

Background and Significance

Thermal imaging, once prohibitively expensive and thus limited to military or high-end industrial applications, has seen a dramatic decrease in cost due to advancements in manufacturing and technology. For example, firefighters now routinely use thermal cameras to see through smoke and identify hotspots in burning buildings, significantly improving their ability to combat fires and save lives.

Fueled by increasing availability, countless research projects aiming to push the capabilities of this technology have also emerged (Jameel et al., 2020). One notable example is the development of high-resolution thermal cameras that can monitor the vital signs of patients remotely, a tool that has proven invaluable during the COVID-19 pandemic for its ability to

detect elevated body temperatures from a distance (Lin et al., 2023). Similarly, environmental scientists have used thermal imaging from drones to track wildlife populations or monitor the health of vegetation, illustrating the technology's versatility and its potential to contribute to crucial research areas.

Continued miniaturization and cost reduction will likely lead to the incorporation of thermal imaging capabilities into consumer electronics making this powerful technology a commonplace tool in everyday life (Demars et al., 2015). While the widespread proliferation of this technology has provided great benefits to countless users, it also raises critical questions about privacy, consent, and the ethical use of surveillance technologies as well as the data collected by them.

This isn’t the first time a revolutionary piece of technology has become publicly available after being mostly limited to military use or industrial use. The history of satellite imaging serves as a cautionary tale about the unintended consequences of technologies being introduced without sufficient oversight (Coffer, 2020).

Regulating thermal imaging and other advanced surveillance technologies poses a significant challenge. The global nature of technology and the connectedness of the world means that actions taken (or not taken) in one country can have implications worldwide. Currently, the European Union has taken proactive steps with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), which sets strict guidelines for personal data usage, including data that could be collected through thermal imaging (Katsanis et al., 2021). However, other regions have been slower to act, leading to a patchwork of regulations that complicate international cooperation and enforcement.

Another aspect to consider is the general population's willingness to sacrifice some aspects of privacy in return for benefits that a certain technology offers. One of the most illustrative examples of this is the widespread willingness of people to sacrifice their location data in exchange for GPS services (Iqbal & Lim, 2010). This data allows companies and governments to track users' habits, preferences, and routines but is widely accepted as being okay to share in return for the benefits of GPS.

Finding a balance between privacy and utility involves navigating a complex landscape of technological innovation, individual rights, and societal benefits (Coffey, 2012). Furthermore, public awareness and education play critical roles in empowering individuals to make informed decisions about their data. The trade-off between privacy and utility is a defining challenge of the digital age. While the convenience and benefits of technology are undeniable, it is crucial to consider the privacy implications of these advancements.

While the benefits of thermal imaging technology are significant and wide-ranging, the potential for infringement on privacy and the ethical considerations surrounding its use must be carefully managed. The lessons learned from the regulatory challenges of satellite imaging highlight the importance of proactive and thoughtful regulation. As society continues to grapple with these issues, the balance between harnessing the potential of this technology while safeguarding individual rights remains an active debate.

Methodology

To explore the sociotechnical implications surrounding the widespread adoption of thermal imaging technology, a multifaceted approach was adopted, combining a technical

literature review, public opinion analysis on other, similarly sensitive technologies, and policy review of current regulations (Yuen & Richardson, 2010).

The first step involved a detailed review of recent technical papers on advances in thermal imaging technology (Demars et al., 2015). This research aimed to understand the capabilities of modern thermal imaging systems and their applications in a variety of sectors including surveillance, healthcare, and environmental science (Zaman et al., 2011). Furthermore, this research provided a more comprehensive look into the future development potential of the technology (Lin et al., 2023). This technical background was essential for better understanding the technology's benefits as well as its potential for issues.

One notable paper described the use of thermal cameras by law enforcement to take vital signs remotely (Jameel et al., 2020). As previously mentioned, this concept has yielded much benefit, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic, but also could open the door for anyone with a thermal camera to collect medical data on any person passing by (Iqbal & Lim, 2010). Understanding the current and potential developments of a technology is crucial for finding applicable and appropriate regulations for it.

Understanding public sentiment towards similarly sensitive technologies can also provide valuable insights into potential societal responses (Ritchie et al., 2021). Studies and surveys on public opinion regarding facial recognition were analyzed to gauge concerns over privacy, consent, and trust in the institutions that deploy this technology (Katsanis et al., 2021). This analysis revealed that public acceptance of a technology is often contingent on the perceived balance between utility and privacy infringement. This also revealed that public opinion is often significantly dependent on the general public’s degree of education regarding the specific

technology (Iqbal & Lim, 2010). This is another factor to consider when debating the balance between utility and privacy.

Next, a comprehensive review of current regulations governing satellite imagery and GPS usage offered a policy context for this research (Coffer, 2020). This review aimed to understand how existing legal frameworks manage privacy concerns and the use of other potentially sensitive technologies (Ritchie et al., 2021). Studying how similar technologies were handled in the past should help avoid repeating mistakes as well as generally speed up the process of designing regulations.

Technological advancements are not merely technical feats but also social processes that reflect and shape societal values, norms, and structures (Coffey, 2012). The chosen approach provides a holistic view of the advancements in thermal imaging technology and their societal implications. By combining technical analysis, public opinion studies, policy review, and an examination of cultural lag, this research addresses the complex interplay between technology and society, offering nuanced insights into the challenges and opportunities presented by thermal imaging. This comprehensive approach is essential for developing informed strategies to balance the benefits with the need to protect individual privacy and societal values.

Literature Review

Embarking on a discussion about thermal imaging technology necessitates an exploration of its vast capabilities. This will be done by considering two recent publications outlining cutting-edge research involving thermal imaging. The first focuses on a UAV tracking project in various weather conditions, published by Lin et al. in 2023, and the second focuses on tracking vehicles in an urban environment, published by Demars et al in 2015. These papers give us a

glimpse of the current state of thermal imaging research and provide insight into what future developments might look like.

Currently, the main application of thermal imaging cameras is to help operators see what is normally invisible to the human eye (and visible light cameras). This is perfectly demonstrated by the researchers using this technology to locate drones in unfavorable weather conditions (Lin et al., 2023). Even when visibility is low, because thermal radiation can penetrate objects much further than visible light can, the team was able to continue detecting drones by their thermal signatures. Furthermore, by combining thermal images with those from standard cameras in a technique known as multispectral imaging, the detection reliability was able to be further enhanced.

The urban tracking experiment contributes additional evidence that this multispectral approach could lead to significantly more robust tracking and sensing algorithms. Thermal imaging can be integrated with a myriad of preexisting technologies to further enhance its detection capabilities (Demars et al., 2015). Furthermore, artificial intelligence could be employed to analyze the vast amounts of data produced by these sensor arrays, leading to efficient data organization and potentially even predictive algorithms.

The introduction of new technologies, especially in the sectors of surveillance and data collection, often prompts the creation of new regulatory frameworks aimed at safeguarding privacy rights and ensuring ethical use. The paper published by Coffer on this matter in regard to high-resolution satellite imagery offers an insightful examination of how this process has played out in the past (Coffer, 2020). Using these insights, the regulation process for thermal imaging could be expedited to best maximize its benefits while minimizing potential misuse.

Satellite imagery resolution improved drastically between its introduction in the late twentieth century to the present era. Despite its benefits, concerns quickly arose as resolution started to become fine enough to identify individuals or sensitive details about private properties. In response to countless lawsuits over the decades, regulations are now in place that limit the resolutions of publicly available images as well as the blurring of faces and identifying features, such as license plates, in applications such as Google Maps (Coffer, 2020).

Regulations for thermal imaging have already begun to emerge, particularly in the context of law enforcement. In the United States, the Supreme Court case Kyllo v. United States (2001) was a landmark decision regarding the use of thermal imaging technology. The Court ruled that the use of thermal imaging to detect heat patterns inside a home without a warrant constituted a violation of the Fourth Amendment, which protects against unreasonable searches. This ruling established a significant precedent, indicating that technological surveillance methods that intrude into the privacy of the home require regulatory oversight and legal boundaries to protect individuals' privacy rights.

However, the public isn't necessarily always against the use of these technologies. Two published studies conducted by Ritchie et al. and Katsanis et al. underscore the nuances of public opinion regarding a similarly sensitive technology that is automatic facial recognition (Ritchie et al., 2021). They found that factors such as the technology's application, managing entity, and overall transparency can have a significant impact on public acceptance.

The study about using facial recognition in criminal justice found that acceptance can vary across populations, even if all factors are the same, depending on cultural aspects. This demonstrated the need for contextualizing technology and regulations to the specific groups of people they'll be affecting. The study about using facial recognition in healthcare found that

distrust usually stems from people not fully knowing how their data could be used beyond the initial purpose that is claimed when collecting that data (Ritchie et al., 2021).

The public's conditional acceptance of surveillance technologies, evidenced in the discussed studies, suggests several implications for the introduction of thermal imaging. First, transparency in the technology's deployment, its accuracy, and the safeguards around data privacy will be paramount. The public's concern over privacy and the accuracy of technologies, akin to those for facial recognition, will likely extend to thermal imaging. Therefore, clear communication about these aspects can help mitigate apprehensions.

Additionally, the application context of thermal imaging will be a critical factor in public perception. If employed in public health monitoring, particularly in settings where the technology can play a decisive role in mitigating health risks, its acceptance might be higher. This contrasts with uses perceived as overreaching such as mass surveillance without clear benefits, which are likely to be met with skepticism and resistance.

Discussion

The introduction of thermal imaging has brought with it incredible potential for future development and applications. By enabling the detection of heat signatures, this technology allows for the monitoring of environments and individuals in conditions where traditional cameras fail, such as in complete darkness, through smoke, or in adverse weather conditions (Lin et al., 2023). This capability is invaluable for ensuring the security of sensitive areas, monitoring wildlife, and enhancing public safety, such as through its use by law enforcement to locate individuals in search and rescue operations.

Furthermore, the integration of thermal imaging with artificial intelligence can lead to more sophisticated surveillance systems capable of identifying unusual patterns of behavior or detecting potential threats with greater accuracy and speed (Demars et al., 2015).

On the other hand, these advancements also come with significant ethical and privacy concerns. The inherent ability of thermal imaging to peer beyond traditional barriers also introduces the potential for invasive surveillance, infringing on the privacy of individuals within their homes or other spaces presumed private (Iqbal & Lim, 2010). Moreover, the potential for the widespread adoption of thermal imaging, spurred by decreasing costs and technological miniaturization, raises concerns about the normalization of constant, pervasive surveillance, contributing to a surveillance society where privacy rights are already significantly eroded (Ritchie et al., 2021).

Fortunately, other technologies have faced similar challenges in the past and found solutions that allowed society to leverage benefits while protecting users; two notable examples being satellite imaging and GPS tracking (Coffer, 2020). The regulatory frameworks that eventually emerged to oversee these technologies can now serve as a blueprint for governing the use of thermal imaging. The evolution of satellite imagery regulation, which now includes limitations on resolution and the anonymization of sensitive data, demonstrates a pragmatic approach to maximizing benefits while protecting individual privacy (Coffer, 2020). Similarly, the widespread acceptance of GPS technology, despite its implications for tracking and data collection, reveals a societal willingness to trade a measure of privacy for significant quality of life improvements (Iqbal & Lim, 2010).

Public opinion, specifically regarding surveillance technologies, often tends to reflect a nuanced balance between privacy concerns and perceived safety benefits (Ritchie et al., 2021).

Studies indicated that the aforementioned technologies eventually found conditional public acceptance based on factors such as transparency from the governing entity and accuracy limitations on publicly available data streams (Katsanis et al., 2021). By similarly ensuring transparency in how thermal imaging is deployed, maintaining strict accuracy standards, and implementing robust data privacy safeguards, public trust could also be earned.

Establishing clear regulatory guidelines that define acceptable uses of thermal imaging, particularly in surveillance, will be crucial (Coffer, 2020). These guidelines should mandate warrants for invasive surveillance activities, restrict the use of thermal imaging to contexts where there is a clear public benefit, and ensure that data collection is minimized, anonymized, and securely stored. Furthermore, developers and deploying agencies should commit to transparency regarding the capabilities and limitations of thermal imaging systems, including the measures taken to protect privacy and data security.

Transparency is absolutely necessary to ensure that no technology becomes significantly capable without public knowledge (Iqbal & Lim, 2010). On the other hand, however, it's also invaluable for tempering public expectations and any accompanying fears that naturally come with powerful technologies. Thermal imaging is an incredible development, but at its current state, not a mythical technology that allows users to see through walls and accurately track people in busy cities (Lin et al., 2023). Being clear about these limitations could help assuage unrealistic concerns and contribute to the development of practical regulatory frameworks.

Engaging with the public through education and dialogue about the benefits and risks of thermal imaging can help build understanding and acceptance. Public input should be sought in shaping policies and regulations, ensuring that they reflect societal values and concerns.

The path forward for thermal imaging technology in surveillance contexts will require a careful balance between leveraging its benefits and addressing the ethical, privacy, and societal concerns that come with them. Ensuring public engagement and innovating to minimize privacy risks will provide a foundation for responsible development and deployment. By adopting such a multifaceted strategy, it is possible to harness the potential of thermal imaging in enhancing security and safety, while still upholding values of privacy and ethical use.

Conclusion

Thermal imaging has quickly evolved from a niche, cost-prohibitive technology to a widespread tool with applications spanning security, healthcare, and environmental science, among others (Lin et al., 2023). This technology's ability to detect heat radiation allows it to operate in many situations where pre existing technologies struggle. The potential for the integration of thermal imaging with advanced artificial intelligence further amplifies its capabilities, enabling the development of sophisticated analysis and prediction algorithms that could revolutionize the way we approach security and surveillance (Demars et al., 2015).

Yet, as with any powerful technology, accompanying these extraordinary capabilities are significant ethical and privacy concerns (Iqbal & Lim, 2010). The potential for invasive surveillance and misuse of sensitive data challenge us to reconcile the benefits with the imperative to protect individual rights. The experiences with satellite imaging and GPS tracking provide valuable lessons on navigating the delicate balance between utility and privacy (Coffer, 2020). The evolution of regulatory frameworks around these technologies, emphasizing limitations on use, data anonymization, and transparency, offers a blueprint for integrating thermal imaging into society in a manner that respects privacy and ethical considerations.

The capability of thermal imaging to enhance security, public safety, and wildlife preservation is undeniable. However, this potential must be tempered with a commitment to ethical use, privacy protection, and public engagement. By fostering an environment of transparency and regulation, we can harness the benefits of thermal imaging while ensuring that it serves the interests of society at large, respecting individual rights and promoting a balance between security and privacy.

References

Yuen, P. W., & Richardson, M. (2010). An introduction to hyperspectral imaging and its application for security, Surveillance and Target Acquisition. The Imaging Science Journal, 58(5), 241–253. https://doi.org/10.1179/174313110x12771950995716

Coffer, M. M. (2020). Balancing privacy rights and the production of high-quality satellite imagery. Environmental Science & Technology, 54(11), 6453–6455. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c02365

Zaman, B., Jensen, A. M., & McKee, M. (2011). Use of high-resolution multispectral imagery acquired with an autonomous unmanned aerial vehicle to quantify the spread of an invasive wetlands species. 2011 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium. https://doi.org/10.1109/igarss.2011.6049252

Coffey, V. C. (2012). Multispectral imaging moves into the mainstream. Optics and Photonics News, 23(4), 18. https://doi.org/10.1364/opn.23.4.000018

Ritchie, K. L., Cartledge, C., Growns, B., Yan, A., Wang, Y., Guo, K., Kramer, R. S., Edmond, G., Martire, K. A., San Roque, M., & White, D. (2021). Public attitudes towards the use of automatic facial recognition technology in criminal justice systems around the world. PLOS ONE, 16(10). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0258241

Katsanis, S. H., Claes, P., Doerr, M., Cook-Deegan, R., Tenenbaum, J. D., Evans, B. J., Lee, M. K., Anderton, J., Weinberg, S. M., & Wagner, J. K. (2021). A survey of U.S. public perspectives on facial recognition technology and facial imaging data practices in health and research contexts. PLOS ONE, 16(10). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0257923

Jameel, S. M., Rehman Gilal, A., Hussain Rizvi, S. S., Rehman, M., & Hashmani, M. A. (2020). Practical implications and challenges of multispectral image analysis. 2020 3rd International Conference on Computing, Mathematics and Engineering Technologies (iCoMET). https://doi.org/10.1109/icomet48670.2020.9073821

Lin, Y., Peng, X., Yu, J., Chen, W., Wu, Y., & Liu, H. (2023). Real-time UAV localization and tracking in multi-weather conditions using multispectral image analysis*. 2023 IEEE International Conference on Real-Time Computing and Robotics (RCAR). https://doi.org/10.1109/rcar58764.2023.10249284

Demars, C. D., Roggemann, M. C., & Havens, T. C. (2015). Multispectral detection and tracking of multiple moving targets in cluttered urban environments. Optical Engineering, 54(12), 1. https://doi.org/10.1117/1.oe.54.12.123106

Iqbal, M., & Lim, S. (2010). Privacy implications of automated GPS tracking and profiling. IEEE Technology and Society Magazine, 29(2), 39–46. https://doi.org/10.1109/mts.2010.937031